

# INFLUENCE OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND POST HARVEST SOIL AVAILABLE STATUS OF HYBRID MAIZE

Stalin.P, Saravanan.V, G.Murugan, A.Balasubramanian and M.Saravanaperumal.

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University,  
Annamalai Nagar-608 002 (Tamilnadu), India.

## Abstract

Field experiments were conducted to study the influence of integrated nutrient management practices on productivity of hybrid maize at the Experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu. The treatments were tested in RBD and replicated thrice. The effect of application of 150 % 'N' ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + ( S.T +S.A) *Azospirillum* favourably influence the productivity of hybrid maize in the highest cob yield per hectare, which was higher than that of the control. Among the various treatments, treatment T<sub>7</sub> - 150 % N ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + seed treatment and soil application of *Azospirillum* significantly recorded the highest uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium.

The post harvest available nutrients were also significantly influenced by integrated nutrient management practices. Among the various treatments, treatment T<sub>7</sub> 150 % N ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + seed treatment and soil application of *Azospirillum* significantly recorded the highest post harvest soil available nutrients of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. The economic analysis of various treatments imposed revealed that application of 150 % 'N' ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + (S.T +S.A) *Azospirillum* recorded the highest net return of Rs. 53066 which ultimately resulted in registering the highest net rupee invested of 2.67

## Introduction

Maize ( *Zea mays* L.) is the third important cereal crop next to rice and wheat in the world. Maize has been an important cereal crop because of its high production potential and it is an efficient converter of solar energy into dry matter. Compared to any other cereal crop it has adaptability to wide range of environments and the crop has very high genetic yield potential, hence called as the “ Queen of cereals”. Besides being a potential source of food for human being, it is also used for feeding cattle, poultry and industries for the production of starch, syrup, alcohol, acetic acid, lactic acid, etc .Globally maize is grown over an area of 168 million hectares with a production of 945.8 million tonnes. In India, maize occupies an area of 8.67 million hectares with a production of 21.73 million tonnes and the productivity of 2.54 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Maize being a C<sub>4</sub> plant

has high yield potential and depends upon nutrient supplying capacity of the soil. However, its potential could not be utilized fully due to lack of proper agronomic management practices like nutrient management, season and variety.

The productivity of maize is highly dependent on its nutrient management. It is well known that maize is a heavy feeder of nutrients. Sustainable yield levels could be achieved only by applying appropriate combination of organic manures and chemical fertilizers. The rising prices and lack of availability of inorganic fertilizer at right time to the farmers due to poor transport facility necessitates some alternative ways of nutrient supply and more over the mineral fertilizers alone cannot meet the requirement of crops in a cropping system. Hence with the integration of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers sustainable yield levels could be achieved.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted in the Experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai nagar with hybrid maize. The treatments comprised of

- T<sub>1</sub> - Control ( no fertilizer and no organic manure )
- T<sub>2</sub> – 100 % ‘N ‘ through fertilizer (RDN )
- T<sub>3</sub> – 125 % ‘N ‘ through fertilizer (RDN )
- T<sub>4</sub> – 150 % ‘N’ through fertilizer (RDN )
- T<sub>5</sub> – 100 % ‘N ‘ ( 50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % N through FYM ) + ( S.T + S.A) *Azospirillum*
- T<sub>6</sub> – 125 % ‘ N ‘ (62.5 % N through fertilizer + 62.5 % N through FYM ) + ( S.T + S.A) *Azospirillum*
- T<sub>7</sub> – 150 % ‘ N’ (75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + (S.T + S.A 0 *Azospirillum*
- T<sub>8</sub> – 100 % ‘N ‘ ( 50 % N through fertilizer + 50 % N through vermicompost ) + ( S.T + S.A ) *Azospirillum*
- T<sub>9</sub> – 125 % ‘N ‘ ( 62.5 % N through fertilizer + 62.5 % N through vermicompost ) + ( S.T + S.A)*Azospirillum*.
- T<sub>10</sub> - 150 % N ( 75 % N ythrough fertilizer + 75 % N through vermicompost ) + S.T + S.A ( *Azospirillum* )

\* S.T - Seed treatment

\* S.A - Seed application

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Yield attributes

The yield potential of hybrid maize is determined by the yield attributes and the values of the yield attributes were in accordance with that of growth parameters. Among the various treatments imposed the

treatments with 150 % 'N ' ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + seed treatment and soil application of *Azospirillum* (T<sub>7</sub>) exhibited an accelerated effect on yield attributes due to supply of mineral N , which was dominant at early stage of crop growth and its rapid nutrient availability and supply to the crop. This is due to supplemental supply of N by *Azospirillum*, which also promoted the crop growth and development. This improvement in yield parameters in maize was observed by Huang et al., (2007 ). Who also observed higher yield parameters in hybrid maize due to integrated use of inorganic fertilizer, FYM along with biofertilizer over the control. Similar results were also recorded by Sharma et al., (2005 ).

**Table 1. Effect of INM on the Yield parameters of Hybrid Maize**

Treatments	Cob length ( cm )	Cob diameter ( cm )	No. of grains per cob	Cob yield ( Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield ( Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	13.16	3.48	140.70	2640	5178
T <sub>2</sub>	14.64	4.06	251.52	3582	6783
T <sub>3</sub>	15.65	4.60	258.60	3868	7194
T <sub>4</sub>	15.92	5.02	268.07	4161	7580
T <sub>5</sub>	16.71	5.75	287.13	4751	8127
T <sub>6</sub>	17.54	6.39	308.28	5402	8869
T <sub>7</sub>	18.53	7.06	328.47	6341	9965
T <sub>8</sub>	16.19	5.30	277.53	4448	7854
T <sub>9</sub>	17.01	6.02	298.73	5071	8471
T <sub>10</sub>	17.90	6.64	317.74	5693	9139
S.Ed	0.12	0.11	4.36	131	120
CD (P = 0.05)	0.26	0.24	9.4	282	260

Among the various treatments, treatment T<sub>7</sub> 150 % N ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + seed treatment and soil application of *Azospirillum* significantly recorded the highest uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium

**Table 2. Effect of INM on the nutrient uptake of N,P & K on Hybrid maize**

Treatment	Nitrogen ( Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Phosphorous ( Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Potassium ( Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	89.05	35.14	104.30
T <sub>2</sub>	102.94	44.30	120.37
T <sub>3</sub>	116.52	51.24	143.10
T <sub>4</sub>	130.08	58.06	160.04
T <sub>5</sub>	158.65	71.56	194.37
T <sub>6</sub>	186.25	85.08	227.60
T <sub>7</sub>	215.02	98.96	261.52
T <sub>8</sub>	143.72	64.82	176.77
T <sub>9</sub>	172.56	78.64	210.84
T <sub>10</sub>	201.26	92.54	244.58
S. Ed	6.18	2.95	7.57
CD(P=0.05)	13.36	6.39	16.37

### Post- Harvest soil available nutrients

The post harvest available nutrients were also significantly influenced by integrated nutrient management practices. Among the various treatments, treatment T7 150 % N ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + seed treatment and soil application of *Azospirillum* significantly recorded the highest post harvest soil available nutrients of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium.

**Table 2. Effect of INM on Post harvest nutrient status of soil (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Treatments	Nitrogen(Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Phosphorus(Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Potassium(Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	85.05	9.51	98.62
T <sub>2</sub>	100.18	12.43	116.34
T <sub>3</sub>	112.30	15.72	125.82
T <sub>4</sub>	126.42	18.68	142.54
T <sub>5</sub>	156.48	24.69	157.22
T <sub>6</sub>	180.76	32.42	172.64
T <sub>7</sub>	202.82	38.43	190.52
T <sub>8</sub>	140.62	21.60	149.33
T <sub>9</sub>	170.02	28.77	164.89
T <sub>10</sub>	191.42	35.41	181.78
S.Ed	4.89	1.33	2.89
CD (p=0.05 )	10.58	2.89	6.26

### CONCLUSION

The results of the experiments revealed that the application of 150 % 'N ' ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + ( S.T +S.A ) *Azospirillum* favourably influenced the yield parameters viz ., cob length, cob diameter, number of grains per cob, cob yield, stover yield. The above treatment also projected an increased cob yield over the control. In the same treatment, Among the various treatments, treatment T<sub>7</sub> 150 % N ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + seed treatment and soil application of *Azospirillum* significantly recorded the highest uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. The post harvest available nutrients were also significantly influenced by integrated nutrient management practices. Among the various treatments, treatment T<sub>7</sub> 150 % N ( 75 % N through fertilizer + 75 % N through FYM ) + seed treatment and soil application of *Azospirillum* significantly recorded the highest post harvest soil available nutrients of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. In the above treatment the nutrient uptake and post harvest soil available

nutrients recorded the maximum values, without affecting the soil fertility while maintain the soil health and sustainability of hybrid maize crop.

## REFERENCES

Dubey, S., K.K. Purohit and S.K Sarawgi. 2006. Effect of integrated nitrogen management on yield and yield attributes of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.,) **J.Agrl.Issues** ,**11(2)**: 79-81.

Ekta joshi, V.Nepalia , Arvind Verma and Dilip Singh.2013. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, productivity (*Zea mays* ). **Indian J.Agron.**, **58(3)**:434-436.

Mahmood ,M.T., M. Maqsood , T.H. Awan and R.Sarwar. 2001. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and intra-row plant spacing on Yield and Yield components of maize. **Agrl.Sci.Pak.J.**, **38(2)**:48-49.

Nanjappa, H. V. 2001 . Effect of integrated nutrient management in yield and nutrient balance in maize. **Indian J. Agron .**, **46**: 698-701.

Ogola , J.B.O., T.R. Wheeler and P.M. Harris 2002. Effects of nitrogen and irrigation on water use of maize crops . **Field crops Res.**, **78**:105-117.

Ramasamy, P.K., K.Baskar and S. Ignacimuthu. 2011.Influence of vermicompost o kernal yield of maize (*Zea mays* L. ) **Elixir Intl.J.**, **36** : 3119 3121

Singh, D. P. and N. S.Singh. 1995. Influence of rate time of *Azolla caroliniana* inoculation on its growth and N fixation and yield of rice. **Annals Agric. Res.**, **65** (1) : 10 -16.

Suryavanshi, V.P., B.N. Chavan, V.T. J adhav and M.I.A. Baig. 2008. Response of maize to nitrogen and phosphorus application in vertisols. **Int. J. Trop. Agric.**, **(3-4)** : 293 -296.

Vidyavathi, G., S. Dasog, H.B. Babalad, N.S. Hebsur, S.K. Gali, S.G. Patil and A. R. Alagawadi. 2011. Influence of nutrient management practices on crop response and economics in different cropping systems in a vertisol. **Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.**, **24** (4):455 - 460.