

# ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR) An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF RP-HPLC METHOD FOR THE SIMULTANEOUS AND RAPID DETERMINATION OF ASSAY AND RELATED SUBSTANCES OF AMLODIPINE AND METOPROLOL IN PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORM

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Sushama Raju Ambadekar, <sup>2</sup>Jayesh Pandharinath Tamhanekar, <sup>3</sup>Vijay Arjun Bagul

<sup>1</sup>Research Guide, <sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, <sup>3</sup>Senior General Manager

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, The Institute of Science Dr. Homi Bhabha State University, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, The Institute of Science Dr. Homi Bhabha State University, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
 <sup>3</sup>Medley Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Marol Co-op. Industrial Estate, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract : An objective of this research is to develop a novel and common reverse phase liquid chromatographic method for the simultaneous determination of Assay and impurities in Amlodipine and Metoprolol from Pharmaceutical dosage form. The chromatographic separation of Amlodipine, Metoprolol and their impurity peaks was achieved using YMC-Triart PFP, C18 Column (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5  $\mu$ m particle size). Mobile phase composed of Buffer solution 2 and acetonitrile (60: 40 v/v) was selected and a flow rate of 1.2 ml/minute is monitored with injection volume of 5  $\mu$ l. Column oven temperature and autosampler temperature was maintained at 25°C. Detection was carried out at 235 nm. The method was validated as per International Council on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines. The method was found to be linear and accurate as correlation coefficient and % recovery was within the acceptance criteria. System suitability criteria were also fulfilled. This method is specifically developed for rapid and simultaneous estimation of Amlodipine, Metoprolol as well as their impurities. All the impurities and drug substances elute before 25 minutes hence run time was set as 30 minutes for Assay and 40 minutes for Related Substances test. As all the impurities of Amlodipine elute within 25 minutes, developed reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) method is simple, fast and economical. The projected method can be utilized for routine analysis in the quality control department from pharmaceutical industry.

Keywords - Amlodipine, Metoprolol, RP-HPLC, Simultaneous estimation, Assay, Related Substances.

# INTRODUCTION

Amlodipine besylate, chemically, (RS)-3-ethyl-5-methy-l-2-(2-amino ethoxymethyl)-4-(2-chlorophenyl)-1,4-dihydro-6-methyl-3,5- pyridinedicarboxylate benzene sulfonate, is a long acting calcium channel blocker, which is used as an antihypertensive agent [1]. Amlodipine is used to treat high blood pressure (hypertension) or chest pain (angina) and other conditions caused by coronary artery disease [2].

Metoprolol succinate is chemically (*RS*)-1-(Isopropylamino)-3-[4-(2-methoxyethyl) phenoxy]propan-2-ol, a selective  $\beta_1$ -receptor blocker, which is also used as an antihypertensive agent [1]. Metoprolol succinate acts as a beta-adrenergic blocking agent, which reduces chest pain and lowers high blood pressure [3].

In the fixed dose combination of amlodipine (calcium channel blocker) and Metoprolol (cardio selective beta blocker); both the drugs have two different mechanisms and reduce blood pressure by acting on peripheral vascular resistance, stroke volume and heart rate. Advantages of this combination therapy are it effectively achieves target blood pressure, lower incidence of individual drug's side-effects, produces synergistic effects, increased patient compliance [4].

Literature reveals different Assay methods like HPTLC [5], synchronous fluorescence spectrofluorometric method [6], LC-MS/MS-method [7,10], UHPLC-MS/MS [7], liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method [8], HPLC [9], RP-UPLC Method [3] for simultaneous determination of assay of Amlodipine and Metoprolol. Moreover, RP-UPLC method [11] for simultaneous determination of related substances of Amlodipine and Metoprolol has also been reported. But no common method is reported so far for the simultaneous estimation of Assay of Amlodipine and Metoprolol as well as impurities in Amlodipine and Metoprolol. A successful attempt was made for quantitative determination of two drugs along with their impurities by RP-HPLC method. Furthermore, Metoprolol related compound D elutes at retention time of about 55 minutes as per related substances

#### www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

method from USP monograph of Metoprolol Tablets. However, Metoprolol related compound D elutes at retention time of about 3.5 minutes with new developed RP-HPLC method. Therefore, the current work is worthwhile for development and validation of a novel and rapid RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of Amlodipine and Metoprolol with their impurities in Pharmaceutical dosage form. Furthermore, the cost required for RP-HPLC method is very less as compared to that of RP-UPLC method.

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### 1. Method Development

Various mobile phase compositions and buffer systems were tried for achieving the optimum separation of Amlodipine, Metoprolol and their impurities. Mobile phase composed of buffer solution 2 and acetonitrile (60 : 40 v/v) gave the optimum resolution hence mobile phase composition of buffer solution 2 and acetonitrile (60 : 40 v/v) at the flow rate of 1.2 ml/minute was finalized. Different buffer systems were also tried in order to get suitable peak shapes and resolution. YMC-Triart PFP, C18 Column (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm particle size) was selected. To analyse both drugs along with their impurities, detection was tried at various wavelengths but 235 nm was selected as the detection wavelength as both the drugs showed maximum absorption. The retention time was found to be 9.21 and 21.07 minutes for Amlodipine and Metoprolol respectively. The optimized chromatographic conditions and system suitability parameters were tabulated in Table 1.

#### Instrumentation

The high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis was carried with Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC system using UV detector. Chromatographic data was acquired using Chromeleon 7.2 software.

Chromatographic Conditions						
Column	YMC-Triart PFP, C18 C	olumn (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm particle				
Column	size)	size)				
Flow rate	1.2 ml/minute					
Injection volume	5 μL					
Wavelength	235 nm	235 nm				
Column oven temperature	25°C					
Autosampler temperature	25°C					
Run time	30 minutes for Assay and 40 minutes for Related Substances					
Retention time	21.07 minutes - Amlodig	pine				
	9.20 minutes – Metoprolol					
	16.75 minutes - Amlodi	pine related compound A				
	7.91 minutes - Metoprol	ol related compound A				
	4.93 minutes - Metoprol	ol related compound B				
	6.94 minutes - Metopro	lol related compound C				
	3.57 minutes - Metoprolol related compound D					
	System suitability para	meters				
	Amlodipine	Metoprolol				
Tailing factor (Limit: NMT 2.0)	1.13	1.15				
Theoretical plates (Limit: NLT 2000)	12839	11992				
% Relative standard deviation (RSD)	0.6	0.6				

Table 1 Optimized chromatographic conditions and system suitability parameters

# **Preparation of buffer solution 1**

7.0 g of Sodium dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate was weighed and dissolved in 1 Litre of water. pH was adjusted to  $3.0 \pm 0.05$  using dilute Orthophosphoric acid solution. This solution was then filtered through 0.45  $\mu$  Nylon membrane filter and mixed well.

#### **Preparation of buffer solution 2**

1.0 g of 1-decane sulfonic acid was weighed and dissolved in 1 Litre of water. pH was adjusted to  $3.0 \pm 0.05$  using dilute Orthophosphoric acid solution. This solution was then filtered through 0.45  $\mu$  Nylon membrane filter and mixed well.

#### Mobile phase preparation

Buffer solution 2 and acetonitrile were mixed in the ratio of 60:40 v/v. The mobile phase was then mixed well and degassed.

#### Diluent

Buffer solution 1 and acetonitrile were mixed in the ratio of 50:50 v/v and mixed well.

# Preparation of reference solution

# Preparation of Reference solution for Assay:

27.7 mg of Amlodipine Besylate Working standard and 190.5 mg Metoprolol Succinate working standard were weighed and transferred into a 50 ml volumetric flask. 30 ml of diluent was added and the resulting solution was sonicated until dissolved. Volume was made up to the mark with diluent. Then the solution was mixed well and injected. (Concentration: 554.8 ppm of Amlodipine Besylate equivalent to 400 ppm of Amlodipine and 3810 ppm of Metoprolol Succinate equivalent to 4000 ppm of Metoprolol tartrate).

Preparation of Reference solution for unspecified impurities:

2.0 ml of Reference solution for Assay was diluted to 100 ml with diluent. 1.0 ml of above solution was further diluted to 10 ml with diluent. Solution was mixed well and injected. (Concentration: 0.8 ppm of Amlodipine and 8 ppm of Metoprolol tartrate)

Preparation of Reference stock solution for Amlodipine Related Compound A:

2.5 mg of Amlodipine Related Compound A Impurity standard was weighed and transferred into a 50 ml volumetric flask. 30 ml of diluent was added and the resulting solution was sonicated until dissolved. Volume was made up to the mark with diluent and solution was mixed well. (Concentration: 50 ppm of Amlodipine Related Compound A)

Preparation of Reference stock solution for Metoprolol Related Compound A:

5.0 mg of Metoprolol Related Compound A Impurity standard was weighed and transferred into a 25 ml volumetric flask. 15 ml of diluent was added and the resulting solution was sonicated until dissolved. Volume was made up to the mark with diluent and solution was mixed well. (Concentration: 50 ppm of Metoprolol Related Compound A)

Preparation of Reference stock solution for Metoprolol Related Compound B:

5.0 mg of Metoprolol Related Compound B Impurity standard was weighed and transferred into a 25 ml volumetric flask. 15 ml of diluent was added and the resulting solution was sonicated until dissolved. Volume was made up to the mark with diluent and solution was mixed well. (Concentration: 50 ppm of Metoprolol Related Compound B)

Preparation of Reference stock solution for Metoprolol Related Compound C:

5.0 mg of Metoprolol Related Compound C Impurity standard was weighed and transferred into a 25 ml volumetric flask. 15 ml of diluent was added and the resulting solution was sonicated until dissolved. Volume was made up to the mark with diluent and solution was mixed well. (Concentration: 50 ppm of Metoprolol Related Compound C)

Preparation of Reference stock solution for Metoprolol Related Compound D:

5.0 mg of Metoprolol Related Compound D Impurity standard was weighed and transferred into a 25 ml volumetric flask. 15 ml of diluent was added and the resulting solution was sonicated until dissolved. Volume was made up to the mark with diluent and solution was mixed well. (Concentration: 50 ppm of Metoprolol Related Compound D)

Preparation of Impurity Reference solution for Amlodipine Related Compound A and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D:

1.0 ml of Reference stock solutions for Amlodipine Related Compound A and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D (50 ppm each) were further diluted to 25 ml with diluent. Solution was mixed well and injected. (Conc.: 2 ppm each of Amlodipine Related Compound A and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D).

#### **Preparation of Test solution**

20 tablets were weighed and average weight was calculated. These tablets were then crushed to a fine powder by suitable means and transferred the crushed powder equivalent to the 20 mg of Amlodipine / 200 mg of Metoprolol into a 50 ml volumetric flask. 70 ml of diluent was added and the solution was sonicated for 15 minutes with intermittent shaking. Solution was cooled and diluted up to the mark with diluent. Then solution was mixed well and injected. (Conc.: 554.8 ppm of Amlodipine Besylate equivalent to 400 ppm of Amlodipine and 3810 ppm of Metoprolol Succinate equivalent to 4000 ppm of Metoprolol tartrate).

#### 2. Method Validation

The objective of the method validation is to demonstrate that the method is suitable for its intended purpose as it is stated in ICH guidelines [12]. The above method was validated according to ICH guidelines to establish the performance characteristics of a method (expressed in terms of analytical parameters) to meet the requirements for the intended application of the method. They were tested using the optimized chromatographic conditions and instruments.

#### Specificity

Spectral purities of chromatographic peaks of Amlodipine, Metoprolol & their impurities were evaluated for the interference of the diluent, placebo. Chromatographic peaks should be well separated & no co-elution of impurities with main peak as well as other peaks. Peak purity should not be less than 990 for impurity peaks. For specificity, chromatograms of blank, reference solution, test solution, test solution spiked with known impurities and individual impurities solution ware compared.

JETIR2110319 Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR) <u>www.jetir.org</u> d165

4

# Limit of Quantification (LOQ)

It is the lowest amount of the analyte in the sample that can be determined with acceptable precision & accuracy. LOQ solution of Amlodipine, Metoprolol and their impurities were prepared based on S/N ratio  $\geq$  10:1. Signal to noise ratio & % RSD of the area obtained was calculated.

# Limit of Detection (LOD)

It is the lowest amount of the analyte in the sample that can be detected but not necessarily quantitated. LOD solution of Amlodipine, Metoprolol and their impurities were prepared based on S/N ratio  $\geq$  3:1. Signal to noise ratio was calculated.

# Linearity and range

The linearity of an analytical procedure is its ability (within a given range) to obtain test results, which are directly proportional to the concentration of analyte in the sample. The correlation coefficient, percentage y-intercept, slope of the regression line & residual sum of squares of the areas of each level was calculated.

Linearity for Assay method of Amlodipine and Metoprolol was established by analysing serial dilutions of a stock solution of the working standard. Five concentrations in the rage of 50% to 150% of the target concentration were prepared for Assay method. Refer table 2 for linearity solution preparation.

For specified and unspecified impurities in related substances test, linearity was performed in the rage of LOQ to 120% of the impurity limit concentration level. Refer table 3 for linearity concentration levels preparation for unspecified impurities and table 4 for linearity concentration levels preparation for specified impurities.

	.D				
% level	Volume of stock solution of Amlodipine (ml) (1600 ppm)	Volume of stock solution of Metoprolol (ml) (16000 ppm)	Diluted to (ml)	Final concentration of Amlodipine in ppm	Final concentration of Metoprolol in ppm
50%	2.5	2.5	20	200.0	2000.0
80%	4.0	4.0	20	320.0	3200.0
100%	5.0	5.0	20	400.0	4000.0
120%	6.0	6.0	20	480.0	4800.0
150%	7.5	7.5	20	600.0	6000.0

Table 2 Linearity concentration levels preparation of Assay of Amlodipine and Metoprolol

Table 3 Linearity	concentration level	s preparation	of Amlodipine and	nd Metoprolol (	for unspecified	impurities)
	and the second second	L . L	r r r	The second se	<b>.</b>	I a service

% level	Volume of stock solution of Amlodipine (ml) (8 ppm)	Volume of stock solution of Metoprolol (ml) (80 ppm)	Diluted to (ml)	Final concentration of Amlodipine in ppm	Final concentration of Metoprolol in ppm
LOQ 40%	1.0	1.0	25	0.32	3.20
50%	1.25	1.25	25	0.40	4.00
80%	2.0	2.0	25	0.64	6.40
100%	2.5	2.5	25	0.80	8.00
120%	3.0	3.0	25	0.96	9.60

Table

Linearity concentration levels preparation of Amlodipine Related Compound A and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D

	Volume of stock	Volume of stock solution	Contraction of the second	Concentration of	Concentration of
%	solution of Amlodipine	of Metoprolol Related	Diluted	Amlodipine Related	Metoprolol Related
level	Related Compound A	Compound A, B, C, D	to (ml)	Compound A	Compound A, B, C,
	(ml) (50 ppm)	(ml) (200 ppm)		in ppm	D in ppm
LOQ 40%	0.4	0.4	25	0.80	3.20
50%	0.5	0.5	25	1.00	4.00
80%	0.8	0.8	25	1.60	6.40
100%	1.0	1.0	25	2.00	8.00
120%	1.2	1.2	25	2.40	9.60

#### Accuracy

The accuracy of the Assay / Related Substances method was determined by recovery experiments of known concentrations of actives / impurities. It is carried out by spiking known amount of actives / impurities in the placebo.

The accuracy was evaluated by 9 determinations of the recovery rate for Assay & 12 determinations of the recovery rate for Related Substances. Data from triplicate determinations was collected at 3 concentration levels from 50%, 100%, 150% of the target concentration for Assay method and 4 concentration levels from LOQ, 80% 100%, 120% for Related Substances method. The recovery and mean recovery were calculated. Refer Tables 5, 6 and 7 for accuracy solution preparation.

#### Method Precision (Repeatability)

The repeatability of an analytical procedure expresses the closeness of agreement between a series of measurement obtained from multiple sampling of the same homogenous sample under prescribed conditions. It was evaluated at 100 % w.r.t. test concentration from 6 determinations of the same homogenous samples of finished dosage forms.

www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

For Related Substances method, the sample was spiked with impurities of the impurity limit concentration level. Standard deviation & relative standard deviation from the results obtained was calculated.

% level	Weight of placebo (in mg)	Amount of Amlodipine added (in mg)	Amount of Metoprolol added (in mg)	Diluted to (ml)	Final concentration of Amlodipine in ppm	Final concentration of Metoprolol in ppm
50%	1590.0	10.0	100.0	50	200.0	2000.0
100%	1590.0	20.0	200.0	50	400.0	4000.0
150%	1590.0	30.0	300.0	50	600.0	6000.0

 Table 5 Accuracy concentration levels preparation of Assay of Amlodipine and Metoprolol

 Table 6 Accuracy concentration levels preparation of Amlodipine and Metoprolol (for unspecified impurities of Amlodipine and Metoprolol)

% level	Weight of placebo (in mg)	Volume of stock solution of Amlodipine (ml) (8 ppm)	Volume of stock solution of Metoprolol (ml) (80 ppm)	Diluted to (ml)	Final concentration of Amlodipine in ppm	Final concentration of Metoprolol in ppm
LOQ 40%	1590.0	1.0	1.0	50	0.32	3.2
80%	1590.0	4.0	4.0	50	0.64	6.4
100%	1590.0	5.0	5.0	50	0.80	8.0
120%	1590.0	6.0	6.0	50	0.96	9.6

 Table 7 Accuracy concentration levels preparation of Amlodipine Related Compound A and Metoprolol Related Compound

 A, B, C, D

Volume of stock Final concentration	
% levelWeight of placebo (in mg)solution of Amlodipine RelatedVolume of stock solution of Metoprolol Diluted to (ml) (200 ppm)of Amlodipine Related Compound A, (ml) (200 ppm)of Amlodipine Related Compound A in ppmFinal conc of Metoprolol Diluted to Related Compound A, (ml) (200 ppm)	entration prolol propound propound propound propound
LOQ 40% 1590.0 0.8 0.4 50 0.8 3.	2
80% 1590.0 1.6 0.8 50 1.6 6.	Ļ
100% 1590.0 2.0 1.0 50 2.0 8.	)
<u>120% 1590.0</u> 2.4 <u>1.2</u> 50 2.4 <u>9.</u>	5

# **Intermediate Precision**

The intermediate precision of the assay & Related Substances method was established by comparison of two independent repeatability experiments on 2 different days. The data of the 1st day was taken from the analysis of "Repeatability". The second set of experiments was performed by a different analyst on different instrument as well. Standard deviation & relative standard deviation from the results obtained was calculated. The result of Intermediate Precision is compared with results of the first day analysis.

# Robustness

The robustness of an analytical procedure is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate variations in method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability during normal usage.

The influence of slightly changed parameters of the chromatographic conditions was tested to demonstrate sufficient robustness of the method. In detail, effects of the change in column oven temperature & flow rate were studied.

Robustness test was carried out by analysing reference solution, test solution as such (for Assay) and spiked test solution (For Related Substances) for % content to access the impact of each changed parameter.

# **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The proposed method for simultaneous determination of Assay and Related Substances in Amlodipine and Metoprolol was developed and validated for the parameters specificity, repeatability, intermediate precision, linearity, accuracy & robustness as per the ICH guidelines.

#### Specificity

By comparing the chromatograms of blank, reference solutions, test solution as such, spiked test solution and placebo solution; it was observed that there was no interference of any peak at the retention time of Amlodipine, Metoprolol and impurities. Chromatographic peaks were well separated & no co-elution of impurities with main peak as well as other peaks. Refer fig. 1 to 6 for the representative chromatograms of reference solutions and test solutions.







Figure 2 Representative chromatogram of reference solution for Unspecified impurities







Figure 4 Representative chromatogram of test solution For Assay



Figure 5 Representative chromatogram of test solution as such for Related Substances



Figure 6 Representative chromatogram of test solution spiked with impurities for Related Substances for Related Substances

# Limit of Quantification (LOQ)

LOQ concentration obtained for Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D and unspecified impurities is 0.08% w.r.t. (with respect to) test concentration. LOQ concentration obtained for Amlodipine Related compound A is 0.2% w.r.t. test concentration. Amlodipine, Amlodipine Related Compound A, Metoprolol and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D peaks from LOQ chromatograms were clearly visible with signal to noise ratio more than 10:1 and % RSD less than 10.0 %.

# Limit of Detection (LOD)

LOQ concentration obtained for Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D and unspecified impurities is 0.026% w.r.t. test concentration. LOQ concentration obtained for Amlodipine Related compound A is 0.066% w.r.t. test concentration. Amlodipine, Amlodipine Related Compound A, Metoprolol and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D peaks from LOQ chromatograms were clearly visible with signal to noise ratio more than 3:1.

# Linearity & Range

Linearity study for Assay method was carried out by using five concentrations ranging from 50% to 150% for Amlodipine and Metoprolol. Furthermore linearity study for specified and unspecified impurities in Related Substances method was carried out by using five concentrations ranging from LOQ to 120%.

A graphs of concentration taken in  $\mu$ g/mL (x-value) verses response (area observed) (y-axis) are shown in Figures 7 to 8 for Assay test and 11 to 17 for Related Substances test. Plots of Residuals against concentration for Amlodipine and Metoprolol are shown in Figures B. 9 and B. 10 respectively. Refer tables 8, 9 and 10 for the correlation coefficient, percentage y-intercept, slope of the regression line & residual sum of squares results.

The Assay method is found to be linear in the range of 201.375  $\mu$ g/ml (50%) to 604.125  $\mu$ g/ml (150%) of the target concentration for Amlodipine and 1997.095  $\mu$ g/ml (50%) to 5991.286  $\mu$ g/ml (150%) of the target concentration for Metoprolol.

Related substances method is found to be linear in the range of LOQ - 0.3219 µg/ml (40%) to 0.9658 µg/ml (120%) for unspecified impurities of Amlodipine, LOQ - 3.1995 µg/ml (40%) to 9.5985 µg/ml (120%) for unspecified impurities of Metoprolol, LOQ - 0.8358 µg/ml (40%) to 2.5075 µg/ml (120%) for Amlodipine Related Compound A, LOQ - 3.2845 µg/ml (40%) to 9.8534 µg/ml (120%) for Metoprolol Related Compound A, LOQ - 3.5373 µg/ml (40%) to 10.6118 µg/ml (120%) for Metoprolol Related Compound B, LOQ - 3.3299 µg/ml (40%) to 9.98988 µg/ml (120%) for Metoprolol Related Compound C and LOQ - 3.4496 µg/ml (40%) to 10.3488 µg/ml (120%) for Metoprolol Related Compound D.

Deremator	Val	Values			
Farameter	Amlodipine	Metoprolol	Criteria		
Correlation coefficient R	1.000	0.999	> 0.999		
%Y – axis intercept	2.53	2.71	$\leq \pm 3 \%$		
Slope of regression line	7.9	1.0	To be reported		
Residual sum of squares	6783.9	10420.1	To be reported		

Table 9 Observation for linearity of Amlodipine and Metoprolol (for unspecified impurities of Amlodipine and Metoprolol)

Demonstern	Val	Values			
Parameter	Amlodipine	Metoprolol	Criteria		
Correlation coefficient R	1.000	0.998	> 0.999		
%Y – axis intercept	- 0.002	4.04	$\leq \pm 3 \%$		
Slope of regression line	16.42	1.14	To be reported		
Residual sum of squares	0.035	0.25	To be reported		

Table 10 Observation for linearity of Amlodipine Related Compound A and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D

			Values			
Doromotor	Amlodipine	Metoprolol	Metoprolol	Metoprolol	Metoprolol	Acceptance
Farameter	Related	Related	Related	Related	Related	Criteria
	Compound A	Compound A	Compound B	Compound C	Compound D	
Correlation	0.000	0.005	0 997	0.995	0.997	> 0 000
coefficient R	0.990	0.995	0.997	0.995	0.997	> 0.999
%Y – axis	0.80	4.41	5 46	2.96	0.01	< + 3 %
intercept	0.80	4.41	5.40	- 2.90	- 0.01	$\leq \pm 5 / 0$
Slope of	4 16	1 53	2 29	1.07	1 98	To be
regression line	4.10	1.55	2.2)	1.07	1.70	reported
Residual sum of	1.07	1.07	0.04	0.56	0.56	To be
squares	1.07	1.07	0.04	0.00	0.50	reported



Figure 8 Linearity plot of Metoprolol (For assay)



Figure 9 Plot of Residuals against concentration for Amlodipine (For assay)



Figure 10 Plot of Residuals against concentration for Metoprolol (For assay)



Figure 11 Linearity plot of Amlodipine (For Unspecified impurities in Related Substances)





















Figure 17 Linearity plot of Metoprolol Related Compound D (Related Substances)

#### Accuracy

The accuracy of Assay and Related Substances method was confirmed by evaluating the recoveries of Amlodipine, Metoprolol and impurities (Amlodipine Related Compound A and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D). The mean recovery values and individual recovery values were calculated for each drug substances and impurities.

Both the methods were found to be accurate because the % individual recovery and % mean recovery were within the acceptance criteria as given in the tables 11, 12 and 13. It showed that method is free from interference of excipients present in formulation.



Table 11 Recoveries of Amlodipine and Metoprolol (For Assay)

Level	% recovery of Amlodipine	% recovery of Metoprolol	Acceptance Criteria
	101	101	
50%	101	102	
	101	101	
	102	99	T 11 1 1 D
100%	101	100	Individual Recovery = $07 \text{ w} = 102 \text{ w}$
	101	100	97 % - 105 %
	102	99	
120%	102	100	
	101	99	
Mean	101	100	Mean Recovery 98 % – 102 %

Table 12 Recoveries of Amlodipine and Metoprolol (For Unspecified Impurities in Related Substances)

Level	% recovery of Amlodipine	% recovery of Metoprolol	Acceptance Criteria
1.00	93	95	
100/	91	94	
40%	94	95	
	91	101	
50%	91	101	
	91	100	Individual Recovery =
	97	97	80 % - 120 %
100%	97	99	
	95	98	
	97	100	
120%	97	101	
	96	100	
Mean	94	98	Mean Recovery 80 % - 120 %

Table 13 Recoveries of Amlodipine Related Compound A and Metoprolol Related Compound A, B, C, D (For Related Substances)

	% recovery of	% recovery of	% recovery of	% recovery of	% recovery of	
Loval	Amlodipine	Metoprolol	Metoprolol	Metoprolol	Metoprolol	Acceptance
Level	Related	Related	Related	Related	Related	Criteria
	Compound A	Compound A	<b>Compound B</b>	Compound C	<b>Compound D</b>	
1.00	101	89	104	102	92	
1004	99	89	104	103	93	
40%	99	87	103	101	91	
	98	91	100	102	96	
50%	100	91	100	104	96	<b>T</b> 1, , 1 1
	101	91	99	105	96	Individual
	100	90	95	100	100	Recovery
100%	100	90	98	99	100	80% - 120%
	99	93	97	100	100	
	100	94	94	101	95	
120%	99	95	96	99	95	
	100		97	100	95	
Mean	100	91	99	102	96	Mean Recovery 80% - 120%

#### Method Precision (Repeatability)

The exactness of the method was defined by precision and method was considered to be precised as since the relative standard deviation from 6 determinations is well within the acceptance limit. Refer tables 14 and 15.

#### **Intermediate Precision**

The intermediate precision of the assay method is established by comparison of two independent repeatability experiments on 2 different days. Refer tables 16 and 17 for assay and Related Substances of Amlodipine and Metoprolol during intermediate precision and tables 18 and 19 for difference between two independent repeatability experiments on 2 different days.

Sample No.	% Assay of Amlodipine	% Assay of Metoprolol
Sample 01	100.07	99.45
Sample 02	99.08	98.48
Sample 03	100.68	100.03
Sample 04	99.54	98.87
Sample 05	100.10	99.42
Sample 06	100.68	99.98
Mean	100.03	99.37
Standard Deviation (STD Dev.)	0.63	0.61
% RSD	0.6	0.6

Table 14 Repeatability of Amlodipine and Metoprolol (For Assay)

Table 15 Repeatability of Amlodipine related compound A, Metoprolol related compound A, Metoprolol related compound B, Metoprolol related compound C, Metoprolol related compound D and unspecified impurities

Sample No.	% Recovery of Amlodipine related	% Recovery of Metoprolol related	% Recovery of Metoprolol related	% Recovery of Metoprolol related	% Recovery of Metoprolol related	6 % Unspecified Impurity
01	99 20	93 50	105 32	87 44	86 20	BOL
02	104.92	93.50	105.32	87.44	90.99	BOL
03	106.83	98.42	105.32	87.44	90.99	BQL
04	108.74	98.42	105.32	87.44	86.20	BQL
05	108.74	98.42	105.32	92.30	90.99	BQL
06	103.01	98.42	100.74	87.44	90.99	BQL
Mean	105.24	96.78	104.56	88.25	89.40	BQL
STD Dev	3.702	2.541	1.869	1.983	2.473	NA
R2110319	Journal of E	meraina Techr	nologies and In	novative Resea	rch (JETIR) wv	vw.ietir.ora

© 2021 JETIR Octo	ber 2021, V	olume 8, Issue 10	)		www.jetir.o	rg (ISSN-2349 <sup>,</sup>	·5162)
% RSD	3.52	2.63	1.79	2.25	2.77	NA	

BQL: Below Quantification Limit

Table 16 Intermediate precision of Amlodipine and Metoprolol (For Assay)

Sample No.	% Assay of Amlodipine	% Assay of Metoprolol
Sample 01	99.64	99.90
Sample 02	99.33	99.66
Sample 03	99.52	99.89
Sample 04	99.26	99.53
Sample 05	99.52	99.84
Sample 06	99.17	99.62
Mean	99.41	99.74
STD Dev.	0.18	0.16
% RSD	0.2	0.2

Table 17 Intermediate precision of Amlodipine related compound A, Metoprolol related compound A, Metoprolol related compound B, Metoprolol related compound C, Metoprolol related compound D and unspecified impurities

Sample No.	% Recovery of Amlodipine related compound A	% Recovery of Metoprolol related compound A	% Recovery of Metoprolol related compound B	% Recovery of Metoprolol related compound C	% Recovery of Metoprolol related compound D	% Unspecified Impurity
01	101.11	93.50	100.74	87.44	90.99	BQL
02	103.01	93.50	96.16	92.30	90.99	BQL
03	104.92	93.50	96.16	87.44	90.99	BQL
04	108.74	93.50	105.32	87.44	95.78	BQL
05	108.74	93.50	100.74	82.58	90.99	BQL
06	104.92	93.50	100.74	87.44	90.99	BQL
Mean	105.24	93.50	99.98	87.44	91.79	BQL
STD Dev	3.056	0.000	3.447	3.072	1.955	NA
% RSD	2.90	0.00	3.45	3.51	2.13	NA

BQL: Below limit of quantification, NA: Not Applicable.

Table 18 Difference between two independent repeatability experiments for Assay of Amlodipine and Metoprolol

	Amlod	lipine	Metoprolol	
Parameter	1 <sup>st</sup> day Repeatability	2 <sup>nd</sup> day Repeatability	1 <sup>st</sup> day Repeatability	2 <sup>nd</sup> day Repeatability
Number of determinations	6	6	6	6
Mean (%) Assay	100.03	99.41	99.37	99.74
RSD (%)	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.2
Mean value difference (%) Acceptance Criteria: < 2.0 % absolute	0.6	52	0.	37

Table 19 Difference between two independent repeatability experiments for Related Substances

Name of Impurity	1 <sup>st</sup> day Repeatability	2 <sup>nd</sup> day Repeatability	Difference (%)	Acceptance criteria
	% Recover	у		
Amlodipine Related Compound A	105.24	105.24	0.00	
Metoprolol Related Compound A	96.78	93.50	3.28	
Metoprolol Related Compound B	104.56	99.98	4.58	$\Delta \le 10\%$
Metoprolol Related Compound C	88.25	87.44	0.81	Absolute
Metoprolol Related Compound D	89.40	91.79	2.39	

© 2021 JETIR October 2021, Volume 8, Issue 10			www.jetir	org (ISSN-2349	-5162)
	% Impurities				
Unspecified impurity	BQL	BQL	NA	$\Delta \le 0.05\%$	

#### Robustness

Method was found to be robust as system suitability criteria is achieved for all the robustness parameters tested and the values obtained from % Assay, % recoveries of impurities, % Impurities are comparable to the values of method precision. Deliberate change in parameter did not have any significant effect on the method performance, which demonstrated that the developed RP-HPLC method is robust. The results were tabulated below tables 20.

Name of Impurity	Method	Change i oven tem	Change in column oven temperature		n flow rate ninute)			
	precision	20°C	30 °C	1.1	1.3			
	% Assay							
Amlodipine	100.03	100.03	99.41	101.14	99.96			
Metoprolol	99.37	100.71	100.56	101.50	101.58			
% Rec	% Recovery of Impurities							
Amlodipine Related Compound A	105.24	105.78	104.42	104.42	104.69			
Metoprolol Related Compound A	96.78	97.48	96.08	97.48	97.48			
Metoprolol Related Compound B	104.56	105.21	105.86	105.21	105.86			
Metoprolol Related Compound C	88.25	88.25	88.95	89.64	88.25			
Metoprolol Related Compound D	89.40	90.08	89.40	90.76	89.40			
% Impurities								
Unspecified impurity	BQL	BQL	BQL	BQL	BQL			

#### Table 20 Robustness observations

#### **III.** CONCLUSIONS

In this present work a new simple, selective, linear, precise, accurate and robust HPLC method was developed and validated for the simultaneous estimation of amlodipine and Metoprolol with their impurities in Pharmaceutical dosage form in accordance with the ICH guidelines. This developed method was novel and rapid giving good resolution among amlodipine and Metoprolol with their impurities. Thus, this method can be useful for the routine analysis of amlodipine and Metoprolol with their impurities in pharmaceutical tablet dosage form.

#### IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are thankful to Medley Pharmaceuticals Ltd, R&D Centre, Mumbai (India) for providing facilities, test samples and API for research work.

#### References

- 1. Jain PS, Patel MK, Bari SB, Surana SJ. 2012. Development and Validation of HPTLC Method for Simultaneous Determination of Amlodipine Besylate and Metoprolol Succinate in Bulk and Tablets. Indian J Pharm Sci.,74(2):152-156.
- 2. Chaudhari BG, Patel NM, Shah PB. 2007. Stability indicating RP-HPLC method for simultaneous determination of atorvastatin and amlodipine from their combination drug products. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)., 55(2):241-246.
- 3. Seshadri RK, Desai MM, Raghavaraju TV, Krishnan D, Rao DV, Chakravarthy IE. 2010. Simultaneous quantitative determination of metoprolol, atorvastatin and ramipril in capsules by a validated stability-indicating RP-UPLC method. Sci Pharm.,78(4):821-834.
- 4. Varma D, Rao AL, Dinda SC. 2012. Validated Stability Indicating HPLC method for simultaneous determination of Amlodipine and Metoprolol in bulk drug and pharmaceutical formulations. International journal of pharmacy and chemistry., 2(3):876-884.

- Mabrouk MM, Hammad SF, El-Malla SF, Elshenawy EA. 2018. Simultaneous determination of amlodipine and metoprolol in their combined dosage form using a synchronous fluorescence spectrofluorimetric method. Luminescence., 33(2):364-369.
- 6. Johannsen JO, Reuter H, Hoffmann F, Blaich C, Wiesen MHJ, Streichert T, Müller C. 2019. liable and easy-to-use LC-MS/MS-method for simultaneous determination of the antihypertensives metoprolol, amlodipine, canrenone and hydrochlorothiazide in patients with therapy-refractory arterial hypertension. J Pharm Biomed Anal., 164:373-381.
- 7. Courlet P, Spaggiari D, Desfontaine V, Cavassini M, Alves Saldanha S, Buclin T, Marzolini C, Csajka C, Decosterd LA. 2019. UHPLC-MS/MS assay for simultaneous determination of amlodipine, metoprolol, pravastatin, rosuvastatin, atorvastatin with its active metabolites in human plasma, for population-scale drug-drug interactions studies in people living with HIV. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci.,1125:121733.
- 8. Sarkar AK, Ghosh D, Das A, Selvan PS, Gowda KV, Mandal U, Bose A, Agarwal S, Bhaumik U, Pal TK. 2008. Simultaneous determination of metoprolol succinate and amlodipine besylate in human plasma by liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry method and its application in bioequivalence study. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci., 873(1):77-85.
- 9. Dongre VG, Shah SB, Karmuse PP, Phadke M, Jadhav VK. 2008. Simultaneous determination of metoprolol succinate and amlodipine besylate in pharmaceutical dosage form by HPLC. J Pharm Biomed Anal., 46(3):583-586.
- 10. Qian Z, Le J, Chen X, Li S, Song H, Hong Z. 2018. High-throughput LC-MS/MS method with 96-well plate precipitation for the determination of arotinolol and amlodipine in a small volume of rat plasma: Application to a pharmacokinetic interaction study. J Sep Sci., 41(3):618-629.
- 11. Shitole S., Gurjar M., Shah M., Pimple S., Bal G., Patel R., 2014. Development and Validation of Stability-Indicating RP-UPLC Method for Simultaneous Determination of Related Substances of S(-)Amlodipine and S(-)Metoprolol Succinate in Fixed Dose Combination Tablet Dosage Form, Chromatography Research International, 2014, Article ID 874587, 9 pages.
- 12. ICH, Q2(R1), validation of analytical procedure: Text and methodology.