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INTRODUCTION - The notion of linear 2- normed spaces was introduced by S. Gahler. He further studies the topological studies of 2-normed spaces. Iseki introduced the notion of non-expansive mapping in 2- normed spaces. Then mathematician like Diminni and white further studied non-expansive mapping in linear 2- normed spaces and obtained the results of Iseki as their corollaries and they contributed a lot for the extension of this branch of mathematics, physics and other Science.
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1. Let X be a linear space of dimension greater than 1 and let $\|\cdot:\|$ be a real valued function defined on $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}$ such that :
2. $\|\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\|=0$ if any only if and b are linearly dependent,
3. $\|\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\|=\|\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}\|$,
4. $\|a, \alpha b\|=|\alpha|\|a, b\|$, were $\alpha$ is real,
5. $\|a+b, c\|<\|a, b\|+\|a, c\|$.
$\|:\|$ is called a 2-norm on X and $(\mathrm{X},\|\because \cdot\|$ is a linear 2-normed space. By condition 2 and 4, a 2norm is non-negative.

Definition : If K is a convex subset of X , a mappings $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is said to be non-expansive if for every $x, y \in K$ and $z \in X$,

1. $\|T(x)-T(y), z\| \leq\|x-y, z\|$.

In the following, the real number system will be denoted by R. Also, a subset of $L$ of $x$ of the form $\left\{x_{1}+\mathrm{ax}_{2}: \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{R}\right\}$, where $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is non-zero, will be called a line. $\alpha \in$

Theorem : Let K be a convex set which contains a least 2 elements and is none a subset of line. Then, T is non-expansive if and only if there is a $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{R}$ and there is a point $\mathrm{z}_{0} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $|\mathrm{c}|<1$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{cx}+$ $\mathrm{z}_{0}$, for every $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{K}$.

Proof- Since all functions of the above type are non-expansive, we need show only that all nonexpansive maps are of this type.

1. Assume first the $0 \in \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{o})=0$. Then, for every $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$,
2. $\|\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{z}\|<\|\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Z}\|$.

Therefore, for each $x \in K$, there is a real number $g(x)$ such that $T(x)=g(x) x$.

If x and y are independent elements of K , then $\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}) \in \mathrm{K}$ also, and by (1),

$$
\left(T \frac{x+y}{2}\right)--T(x), x--y\|<\| \frac{x+y}{2} x-y \|=0 .
$$

Therefore, there is a $k \in R$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T \frac{x+y}{2}\right)--T(x)=k(x-y) \\
& g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-g(x) x=k(x-y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,
$\left[\frac{1}{2} g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-g(x)-k\right] x=-\left[k+\frac{1}{2} g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right] y$
which implies that $g(x)=g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$ by the independence of $x$ and $y$. Since a similar argument shows that $g(y)=g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$, it follows $g(x)=g(y)$ whenever $x$ and $y$ are independent.

If $x$ and $y$ are non-zero, independent elements of $K$, then since $K$ is not a subset of a line, there is $a z \in K$ such that $z$ and $x$ and $z$ and $y$ are independent. By the arguments used above, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{y})$.
Therefore, $g(x)=g(y)$ for all non-zero $x, y \in K$. Since $T(0)=0$, there is a real number $c$ such that $T$ ( x ) cx for every $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{K}$. Finally, (2) implies that $|\mathrm{c}|<1$.
2. For arbitrary $T$ and $K$ which satisfy the hypotheses, choose and $x \in K^{\prime}=\left\{x-x_{0}: x \in K\right\}$. Then $K^{\prime}$ is not contained in a since $K$ is not a subset of a line, and $x \in K^{\prime}$. Define $S: K^{\prime} \rightarrow x$ by
$\left\|S\left(x-x_{0}\right)-S\left(y-x_{0}\right), z\right\|=\|T(x)-T(y), z\|$.
$<\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\|$
$=\left\|\left(x-x_{0}\right)-\left(y-x_{0}\right), z\right\|$.
Hence, $S$ is non-expansive on $K^{\prime}$ and
$\mathrm{S}(0)=\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=0$
By part 1 , there is a $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{R}$ such that $|\mathrm{C}|<1$ and for every $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{K}$,
$\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$.
Therefore, for every $x \in K$,
$\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{cx}+\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{x}_{0}$.
The following example shows that the characterization fails if $K$ is contained in a line.
Example: $\quad$ Suppose K is subset of the line $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{cx}+\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{x}_{0}$.
Define $\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ by $\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\alpha \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=(\sin \alpha) \mathrm{x}_{2}$.

Then, if $x_{1}+\alpha x_{2}$ and $x_{1}+\gamma x_{2}$ are in $K$ and $z \in X$,
$\left\|\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\alpha \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)-T\right\|\left(x+\gamma \mathrm{x}_{2}\right), z\|=\|\|\sin \alpha-\sin \gamma \mid\| x_{2}, z\|<\alpha-\gamma\| x_{2}, z \|$
$=\left\|\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\alpha \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)-\left(x+\gamma \mathrm{x}_{2}\right), z\right\|$.
Hence, T is a non-expansive mapping which does not satisfy Theorem 1.
For convex sets which are subsets of lines, we have the following characterization of nonexpansive mappings.

Theorem: Suppose $K$ is a convex subset of line $L=\left\{x_{1}+\alpha x_{2}: \alpha \in R\right\}$, where $x_{1} \in K$, and let $\left\{\alpha: x_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\alpha x_{2} \in R\right\}$. Then, $T: K \rightarrow X$ is non-expansive if and only if there is a function $g: A g(0)=0$ and $\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\alpha \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{g}(\alpha) \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$.

Proof: Again, since the sufficiency of the above conditions is clear, we deed only to prove the necessity.

1. Assume $X_{1}=0$ and $T(0)=0$. Then, for every $\alpha \in A$ and $z \in X$, (3) $\left\|T\left(x_{2}\right), z\right\|<\left\|\alpha x_{2}, z\right\|$. Therefore, for every non-zero $\alpha \in A$, there is a real number $g(\alpha)$ such that $|g(\alpha)-g(\gamma)|<\mid$ $\alpha-\gamma \mid$ for every $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathrm{A}$.
2. If $x_{1}=0$ or $T\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ let $K^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha x_{2}: \alpha \in A\right\}$. Then, $K^{\prime}$ is convex, $0 \in K^{\prime}$, and $K^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha x_{2}: \alpha \in R\right\}$. Define $S: K^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ by $\mathrm{S}\left(\alpha \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\alpha \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$
for every $\alpha \in A$. Note that $S(0)=0$ and for $\alpha, \gamma \in A$ and $z \in X$,
$\left\|S\left(\alpha x_{2}\right)--S\left(\gamma x_{2}\right), z\right\|=\left\|T\left(x_{1}+\alpha x_{2}\right)--T\left(x_{1}+\gamma x_{2}\right), z\right\|<\left\|\alpha x_{2}-\gamma x_{2}, x\right\|$.
Therefore, since S and $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ satisfy the assumptions made in part 1 , it follows that there is a function $g: A \rightarrow R$ such $S\left(\alpha x_{2}\right)=g(\alpha) x_{2}$. Hence, for every $\alpha \in A, T\left(x_{1}+\alpha x_{2}\right)=g(\alpha) x_{2}+T\left(x_{1}\right)$.

It is known that in a strictly convex 2 -normed space, the set $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{T})$ of fixed points of a nonexpansive T is always a convex set. This result can now be proven for any 2 -normed space.
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