An inventory model using trapezoidal fuzzy number

Vikramjeet Singh⁽¹⁾, Nitin Kumar Mishra^{*(2)}, Sanjay Mishra⁽³⁾, Pushpinder Singh⁽⁴⁾, Seema Saxena⁽⁵⁾

^{2,3,5}Department of Mathematics, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab(India) 144411.

¹Department of Mathematics, IKGPTU Campus, Batala, Punjab(India) 143506.

⁴Department of civil engineering University of windsor, Windsor, Canada.

Abstract

An inventory model is fuzzyfied by assuming trapezoidal fuzzy number. The present model is having quadratic demand in a planning horizon which is finite. The model is returned to crisp model using signed distance method. One example is solved to identify the conclusion.

Keywords: Inventory, trapezoidal fuzzy number, Finite Planning Horizon..

1. Introduction

For some conditions which abrupt the certainty of an inventory model cannot be neglected, rather giving due weightage by taking the parameters as trapezoidal fuzzy numbers can suffice the requirement. Fuzzy set was introduced in 1965 by [13]. [3] can be referred for the concept of fuzzy arithmetic. Presented a paper with inventory model without shortages using trapezoidal fuzzy number by [2]. [2] used signed distance method as well as Graded mean integration method for defuzziffication. [1] formulated the infinite production fuzzy inventory model for time-deteriorating products. [1] studied their model taking setup cost, holding cost and demand as fuzzy numbers.

We have discussed an inventory model considering lost sale due to shortage with all parameters as trapezoidal fuzzy number.

Segment 2 is for presumptions. Segment 3 is for formulation and solution of model. In segment 4 a numerical example with its solution is given. Conclusion of the present model has been discussed in the segment 5.

2. Presumptions

This is a further study on model given [8]. Here all the variables are taken as trapezoidal fuzzy number and the defuzzified using signed distance method. Parameter related to supplier are also taken as trapezoidal fuzzy number. Thus we can say that total cost is now fuzzy in which parameters are trapezoidal fuzzy number.

3. Proposed model

As already mentioned we have proposed trapezoidal fuzzy number for the existing [8] model. As given in [1] the defuzzyfication can be done both by signed distance method and Graded mean integration method we have opted for signed distance method.

Inventory Level



Figure 1. Graphical representation of Inventory Model

4. Numerical Example

For each parameter the value for trapezoidal tuplets are as follows:- $hr_1 = 2.8$, $hr_2 = 2.9$, $hr_3 = 3.1$, $hr_4 = 3.2$, $a_1 = 7 - 0.2$, $a_2 = 7 - 0.1$, $a_3 = 7 + 0.1$, $a_4 = 7 + 0.2$, $b_1 = 4.8$, $b_2 = 4.9$, $b_3 = 5.1$, $b_4 = 5.2$, $c_1 = 0.98$, $c_2 = 0.99$, $c_3 = 1.01$, $c_4 = 1.02$, $W_1 = 0.28$, $W_2 = 0.29$, $W_3 = 0.31$, $W_4 = 0.32$, $S_1 = 2 - 0.2$, $S_2 = 2 - 0.1$, $S_3 = 2 + 0.1$, $S_4 = 2 + 0.2$, $l_1 = 11.5$, $l_2 = 11.75$, $l_3 = 12.25$, $l_4 = 12.5$, $\alpha_1 = 0.001$, $\alpha_2 = 0.0015$, $\alpha_3 = 0.0025$, $\alpha_4 = 0.003$, $\delta_1 = 5.8$, $\delta_2 = 5.9$, $\delta_3 = 6.1$, $\delta_4 = 6.2$, $\theta_1 = 0.18$, $\theta_2 = 0.19$, $\theta_3 = 0.21$, $\theta_4 = 0.22$.

Table 1 displays optimal total cost of retailer when every variable is trapezoidal fuzzy number and the model is solved using method. The optimal cost is obtained when the retailer will opt for 4 cycles. In Table 2 and 3 it is shown in bold font the time period of replenishment and shortage start time in a cycle.

	TCr ^{ind}								
$ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow \\ n_1 \ \tilde{\alpha} \end{array} $	1	1 2 3 4 5							
0.002	546.0 15	382.3 34	322.3 28	308.6 53	316.8 2				

Table 1. Retailers total cost

Table 2. Time period of replenishment

1	2.1982						
2	0.957162	2.72741					
3	0.384274	1.89177	3.0676 9				
4	0.191333	1.44562	2.4417 9	3.2909			
5	0.120794	1.18088	2.0391 8	2.7774 9	3.4344 2		
6	0.087107 7	1.00307	1.7573 6	2.4118 3	2.9971 8	3.5311 8	
7	0.067804	0.87406 2	1.5477 2	2.1368 2	2.6662 7	3.1508 5	3.6001 6



T	able	e 3.	Comn	nencen	nent o	f shortage
-						

No. of cycle s	<i>s</i> ₁	<i>s</i> ₂	s 3	S4	<i>s</i> ₅	<i>s</i> ₆	<i>s</i> ₇	<i>s</i> ₈
1	0	4.						
2	0	2.56186	4.				5/	
3	0	1.77028	2.9874 1	4.				
4	0	1.35691	2.3793	3.2409 4	4.			
5	0	1.11254	1.9885 5	2.7360 7	3.3987 6	4.		
6	0	0.94773 8	1.7148 1	2.3763 7	2.9663 2	3.5035 8	4.	
7	0	0.82761	1.5109 7	2.1057 4	2.6389 8	3.1263	3.5776 9	4.

Suppliers total optimal cost is obtained for 2 number of cycles as shown in Table 4. In Table 5 and 6 it is shown in bold font the time period of replenishment and shortage start time in a cycle when supplier takes over. Table 7a, 7b, 7c and 7c is same as table in [8].

Table 4. Suppliers total cost

	\widetilde{TC}_{s}^{d}								
Ļ	1	2	3	4					
$\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ n_1 \ \tilde{a} \end{array}$									
0.96	378.26 8	333.3 2	389.3 86	492.2 22					

Table 5. Time period of replenishment

No. of cycle s	<i>t</i> ₁	<i>t</i> ₂			<i>t</i> 5		t ₇
1	2.1982			4			
2	0.957162	2.72741	, Cr				
3	0.384274	1.89177	3.0676 9				
4	0.191333	1.44562	2.4417 9	3.2909			
5	0.120794	1.18088	2.0391 8	2.7 <mark>774</mark> 9	3.4344 2	E	
6	0.087107 7	1.00307	1.7573 6	2.4118 3	2.9971 8	3.5311 8	
7	0.067804	0.87406 2	1.5477 2	2.1368 2	2.6662 7	3.1508 5	3.6001 6

Table 6. Commencement of shortage

No. of cycle s	<i>t</i> ₁	t ₂	t ₃	t ₄	t ₅	t ₆	t ₇
1	2.1982						
2	0.957162	2.72741					

© 2019 JETIR January 2019, Volume 6, Issue 1

3	0.384274	1.89177	3.0676 9				
4	0.191333	1.44562	2.4417 9	3.2909			
5	0.120794	1.18088	2.0391 8	2.7774 9	3.4344 2		
6	0.087107 7	1.00307	1.7573 6	2.4118 3	2.9971 8	3.5311 8	
7	0.067804	0.87406 2	1.5477 2	2.1368 2	2.6662 7	3.1508 5	3.6001 6

Table 7a. Table for five different values of \tilde{a}

ã	\widetilde{TC}_r^{do}	\widetilde{TC}_{s}^{do}	$ ilde{n}^{do}_2$	$\widetilde{Q^{do}}$
0.0016	308.653	492.222	4	40.6747
0.0018	308.676	492.223	4	40.6776
0.0022	308.721	492.225	4	40.6836
0.0024	308.744	492.226	4	40.6866
0.002	308.698	492.224	4	40.6806

Table 7b. Table for five different values of \tilde{a}

ã	Min Credit	Max Credit	Average
	period rate	period rate	Credit
			period rate
0.0016	0.4833	1.533 <mark>21</mark>	1.00825
0.0018	0.483542	1.5332 <mark>2</mark>	1.00838
0.0022	0.484026	1.53325	1.00864
0.0024	0.484268	1.53327	1.00877
0.002	0.483784	1.53324	1.00851
0.002	0.403/04	1.55524	1.00051

Table 7c. Table for five different values of \tilde{a}

ã	\widetilde{TC}_{r}^{co}	\widetilde{TC}_{s}^{co}	$ ilde{n}_2^{do}$	$\widetilde{O^{do}}$	%	%
		5	-		change	change
					of ret.	of
					total	sup.
					cost	total
						cost
0.0016	224.873	417.065	2	65.3463	27.1436	15.269
0.0018	224.913	417.084	2	65.345	27.136	15.2653
0.0022	224.994	417.121	2	65.3424	27.1208	15.2579
0.0024	225.034	417.14	2	65.3411	27.1131	15.2543
0.002	224.954	417.103	2	65.3437	27.1284	15.2616





 $\tilde{\alpha} = 1510$



Figure 4. Total profit by retailer



Figure 5. Total profit by retailer

5. Conclusion

The convexity graph of retailer and supplier is shown in Figure 2 and 3. Also it is concluded from figure 4 and 5 that total profit percent decreases with increase in $\tilde{\alpha}$. The model discretely puts stress on the importance of considering fuzzyness in the inventory theory. The numerical example is illustrated for the validation of this fuzzy model. The present model can be extended considering ination such as in [12, 11, 7, 6, 11] or by greening of the model as in [9, 5, 10] or further fuzzyfication by [4].

References

[1].N. P. Behera and P. K. Tripathy. Fuzzy eoq model for time deteriorating items using penalty cost. American Journal of Operational Research, 6(1):1-8, 2016.

- [2]. D. Dutta and Pavan Kumar. Fuzzy inventory model without shortage using trapezoidal fuzzy number with sensitivity analysis. IOSR Journal of mathematics, 4(3):32-37, 2012.
- [3]. Arnold Kaufman and Madan M Gupta. Introduction to fuzzy arithmetic. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company New York, 1991.
- [4]. Seema Saxena, Vikramjeet Singh, Rajesh Kumar Gupta, Nitin Kumar Mishra, and Pushpinder Singh. Green inventory supply chain model with ination under permissible delay in finite planning horizon.
- [5]. Pushpinder Singh, Nitin Kumar Mishra, Manoj Kumar, Seema Saxena, and Vikramjeet Singh. Risk analysis of ood disaster based on similarity measures in picture fuzzy environment. Afrika Matematika, 29(7-8):1019-1038, 2018.
- [6]. Pushpinder Singh, Nitin Kumar Mishra, Vikramjeet Singh, and Seema Saxena. An eoq model of time quadratic and inventory dependent demand for deteriorated items with partially backlogged shortages under trade credit. In AIP Conference Proceedings, volume 1860, page 020037. AIP Publishing,2017.
- [7]. Vikramjeet Singh, Seema Saxena, Rajesh Kumar Gupta, Nitin Kumar Mishra, and Pushpinder Singh. A supply chain model with deteriorating items under ination. In 2018 4th International Conference on Computing Sciences (ICCS), pages 119-125. IEEE, 2018.
- [8]. Vikramjeet Singh, Seema Saxena, Pushpinder Singh, and Nitin Kumar Mishra. Replenishment policy for an inventory model under ination. In AIP Conference Proceedings, volume 1860, page 020035. AIP Publishing, 2017.
- [9]. Lotfi A Zadeh. Fuzzy sets. Information and control, 8(3):338-353, 1965.