# An inventory model using trapezoidal fuzzy number defuzzyfied with graded mean integration method

Sanjay Mishra<sup>(1)</sup>, Nitin Kumar Mishra<sup>\*(2)</sup>, Vikramjeet Singh<sup>(3)</sup>, Pushpinder Singh<sup>(4)</sup>, Seema Saxena<sup>(5)</sup>

<sup>1,2,5</sup>Department of Mathematics, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara , Punjab(India) 144411.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, IKGPTU Campus, Batala, Punjab(India) 143506.

<sup>4</sup>Department of civil engineering University of windsor, Windsor, Canada.

# Abstract

The inventory model with trapezoidal fuzzy number has been studied. The model is an extended model. Shortage and backlog is taken into account. This model is defuzzyfied with graded mean integration method.

Keywords: Fuzzy, Trapezoidal fuzzy number, Graded mean integration method.

# 1. Introduction

The 1965 paper suggested fuzzy set which was authored by [16] and for fuzzy arithmetic concept [4] has presented a paper. Optimal policy for deteriorating items with trapezoidal fuzzy demand and partial backlogging was given by [2]. Also, trapezoidal demand rate was considered by [6]. An inventory model with trapezoidal demand was discussed by [15]. [3] established an inventory model using trapezoidal fuzzy number. [1] formulated the infinite production fuzzy inventory model for timedeteriorating products. [1] studied their model taking setup cost, holding cost and demand as fuzzy numbers.

Segment 2 is for presumptions. Segment 3 is for formulation and solution of model. In segment 4 a numerical example with its solution is given. Conclusion of the present model has been discussed in the segment 5. 2. Presumptions

Here in this paper we have discussed the extension of [10]. Solution to [10] is established considering each parameter as trapezoidal fuzzy number and have used Graded mean integration method for defuzzification.

# 3. Proposed model

As mentioned in 2 we propose trapezoidal fuzzy number for the existing [10] model. Following [1] the defuzzyfication is done by Graded mean integration method. Inventory

Level



Figure 1. Graphical representation of Inventory Model

#### 4. Numerical Example

For each parameter the value for trapezoidal tuplets are as follows:-  $Sr_1 = 36$ ,  $Sr_2 = 38$ ,  $Sr_3 = 42$ ,  $Sr_4 = 44$ ,  $Ss_1 = 116$ ,  $Ss_2 = 118$ ,  $Ss_3 = 122$ ,  $Ss_4 = 124$ , H = 4,  $Cs_1 = 0.28$ ,  $Cs_2 = 0.29$ ,  $Cs_3 = 0.31$ ,  $Cs_4 = 0.32$ ,  $hc_1 = 1$ ,  $hc_2 = 1.15$ ,  $hc_3 = 1.25$ ,  $hc_4 = 1.4$ ,  $hr_1 = 2.8$ ,  $hr_2 = 2.9$ ,  $hr_3 = 3.1$ ,  $hr_4 = 3.2$ ,  $a_1 = 7 - 0.2$ ,  $a_2 = 7 - 0.1$ ,  $a_3 = 7 + 0.1$ ,  $a_4 = 7 + 0.2$ ,  $b_1 = 4.8$ ,  $b_2 = 4.9$ ,  $b_3 = 5.1$ ,  $b_4 = 5.2$ ,  $c_1 = 0.98$ ,  $c_2 = 0.99$ ,  $c_3 = 1.01$ ,  $c_4 = 1.02$ ,  $W_1 = 0.28$ ,  $W_2 = 0.29$ ,  $W_3 = 0.31$ ,  $W_4 = 0.32$ ,  $S_1 = 2 - 0.2$ ,  $S_2 = 2 - 0.1$ ,  $S_3 = 2 + 0.1$ ,  $S_4 = 2 + 0.2$ ,  $l_1 = 11.5$ ,  $l_2 = 11.75$ ,  $l_3 = 12.25$ ,  $l_4 = 12.5$ ,  $a_1 = 0.001$ ,  $a_2 = 0.0015$ ,  $a_3 = 0.0025$ ,  $a_4 = 0.003$ ,  $\delta_1 = 5.8$ ,  $\delta_2 = 5.9$ ,  $\delta_3 = 6.1$ ,  $\delta_4 = 6.2$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.18$ ,  $\theta_2 = 0.19$ ,  $\theta_3 = 0.21$ ,  $\theta_4 = 0.22$ 

Table 1 confirms optimal total cost of retailer when all the parameters are trapezoidal fuzzy number. The present model is solved using Graded mean integration method. The optimal cost is reached for 4 cycles by the retailer. In Table 2 and 3 this is shown in bold font the time of replenishment and shortage commencement in each cycle.

	TCr <sup>ind</sup>									
Ļ	1	2	3	4	5					
$\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ n_1 \ \widetilde{lpha} \end{array}$										
0.002	545.97 1	382.31 3	322.31	308.63 5	316.8 03					

Table 1	. Retailers	total cost
---------	-------------	------------

Table 2. Time period of replenishment

No. of cycles	$t_1$	$t_2$	t <sub>3</sub>	$t_4$	$t_5$	t <sub>6</sub>	$t_7$
1	2.19788						

#### © 2019 JETIR January 2019, Volume 6, Issue 1

2	0.956851	2.7273					
3	0.384126	1.8917	3.06766				
4	0.191287	1.44561	2.44179	3.2909			
5	0.120778	1.18088	2.03919	2.7775	3.43443		
6	0.0871021	1.00308	1.75737	2.41184	2.99719	3.53119	
7	0.0678026	0.874069	1.54773	2.13683	2.66628	3.15086	3.60016

Table 3. Commencement of shortage

No. of cycles	<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>S</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>5</sub>	<i>S</i> <sub>6</sub>	\$ <sub>7</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>8</sub>
	0	4.				IK		
1								
_	0	2.56176	3.99999					
2								
_	0	1.77022	2.98739	4.				
3			.6					
_	0	1.3569	2.3793	3.24094	4.			
4								
	0	1.11254	1.98856	2.73608	3.39877	4.		
5								
	0	0.947743	1.71482	2.3763 <mark>8</mark>	2.96633	3.50359	4.	
6								
	0	0.827616	1.51098	2.10575	2.63899	3.1263	3.57769	4.
7								

Suppliers total optimal cost is for two number of cycles as shown in Table 4. In Table 5 and 6 it is shown in bold font the time period of replenishment and shortage start time in a cycle when it is a centralized case. Table 7a, 7b, 7c and 7c are constructed on the same lines as done in [10].

Table 4	Suppliers	total cost
---------	-----------	------------

	$\widetilde{TC}^d_s$									
$\rightarrow$	1	2	3	4						
$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{n_1 \  ilde{lpha}}$										
0.002	378.249	333.32	389.386	492.221						

No. of cycles	t <sub>1</sub>	t <sub>2</sub>	$t_3$	$t_4$	$t_5$	t <sub>6</sub>	$t_7$
1	2.19788						
2	0.956851	2.7273					
3	0.384126	1.8917	3.06766				
4	0.191287	1.44561	2.44179	3.2909			
5	0.120778	1.18088	2.03919	2.7775	3.43443		
6	0.0871021	1.00308	1.75737	2.41184	2.99719	3.53119	
7	0.0678026	0.874069	1.54773	2.13683	2.66628	3.15086	3.60016

Table 5. Time period of replenishment

Table 6. Commencement of shortage

No. of cycles	<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> 3	<b>S</b> 4	<b>S</b> 5	<i>s</i> <sub>6</sub>	<b>s</b> 7	<b>s</b> 8
1	0	4.						
2	0	2.56176	3.99999				9	
3	0	1.77022	2.98739	4.				
4	0	1.3569	2.3793	3.24094	4.			
5	0	1.11254	1.98856	2.73608	3.39877	4.		
6	0	0.947743	1.71482	2.37638	2.96633	3.50359	4.	
7	0	0.827616	1.51098	2.10575	2.63899	3.1263	3.57769	4.

**Table 7a.** Table for five different values of  $\tilde{\alpha}$ 

ã	$\widetilde{TC}_r^{do}$	$\widetilde{TC}_{s}^{do}$	$ ilde{n}^{do}_2$	$\widetilde{Q^{do}}$
0.0016	308.635	492.221	4	40.6843
0.0018	308.658	492.222	4	40.6873
0.0022	308.703	492.224	4	40.6933
0.0024	308.726	492.225	4	40.6963
0.002	308.681	492.223	4	40.6903

ã	Min Credit period rate	Max Credit period rate	Average Credit period rate
0.0016	0.480323	1.52199	1.00116
0.0018	0.480564	1.522	1.00128
0.0022	0.481045	1.52203	1.00154
0.0024	0.481286	1.52205	1.00167
0.002	0.480805	1.52202	1.00141

**Table 7b.** Table for five different values of  $\tilde{\alpha}$ 

**Table 7c.** Table for five different values of  $\tilde{\alpha}$ 

ã	$\widetilde{TC}_{r}^{co}$	$\widetilde{TC}_{s}^{co}$	$ ilde{n}^{do}_2$	$\widetilde{O^{do}}$	%	%
		5	-	t	change	change
					of ret.	of
					total	sup.
					cost	total
						cost
0.0016	226.034	415.894	2	65.3798	-26.7635	15.5067
0.0018	226.074	415.912	2	65.3784	26.7559	15.5031
0.0022	226.154	415.95	2	65.3758	26.7407	15.4958
0.0024	226.194	415.969	2	65.3745	26.733	15.4921
0.002	226.114	415.931	2	65.37 <mark>7</mark> 1	26.7483	15.4994







Figure 4. Credit period rate against  $\tilde{\alpha}$ 



Figure 5. Total quantity against  $\tilde{\alpha}$ 

# 5. Conclusion

The total cost is a convex graph as shown in Figure 2 and 3. From figure 4 we see that credit period rate increases with  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . From figure 5 we see that the total quantity to be ordered decreases with  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Same numerical example as in [10] but with fuzzy values is solved here. The present model can be further studied for ination such as in [14, 13, 9, 8, 13] or greening can be introduced as in [11, 7, 12] or further analysis with fuzzyfication can be done as in [5].

# **References**

- [1]. N. P. Behera and P. K. Tripathy. Fuzzy eoq model for time deteriorating items using penalty cost. American Journal of Operational Research, 6(1):1-8, 2016.
- [2]. Mingbao Cheng, Bixi Zhang, and Guoqing Wang. Optimal policy for deteriorating items with trapezoidal type demand and partial backlogging. Appl. Math. Model., 35(7):3552-3560, 2011.
- [3]. D. Dutta and Pavan Kumar. Fuzzy inventory model without shortage using trapezoidal fuzzy number with sensitivity analysis. IOSR Journal of mathematics, 4(3):32-37, 2012.
- [4]. Arnold Kaufman and Madan M Gupta. Introduction to fuzzy arithmetic. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company New York, 1991.
- [5]. R Uthayakumar M Rameswari. An Economic Production Quantity Model for Defective Items with Trapezoidal type Demand Rate. pages 1055-1079, 2012.
- [6]. Seema Saxena, Vikramjeet Singh, Rajesh Kumar Gupta, Nitin Kumar Mishra, and Pushpinder Singh. Green inventory supply chain model with ination under permissible delay in finite planning horizon.
- [7]. Pushpinder Singh, Nitin Kumar Mishra, Manoj Kumar, Seema Saxena, and Vikramjeet Singh. Risk analysis of ood disaster based on similarity measures in picture fuzzy environment. Afrika Matematika, 29(7-8):1019-1038, 2018.
- [8]. Pushpinder Singh, Nitin Kumar Mishra, Vikramjeet Singh, and Seema Saxena. An eoq model of time quadratic and inventory dependent demand for deteriorated items with partially backlogged shortages under trade credit. In AIP Conference Proceedings, volume 1860, page 020037. AIP Publishing, 2017.
- [9]. Vikramjeet Singh, Seema Saxena, Rajesh Kumar Gupta, Nitin Kumar Mishra, and Pushpinder Singh. A supply chain model with deteriorating items under ination. In 2018 4th International Conference on Computing Sciences (ICCS), pages 119-125. IEEE, 2018.
- [10].Vikramjeet Singh, Seema Saxena, Pushpinder Singh, and Nitin Kumar Mishra. Replenishment policy for an inventory model under ination. In AIP Conference Proceedings, volume 1860, page 020035. AIP Publishing, 2017.
- [11]. H. M. Srivastava. An inventory model for ameliorating/deteriorating items with trapezoidal demand and complete backlogging under ination and time discounting. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 40(8):2980-2993, 2017.
- [12]. Lotfi A Zadeh. Fuzzy sets. Information and control, 8(3):338-353, 1965.

