LARVICIDAL ACTIVITES OF SELECTED ESSENTIAL OILS AGAINST THE HOUSEFLY, MUSCA DOMESTICA L.

P. SANGEETHA, 1 MUZAFFAR MUSHTAO SHE 2 AND B. ARULJOTHI 3 ¹ and ³ Assistant Professor, Government arts college, C. Mutlur Chidambaram, Cuddalore Dist, Tamil Nadu - 608001

Abstract

The Musca domestica I, II and III instar larvae was treated with different concentrations of essential oils Curcuma aromatica and Cinnamomum zeylanicum. The larval mortality was calculated after 24hrs,48hrs and 72hrs exposure periods. The LC50, LC90, 95% confidence limit of and 95% confidence limit , Lower confidence limit(LCL)and upper confidence limit (ULC), regression equation and chi-square values were calculated by probit analysis. The highest larvicidal activities was observed at 24hrs and lowest larvicidal activities was observed at 72hrs. The essential oils of Curcuma aromatica and Cinnamomum zeylanicum were found to be highly effective.

Key words; Musca domestica, Curcuma aromatica and Cinnamomum zeylanicum

Introduction

Musca domestica L,Diptera the common housefly is one of the most widespread fly species in the world. The synanthropic housefly Musca domestica is a mechanical vector of pathogens (bacteria, fungi, virus and parasites).some of which cause serious diseases in human and domestic animals(Graczyk et al.,2001 Tarelli et al., 2009; Hana, 2013. and Khamesipour,F et al.,2018). Increasing incidences of insecticides resistance in housefly population(Khan et al., 2013). ,rasing cost of insecticides and a growing public concern about actual (or)potential problems associated with insecticides ,interest in alternative housefly control strategies has increased (Meyer et al., 1987, Scott et al., 1989). Screening of plant extracts for deleterious effect on insects is one of the approaches in the search of novel biological insecticides (Ismam.,1995, Carlini et al.,2002 and Phasomkusolsil and Soonwera, 2012). Essential oils have a broad spectrum of bioactivity because of the presence of several ingredients that act through several modes (Liu et al., 2008). The essential oil also known as ethereal oils obtained by the steam distillation of plants. The essential oils are generally considered nontoxic to human beings (Bagvan et al., 2008). The essential oils of six plant species were screened for repellent, larvicidal and pupicidal activities against housefly Musca domestica. Kumar et al., 2011.2012). Moreover, plant essential oils considered for controlling house fly because of their selectivity, high toxicity for insect, target specificity, minimal environmental effects and safety to humans (Tarelli et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2013). In the present study to evaluate the larvicidal activities of plant essential oils of Curcuma aromatica and Cinnamomum zeylanicum against Musca domestica L.

Materials and Methods

Insecticidal activities of certain medicinal plant essential oils were tested against various developmental stages of common housefly Musca domestica L. These houseflies were reared in cylindrical box (90x140 mm) covered with muslin clothes and maintained at 28+2C,65% relative humidity in a growth chamber. Testing of the plant essential oils for larvicidal activity were carried out at different concentrations ranging from 100ppm to 1000ppm for Cinnamomum zeylanicum against Musca domestica L. The larval mortality in both treated and control were recorded after 24hrs,48hrs and 72hrs. The larvae without movement were considered dead(WHO1996). The percentage of mortality was calculated using Abbotts formula (Abbotts 1925).

The percentage mortality = $\frac{\text{mortality treatment }\%-\text{ mortality of control}}{100-\text{mortality of control}} X 100$

The statistical evaluation of LC50, LC90, regression equation and 95% confidence limit, Lower confidence limit(LCL)and upper confidence limit (ULC)were calculated from data, which was carried out by probit analysis (Finnery, 1971).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The LC₅₀ value for Curcuma aromatica against Musca domestica I, II and III instar larvae range from 364.88 to 438.02ppm 339.43 to 505.53ppm and 359.51 to 551.88 ppm (Table 1,2,3) respectively. The highest larvicidal activity was observed at 24 hrs exposure period and the lowest larvicidal activity was observed at 72 hrs exposure period. The Lc₅₀ value of essential oil *Cinnamomum leylanicum* against *Musca domestica* I, II & III instar larvae (Table 4,5,6) ranged from 358.60 to 480.40 ppm, 475.10 to 543.54ppm and 417.76 to 490.08ppm respectively. The highest larvicidal activity was observed at 24hrs exposure period and the lower larvicidal activity was observed at 72hrs exposure period. The two plant essential oils shown to possess significant activity against housefly in oils of Curcuma aromatica and Cinnamomum zeylanicum were found to be highly effective. This may be due to the effect of some active ingredients of D-camphor, sesquiterpenes, sequiterpene alcohols and a high amount of α -curcumene, β -curcumene, xanthorrhizol and presence of 2, 4 methylphenol of Curcuma aromatic and constituents of cinnamic aldehyde and coumarin of Cinnamomum zeylanicum present in the essential oils which exhibit potential to cause interference into the normal metabolism of the insects. Curcuma aromatica showed Lc50 of 505.53-339.43 ppm and 551.88-359.51ppm against II and III instar larvae respespectively, while Cinnamomum zeylanicum showed Lc50 for 543.54-475.10ppm and 490.08-417.76ppm against Hand III instar larvae respectively. Pavela (2008) screened 34 essential oils against the housefly and Pogostemon Cablin EO was found to be the most potent topical insecticide with Lc50 of 3µg/fly. Rashmi and Khandagle (2012) revealed that the highest larvicidal activity

i.e LC₅₀= 104 ppm was shown by M. piperita and Z. officinalis exhibited significant bio activities against M. domestica with larvicidal activity. Kumar et al., (2012) state that LC50 of C. sinensis essential oil against housefly larvae varied between 3.93 and 0.71 µi/cm² for different observation. On the LT50 values and LC50 values, the results revealed that S. aromaticum oil exhibited highest larvicidal effect against house fly larvae with LT50 values of 27.05 h. and LC50 values of 9.83%, followed by C. nardus oil and C. odorata oil with LT50 values of 38.99 and 52.08 h. and LC50 values of 13.60 and 29.36%, respectively (Soonwera, 2015) The result also suggest that the significant activity of Curcuma aromatic and Cinnamomum zeylanicum against larvae of housefly, pave the way for its use as ecofriendly housefly control measures. The green products base on herbal essential oils is considered environmentally safe and offer safer human health alternatives to insect pest control with chemical insecticides.

Table.1 Larvicidal effect of essential oil Curcuma aromatic against I instar larvae of Musca domestica

Evnocuro	(Lamel	K			95% Confidence limit		Chi
Exposure Period	Concentration	Larval Mortality	LC50	LC90	Regression	LCL	UCL	Chi- square
(hrs.)	(ppm)	(%)	(ppm)	opm) (ppm)	Equation	LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀)	LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀)	\mathbf{X}^2
	100	20	1					
	250	35				276.72	694.06	
24	500	58	438.02	853.04	Y=0.090+8.25X	(625.87)	(1556.01)	15.03*
	1000	94				(023.67)	(1330.01)	
	Control	0						
	100	26						
	250	38				211.43	775.98	
48	500	60	419.43	865.75	Y=0.086+11.61X	(600.53)	(2010.21)	20.48*
	1000	93				(000.55)	(2010.21)	
	Control	0						
	100	28						
	250	46	KA.			141.44	767.00	
72	500	65	364.88	770.87	Y=0.088+14.61X		(2231.43)	24.05*
	1000	96				(516.83)	(2231.43)	
	Control	0						

^{*} Significant at P < 0.05

Table .2 Larvicidal effect of essential oil Curcuma aromatic against II instar larvae of Musca domestica

Exposure Period		_ Larval _				95% Confidence limit		Chi-
	Concentration	Mortality	LC ₅₀	LC90	Regression Equation	LCL	UCL	square
(hrs.)	(ppm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)		LC50	LC ₅₀	\mathbf{X}^2
		, ,				(LC90)	(LC_{90})	
	100	20						
	250	36	505.53			290.48	921.38 (2255.81)	19.60*
24	500	45		1000.27	Y=0.082+4.56X	(701.06)		
	1000	89				(701.00)	(2233.61)	
	Control	0						
	100	25	446.47					
	250	41				201 27 000 95	909.85	
48	500	55		939.31	Y=0.082+11.93X	201.27 (635.51)	(2551.81)	23.06*
	1000	90				(033.31)	(2331.81)	
	Control	0						
72	100	32	339.43	750.10	Y=0.034+10.88X	524.23	888.76	29.09*

250	50		(481.35)	(3215.52)	
500	68				
1000	91				
Control	0				

^{*} Significant at P < 0.05

Table .3 Larvicidal effect of essential oil Curcuma aromatic against III instar larvae of Musca domestica

Ermogramo		Lower				95% Confidence limit		- Chi-
Exposure Period	Concentration	Larval Mortality	LC50	LC90	Regression	LCL	UCL	square
(hrs.)	(ppm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	Equation	LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀)	LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀)	\mathbf{X}^2
	100	13						
24	250	26	<i>EE</i> 1 00	005.42	V 0.007 17 47V	404.45	782.26	10.00*
24	500 1000	40 92	551.88	995.42	Y=0.087+17.47X	(769.55)	(1549.11)	10.90*
	Control	0						
	100	20						
	250	40				233.36	769.21	
48	500	60	432.91	872.88	Y=0.087+10.17X	(613.84)	(1902.22)	19.68*
	1000	92		/		(013.64)	(1902.22)	
	Control	0						
	100	25						
	250	50	146			102.70	926 65	
72	500	68	359.51	765.35	Y=0.088+15.15X	103.79 (501.98)	836.65 (2642.90)	27.62*
	1000	95			34 .	(301.96)	(2042.90)	
	Control	0						

^{*} Significant at P < 0.05

Table. 4 Larvicidal effect of essential oil Cinnamomum zeylanicum against I instar larvae of Musca domestica

Evnosuro		Lawyal				95% Confidence limit		Chi-
Exposure Period	Concentration	Larval Mortality	LC ₅₀	LC ₉₀	Regression	LCL	UCL	square
(hrs.)	(ppm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	Equation	LC ₅₀	LC ₅₀	\mathbf{X}^{2}
	100	20				(LC ₉₀)	(LC ₉₀)	
2.4	250	35	400.40	04440	XX 0.005 5 55X	292.69	802.45	16014
24	500	50	480.40	944.10	Y=0.085+7.75X	(679.43)	(1865.41)	16.91*
	1000	91				(0,,,,,,,	(======	
	Control	0						
	100	23						
	250	45				100 15	040.27	
48	500	52	427.08	876.95	Y=0.085+11.26X	180.15	940.37	25.99*
	1000	94				(585.10)	(2685.29)	
	Control	0						
	100	28						
	250	46				1.47.00	745.00	
72	500	65	358.60	749.01	Y=0.089+14.44X	147.98	745.09	23.35*
	1000	97				(504.55)	(2129.93)	
	Control	0						

^{*} Significant at P < 0.05

Table .5 Larvicidal effect of essential oil Cinnamomum zeylanicum against II instar larvae of Musca domestica

Evmogramo		Larval				95% Confidence limit		- Chi-
Exposure Period	Concentration	Mortality	LC50	LC90	Regression	LCL	UCL	square
(hrs.)	(ppm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	Equation	LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀)	LC ₅₀ (LC ₉₀)	\mathbf{X}^2
24	100 250 500 1000 Control	15 28 40 90 0	543.54	999.88	Y=0.085+2.99X	378.40 (751.52)	819.21 (1697.24)	13.29*
48	100 250 500 1000 Control	16 30 45 92 0	508.88	947.08	Y=0.085+4.18X	350.82 (712.23)	746.31 (1597.97)	13.16*
72	100 250 500 1000 Control	20 32 50 93 0	475.10	913.23	Y=0.088+6.61X	306.72 (670.64)	753.16 (1666.00)	15.07*

^{*} Significant at P < 0.05

Table .6 Larvicidal effect of essential oil Cinnamomum zeylanicum against III instar larvae of Musca domestica

E	Lowell					95% Confidence limit		Chi
Exposure Period	Concentration	Larval Mortality	LC50	LC90	Regression	LCL	UCL	Chi- square
(hrs.)	(ppm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	Equation	LC ₅₀	LC ₅₀	X ²
						(LC ₉₀)	(LC ₉₀)	
	100	23				,		
	250	36				267.93	917.29	
24	500	48	490.08	992.08	Y=0.081+9.17X	(688.78)	(2335.40)	20.15*
	1000	89				(066.76)	(2333.40)	
	Control	0						
	100	26						
	250	40				205.25	012.76	
48	500	53	447.16	935.09	Y=0.082+11.61X	205.25	912.76	23.06*
	1000	91				(632.86)	(2539.37)	
	Control	0						
	100	28						
	250	42		· ·		1.60.42	902.60	
72	500	56	417.76	884.74	Y=0.083+12.90X	169.42	892.69	24.56*
	1000	93				(591.53)	(2599.17)	
	Control	0						

^{*} Significant at P < 0.05

REFERENCES:

- Abbott's, W.S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. 1. Journal of economic entomology, 18:265-267.
- Bagvan, A., Rahuman, A.A., Kamraj, C., Geetha, K., 2008. Larvicidal activity of saponin from Achyranthes aspera against Aedes aegypti and Culex quinquefasciatus 2. (Diptera: Culicidae) parasitology Research. 103:223-229.

- Carlini, C. R., Grossi-de sa, M. F. 2002. Plant toxic proteins with insecticidal 3. properties a review on the potentialities as bioinsecticides toxicon, 40:1515-1539.
- Finnery, D. J. 1917. Probit analysis in: Finnery, D.J. (ed) 3rd Ed. Cambridge university 4. press, London, 318.
- Graczyk, T.K., R. Knight, Robert H. Gliman and Michael R. Cranfiled, 2001. The role of non-biting flies in the epidemiology of human infectious diseases. Review Microbes 5. and infection 3:231-235.
- Hanan, B. A. (2013). Evaluation of insecticidal activities of Mentha piperita and Lavandula angustifolia essential oils against house fly, Musca domestica L. (Diptera: 6. Muscidae). Journal of Entomol and Nematol 5:50-54.
- Ismum, M. B., 1995. Lead and prospects for development of new botanical 7. insecticides in Roe, R. M., Khur, R.J. (Eds) review & in pesticide toxicology, 3:1-20.
- Khamesipour. F, Kamran Bagheri Lankarani, Behnam Honarvar and Tebit Emmanuel Kwenti, 2018. A systematic review of human pathogens carried by the housefly 8. (Musca domestica) BMC public health 18:1049.
- Khan, H. A. A., Shad, S. A. and Akram, W. (2013). Resistance to new chemical 9. insecticides in the house fly, *Musca domestica* L., from dairies in Punjab, Pakistan. Parasitology Research 112:2049-2054.
- Kumar, P., Mishra, S., Malik, A. and Satya, S. (2013). Housefly (*Musca domestica* L.) 10. control potential of Cymbopogon citratus Staff. (Poales: Poaceae) essential oil and monoterpenes (citral and 1,8-cineole). Parasitology Research 112:69-76.
- Liu, H., Qiu, N., Ding, H., Yao, R., 2008. Polyphenols contents and antioxidant capacity of 68 chinese herbals suitable for medical or food uses. 11. Food Research International.41()
- Meyer, J. A., Georghiow, G. P., Hawley, M. K.1987. Housefly (Diptera: Muscidae) resistance to permethrin on southern California diaries. Journal of economic 12. entomology. 80:636-640.
- Pavela, R. (2008). Insecticidal properties of several essential oils on the housefly 13. (Musca domestica L.). Phytotherapy Research 22:274-278.
- Phasomkusolsil, P. and Soonwera, M. (2012). The effects of herbal essential oils on the oviposition deterrent and ovicidal activities of Aedes aegypti (L.), Anopheles dirus 14. (Peyton and Harrison) and Culex quinquefasciatus (Say). Tropical Biomedicine 29:138-150.

- P. Kumar, S. Mishra, A. Malik and S. Satya. 2011. Repellent, larvicidal and pupicidal properties of essential oils and their formulation against the housefly, Musca 15. domestica. Medical and veterinary entomology 25(3):302-310.
- P. Kumar, S. Mishra, A. Malik and S. Satya 2012. Insecticidal evaluation of essential 16. oils of Citrus sinensis L, (Myrtales, Mystscoas) against housefly, Musca domestica L. (Diptera: Muscidae). Parasitology research 110(5):1929-1936.
- Rashmi A. Morey and Abhay J. Khandagle. 2012. Bioefficacy of essential oils of medicinal plants against housefly, Musca domestica. Parasitology research, 17. 111(4):1799-1805.
- Scott, J. G., Rougsh, R. T., Rutz, D. A. 1989. Insecticide resistance of houseflies from 18. New York dairies (Diptera: Muscidae). Journals of agriculture entomology, 6:53-64.
- Soonwera, M. (2015). Larvicidal and oviposition deterrent activities of essential oils 19. against house fly (Musca domestica L.; Diptera: Muscidae). International Journal of Agricultural Technology 11(3):657-667.
- Tarelli, G., Zerba, E. N. and Alzogaray, R. A. (2009). Toxicity to vapor exposure and topical application of essential oils and monoterpenes on *Musca domestica* (Diptera: 20. Muscidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 102:1383-1388.
- WHO, 1996. Report of the WHO is formal consultation on the evaluation and testing 21. of insecticides. CTD/ WHOPES/IC/96(1): 69.