Study and some Result on Non expansive Mapping in linear 2 normed spaces.

Dr.Hans Kumar Singh Department of Physics, Baboo Bhuneshwar Prasad Degree College,Jai Prakash University, Chapra.

INTRODUCTION— The notion of linear 2- normed spaces was introduced by S. Gahler. He further studies the topological studies of 2-normed spaces. Iseki introduced the notion of non-expansive mapping in 2- normed spaces. Then mathematician like Diminni and white further studied non-expansive mapping in linear 2- normed spaces and obtained the results of Iseki as their corollaries and they contributed a lot for the extension of this branch of mathematics, physics and other Science.

KEYWORD — 2- normed spaces, non-expensive mapping, convex subset

- 1. Let X be a linear space of dimension greater than 1 and let $\|\cdot\|$ be a real valued function defined on $X \times X$ such that :
 - 1. ||a, b|| = 0 if any only if and b are linearly dependent,
 - 2. ||a, b|| = ||b, a||,
 - 3. $||a, \alpha b|| = |\alpha| ||a, b||$, were α is real,
 - 4. ||a + b, c|| < ||a, b|| + ||a, c||.

 $\|\cdot\|$ is called a 2-norm on X and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a linear 2-normed space. By condition 2 and 4, a 2-norm is non-negative.

Definition: If K is a convex subset of X, a mappings $T : K \to X$ is said to be non-expansive if for every x, $y \in K$ and $z \in X$,

1. $||T(x) - T(y), z|| \le ||x - y, z||.$

In the following, the real number system will be denoted by R. Also, a subset of L of x of the form $\{x_1 + ax_2 : a \in R\}$, where x_2 is non-zero, will be called a line. $\alpha \in$

Theorem: Let K be a convex set which contains a least 2 elements and is none a subset of line. Then, T is non-expansive if and only if there is a $c \in R$ and there is a point $z_0 \in X$ such that |c| < 1 and $T(x) = cx + z_0$, for every $x \in K$.

Proof- Since all functions of the above type are non-expansive, we need show only that all non-expansive maps are of this type.

- 1. Assume first the $0 \in K$ and T (o) = 0. Then, for every $x \in X$,
- 2. ||T(x), z|| < ||X, Z||.

Therefore, for each $x \in K$, there is a real number g(x) such that T(x) = g(x)x.

If x and y are independent elements of K, then $\frac{1}{2}$ (x + y) \in K also, and by (1),

$$\left(T\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - -T(x), x - -y ||| < ||\frac{x+y}{2}x - y|| = 0.$$

Therefore, there is a $k \in R$ such that

$$\left(T\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - -T(x) = k(x-y)$$
$$g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x)x = k(x-y).$$

Then,

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - k\right]x = -\left[k + \frac{1}{2}g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right]y$$

which implies that $g(x) = g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$ by the independence of x and y. Since a similar argument shows that $g(y) = g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$, it follows g(x) = g(y) whenever x and y are

independent.

If x and y are non-zero, independent elements of K, then since K is not a subset of a line, there is a $z \in K$ such that z and x and z and y are independent. By the arguments used above, g(x) = g(z) = g(y).

Therefore, g(x) = g(y) for all non-zero x, $y \in K$. Since T(0) = 0, there is a real number c such that T (x) cx for every $x \in K$. Finally, (2) implies that |c| < 1.

2. For arbitrary T and K which satisfy the hypotheses, choose and $x \in K' = \{x - x_0 : x \in K\}$. Then K' is not contained in a since K is not a subset of a line, and $x \in K'$. Define $S : K' \to x$ by

$$||S(x - x_0) - S(y - x_0), z|| = ||T(x) - T(y), z||.$$

$$< ||x - y, z||$$

$$= ||(x - x_0) - (y - x_0), z||.$$

Hence, S is non-expansive on K' and

 $S(0) = S(x - x_0) = T(x_0) - T(x_0) = 0$

By part 1, there is a $c \in R$ such that |C| < 1 and for every $x \in K$,

 $S(x - x_0) = c (x - x_0).$

Therefore, for every $x \in K$,

 $T(x) = cx + T(x_0) - x_0.$

The following example shows that the characterization fails if K is contained in a line.

Example: Suppose K is subset of the line $L = T(x) = cx + T(x_0) - x_0$.

Define T : K \rightarrow X by T(x₁ + α x₂) = (sin α)x₂.

© 2017 JETIR July 2017, Volume 4, Issue 7

Then, if $x_1 + \alpha x_2$ and $x_1 + \gamma x_2$ are in K and $z \in X$, $|| T(x_1 + \alpha x_2) - T || (x + \gamma x_2), z ||=||| \sin \alpha - \sin \gamma ||| x_2, z || < \alpha - \gamma ||| x_2, z ||$ $= || (x_1 + \alpha x_2) - (x + \gamma x_2), z ||.$

Hence, T is a non-expansive mapping which does not satisfy Theorem 1.

For convex sets which are subsets of lines, we have the following characterization of nonexpansive mappings.

- **Theorem:** Suppose K is a convex subset of line $L = \{x_1 + \alpha x_2 : \alpha \in R\}$, where $x_1 \in K$, and let $\{\alpha : x_1 + \alpha x_2 \in R\}$. Then, $T : K \to X$ is non-expansive if and only if there is a function g : A g(0) = 0and $T (x_1 + \alpha x_2) = g(\alpha) x_2 + T (x_1)$.
- **Proof:** Again, since the sufficiency of the above conditions is clear, we deed only to prove the necessity.
 - 1. Assume $X_1 = 0$ and T(0) = 0. Then, for every $\alpha \in A$ and $z \in X$, (3) $||T(x_2), z|| < || \alpha x_2, z||$. Therefore, for every non-zero $\alpha \in A$, there is a real number g (α) such that $|g(\alpha) - g(\gamma)| < || \alpha - \gamma|$ for every $\alpha, \gamma \in A$.
 - 2. If $x_1 = 0$ or $T(x_1) = 0$ let $K' = \{\alpha x_2 : \alpha \in A\}$. Then, K' is convex, $0 \in K'$, and $K' = \{\alpha x_2 : \alpha \in R\}$. Define $S : K' \to X$ by

$$S(\alpha x_2) = T(x_1 + \alpha x_1) - T(x_1)$$

for every $\alpha \in A$. Note that S(0) = 0 and for $\alpha, \gamma \in A$ and $z \in X$,

 $|| S(\alpha x_{2}) - -S(\gamma x_{2}), z || = || T(x_{1} + \alpha x_{2}) - T(x_{1} + \gamma x_{2}), z || < || \alpha x_{2} - \gamma x_{2}, x ||.$

Therefore, since S and K' satisfy the assumptions made in part 1, it follows that there is a function $g: A \to R$ such $S(\alpha x_2) = g(\alpha)x_2$. Hence, for every $\alpha \in A$, $T(x_1 + \alpha x_2) = g(\alpha)x_2 + T(x_1)$.

It is known that in a strictly convex 2-normed space, the set F(T) of fixed points of a non-expansive T is always a convex set. This result can now be proven for any 2-normed space.

REFERENCES-

- 1. J. Baker, Isometrics in Normed Spaces, Amer Math Monthly,(1971), 78, 655-657.
- 2. Y. J. Cho. et. al. Strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed spaces, Math Japonica, (1982), 26, 495-498.
- 3. Y. J. Cho. et. al. Strictly 2-convex linear 2-normed saces, Math Japonica,(1982), 27, 609-612.
- 4. J. A. Clarkson, Uniformly convex spaces, Trans. Amer Math Soc.(1936), 396-414.
- 5. C. Diminnie, An example of a linear isometry in 2-normed spaces. Science Studies, St. Bonaventure University. (1973),29,1-4.
- 6. C. Diminmie et. al., Math Nachr,(1974), 319-324.
- C. Diminnie and A. White Non-expansive Mappings in Linear 2-normed Spaces, Math, Sem. Kobe University.(1976), 4

- 8. C. Diminnie and A. G. White, Non-expansive mappings in Linear 2-normed spaces, Math Japonica,(1976), 21, 197-200.
- 9. R.E. Ehret, Linear 2-normed spaces, Doctoral Thesis Dissertation, St. Louis University. (1969)
- 10. I. Franic, Two results in 2-normed spaces, Glas Mat.(1982), II, 17,271-275.
- 11. Das E Sarasa, on statically Pre cauchey sequence Taiwanese J. Math (2014),18.1
- 12. H Lahlic, S. Ersan, Strongly lagunary ward continuity in 2 normed spaces, sci world J.(2014), 479679
- S. H. Khan and N. Hussain, "Convergence theorems for nonself asymptotically non expansive mappings," Computers & Mathematics with Applications, (2008), 55, 11, 2544–2553,
- C. Chidume, E.Ofoedu, and H. Zegeye, "Strong and weak convergence theorems for asymptotically non expansive mappings," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, (2003), 280, 2, 364– 374,.
- P. Harikrishnan, B. L. F. Guillen, and K. Ravindran, , "Accretive operators and Banach Alaoglu ' theorem in Linear 2-normed spaces," Proyectiones (Antofagasta), (2011), 30, 3,319–327.
- M.Osilike and S. Aniagbosor, "Weak and strong convergence theorems for fixed points of asymptotically non expensive mappings," Mathematical and Computer Modelling,(2000),32, 10, 1181-1191.
- 17. H.H. Bauschke J.Y. Bello Cruz, T.T.A. Naghia H.M. Phan, and X. Wang, The rate of linear convergence of the Douglas-Rachford algorithm for subspaces is the cosine of the Friedric Journal of Approximation Theory (2014),185, 63–79.

