INFLUENCE OF POTASSIUM ON GROWTH PARAMETERS AND YIELD OF GRAPES cv. **MUSCAT**

Dr. M. Rajkumar Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608 002.

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to find out the "Influence of potassium on growth parameters and yield of grapes cv. Muscat". The potassium application and foliar fertilizer given as soil spray in the form of was sulphate of potash (SOP) along with normal dose of Nitrogen and Phosphorus at 0, 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000 grams per vine, and also (T₇) 600 grams + 0.5% of foliar spray, (T₈) 600 grams + 1% of foliar spray application at pea and marble stage the treatment (T₈) potassium at 600gm was given as soil application was done to find the response of potash on grapes. The potassium as soil application along with 0.5% foliar spray shows the best results in leaf area index, total chlorophyll content, diameter of berries, length and width of bunch and yield of (27.37 t/ha).

INTRODUCTION

Grape (Vitis vinifera) is one of the most delicious, refreshing and nourishing fruits. subtropical The berries are good source of minerals B₂ and C). The fruits are consumed in fresh forms as a table fruit and in processed form as wine, raisin and fresh juice. In India, while grape produced in used for table purposes, cent nearly 17-20 percent while dried raisin production, the remaining 2 percent is used for manufacturing of juice and wine. Grapes are grown in Punjab, Haryana, Maharastra, Karnataka and Tamilnadu in the southern part of India. The popular grape varieties of South India are Muscat, Thompson Seedless, Anab-e-Shahi and Bangalore Blue.

Nutrient is one of most important aspect of crop production and accounts for 30 percent of the total cost cultivation. Balanced fertilization is the only way for enhancing the crop productivity in a sustainable manner (Lester et al., 2007). Nutrients influence on growth and yield of grapes through vigorous and healthy growth of vines during pre-initiation stage of floral primordial stage and slow growth during the fruit bud differentiation stages are favourable for productivity.

catalytic element Potassium for photosynthesis acts as and protein synthesis. It needed for the growth of meristematic is more formation of secondary roots and emerging branches. It maintains new proper C/N ratio, play a vital role in uptake of nutrients as Ca, N, P Potassium has direct role on photosynthesis, meristematic growth, chlorophyll synthesis, increase disease resistance in plant and improves quality of grapes (Singh et al., 2005).

Fertilizer offers the best means of increasing yield and maintaining soil fertility. The main major nutrients N, P₂O₅ and K₂O are essential for higher yield of any crop (Shikhamany, 1982). Potassium sulphate has an important role in grape cultivation, as it recommended as high as 800 kg K₂O / ha. Keeping these facts in view, a comprehensive study of soil and petiole analysis of grape gardens in Surulipatti village of Theni district was undertaken to study the effect of potassium on growth attributing characters of grapes and yield of Grapes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An investigation was carried out at Surulipatti village, near Theni district of Tamilnadu to study the "Influence of potassium on growth parameters and yield of grapes cv. Muscat". The

experiment was laid out in Randomised Block Design (RBD) with three replication for each treatment. The growth parameters like leaf area index(cm²), total chlorophyll content (mg g⁻¹), dry matter production (grams) and yield attributes like number of quality berries per bunch, number of berries per bunch, diameter of berries (cm), yield per hectare were recorded periodically.

DETAILS OF TREATMENT:

Treatment	Levels of NPK composition (gm/vine)				
	N	P	K	Foliar spray of SOP	
T ₁ Control	200	160		-	
T_2	200	160	200	FIR >	
T ₃	200	160	400		
T_4	200	160	600	~ 3). (
T_5	200	160	800		
T_6	200	160	1000		
T_7	200	160	600	+ 0.5% SOP foliar spray @ initial fruit setting period and 15 days after first spray.	
T ₈	200	160	600	+ 1% SOP foliar spray @ initial fruit	
				setting period and 15 days after first spray.	

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Various treatments significantly influenced on the leaf area index. The highest leaf area was recorded in the treatment (T₇) with the value of 264.43 cm². However it was followed by (T₈) which recorded 260.20 cm². The lowest leaf area index was observed in (T₁) control as 235.01cm². Potassium ions play various roles in the translocation of assimilates, meristematic growth, maintenance of the water regime of the plant, photosynthesis and the translocation of photosynthates (Mengel & Kirkby, 1987).

The highest chlorophyll content (1.98 mg g⁻¹) was observed in (T₈) followed by treatments (T_7) as 1.93mg⁻¹ and the lowest chlorophyll content was recorded in the treatment (T_1) as 1.62 mg g⁻¹. The total chlorophyll content was high in the treatment (T₈) (600 gm/vine + 0.5% SOP as foliar spray at 1st week of fruit setting period and 2nd on 15 days after first spray) has (2.03 mg/gm) highest leaf chlorophyll. Similar finding were also reported in Apple trees by (Shahin et al., 2010). Potassium also increases permeability of the cell wall which would allow greater amount of water and dissolve nutrients to intercellular region and significant in chlorophyll (Heyn, 1993). The reasons behind this may be due to the increase physiological role of potassium in stimulation of enzymes responsible for carbohydrate synthesis and energy production, physiological and nutritional status of plant will so improve. Hence, potassium was found to be a regulator in closing and opening of stomata (Ashley et al., 2006).

The highest dry matter content was observed in the (T8) (32.40%) followed by the treatment (T7) as (31.91%) and the lowest dry matter production was recorded in control (T1) as (29.02 %). This result was in line with the He reports Sekarappa (1994). showed that the application of potash the form of K₂SO₄ increase dry matter and Sheheta and El (1976)also revealed increased potash reflects on the that levels of dry matter production fruits and vegetative parts. It was also in consonance with the report of KR-Steva-Kostova, (1975).

The maximum number of quality berries as 121.81 was recorded in the treatment (T₇) and followed by (T₈) as (116.39). The minimum number of quality berries per bunch was recorded in the treatment (T_1) as (83.70).

The of bunches (26.08)maximum number were observed in (T_7) was followed (T_8) with 26.01 bunches in experiment by the present was in accordance with the report of Srinivasan (1968). He noted the application of potash the advanced bud development and hence the number of fertilizer singly resulted in bunches seems to be increased on Anab-e-shahi grapes. Similar observation was earlier reported by Sekarappa in 1994, with the application of various potassium fertilizers and concluded that the SOP is better performing than theother sources. The results were in conformity with those obtained by Jeetram et al., (1993) and Suleman et al., (1993).

The minimum number of shot berries retained in the treatment (T₇) may be due to the nutrients accumulation in stalk of grape berries and good absorption of potash by means of foliar application on growth stage of inflorescence, uptake of nutrients is more at this stage in grapes. This is in agreement with the findings of Bhargava and Raghupathi (1999).

The diameter of berries seems to be increased in the treatment (T₇). It may be due to foliar application of potash at anthesis and development stage results in nutrient spray good absorption of potash at the flowering period the diameter result on increasing the berry by pulp best content in the grape berry. Potassium ions are involved in the activation of more than 60 important for cell division for pulp content are and and protein synthesis (Lindhauer, 1986).

Highest yield of 27.37 tons/ha was obtained in (T₇) similar findings also reported by Abdelal al, 1978. It be due to the that et may reason is photosynthetic enhances the chlorophyll, which essential perform the to process and also important for the normal metabolic pathway in the plant cells for boosting the yield of fruits crops. (Salem et al., 2007).

CONCLUSION

From the above study among the various trestments the plants treated with potassium 600 gm as soil application along with 0.5% foliar spray of SOP showed the best result vegetative characters such as leaf area index, total chlorophyll, production and yield.

	Leve	of fe	rtilizer composition (g/vine)	Number of	Number of
Treatment				quality berries	shot berries
	N	P	K TOP TOTAL	bunch ⁻¹	bunch ⁻¹
T_1	200	160	-control-	83.70	24.62
T_2	200	160	200	89.20	22.86
T ₃	200	160	400	94.69	21.16
T_4	200	160	600	100.13	19.36
T_5	200	160	800	110.80	17.38
T_6	200	160	1000	105.55	15.82
T_7	200	160	600 + 0.5% foliar spray of SOP	121.81	13.06
T_8	200	160	600 + 1% foliar spray of SOP	116.39	14.06
SE(d)				2.25	0.49
CD (p=0.05)				4.5	0.98

Treatment	Level of fertilizer composition (g/vine)			Number of bunches vine-1	Yield vine-1 (kg)
	N	P	K		
T_1	200	160	-control-	30.76	30.95
T_2	200	160	200	31.72	32.40

T ₃	200	160	400		
				32.68	35.25
T_4	200	160	600	24.52	22.50
				34.63	33.70
T_5	200	160	800		
				35.59	38.20
T_6	200	160	1000		
				33.67	36.65
$\overline{\mathrm{T}_{7}}$	200	160	600 + 0.5% foliar spray of SOP		
				36.55	41.10
$\overline{\mathrm{T}_{8}}$	200	160	600 + 1% foliar spray of SOP		
			. ,	37.51	39.80
SE(d)				0.75	0.575
(-)				0.73	0.373
CD (p=0.05)				0.37	1.15

(JETIR >

Treatment	Level of fertilizer composition (g/vine)			Leaf area index(cm ²)	Total chlorophyll content(mg/g)	Dry matter production(g)
	N	P	K	index(ciii)	content(mg/g)	production(g)
T_1	200	160	-Control-	235.01	1.60	29.02
T_2	200	160	200	239.01	1.67	29.50
T ₃	200	160	400	243.01	1.72	30.47
T ₄	200	160	600	247.60	1.78	30.65
T ₅	200	160	800	256.01	1.87	30.95
T ₆	200	160	1000	251.83	1.89	31.43
T ₇	200	160	600 + 0.5% foliar spray of SOP	264.43	1.96	31.91
T ₈	200	160	600 + 1% foliar spray of SOP	260.20	1.98	32.40
SE(d)	1	•	,	1.25	0.018	0.172
CD(p=0.05)				2.5	0.036	0.375

REFERENCES:

- Ashley M. K., M. Grant and A. Grabov. 2006. Plant response to potassium deficiencies role for potassium transport proteins. J. Exp. Botany, 57,(12):425-436.
- Bhargava, B.S. and Raghupathi H.B. 1999. Soil and plant diagnostic norms for Perlette grapes. **Haryana J. of Hort. Sci., 22(1): 22-26.**
- Heyn, A. N. J., 1993. Plant growth substances in Horticulture Der Mecanismuc der Zellstreckung. Rec. Trav. Bolt. Neeland 28: 113-244. (C.F.W.H. Freeman and company Sanfrancisco).
- Jeetram, Dal Singh, Jain, P. K. and Ahlawat, V. P., 1993. Growth and nutrient composition of perlette grapes (Vitis vinifera L.) as affected by nitrogen levels and pruning intensities. **Haryana J. Hort. Sci., 22(4):** 280-284.
 - KR"Steva,Z., 1975, Effect of fertilizer on sugar and non-volatile organic acid content of the grape vine cv. Muscat Ronge. Fiziologiya Na Rasteniyata,1(4):70-80.
 - Lester, J.L. Jifon, and W.M. Stewart . 2007. Better Crops/Vol. 91 (No. 1).
- Lindhauer, M. G., 1986. The role of potassium in the plant with emphasis on stress conditions (water, temperature, salinity). **Proc. Potassium**Symposium, Pretoria, 1985. pp.95-104.
- Mengel, K. and Krikby, E.A., 1987. Plant water relationship. In: Principles of plant

 Nutrition. International potash institute. Bern.
 - Salem, S.E. 2007. Study the interaction effect of potassium and magnesium on yield and Quality of grapewine in calcareous soils. **M.Sc Thesis**, Fac. Agric., Alex. Univ.,

Egypt.

- Sekarappa Gadigeppa Angadi, 1994. Influences of different sources of potassic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of Anab-E-Shahi grapes. Phd thesis, UAS.
- Shahin M. F. M. Fawzi M. I. F. and Kandil E. A., 2010. Pomology Department, National Research Center, Horticulture Research Institute agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt. **Journal of American Science**, Vol - 6(12).
 - Sheheta, E. and EL-K., 1976. Growth response of young vinifera grapes to pruning and fertilizer application. **Zagazig J.Agric. Res.** pp- 25-27.
 - Shikhamany, S. D., Chittiraichelvan, R. and Chadha, K. L., 1982, Nutritional studies on grapes. Annu. Rep., Indian Institute of Hort Res. (ICAR).
- Singh, A. K., Bhatiya, S. P., Awasthi, R. P. and Bhutani, V. P., 2005. Influence of plant bioregulators and nutrients on fruit quality of apple. Haryana J. Hort. Sci., 34(3-4): 211-213.
 - Srinivasan, C., 1968. Effect of certain fertilizer treatments with reference to the time of application in grape (Vitis vinifera L.) var Anabe- Shahi. M.Sc. Thesis, Madras Univ., Madras (India).
- Suleman Mohammed, Dal Singh and Ahlawat, V. P., 1993. Growth, yield and quality of grapes as affected by pruning and basal application of potassium. Harvana J. Hort. Sci., 22(3) 179-182.