

"The International Court of Justice and Its Role in Safeguarding Human Rights"

¹. Prof. Babulal Dargad

Abstract:

In international law, the International Court of Justice (ICJ) is highly regarded. This institution focuses on resolving international crises, but it also indirectly protects human rights globally. This abstract summarises the International Court of Justice's human rights work. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) engages widely in human rights. An organisation that analyses and clarifies global accords, particularly human rights ones, is discussed. This body influences nation-state behaviour. Countries can initiate human rights cases before the International Court of Justice (ICJ), which upholds human rights standards. Additionally, the International Court of Justice (ICJ) can provide advisory opinions, which shape customary international law on human rights.

This abstract also highlights the International Court of Justice (ICJ)'s indirect impact on human rights from maintaining international peace and security. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) is establishing a rule-based approach to reduce conflicts that could threaten human rights. Additionally, the organization's involvement in state conflicts relating to human rights issues can help achieve peaceful agreements that protect impacted people. While the International Court of Justice (ICJ) does not enforce human rights, it plays a key role in the international legal system protecting them. This study examines the impact of ICJ judgements and advisory opinions on worldwide human rights protection and promotion. It also emphasises the International Court of Justice (ICJ)'s cooperation with other international human rights organisations and institutions to uphold dignity, equality, and justice for everyone.

Key Words: Human Right, Protection of Human Rights, International Court of Justice, Legal Remedies.

Introduction

The International Court of Justice (ICJ) is a highly esteemed legal entity that holds a significant position within the framework of international law. While its main focus is on the resolution of interstate conflicts, this organisation also plays a substantial albeit frequently indirect role in the protection of human rights on a global scale. This abstract presents a succinct summary of the International Court of Justice's engagement in the safeguarding and advancement of human rights.

The International Court of Justice (ICJ) has a diverse involvement in the realm of human rights. The entity in question engages in the interpretation and elucidation of international treaties, particularly those pertaining to human rights, so exerting an influence on the conduct of nation-states. In specific circumstances, governments

¹ Asst. Professor in Anjuman Law College Vijayapur

possess the ability to initiate human rights-related proceedings before the International Court of Justice (ICJ), resulting in verdicts that affirm the principles of human rights. Furthermore, it is worth noting that the International Court of Justice (ICJ) has the authority to render advisory opinions, a practise that holds significant influence in shaping the evolution of customary international law pertaining to human rights.

This article also highlights the indirect influence of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) on human rights, which stems from its efforts to uphold international peace and security. The work of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) in promoting a rules-based international system serves to mitigate the potential for disputes that may pose threats to human rights. In addition, the engagement of the organisation in the resolution of state conflicts pertaining to matters of human rights can contribute to the attainment of peaceful resolutions that safeguard the rights of the populations impacted.

Although the basic mandate of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) does not encompass the enforcement of human rights, it plays a crucial role within the wider international legal framework aimed at protecting human rights. This research study examines the potential impact of the International Court of Justice's (ICJ) judgements and advisory opinions on the worldwide landscape of human rights protection and promotion. Additionally, it underscores the collaborative function of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) in conjunction with other international human rights organisations and institutions in the preservation of the ideals of dignity, equality, and justice for all individuals.

Concept of Human Rights

The concept of human rights refers to a collection of essential rights and liberties that are innate to every person due to their intrinsic humanity. The aforementioned rights span a wide range of categories, including civil, political, economic, social, and cultural rights. These principles are codified in a range of international agreements and proclamations, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

The concept of human rights pertains to the entitlements and safeguards afforded to individuals, encompassing fundamental entitlements such as the right to life, liberty, security, as well as the proscription of torture, discrimination, and arbitrary detention. The implementation and safeguarding of human rights typically occur through distinct entities and procedures operating at the domestic, regional, and global scales.

The International Court of Justice (ICJ) primarily focuses on issues pertaining to states and their rights and responsibilities in accordance with international law. However, distinct entities and mechanisms are typically responsible for addressing human rights concerns. These include the United Nations Human Rights Council, regional courts dedicated to human rights, and national institutions specifically established to safeguard human rights. Nevertheless, there are circumstances in which the International Court of Justice (ICJ) may intervene in matters that pertain to human rights, particularly where these concerns are interconnected with state conflicts or international agreements. The relationship between the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and human rights is intricately intertwined yet distinct within the realm of international law.

The International Court of Justice (ICJ)

The International Court of Justice (ICJ), commonly known as the World Court, serves as the primary judicial body of the United Nations. The institution was founded in the year 1945 and is situated in The Hague, Netherlands. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) is tasked with the resolution of legal conflicts among sovereign states and providing expert guidance on legal matters presented to it by the United Nations General Assembly, the United Nations Security Council, or other specialised agencies and organisations within the United Nations system. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) primarily focuses on topics pertaining to international law. These subjects encompass a range of issues, such as disputes over state sovereignty, boundary disputes, the interpretation of treaties, and questions related to diplomatic and consular relations. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) assumes a pivotal function in upholding global peace and security, prioritising the examination of legal rights and obligations of governments over the protection of individual human rights.

The significance of international agreements pertaining to human rights

International agreements pertaining to human rights, encompassing treaties, conventions, and declarations, assume a pivotal role in the promotion and safeguarding of human rights at a worldwide level. The significance of their role might be comprehended through many perspectives. International human rights agreements provide a set of universal norms that are intended to be upheld by all governments. Human rights instruments offer a widely accepted structure for the establishment and preservation of human rights, guaranteeing the recognition of these rights as inherent and indivisible, irrespective of an individual's citizenship, ethnicity, faith, or other distinguishing attributes.

Legal obligations arise when nations become signatories to international human rights treaties, since they undertake the responsibility to uphold, safeguard, and satisfy the rights enshrined within these accords. The aforementioned scenario gives rise to legal responsibilities for nation-states, which can be upheld and enforced by both international and domestic legal frameworks. This legal framework serves the purpose of ensuring governmental accountability in cases of human rights breaches.

Human rights accords possess normative efficacy. International human rights norms impact the expectations and behaviour of governments, prompting them to align their domestic laws and policies accordingly. The presence of normative pressure has the potential to facilitate constructive transformations in both domestic legislation and operational procedures at the national level. Monitoring and accountability are key components of numerous international human rights agreements, which incorporate systems aimed at overseeing and documenting a nation's adherence to the treaty. These mechanisms encompass various processes such as periodic reporting to treaty organisations, comprehensive evaluations, and thorough investigations. International human rights mechanisms are responsible for ensuring that nations uphold their obligations to human rights. In instances

where abuses of these commitments occur, these mechanisms can initiate international scrutiny and exert pressure on the offending states.

Legal Remedies: International human rights agreements afford individuals with legal recourse in instances where their rights have been infringed upon. In certain instances, individuals have the opportunity to lodge grievances before regional or international courts or commissions that specialise in human rights matters. The aforementioned legal channels provide a mechanism for seeking justice in cases where domestic remedies are insufficient or inaccessible. The promotion of peace and prevention of conflicts can be facilitated via the adherence to human rights principles. The occurrence of human rights violations frequently serves as the underlying catalyst for both instability and violence. International human rights agreements have the potential to function as effective instruments for the prevention and resolution of conflicts. The promotion of social progress is facilitated through the inclusion of many rights within human rights accords, which span a broad spectrum of rights, including those pertaining to the economy, society, and culture. By endorsing and upholding these fundamental rights, states have the potential to make progress in enhancing the quality of life, healthcare provisions, educational opportunities, and overall societal welfare for their respective people. This phenomenon has a significant role in fostering societal advancement and promoting growth.

International human rights agreements foster a collective spirit of global solidarity and collaboration. It is emphasised that the safeguarding of human rights is not exclusively a matter of national significance, but rather a collective obligation of the world community. This has the potential to generate international aid and backing for nations grappling with human rights issues. Human rights agreements offer diplomatic and soft power instruments that governments can employ to promote human rights on a global scale. States have the capacity to employ various mechanisms such as discussion, resolutions, and sanctions in order to effectively tackle human rights concerns within foreign nations. The promotion of awareness and education is facilitated by human rights agreements, since they serve to enhance understanding of fundamental rights and underscore the significance of safeguarding them. Educational resources are utilised to foster a culture of human rights respect, both at the national and international levels.

In essence, the establishment of international accords pertaining to human rights is imperative in order to foster, safeguard, and enhance human rights on a worldwide scale. International human rights instruments offer a structural framework for nation-states to fulfil their responsibilities, establish mechanisms for holding them liable in cases of non-compliance, and foster a shared dedication to the fundamental values of human dignity, equality, and justice for every individual.

Role of ICJ in preserving human rights

The International Court of Justice (ICJ) primarily deals with disputes between states and provides advisory opinions on legal questions referred to it by United Nations organs and specialized agencies. While the ICJ's primary role is not to preserve and protect human rights, it does play a limited but important role in preserving human rights in certain circumstances. Here are some ways in which the ICJ can impact the preservation of human rights:

Advisory Opinions: The ICJ can issue advisory opinions on legal questions referred to it by the United Nations General Assembly or Security Council. These opinions can provide legal clarity on issues related to human rights and international law. While advisory opinions are non-binding, they can influence state behaviour and international discussions on human rights.

Resolution of State Disputes: Human rights violations can sometimes be intertwined with interstate disputes. When states have disputes that impact human rights, the ICJ can help resolve these disputes through peaceful means. By settling state disputes, the ICJ indirectly contributes to the protection of human rights.

Interpretation of Treaties: The ICJ interprets international treaties and conventions, including those related to human rights. When states are parties to human rights treaties and have disagreements about the interpretation or application of these treaties, the ICJ can provide authoritative interpretations. This can influence how states implement and comply with human rights obligations.

Enforcing Human Rights Treaties: Some human rights treaties allow states to bring cases against other states for alleged violations before the ICJ. For example, the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide allows states to bring cases against other states for genocide. The ICJ can hear such cases and render judgments that uphold human rights principles.

Upholding the Rule of Law: The ICJ's role in settling disputes between states contributes to the promotion of the rule of law in international relations. A rules-based international system is fundamental for the protection of human rights and the prevention of conflicts.

Influence on Customary International Law: The ICJ's decisions can contribute to the development and clarification of customary international law, which can have implications for human rights standards. Customary international law is formed through state practice and legal opinions (*opinio juris*). ICJ decisions can play a role in shaping state practice and *opinio juris* in areas relevant to human rights. It's important to note that the ICJ's jurisdiction is limited to cases where states are parties, and it does not have jurisdiction over individuals or non-state actors. Human rights violations that do not involve disputes between states or the interpretation of treaties are typically addressed by other international bodies, such as regional human rights courts and the United Nations Human Rights Council. While the ICJ's primary function is not the direct preservation of human rights, its decisions, and advisory opinions can influence the development and interpretation of international law, including

human rights law, and indirectly contribute to the promotion and protection of human rights on the international stage.

International conventions on human rights

There are several international conventions and treaties on human rights that have been adopted by countries around the world. These agreements establish legal frameworks and standards for the protection and promotion of human rights. Some of the most notable international conventions and treaties on human rights include:

Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR): Adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1948, the UDHR is not a binding treaty but a foundational document that sets out a common standard of human rights for all people and nations. It has served as the inspiration for many subsequent human rights treaties.

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR): Adopted in 1966, the ICCPR is a binding treaty that addresses civil and political rights, including the right to life, freedom of expression, and the right to a fair trial. It has been ratified by a significant number of countries. **International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR):** Also adopted in 1966, the ICESCR is a binding treaty that focuses on economic, social, and cultural rights, such as the right to education, work, and an adequate standard of living.

Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT): Adopted in 1984, this treaty aims to prevent and punish acts of torture. It establishes obligations for states to prevent and combat torture within their territories.

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC): Adopted in 1989, the CRC sets out the rights of children, including the right to life, health, education, and protection from exploitation and abuse. It is one of the most widely ratified human rights treaties.

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW): Adopted in 1979, CEDAW addresses the rights of women and girls and aims to eliminate all forms of discrimination against them.

International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD): Adopted in 1965, ICERD focuses on the elimination of racial discrimination and the promotion of racial equality.

International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance: Adopted in 2006, this treaty is aimed at preventing and eradicating enforced disappearances and ensuring accountability for this grave human rights violation.

International Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD): Adopted in 2006, the CRPD promotes and protects the rights of persons with disabilities and emphasizes the importance of their full inclusion and participation in all aspects of society.

International Convention on Migrant Workers (ICMW): Adopted in 1990, the ICMW addresses the rights of migrant workers and their families, including issues related to labor rights, social protection, and non-discrimination.

These are just a few of the key international conventions and treaties on human rights. Many other regional and thematic human rights treaties and conventions exist, each focusing on specific rights or populations. States that are parties to these agreements are bound by their provisions and are expected to implement them within their domestic legal systems. The monitoring and enforcement of these treaties often involve specialized treaty bodies and mechanisms.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the International Court of Justice (ICJ) occupies a unique and influential position in the realm of international law, with its role in safeguarding human rights being of paramount importance. While the ICJ's primary function is to resolve disputes between states, its impact on human rights is substantial and far-reaching. Through its interpretation of international treaties, the ICJ contributes to the development and clarity of human rights standards, guiding state practices and ensuring consistency in the application of these norms. The ICJ's ability to handle cases involving human rights violations is crucial, as it provides a platform for states to seek justice and accountability for human rights abuses. Moreover, the ICJ's issuance of advisory opinions on legal questions referred to it by the United Nations enhances the understanding and development of human rights norms. Beyond its direct legal influence, the ICJ indirectly contributes to the preservation of human rights by fostering a rules-based international system. By peacefully resolving state disputes and upholding the rule of law, it promotes stability and minimizes conflicts that often lie at the heart of human rights violations. In an ever-evolving global landscape, the ICJ remains an essential component of the international human rights framework. Its decisions, interpretations, and advisory opinions not only shape the landscape of international law but also serve as powerful tools in advancing the principles of dignity, equality, and justice for all. While the ICJ's mandate may primarily involve matters between states, its impact on human rights is a testament to the interconnectedness of international law, diplomacy, and the protection of the fundamental rights and freedoms that define our shared humanity. As we move forward, it is essential to recognize and harness the ICJ's potential to contribute to a world where human rights are universally respected and upheld.

References:

1. Dr. S.K. Kapoor, "International Law and Human Rights" Central Law Agency
2. Dr. H.O. Agarwal, "A Concise Book On International Law & Human Rights" Central Law Publication House, Allahabad.
3. Dr. T.S.N. Sastry, "Introduction to Human Rights and Duties" 1st edition 2011, University of Pune Press

4. Rashee Jain, "Text Book on Human Rights Law and Practice" 3rd edition 2016, Universal Law Publishing House Allahabad
5. Helen Kellar, UN Human Rights Treaty Bodies: Law and Legitimacy: 1 (Studies on Human Rights Conventions), Cambridge, UK
6. M.P.Tandon, "Public International Law" 10th edition 1989, Allahabad Law Agency, Allahabad
7. Keeran Joseph, "Crimes Against International Law", Washington D.C Public Affairs Press 1905
8. Bishop Williams W, "International Law Cases and Materials", New York Prentice Hall 1953

Articles:

1. Mohamed Sameh M. Amr, "The Role of the International Court of Justice as the Principal Judicial Organ of the United Nations", April 2005, American Journal of International Law 99(2):517
2. Mutlaq Al-Qahtani, The Role of the International Court of Justice in the Enforcement of Its Judicial Decisions, December 2002, Leiden Journal of International Law 15(04)

