

# Some Fixed point theorems in Complex valued metric Space using subcompatible mappings

Lekshmi S<sup>1</sup> and K.S.Dersanambika<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Mar Thoma College, Tiruvalla, Kerala

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Fatima Mata National College, Kollam, Kerala

## Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to establish fixed point theorems for subcompatible mappings.

**Keywords:** Complex valued metric space, Subcompatible maps, fixed point

## 1 Introduction

Banach contraction principle was the milestone of many research field of non-linear analysis. In 2011, the concept of complex valued metric space was introduced by Azam et.al. Banach fixed point theorem [2] in a complete metric space has been generalised in so many spaces.

Recently in 2009, using the concept of sub compatible maps, H.Bouhadjera et.al.[3] proved common fixed point theorems. In 2010 and 2011, B. Singh et.al. [13] proved fixed point theorems in Fuzzy metric space and Menger space using the concept of semi-compatibility, weak compatibility and compatibility of type  $(\beta)$  and weakly compatible maps. Different authors used concept of weakly compatibility and occasionally weakly compatibility in different space such as fuzzy metric space, menger space etc to prove fixed point theorems.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce concept of subcompatible maps and  $\alpha$ -subcompatible maps in complex valued metric space and we obtain some fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space,.

## 2 Preliminary Notes

Here we will give the definitions, examples and results which will be used in the following section

### Definition 1

Let be the set of complex numbers and let  $z, w \in C$ .

Define a partial order relation  $\leq$  on  $C$  and  $z, w \in C$ ,  $z \leq w$  if and only if  $Re z \leq Re w$  and  $Im z \leq Im w$

**Definition 2** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. Suppose that the mapping  $d: X \times X \setminus \{o\} \rightarrow C$  is a metric on  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions

(CM1)  $d(x, y) > 0$  and  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$

(CM2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$

(CM3)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  Then  $d$  is called a complex valued metric and  $(X, d)$  is a complex valued metric space.

**Example 3** Let  $X$  be a complex valued space. Consider

$d(z, w) = |z - w|$ , Then  $d$  is a complex valued metric and  $(X, d)$  is a complex valued metric space.

**Definition 4** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex valued metric space

1. If  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  and  $x \in X$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be convergent sequence, if  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$  we denote this by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ ;

2. If  $c \in X$  with  $0 \leq c$  there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $d(x_n, x_m) \leq c$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence;
3. If for every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent then  $(X, d)$  is said to be a complete complex valued metric space

**Example 5** If  $(X, d)$  is a metric space then the metric  $d$  induces a mapping  $d: X \times X \rightarrow C$ , defined by  $d(x, y) = |x_1 - x_2| + i|y_1 - y_2|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complex valued metric space

**Definition 6** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self-maps on a set  $X$ , if  $w = fx = gx$  for some  $x \in X$ , then  $x$  is called a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $w$  is called a point of coincidence of  $f$  and  $g$

**Definition 7** Let  $f$  and  $g$  are self-maps defined on a set  $X$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points.

**Definition 8** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  be mappings. A pair  $(f, g)$  is called weakly compatible if  $x \in X, fx = gx$  implies

$$fgx = gfx$$

**Definition 9** Two self maps  $A$  and  $S$  of a complex valued metric space  $(X, d)$  is called weakly commuting if  $d(ASx, SAx) \geq d(Ax, Sx)$  for all  $x \in X$

**Definition 10** Two maps  $A$  and  $S$  of a complex valued metric space  $(X, d)$  is called  $R$ -weakly commuting if there exist  $R > 0$  such that  $d(ASx, SAx) \geq Rd(Ax, Sx)$

**Definition 11** Two self maps  $A$  and  $S$  on a complex valued metric space are said to be reciprocal continuous if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Au$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = Su$

### 3 Main Results

**Definition 1** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two selfmaps of a complex valued metric space  $(X, d)$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be subcompatible maps if and only if there exist a sequence  $x_n$  in  $X$  such that  $d(fx_n, z) = d(gx_n, z) \leq c$

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex valued metric space. Suppose that the mapping  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  are subcompatible and satisfies

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha d(fx, gx) + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(fy, gy) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha - \gamma + \alpha\beta + \beta \leq 1. \text{ Then } f \text{ and } g \text{ have a a unique common fixed point.}$$

**Proof:**

Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary we define a sequence such that  $fx_n = gx_{n+1}$  for all  $n > 0$  Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &= d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha d(fx_n, gx_n) + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) \\ (1-\alpha)d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &\leq \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &= d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) \\ d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) &\leq hd(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &\leq hd(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq h^2d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}) \\
 &\leq h^3d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-3}), \dots \\
 &\leq h^nd(gx_0, gx_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $m > n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(y_n, y_m) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\
 &\leq h^nd(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1}d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{m-1}d(y_0, y_1) \\
 &\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h}d(y_0, y_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|h| < 1, d(gx_n, gx_m) \rightarrow 0$  So  $(gx_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since complex valued metric space is complete, every Cauchy sequence converges.

So it converges to  $z$ .

That is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$ .

To prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $g$

For that consider as  $n \rightarrow \infty, d(gz, z) \rightarrow 0$

That is  $z$  is a fixed point of  $g$ .

Now to prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $f$ ,

For that consider

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, z) = d(fz, gx_n) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (d(fz, fx_n) - \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(fx_n, gx_n))$$

$$\text{As } n \rightarrow \infty d(fz, z) = d(fz, gx_n) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (d(fz, fz) - \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(fz, z))$$

$$\text{Hence } (1 - \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma})d(fz, z) \rightarrow 0$$

So  $z$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Then  $f$  and  $g$  has the common fixed point  $z$ .

Next we have to prove that the fixed point is unique.

Let  $z$  and  $z'$  are two fixed points, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, z') &= d(fz, fz') \\
 &\leq d(fz, fz') \\
 &\leq \alpha d(fz, gz) + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(fz', gz') \\
 &\leq \alpha d(z', z') + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(z, z') \\
 &\leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

That is  $d(z, z') = 0$  which implies  $z = z'$

Therefore the fixed point is unique.  $\square$

**Definition 3** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two self maps. Two self maps  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be  $\alpha$ -subcompatible if it satisfies

$$d(fx, fy) - d(gx, gy) \leq \alpha d(fx, gx)$$

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex valued metric space and

$f, g: X \rightarrow X$  be self-mappings and the pair  $(f, g)$  are  $\alpha$ -subcompatible. Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary we define a sequence such that

$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}$  for all  $n > 0$ ,

Also  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\alpha$ -subcompatible pair

we have  $d(fx, fy) - d(gx, gy) \leq \alpha d(fx, gx) \dots (1)$

Let  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$

If  $y_n = y_{n-1}$  for any  $n$ , then  $y_n = y_m$  for all  $m > n$  hence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

If  $y_{n-1} \neq y_n$  for all  $n$ , then from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) - d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) &\leq \alpha d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) \\ d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) &\leq \alpha d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n) + d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \\ (1-\alpha)d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)$$

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq h d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)$$

where  $h = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ . Repeating the process we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &\leq h d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq h^2 d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-2}) \\ &\leq h^3 d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-3}) \dots \\ &\leq h^n d(gx_0, gx_1) \end{aligned}$$

If  $m > n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_n, gx_m) &\leq d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \dots + d(gx_{m-1}, gx_m) \\ &\leq h^n d(gx_0, gx_1) + h^{n+1} d(gx_0, gx_1) + \dots + h^{m-1} d(gx_0, gx_1) \\ &\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|h| < 1, d(gx_n, gx_m) \rightarrow 0$  So  $(gx_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since complex valued metric space is complete, every Cauchy sequence converges. So it converges to  $z$ . That is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$ .

We claim that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $g$ ,

For that consider

That is,  $z$  is a fixed point of  $g$ .

We claim that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $f$ ,

For that consider

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lim } d(fz; z) &= d(fz; gx_n) + d(gx_n; z) \\ &= (1/\alpha) d(fx_n; gx_n) - d(fx_n; fx_n) + (1/\alpha) d(fx_n; fx_n) - d(fx_n; gx_n) \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty, d(fz, z) \rightarrow 0$

So  $z$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Hence  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Next we have to prove that the fixed point is unique.

Let  $z$  and  $z'$  are two fixed points, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z,z') &= d(fz,fz') \\
 &\leq \alpha d(fz',gz') - d(gz,gz') \\
 &\leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$d(fz,fz') \leq 0$$

that is  $fz = fz'$ , hence  $z = z'$

Therefore  $z$  is a unique fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ . □

**Example 5** Let  $(X,d)$  be a complex valued metric space and

$$d(z,w) = i|z-w|^2, \text{ where } z,w \in X \text{ and } \alpha < 1.$$

$$\text{Define } f(x) = \frac{x}{9} \text{ and } g(x) = \frac{8x}{9}.$$

Also  $f(0)=0$  and  $g(0)=0$  So that  $fg(0)=gf(0)$ ,  $\{f,g\}$  is  $\alpha$ -subcompatible. So that it satisfies all the conditions of the theorem. So that  $0$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $(X,d)$  be a complex valued metric space. Suppose that the mapping  $f,g:X \rightarrow X$  are weakly reciprocally continuous and

$$\text{satisfies } d(fx,fy) \leq \alpha d(fx,gx) + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(fy,gy) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha(1+\gamma)}{1+\gamma-\alpha(1+\gamma)-\beta} \leq 1. \text{ Then } f \text{ and } g \text{ have a}$$

unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be any point in  $X$ . we can define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$

$$\text{in } X. \quad y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1} \text{ and } d(fx_n, gx_n) < c$$

To prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq \alpha d(fx_n, gx_n) + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq \alpha [d(fx_n, gx_{n+2}) + d(gx_{n+2}, gx_n)] + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\
 (1-\alpha)d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(y_{n+1}, y_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1-\alpha)d(y_n, y_{n+1}) - \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(y_{n+1}, y_n) &\leq \alpha d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq \alpha d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \\
 d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{\alpha(1+\gamma)}{1+\gamma-\alpha(1+\gamma)-\beta} d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \\
 d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq h d(y_n, y_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } h = \frac{\alpha(1+\gamma)}{1+\gamma-\alpha(1+\gamma)-\beta}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq h d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq h^2 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq h^3 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-3}) \dots \\
 &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

For all  $m, n \in X$



$$\begin{aligned}
 d(y_n, y_m) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\
 (y_n, y_m) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\
 &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{m-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\
 &\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(y_0, y_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since complex valued metric space is complete, every Cauchy sequence converges. Let it converges to z.

That is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = z$ .

To prove that z is a fixed point of g,

For that consider  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$  We have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_{n+1} = z$ . Given that f and g are weak reciprocal continuous,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f g x_n = f z \text{ or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g f x_n = g z \text{ As } n \rightarrow \infty, d(g z, z) \rightarrow 0$$

That is z is a fixed point of g. To prove that z is a fixed point of f,

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Lim } d(f z; z) &= d(f z; g x_n) + d(g x_n; z) \\
 &= (1/\alpha) d(f x_n; g x_n) - d(f x_n; f x_n) + (1/\alpha) d(f x_n; f x_n) - d(f x_n; g x_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{As } n \rightarrow \infty, d(f z, z) \rightarrow 0$$

So z is a fixed point of f.

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \alpha d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq 0 d(g z, f z) \leq 0 \quad \text{Hence z is a common fixed point of f and g.}$$

Next we have to prove that fixed point is unique. For that consider two fixed points z and z'.

$$d(f z, f z') \leq \alpha d(f z, g z) + \frac{\beta}{1+\gamma} d(f z', g z) \quad d(f z', g z) \leq 0$$

$$d(z, z') \leq 0$$

Therefore  $z = z'$

Hence fixed point is unique. □

**Example 7** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex valued metric space and

$$d(z, w) = i|z - w|^2, \text{ where } z, w \in X \text{ and } \alpha < 1 \text{ Define } f(x) = \frac{x}{9} \text{ and}$$

$$g(x) = \frac{8x}{9}.$$

Also  $f(0) = 0$  and  $g(0) = 0$  So that  $f g(0) = g f(0)$ ,

$\{f, g\}$  is  $\alpha$  subcompatible and reciprocally continuous. So that it satisfies all

the conditions of the theorem, so that 0 is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex valued metric space and A, B, S and T be self mappings on  $(X, d)$ . Suppose that the pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are subcompatible and reciprocally continuous satisfies

$$d(Ax, By) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sx, Ty) \leq \beta [d(Sx; By) + d(By; Ty)] + \gamma d(Ay; Ty)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ . Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Given  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are reciprocally continuous

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A S x_n = A z \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S A x_n = S z \text{ whenever there is a}$$

sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = z \text{ for some } x \in X$$

So we have  $d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = d(Az, Sz) = 0$

So z is a coincidence point of (A,S).

Now we assume that  $y_{n+1} = Ax_n = Sx_{n-1}$  and  $y_{n+2} = Bx_{n+1} = Tx_n$

Consider  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$  then (1) becomes

$$d(Ax_{n-1}, Bx_n) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \beta [d(Sx_{n-1}, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n)$$

If  $y_n = y_{n-1}$ , we get convergent Cauchy sequence.

If  $y_n \neq y_{n-1}$

$$d(Ax_{n-1}, Bx_n) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \beta [d(Sx_{n-1}, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n)$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \beta [d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] + \gamma d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})$$

$$\beta [d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] + \gamma d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq -d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$(\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}) d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq -d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \frac{-1}{(\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})} d(y_{n+1}, y_n)$$

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq h d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

where  $h = \frac{-1}{(\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})}$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq h d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \\ &\leq h^2 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \\ &\leq h^3 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-3}) \\ &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

For all  $n, m \in N$ , with  $n < m$

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_m) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{m-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|h| < 1, d(y_n, y_m) \rightarrow 0$

So  $(y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence

Since complex valued metric space is complete, every Cauchy sequence converges. So it converges to z.

That is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z.$$

Next we have to prove that z is a fixed point of A.

To prove that z is a fixed point of A.

$$\begin{aligned} d(Az, z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Az, Bx_n) \\ d(Az, Bx_n) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sz, Tx_n) + \beta [d(Sz, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sz, Tx_n) + \beta [d(Sz, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n) \end{aligned}$$

Since (A,S) and (B,T) are subcompatible  $d(Az, z) \rightarrow 0$

Hence z is a fixed point of A. Since (A,S) is reciprocally continuous, z is a fixed point of S also.

Therefore z is a fixed point of A and S.

Next we have to prove that z is a fixed point of B,

For that Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Bz) &= d(Ax_n, Bz) \\ d(Ax_n, Bz) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sx_n, Tz) + \beta [d(Sx_n, Bz) + d(Bz, Tz)] + \gamma d(Az, Tz) \\ d(Az, Bz) &\leq (\alpha/1+\alpha)d(Sz; Tz) + \beta[d(Sz; Bz) + d(Bz; Tz)] + \gamma d(Az; Tz) \end{aligned}$$

Since (A,S) and (B,T) are subcompatible  $d(z, Bz) \rightarrow 0$

Hence z is a fixed point of A. Since (B,T) is reciprocally continuous z is a fixed point of T also.

Therefore z is a fixed point of B and T.

Hence z is a common fixed point of A,B,S and T.

Next we have to prove that the fixed point is unique.

Let z and w be two fixed points, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(Az, Bw) &\leq d(Az, Bw) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Aw, Sw) + \beta [d(Aw, Sw) + d(Sw, Tw)] \\ (1 - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} - \beta) d(Az, Bw) &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is  $d(z, w) = d(Az, Bw) \leq 0$

$$d(z, w) = 0$$

Hence fixed point is unique. □

**Example 9** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex valued metric space and

$d(z, w) = i|z - w|$ , where  $z, w \in X$ . Define

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1/2-x; & 0 < x \leq 1/2 \\ 1+x; & 1/2 < x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad S(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 3/2-x; & 0 < x \leq 1/2 \\ x; & 1/2 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 2; & 0 < x \leq 2 \end{cases} \text{ and } T(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1; & 0 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$



$A, B, S$  and  $T$  satisfies all the conditions of the theorem and have a common fixed point  $0 \in X$

**Definition 10** Let  $f$  and  $S$  be selfmappings of a complex valued metric space  $(X, d)$ , the pair  $(A, S)$  is said to be weakly subsequentially continuous if there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z, \text{ for some } z \in X \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Az \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = Sz$$

**Theorem 3.11** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex valued metric space and  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings on  $(X, d)$ . Suppose that the pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are subcompatible and weakly subsequentially continuous satisfies

$$d(Ax, By) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(Sx, Ty) \leq \beta[d(Sx, By) + d(By, Ty)] + \gamma d(Ay, Ty) \quad (2)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point.

**Proof:** Since  $(A, S)$  is weakly subsequentially continuous there exists a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$ , for some  $z \in X$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Az$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = Sz$ . Also  $(A, S)$  is subcompatible. Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two selfmaps of a complex valued metric space  $(X, d)$ , then  $A$  and  $S$  are said to be subcompatible maps if and only if there exist a sequence  $x_n$  in  $X$  such that  $d(Ax_n, z) = d(Sx_n, z) \leq c$

Consider  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$  then (1) becomes

$$d(Ax_{n-1}, Bx_n) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \beta[d(Sx_{n-1}, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n)$$

If  $y_n = y_{n-1}$ , we get convergent Cauchy sequence. If  $y_n \neq y_{n-1}$

$$d(Ax_{n-1}, Bx_n) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \beta[d(Sx_{n-1}, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n)$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \beta[d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] + \gamma d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})$$

$$\beta[d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] + \gamma d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq -d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$(\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq -d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \frac{-1}{(\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})}d(y_{n+1}, y_n)$$

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq h d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

where  $h = \frac{-1}{(\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})}$

Therefore  $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq h d(y_n, y_{n-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq h^2 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \\ &\leq h^3 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-3}) \\ &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

For all  $n, m \in N$ , with  $n < m$

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_m) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{m-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|h| < 1, d(y_n, y_m) \rightarrow 0$

So  $(y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence

Since complex valued metric space is complete, every Cauchy sequence converges. So it converges to  $z$ .

That is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z.$$

Next we have to prove that  $z$  is a fixed point  $A$ .

To prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(Az, z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Az, Bx_n) \\ d(Az, Bx_n) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sz, Tx_n) + \beta [d(Sz, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sz, Tx_n) + \beta [d(Sz, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are subcompatible  $d(Az, z) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$ . Since  $(A, S)$  is weakly subsequentially continuous  $z$  is a fixed point of  $S$  also. Therefore  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$  and  $S$ .

Next we have to prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $B$ ,

For that consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Bz) &= d(Ax_n, Bz) \\ d(Ax_n, Bz) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} d(Sx_n, Tz) + \beta [d(Sx_n, Bz) + d(Bz, Tz)] + \gamma d(Az, Tz) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are subcompatible  $d(z, Bz) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$ . Since  $(B, T)$  is weakly subsequentially continuous  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$  also. Therefore  $z$  is a fixed point of  $B$  and  $T$ . Hence  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

Next we have to prove that the fixed point is unique.

Let  $z$  and  $w$  be two fixed points

$$d(Az; Bz) \leq (\alpha/1+\alpha)d(Sz; Tz) + \beta[d(Sz; Bz) + d(Bz; Tz)] + \gamma d(Az; Tz)$$

$$d(Az, Bw) \leq$$

$$+\gamma[d(Aw,Sw)+d(Sw,Tw)] \leq 0$$

That is  $d(z,w)=d(Az,Bw) \leq 0$   
 $d(z,w)=0$

Hence fixed point is unique.  $\square(1 - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} - \beta)d(Az,Bw)$

**Example 12**

Let  $(X,d)$  be a complex valued metric space and  $d(z,w) = |z-w|$ , where  $z,w \in X$ . Define

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1/2-x; & 0 < x \leq 1/2 \\ 1+x; & 1/2 < x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad S(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1/2-x; & 0 < x \leq 1/2 \\ \frac{3-2x}{2}; & 1/2 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1/3; & 0 < x \leq 1 \end{cases} \text{ and } T(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1; & 0 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$A, L, M$  and  $S$  satisfies all the conditions of the theorem and have a unique common fixed point  $0 \in X$ . In this example  $L$  and  $A$  commute at their coincidence point  $0 \in X$ . So  $A$  and  $S$  are weakly subsequentially continuous. Similarly  $B$  and  $T$  are weakly subsequentially continuous.

**Theorem 3.13** Let  $(X,d)$  be a complex valued metric space and  $A, B, S, T$  be self mappings on  $(X,d)$ . Suppose that the pairs  $(A,S)$  and  $(B,T)$  are subcompatible and reciprocally continuous satisfies

$$d(Ax;By) - (\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})d(Sx,Ty) \leq \beta[d(Sx;By) + d(By;Ty)] + \gamma d(Ay;Ty) \tag{1}$$

for all  $x,y \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ . Then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Given  $(A,S)$  and  $(B,T)$  are weakly reciprocally continuous  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Az$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = Sz$  whenever there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z \text{ for some } x \in X$$

So we have  $d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = d(Az, Sz) = 0$

So  $z$  is a coincidence point of  $(A,S)$ .

Now we assume that  $y_{n+1} = Ax_n = Sx_{n-1}$  and  $y_{n+2} = Bx_{n+1} = Tx_n$

Consider  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = x_n$  then (1) becomes

$$d(Ax_{n-1}, Bx_n) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \beta[d(Sx_{n-1}, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n)$$

If  $y_n = y_{n-1}$ , we get convergent Cauchy sequence. If  $y_n \neq y_{n-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(Ax_{n-1}, Bx_n) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n) &\leq \beta[d(Sx_{n-1}, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] \\ &+ \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n) d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \\ &\leq \beta[d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\gamma d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2}) \\
 \beta[d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2})] + \gamma d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2}) - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2}) & \leq -d(y_n,y_{n+1}) \\
 (\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2}) & \leq -d(y_n,y_{n+1}) \\
 d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2}) & \leq \frac{-1}{(\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})}d(y_{n+1},y_n) \\
 d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2}) & \leq hd(y_n,y_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $h = \frac{-1}{(\beta + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha})}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Therefore } d(y_n,y_{n+1}) & \leq hd(y_n,y_{n-1}) \\
 & \leq h^2d(y_{n-2},y_{n-1}) \\
 & \leq h^3d(y_{n-2},y_{n-3}) \\
 & \leq h^nd(y_0,y_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

For all  $n, m \in N$ , with  $n < m$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(y_n,y_m) & \leq d(y_n,y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1},y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1},y_m) \\
 & \leq h^nd(y_0,y_1) + h^{n+1}d(y_0,y_1) + \dots + h^{m-1}d(y_0,y_1) \\
 & \leq \frac{h^n}{1-h}d(y_0,y_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|h| < 1, d(y_n,y_m) \rightarrow 0$

So  $(y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence

Since complex valued metric space is complete, every Cauchy sequence converges. So it converges to  $z$ . That is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z.$$

Next we have to prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$ .

To prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Az,z) & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Az, Bx_n) \\
 d(Az, Bx_n) & \leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(Sz, Tx_n) + \beta[d(Sz, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n) \\
 & \leq \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}d(Sz, Tx_n) + \beta[d(Sz, Bx_n) + d(Bx_n, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(Ax_n, Tx_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are subcompatible  $d(Az, z) \rightarrow 0$  Hence  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$ . Since  $(A, S)$  is weakly subsequentially continuous  $z$  is a fixed point of  $S$  also

Therefore  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$  and  $S$ .

Next we have to prove that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $B$ ,

For that consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, Bz) & = d(Ax_n, Bz) \\
 d(Ax_n, Bz) & \leq d(Az, Bz)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(A,S)$  and  $(B,T)$  are subcompatible  $d(z,Bz) \rightarrow 0$

Hence  $z$  is a fixed point of  $A$ . Since  $(B,T)$  is weakly subsequentially continuous  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$  also.

Therefore  $z$  is a fixed point of  $B$  and  $T$ .

Hence  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $A,B,S$  and  $T$ .

Next we have to prove that the fixed point is unique.

Let  $z$  and  $w$  be two fixed points, then

$$d(Az;Bw) \leq (\alpha/1+\alpha)d(Sz; Tw) + \beta[d(Sw;Bw) + d(Bz; Tz)] + \gamma d(Az; Tz)$$

$$(1 - \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} - \beta)d(Az,Bw) \leq 0$$

That is  $d(z,w) = d(Az,Bw) \leq 0$

$d(z,w) = 0$  Hence fixed point is unique.  $\square$

#### Example 14

Let  $(X,d)$  be a complex valued metric space and  $d(z,w) = i|z-w|$ , where  $z,w \in X$ . Define

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1/4-x; & 0 < x \leq 1/4 \\ 1+x; & 1/4 < x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad S(X) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1/2-x; & 0 < x \leq 1/4 \\ \frac{2-x}{4}; & 1/4 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$B(X) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1/2; & 0 < x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad T(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x=0 \\ 1; & 0 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$A, L, M$  and  $S$  satisfies all the conditions of the theorem and have a unique

common fixed point  $0 \in X$ . In this example  $A$  and  $S$  commute at their coincidence point  $0 \in X$ . So  $A$  and  $S$  are subcompatible

Similarly  $B$  and  $T$  are subcompatible.

## References

- [1] M. Ahmad and . Khan, M. Sowaleh and S. Sessa: Fixed point theorms by altering distances between the points, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 30 (1984), 1-9.
- [2] A.Azam,B.Fisherand and M.Khan: Common fixed point theorms in Complex valued metric space ,Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization ,Vol32,no3 pp243-253,2011
- [3] Bouhadjera and Christiane Godet-Thobie: Common fixed point theorms for a pair of subcompatible maps,eprint arXiv:0906.3159(2009),1-16
- [4] B. S. Choudhury, K. P. Das and S. K. Bhandarai: Fixed point theorm for is the s with cyclic contraction in Menger spaces, Int. J. of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology, 407(2011), 1-9.
- [5] P. N. Dutta and B. S. Choudhury: A generalised contraction principle in Menger spaces using a control function, Anal. Theory Appl., 26 (2010),110-121.
- [6] Hamidreza Rahimi,B E Rhoades,Stojan Radenovic,Ghasem Soleimani Rad: Fixed and Periodic point theorms for T-contractions on cone metric spaces,2013,881-888.



- [7] Kumar Datta and Sulthan Ali: Common fixed point theorem under contractive condition in Complex valued metric space spaces, International journal of advanced scientific and technical research, 2012 volume 13, Number 9 (2017), pp. 4869-4876
- [8] Lekshmi.S and K.S.Dersanabika: Some fixed point theorems in Complex valued metric space using weakly compatible mappings, International journal of emerging trends in science and Technology, IJETST- Vol-04 Issue08, 5848-5860, August- ISSN 2348-9480, 2017
- [9] Mami Sharma: Fixed point theorem in fuzzy metricspaces for non-compatible and weakly compatible maps, Journal of Applied and fundamental sciences ISSN(2015)
- [10] Marwan Amin Kutbi, Akbar Azam, Jamshid Ahmad and Cristina Di Bari ome: Common coupled results for Generalised contraction in Complex Valued Metric Spaces, Journal of Applied mathematics. (2013) Volume 2013 Article ID 352927, 10 pages
- [11] Murthy, K. Tas, Rashmi, L.N. Mishra: Subcompatible maps, Weakly comuting maps and common fixed point theorems in Cone metric spaces, Scientific Publications of The State University of Novi Pazar Vol 1, 2011. pp139-148, (2016)
- [12] S. V. R. Naidu: Some fixed point theorms in metric spaces by altering distances, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 53 (2003), 205-212.
- [13] B .D.Pant and Sunny Chauhan: Common fixed point theorem for occasionally weakly compatible mappings in menger space. 1843-7265 Volume6(2011), 1 - 7
- [14] Sanjib Kumar Datta and Sultan Ali: A Common Fixed Point Theorem Under Contractive Condition in complex valued metric spaces. (2012) 467-472 volume6 issue2
- [15] Singh B and Jain S: A fixed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 301 (2005), 439-448