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Definition - Let $X$ be a metric space with metric $d$ and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ be a sequence of points in $X$. We say that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is convergent if $\exists$ a point $x \in X$ such that either
(i) for each $\varepsilon>0, \exists$ a positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}\right)<\varepsilon, \quad \forall \mathrm{x} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$ OR
(ii) for each open sphere $S_{\varepsilon}(x)$ centred on $x, \exists$ a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{~S}_{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{x}), \quad \forall \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}
$$

We usually symbolize this by writing $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}$
The point $x$ is called the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and we sometimes write

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x
$$

Theorem $\rightarrow$ Limit of a convergent sequence is always unique.
Proof $\rightarrow$ Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in a metric space $X$ converging to $x \in X$

$$
\text { i. e. } x_{n} \rightarrow x \in X
$$

Let, if possible, $\quad x_{n} \rightarrow y \in X \quad(x \neq y)$
Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}\right) \quad \text { (By triangle inequality) } \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}\right) \quad \text { (By Symmetry) } \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\because x_{n} \rightarrow x \Rightarrow d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
\& x_{n} \rightarrow y \Rightarrow d\left(x_{n}, y\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
\text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \\
\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \text { i.e. } d(x, y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \\
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the limit of a sequence is always unique.

## Definition $\rightarrow$ Cauchy Sequence

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a metric space $X$ with metric $d$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for any $\varepsilon>0, \exists$ a positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)<\varepsilon \quad \forall \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}
$$

Theorem $\rightarrow$ Every convergent sequence is Cauchy.
Proof $\rightarrow$ Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a convergent sequence $l_{n}$ a metric space $X$ with metric $d$.
Suppose $\quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$
So, for a given $\varepsilon>0, \exists$ a positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} .
$$

For $\quad m, n \geq n_{0}$

$$
\begin{array}{rlr} 
& \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \leq \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) & \text { (By triangle inequality) } \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}\right)+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{x}\right) \\
& <\quad \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon & \text { (By Symmetry) } \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)<\varepsilon \quad \forall \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0} &
\end{array}
$$

$\therefore$ The sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
Remark $\rightarrow$ Converse of the above theorem is not true.
i.e. A Cauchy sequence need not be convergent.

Example $\rightarrow$ Let $X=(0,1)$ be the subspace of the real line. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ defined by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{n}$

Proof $\rightarrow$ First, we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
Now $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{m}\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} \rightarrow \infty$
$\Rightarrow \quad\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$.
Next, we claim that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is not convergent in $X$.
Now $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{n}$
Clearly, $\operatorname{Lim} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{Lim} \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$
$\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \quad \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$
But $\quad 0 \notin X=(0,1)$
Therefore, the given sequence is not convergent in $X$, though it is Cauchy in $X$.

## Observations

## 1. Let $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbf{1} \quad \forall \mathrm{n}$

Solution $\rightarrow$ Given sequence is of the type

$$
\{1,1,1, \ldots \ldots \ldots ., 1,1, \ldots \ldots \ldots\}
$$

Now $\lim \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\lim 1=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \quad \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\therefore$ Limit of the sequence $=1$
Now, limit point of the set of points of the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\{1,1,1, \ldots \ldots\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\{1\}=\phi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (1) \& (2), we get

$$
\text { Limit } \neq \text { Limit point }
$$

2. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $x_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \quad \forall n$

Solution $\rightarrow$ Let the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \ldots \ldots ..\right\}$.

$$
\text { Now, } \operatorname{Lim}_{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\quad \underset{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}{\operatorname{Lim} \frac{1}{n}=0}
$$

$\therefore$ Limit of the sequence $=0$
Limit point of the set of the points of the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \ldots \ldots ..\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (1) \& (2), we get
Limit = Limit Point.

Theorem $\rightarrow$ If a convergent sequence in a metric space has infinitely many distinct points, them its limit is a limit point of the set of the points of the sequence.

Proof $\rightarrow$ Let $X$ be a metric space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a convergent sequence in $X$ with limit $x \in X$ We assume that x is not a limit point of the set of the points of the sequence.
This imply that there exists an open sphere $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{x})$ centred on x , which contains no point of the sequence different from x .

However, since x is the limit of the sequence, all $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ 's form some place on must lie in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{x})$. Hence, must concide with x. From this, we see that there are only finitely many distinct points in the sequence. But points are infinitely. (given)

Therefore, our assumption is wrong.
Therefore, $x$ is a limit point of the set of the points of the sequence.
Hence, The proof.

## Definition $\rightarrow$ Complete metric space.

A metric space is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is convergent.
For example, IR, $\Phi$ are complete metric space.
Example $\rightarrow$ Let $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ be the set of all the real valued continuous functions defined on
$[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$. i.e. $\quad \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]=[\mathrm{f} \mid \mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) \xrightarrow{\text { continuous }} \rightarrow \mathrm{IR}]$
We claim that $C[a, b]$ is a complete metric space.
Proof $\rightarrow$ We define in C $[a, b]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(f, g)= & \max .|f(x)-g(x)|, \quad f, g \in C[a, b] \\
& x \in[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) Clearly, d (f, g) $\geq 0[\because|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})| \geq 0, \forall \mathrm{x} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]]$.

Also, $d(f, g)=\max .|f(x)-g(x)|=0$

$$
x \in[a, b]
$$

iff

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { iff } \quad|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})|=0 & \forall \mathrm{x} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}] \\
\text { iff } \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})=0 & \forall \mathrm{x} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}] \\
\text { iff } \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}) & \forall \mathrm{x} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}] \\
\text { iff } \quad \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{g} & \\
\text { Therefore, } \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~g})=0 & \text { iff } \\
\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{g}
\end{array}
$$

iff $\quad \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{g}$
(ii) $\quad d(f, g)=\max .|f(x)-g(x)|=\max \cdot|(-1) g(x)-f(x)|$

$$
x \in[a, b] \quad x \in[a, b]
$$

$=\max .|g(x)-f(x)|=d(g, f)$
$x \in[a, b]$
(iii) $d(f, g)=\max \cdot|f(x)-g(x)|$

```
    \(x \in[a, b]\)
    \(=\max .|\{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})\}+\{\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})\}| \quad\) where \(\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]\)
    \(\mathrm{x} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]\)
    \(\leq \max .|\{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})\}|+\max .|\{\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})\}|\)
    \(x \in[a, b] \quad x \in[a, b]\)
    \(=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{h})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{g})\)
```

Thus, $d(f, g) \leq d(f, h)+d(h, g) \quad$ where $f, g, h \in C[a, b]$
$\Rightarrow d$ is a metric on $C[a, b]$ and therefore $C[a, b]$ is a metric space.
Next. We prove that $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ is a complete metric space.
Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $C[a, b]$.
$\Rightarrow$ for given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)<\varepsilon \quad \forall \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m} \geq \mathrm{N} .
$$

$\Rightarrow \max .\left|\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})\right|<\varepsilon \quad \forall \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} \geq \mathrm{N} \& \forall \mathrm{x} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$.
$\Rightarrow\left\{f_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a uniformly Cauchy sequence.
$\Rightarrow\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$ is a uniformly convergent.
$\operatorname{Let}\left\{f_{n}(x)\right\}$ be uniformly convergent to $f(x)$.

$$
\text { i.e } \quad f_{n}(x) \rightarrow f(x)
$$

But we know that limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of continuous functions is again continuous.

$$
\therefore \mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}]
$$

$\operatorname{Now} d\left(f_{n}, f\right)=\max .\left|f_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|$

$$
\mathrm{x} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}]
$$

$$
\rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

$\Rightarrow \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$
Therefore, every Cauchy sequence in $C[a, b]$ is convergent
Hence, $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ is a complete metric space.
Hence, the prof.
Theorem $\rightarrow$ Prove that $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is a complete metric space.
Proof $\rightarrow \mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{IR} \times \mathrm{IR} \times \ldots---\times \mathrm{IR} \quad$ (n. times)
$=\left\{\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mid \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{IR}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\right\}$
To prove that $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is a complete metric space, we first show that $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is a metric space. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}: \mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}} \times \mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{IR} \quad \text { by } \\
& \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) Clearly, d $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \geq 0 \quad\left[\because\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right| \geq 0, \forall \mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\right]$

$$
\text { Also, } \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=0 \quad \text { iff }\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

iff $\quad\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{2}=0 \quad \forall \mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$
iff $\quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 \quad \forall \mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$
iff $\quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \forall \mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$
iff $\quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y} \quad\left[\right.$ As $\left.\mathrm{x}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{y}\right]$
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{2}\left|y_{i}-x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|y_{i}-x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$
=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left(x_{i}-z_{i}\right)+\left(z_{i}-y_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad, \quad \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{IR}
$$

Using Misokauski's Inequality, we have

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-z_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{i}-y_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$\Rightarrow \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \leq \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z})+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})$
Therefore, $d$ is a metric on $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$.
Hence, $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is a metric space.
Now, we claim that $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is complete.
Let $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$ be Cauchy sequence in $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$.
Now, $\quad P_{m}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots-----P_{m},----\right\}$

$$
=\left\{\left(x_{1}^{1}, x_{2}^{1}, \cdots, x_{n}^{1}\right),\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, \cdots,-\cdots x_{n}^{2}\right), \cdots \cdots,\left(x_{1}^{m}, x_{2}^{m}, \cdots, x_{n}^{m}\right), \cdots-\cdots\right\}
$$

$\underline{\text { R.T.P. }} \rightarrow\left\{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$ is convergent in order to prove that $I R^{n}$ is complete
[Now, we know that a sequence $\left\{\left(x_{1,}^{m} x_{2,---}^{m} x_{n,}^{m}\right)\right.$, \}of points of $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is convergent to L., where $L=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots-\cdots, x_{n}\right)$, iff every coordinate sequence $\left\{x_{i}^{m}\right\}$ is convergent to $\left.x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right]$
$\qquad$
Since $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$
So, $\left\{x_{i}^{m}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in IR for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \cdots,-\cdots, \mathrm{n}$.
$\Rightarrow\left\{x_{i}^{m}\right\}$ is convergent for $\mathrm{i}=1,2,---, \mathrm{n} . \quad[\because$ IR is complete $]$
Let $\quad \lim x_{i}^{m}=x_{i}$
$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \rightarrow \infty \\ & \Rightarrow\left\{x_{1,}^{m}, x_{2,}^{m}---, x_{n,}^{m}\right\} \text { converges to }\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \cdots---, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \quad \text { using (A) }\end{aligned}$
Therefore, $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ converges in $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$
Hence, $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is a complete metric space.
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