

PHYTOPLANKTON AS INDEX OF WATER QUALITY WITH REFERENCE TO POLLUTION OF THE GANGA RIVER FROM MUNGER TO MANIHARI, BIHAR (INDIA)

¹BRAJ NANDAN KUMAR AND ²SUNIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

¹Post Doctoral Research Fellow and ²Head and Professor

University Department of Botany, Environmental Biology Research Laboratory,
T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur - 812007, Bihar (India)

Abstract: In the present study, an attempt was made to study water quality and the phytoplankton diversity index at eight sampling station of the River Ganga in 180km stretch from Munger to Manihari, Bihar in pre and post-monsoon. Various water quality parameters including ambient temperature, water temperature, total dissolved solid, conductivity, turbidity, pH, dissolved oxygen, free carbon dioxide, bicarbonate, total hardness, chloride, phosphate-phosphorus, nitrate- nitrogen, COD and BOD were analyzed. Turbidity, total hardness, COD and BOD were above the permissible limit. Water quality parameters varies with Ambient Temperature 23.5-33°C and 13.4-29.5°C, Water Temperature 24.1-25°C and 17-24.3°C, Turbidity 5.6-17.7NTU and 12.4-29.9NTU, Conductivity 271-395 μ s and 222-383 μ s, TDS 139-201mg/l and 113-195mg/l, pH 7.5- 8.2 and 6.4-8.8, DO 6-9.6mg/l and 4.5-9.6mg/l, FCO₂ 6-70mg/l and 24-80mg/l, HCO₃⁻ 32-44mg/l and 26-40mg/l, TH 126-160mg/l and 120-170mg/l, Cl⁻ 15.98-27.99mg/l and 9.99-19.99mg/l, PO₄-p 0.054-0.084mg/l and 0.05-0.086mg/l, NO₃-N 0.041-0.047mg/l and 0.040-0.046mg/l, COD 38.9-69.6mg/l and 12.31-44.8mg/l, and BOD 0.8-2.8mg/l and 0.4-7.4mg/l in pre and post-monsoon respectively. A total of 57 genera and 158 species of phytoplankton have been identified in pre and post-monsoon at all eight sampling station. According to pollution index of algal genera (Palmer, 1969), the index value was 32 indicating of organic pollution. Nygaard (1949) indices showed the values of chlorophycean and cyanophycean were eutrophic nature of water body while the euglenophycean and diatoms showed oligotrophic nature of water body. Shannon-Weaver diversity index (Sampling station first- 1.577-5.15, Sampling station second-1.022-1.0675, sampling station third- 1.293-1.675, sampling station fourth- 4.013-5.678, sampling station fifth-7.902-8.631, sampling station sixth- 1.111-1.675, sampling station seventh- 1.022-1.201 and sampling station eighth 0.117-0.195) was applied to surface phytoplankton to study the water quality status of the River Ganga from Munger to Manihari receiving industrial effluents, fertilizers from agricultural lands, domestic sewage of municipal area and other sources.

Key words: Ganga River, Palmer indices, Nygaard indices, Shannon-Weaver index, Phytoplankton, water quality, pollution.

INTRODUCTION

The Himalayas are the source of three major Indian rivers namely the Indus, the Ganga and the Brahmaputra. Ganga drains a basin of extraordinary variation in altitude, climate, land use, flora and fauna, social and cultural life. Ganga has been a cradle of human civilization since time immemorial. It is one of the most sacred rivers in the world and is deeply revered by the people of this country. Rapidly increasing population, rising standards of living and exponential growth of industrialization and urbanization have exposed the water resources, in general, and rivers, in particular, to various forms of degradation. River is a living eco-system and it is important in maintaining the balance of the ecosystem and it is also a main source of water for humans and animals that live in the surrounding area (Phillips, 1989). Rivers also play an important role in assimilating or carrying away industrial or municipal wastewater, run-off from agriculture area, sewage from urban areas, and any other anthropogenic factors. Thus, they are vulnerable to pollution (Farah Naemah, *et. al* 2000). Phytoplankton's are the main primary producer of an aquatic system and form an important component of food chain. The distribution of phytoplankton in time and space of environmental conditions are considered fundamental for any limnological study of natural waters. The quality and quantity of algal flora, its increase and decrease is governed by different abiotic and biotic factors as well as autotoxin. Various species diversity indices respond differently to different environmental and behavioral factors of biotic communities and therefore, recent investigations have been directed to species diversity indices. In water body usually occur seasonal qualitative fluctuations in plank tonic population in temperate and tropical climates (Jhingaran, 1980; Tiwari and Chauhan, 2006). The holy lower Ganga River from Munger to Manihari is the important for meanders, alluvial islands, and sandbars and also so many municipal waste water drains. The Knowledge of river phytoplankton of this stretch is fragmentary (Bilgrami and Datta Munshi, 1985, 1988; Choudhary, 1990.; Das and Maurya, 2015). In the present study, an attempt was made to study water quality and the phytoplankton diversity index in the Ganga River from Munger to Manihari.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of water and phytoplankton samples

Separates samples for river water and for phytoplankton collections were obtained from eight sampling stations during the pre monsoon and post monsoon of River Ganga in 2014. A total of 180km was surveyed from Munger (Kasthaharni Ghat) to Manihari (Singhal Tola Ghat) with a motor-powered country boat. The location of each sampling station was marked using a Garmin 12-channel GPS and the points were marked on the map (Fig. 1). Water samples for physico-chemical analysis were collected from various sampling stations in 1.5 liters polythene bottles. The parameters like temperature, pH, Dissolved oxygen, Free-carbon dioxide, Total dissolved solid, Conductivity was determined on the spot while

the rest of the parameters were determined in the laboratory. The analysis was done as per standard methods of APHA (2005) and Trivedy and Goel (1986). Correlation coefficient was also computed for studying relationship between physio-chemical parameters.

Water containing natural population of phytoplankton was collected in high class plastic bottles from the surface by using plankton net (45 mm pore size). 125 ml of the samples were preserved with 5ml of 4% formaldehyde in the field for microscopic examination. The collections were deposited in the Environmental Biology Research Laboratory of T. M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur. Camera Lucida Diagrams were made under appropriate magnification. Nygaard (1949) and Palmer (1969) indices were used to know to status of water quality. Species diversity index was calculated following Shannon-Weaver (1949) formula $H = - \sum P_i \ln P_i$. Where, $P_i = N_i/N$ represents the proportion of species in the community, N_i = number of individuals of a species i , N = total number of individual. Identifications of phytoplankton were made following Turner (1892), West and West (1907), Desikachary (1959), Randhawa (1959), Philipose (1967), Prescott (1969), Cramer (1984) and Sarode and Kamat (1984).



Fig. 1 Showing sampling location of River Ganga from Munger to Manihari

Results and Discussion

Seasonal variation (Pre-monsoon and Post-monsoon) in the physico-chemical complexes of different sampling stations are appended in Table 1. The fluctuations in ambient and water temperature of different stations may be due to influence of environmental temperature due to that point of time. The pH is one of the most important factors that influence the aquatic production. In the present study the pH was found to be acidic to alkaline both in pre and post monsoon. The higher alkaline state of pH might be due to enhanced chemical interaction that leads buffering and release of alkaline ions in the river water. The range of variation in turbidity values was much higher in both pre and post monsoon than the permissible limit 5 NTU prescribed by BIS (10500:2004-2005). In the pre-monsoon it ranged 5.6-17.7 NTU while in post-monsoon it ranged 12.4-29.9 NTU. In the post-monsoon shows higher turbidity values due to runoffs. It carries sands, clay, silts, organic matter, phytoplankton and other microscopic organisms. Conductivity is measure of the capability of a solution such as water in stream to pass an electric current. This is an indicator of the concentration of dissolved electrolyte ions in the water. It does not identify the specific ions in water. However, significant increases in conductivity may be an indicator that polluting discharges have entered the water. Fresh water streams ideally should have conductivity between 150 – 500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to support diverse aquatic life (Sharon Behar, 1997). Electrical conductivity is useful to evaluate the purity of water which was ranged 271 μS -395 μS in pre-monsoon while in post-monsoon 222 μS -383 μS . TDS are composed mainly of carbonates, bicarbonates, phosphate, nitrate, calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium and iron. In the present investigation TDS ranged in pre-monsoon was 139mg/l-201mg/l while in post-monsoon it ranged 113mg/l-195mg/l. The results indicate that in both the season at all the research stations were within the permissible limit of ISI. In the present investigation, DO was found to be in the range of 6mg/l-9.6mg/l in pre-monsoon while in post-monsoon 4.5mg/l-9.6mg/l. DO was very low (4.5mg/l) at research station-3 in post-monsoon and beyond the acceptable limit. Carbon dioxide is produced as a result of respiration of aquatic organisms. Due to respiration of organisms, carbon dioxide increases in water which subsequently changes the proportion of carbonate and bicarbonate ion (Boyd, 1981). In the present study free carbon dioxide values were observed in between 6mg/l-70mg/l in pre-monsoon while in post monsoon was 24mg/l-80mg/l. Bicarbonate alkalinity may be contamination due to leaching process through surface water during rainy season (Singh and Singh, 1999). Bicarbonate ranged was 32mg/l-40mg/l in pre-monsoon while in post-monsoon it was 26mg/l-40mg/l. Calcium and magnesium are important ions contributing towards the total hardness. Hardness has no adverse effects. Water with less than 75mg/l of calcium carbonate is considered soft and above 75mg/l of calcium carbonate as hard (Sawyer, 1960). According to Kannan (1991), water with hardness values more than 180mg/l is very hard. Hardness in both pre and post-monsoon was above the 75mg/l and below the 180mg/l in all sampling station. So, it falls under category of hard water. Chloride value range from 9.99mg/l-27.99mg/l. Results showed that all the sampling station samples falls within acceptable limit. Phosphorus acts as growth limiting factor and is an important nutrient for microorganisms (Odum, 1971). It is a pollution indicator, as its higher amount causes eutrophication in fresh water. The values of phosphorus ranged between 0.05 to 0.086 mg/l in both pre and post monsoon. According to Sreenivasan (1964) normal range of phosphate concentration in water is 0.1 to 0.2 mg/l. The finding result remained within the normal range during the present study. Nitrate is plant nutrient which impacts on algal population. Nitrate-nitrogen was ranged between 0.04 to 0.047 mg/l in both the season. The values were very low in all

sampling station in both pre and post-monsoon. The COD ranged from 38.9mg/l-69.6mg/l in pre-monsoon while in post-monsoon 12.31mg/l - 44.8 mg/l. In pre-monsoon value of COD was higher in comparison to post-monsoon except sampling station - 1 and also it was higher than the permissible limit. The BOD ranged in pre and post-monsoon from 0.8 mg/l -7.4mg/l. In both the seasons values were low except sampling station-5 in post-monsoon.

Statistical analysis of ambient temperature showed positive correlation with water temperature while the water temperature showed the positive correlation with turbidity in pre-monsoon and in the post-monsoon positive correlated with pH and the values significant at 0.01%. pH showed positive correlation with chloride and Nitrate-nitrogen in pre-monsoon and significant at 0.01%. Conductivity showed the positive correlation with TDS and BOD in pre-monsoon but in post-monsoon positive correlation with TDS, HCO_3^- and Cl^- and significant at 0.01%. Turbidity showed positive correlation with BOD in post-monsoon. TDS showed positive correlation with BOD in pre-monsoon but in post-monsoon showed positive correlation with HCO_3^- and Cl^- and significant at 0.01%. Cl^- showed positive correlation with $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ in pre-monsoon and significant 0.01%.

Altogether 57 genera and 158 species of phytoplankton have been identified in pre and post-monsoon at all eight sampling station. They belong to Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Cyanophyceae and Euglenophyceae. Their distribution has been given in Table-2. The Bacillariophyceae forms outnumbered than the other groups and covered 20 genera and 69 species of the total number of the species. Chlorophyceae were next to Bacillariophyceae encompassing 22 genera and 58 species. Cyanophyceae population followed the Chlorophyceae and these were represented by 13 genera and 28 species. The Euglenophyceae were represented by 2 genera and 3 species. Based on the percent composition, the phytoplankton belonging to Bacillariophyceae (49.15% and 64.7%) were dominant followed by Chlorophyceae (38.98% and 26.47%), Cyanophyceae (11.86% and 8.82%) at first sampling station in pre and post-monsoon respectively. At sampling station second Bacillariophyceae (51.42% and 53.12%) were dominant followed by Chlorophyceae (28.57% and 40.62%), Cyanophyceae (20% and 6.25%) whereas, at sampling station third Bacillariophyceae (57.69% and 53.57%) were abundant followed by Chlorophyceae (30.76% and 25%), Cyanophyceae (11.53% and 21.42%). At station fourth, phytoplankton belonging to Bacillariophyceae (48.43% and 58.18%) were dominant followed by Chlorophyceae (34.37% and 21.81%), Cyanophyceae (17.18% and 18.18%) and Euglenophyceae (1.81% only in post-monsoon). At sampling station fifth Bacillariophyceae (40.22% and 34.48%), Chlorophyceae (35.63% and 47.12%), Cyanophyceae (21.83% and 17.24%) and Euglenophyceae (2.29% and 1.14%) in pre and post-monsoon respectively but in pre-monsoon Bacillariophyceae were dominant while in post-monsoon Chlorophyceae. At sampling station sixth Bacillariophyceae (48.57% and 48.48%) were dominant followed by Chlorophyceae (45.71% and 45.45%) and Cyanophyceae (5.71% and 6.06%) whereas, at sampling station seventh Bacillariophyceae (48.48% and 41.66%), Chlorophyceae (42.42% and 50%), Cyanophyceae (9.09% and 4.16%) and Euglenophyceae (4.16% only in post-monsoon) were found. In sampling station seventh Bacillariophyceae was dominant in pre-monsoon but in post-monsoon Chlorophyceae was dominant. At sampling station eighth Bacillariophyceae (40% and 58.33%) were dominant followed by Chlorophyceae (33.33% and 25%) and Cyanophyceae (16.66% and 16.66%). Over all the sampling station Bacillariophyceae were dominant followed by Chlorophyceae and Cyanophyceae in pre-monsoon except at sampling station fifth and seventh where Chlorophyceae was dominant in post-monsoon. The phytoplankton count registered higher value in pre-monsoon. It is reported that excessive growth of certain algal genera like *Scenedesmus*, *Anabaena*, *Oscillatoria* and *Melosira* indicate nutrient enrichment of aquatic bodies (Kumar, 1990; Zargar and Ghosh, 2006). A number of workers have reported many algal species as indicators of water quality (Nandan and Aher, 2005; Zargar and Ghosh, 2006; Kumar and Choudhary, 2010). *Scenedesmus quadricauda*, *Scenedesmus obliquus*, *Scenedesmus dimorphus*, *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Pediastrum duplex*, *Actinastrum hantzschii*, *Coelastrum microporum*, *Synedra ulna*, *Synedra acus*, *Melosira granulata*, *Nitzschia acicularis*, *Cyclotella meneghiniana* and *Oscillatoria princeps* have been found in this stretch of the river Ganga and these are the most pollution tolerant species of algae (Palmer, 1969). According to pollution index of algal genera (Palmer, 1969), the index value was 32 indicating of organic pollution. Nygaard (1949) proposed indices to evaluate the organic pollution of water body on the basis of algal groups. These indices showed the values of chlorophycean and cyanophycean were eutrophic nature of water body while the euglenophycean and diatoms showed oligotrophic nature of water body. In this stretch of river is subjected to acute pollution due to addition of industrial effluents, fertilizers from agricultural lands, domestic sewage of municipal area and other sources. Progressive enrichment of water with nutrients leads to mass production of algae. The pre and post-monsoon variation of species diversity index is given in Table 3. The index is based on the principle that clean water, the species diversity is high while, in polluted water species diversity becomes low. The Shannon-Weaver diversity index proposed as diversity index greater than (>4) is clean water, between 3- 4 is mildly polluted water; between 2-3 is moderately polluted water and less than 2(<2) is heavily polluted water. The index computed in the present investigation showed that phytoplankton species diversity ranged from 0.117-1.675 in the sampling station first (in post-monsoon), second, third, sixth, seventh and eighth in both pre and post-monsoon indicating heavily polluted because it falls under index less than 2(<2). Its range in sampling station first (pre-monsoon), fourth and fifth (both pre and post-monsoon) are 4.013-8.631 representing clean water. The present investigation clearly reveals that in respect of pollution, phytoplankton were more tolerant to pollution. The attempt emphasizes the need of using phytoplankton as effective and suitable technique of bio monitoring for assessment of river water quality.

Table 1: Physico-chemical characteristics of water of river Ganga from Munger to Manihari (Bihar), in Pre-monsoon and Post- monsoon, 2014

SS	GPS position	Seasons	A T (°C)	W T (°C)	Turbidity (NTU)	Conduc tivity (µs)	TDS	pH	DO	FCO ₂	HCO ₃ ⁻	TH	Cl ⁻	PO ₄ -P	NO ₃ -N	COD	BOD
1	N 25°23.019' E 86°27.562'	Pre- mons.	33	25.8	8.7	349	178	7.9	9.6	48	33	140	23.97	0.067	0.041	45.2	0.8
		Post-mons.	21	24.1	19.2	294	149	8.8	9.6	32	36	126	13.99	0.05	0.041	12.31	0.8
2	N 25°19.813' E 86°34.881'	Pre-mons.	31.8	25.3	8.2	320	163	7.6	8.3	64	44	160	15.98	0.057	0.042	38.9	1.3
		Post-mons.	25	24	12.4	383	195	8.6	4.5	80	40	170	19.99	0.056	0.040	20.39	0.8
3	N 25°15.285' E 86°44.353'	Pre-mons.	23.5	24.6	8.1	350	178	7.9	8.4	70	36	144	22.97	0.065	0.042	54.7	2.8
		Post-mons.	15	17	25.5	363	169	6.9	8.8	48	40	110	15.99	0.062	0.044	32.8	0.4
4	N 25°16.189' E 87°01.942'	Pre-mons.	28	24.3	5.6	365	186	8.2	9	44.8	36	146	25.97	0.064	0.044	63.2	1.8
		Post-mons.	21	18.8	29.9	329	167	7.2	6	46	38	136	15.99	0.080	0.043	39.6	2
5	N 25°15.900' E 87°13.528'	Pre-mons.	27	24.1	7.3	395	201	8.1	6	50	40	142	27.99	0.084	0.044	69.6	1.6
		Post-mons.	13.4	17.7	23.9	346	173	7.4	9	45	38	170	17.99	0.072	0.043	44.8	7.4
6	N 25°24.995' E 87°15.187'	Pre-mons.	32	25.2	8.5	289	147	8.2	8.4	6	40	140	27.99	0.077	0.047	44.8	1.3
		Post-mons.	14	17	21.99	364	187	6.4	9	24	36	162	11.99	0.075	0.046	42	2.8
7	N 25°27.726' E 87°23.617'	Pre-mons.	31.3	24.9	17.7	271	139	7.5	6	34	32	126	16.98	0.076	0.042	50.7	2
		Post-mons.	29.5	24.3	17.7	222	113	8.6	9.6	38	26	120	9.99	0.086	0.040	26.31	0.8
8	N 25°20.673' E 87°36.990'	Pre-mons.	32.2	24.9	6.7	324	165	7.6	7.6	18	32	128	20.97	0.054	0.043	48	1.7
		Post-mons.	14.2	17.3	29.32	269	137	7.8	9.6	28	30	150	11.99	0.069	0.045	28.9	2.8

* Except p^H, all other variables expressed in ppm or mg/l or otherwise mentioned. TDS= Total Dissolve Solid, DO= Dissolved Oxygen, FCO₂ = Free-carbon dioxide, CO₃⁻ = Carbonate alkalinity, HCO₃⁻ = Bicarbonate alkalinity, TH = Total Hardness, Cl⁻ = Chloride, PO₄-P = Phosphate – phosphorus, NO₃-N = Nitrate – nitrogen, COD= Chemical oxygen Demand, BOD=Biological Oxygen Demand, AT. = Ambient Temperature, WT. = Water Temperature, Abs. = Absent. SS- 1= Kastharni Ghat Munger, SS-2 = Confluence Burhi Gandak, Munger, SS-3= LCT Ghat Sultanganj, Bhagalpur, SS-4= Bridge Ghat Bhagalpur, SS-5=LCT Ghat Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur, SS- 6= Confluence Ganga+Kosi Kursela, Katihar, SS- 7= UchlaGhat Karahagola, Katihar, SS- 8= Manihari Singhal Tola Ghat, Katihar, Pree-mons. =Pree-monsoon, Post-mons. =Post-monsoon, SS= Sampling Station

Table 2: Occurrence of different classes of phytoplankton in Ganga River from Munger to Manihari in Pre-monsoon and Post- monsoon, 2014

Sampling station (SS)	SS - 1		SS - 2		SS - 3		SS - 4		SS - 5		SS - 6		SS - 7		SS - 8	
	Pre- mon	Post- mon	Pre- mon	Post- mon	Pre- mon	Post- mon	Pre- mon	Post- mon	Pre- mon	Post- mon	Pre- mon	Post- mon	Pre- mon	Post- mon	Pre- mon	Post- mon
Phytoplankton																
Chlorophyceae																
<i>Pediastrum simplex</i> Meyen	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> Meyen	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Pediastrum biradiatum</i> Meyen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Pediastrum tetras</i> (Ehr.) Ralfs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pediastrum ovatum</i> (Ehr.) A. Braun	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Spirogyra parvula</i> (Trans.) Czurda	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-

<i>Spirogyra daedalea</i> Lagerheim	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Spirogyra decimina</i> (Mull.) Kutz.	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Spirogyra hassallii</i> (Jenn.) Petit.	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-
<i>Spirogyra hyaline</i> Cl.	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Spirogyra rhizoids</i> Randhawa	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Spirogyra orientalis</i> W. & G.S. West	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> Beijerinck	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Coelastrum microporum</i> Naegeli	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i> (Turpin) Kuetz.	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus protuberans</i> Fritsch et Rich	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i> (Turpin) Kuetz.	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus alternans</i> (Reinsch) Hansgirg	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> (Turpin) Brebisson	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus platydiscus</i> (G.M. Smith) Chodat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus longus</i> Meyen	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus bijugatus</i> (Turpin) Kuetz.	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus tropicus</i> Crow	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus australis</i> Playfair	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus arcuatus</i> Lemmermann	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus bernardii</i> G.M. Smith	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Scenedesmus parvus</i> (G.M. Smith) Comb. Nov.	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ankistrodesmus convolutes</i> Corda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Actinastrum hantzschii</i> Lagerheim	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Hydrodictyon reticulatum</i> (Linn.) Lagerheim	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Selenastrum gracile</i> Reinsch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-

<i>Oocystis macrospora</i> (Turner) Brunthaler	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Oocystis elliptica</i> W. West	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Oocystis lacustris</i> Chodat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Staurostrum longibrachiatum</i> (Borge) Gutw.	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Staurostrum witrockii</i> Turner	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Arthrodesmus curvatus</i> Turner	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Characium acuminatum</i> A. Braun ex Kuetz.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cladophora kuetzingianum</i> Grun.	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cladophora holsatica</i> Kuetz.	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hyalotheca dissiliens</i> (J.E. Smith) Breb.	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Hyalotheca indica</i> Turner	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hyalotheca mucosa</i> (Dillw.) Ehr.	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Desmidium baileyi</i> (Ralfs) Nordst.	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Oedogonium varians</i> Wittrock and Lundell	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Volvox aureus</i> Ehr.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Micractinium pusillum</i> Fres.	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cosmarium galeritum</i> Nordst.	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cosmarium ctenoideum</i> Turner	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cosmarium sub-circulare</i> Turner	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-

<i>Cosmarium contractum</i> Kirchn.	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cosmarium microsphinnetum</i> Nordst.	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cosmarium pseudocornatum</i> Turner	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cosmarium rectosporum</i> Turner	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Euastrum inermius</i> Nordst.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Closterium parvulum</i> Nageli	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Closterium ehrenbergii</i> Menegh	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Closterium incurvum</i> Breb.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Bacillariophyceae																
<i>Synedra ulna</i> (Nitz.) Ehr.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Synedra acus</i> Kuetz.	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Melosira granulata</i> (Ehr.) Ralfs	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
<i>Melosira islandica</i> O. Muell.	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Melosira islandica</i> O. Muell. v. <i>helvetica</i> O. Muell.	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-
<i>Melosira juergensii</i> Agardh	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-
<i>Nitzschia vasnii</i> Gandhi	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Nitzschia maharashtrensis</i> Turner	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Nitzschia calida</i> Grun.	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Nitzschia clausii</i> Hantzsch	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nitzschia acicularis</i> W. Smith	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Nitzschia intermedia</i> Hantzsch	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nitzschia denticulata</i> Grun.	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nitzschia lorenziana</i> Grun.	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nitzschia frustulum</i> (Kuetz.) Grun.	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Navicula dicephala</i> (Ehr.) W. Smith	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Navicula confervacea</i> Kuetz.	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
<i>Navicula similis</i> Krasske	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Navicula halophila</i> (Grun.) Cl.	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Navicula radiosa</i> Kuetz.	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Navicula disjuncta</i> Hustedt	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Navicula viridula</i> Kuetz.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Navicula bacillum</i> Ehr.	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Navicula hustedtii</i> Krasske	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Navicula iniqua</i> Krasske	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Navicula rostellata</i> Kuetz.	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cymbella affinis</i> Kuetz.	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Cymbella tumidula</i> Grun.	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cymbella tumida</i> (Breb.) V.H.	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Cymbella powaiana</i> Gandhi	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Cymbella gracilis</i> (Rabh.) Cl.	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Cymbella bengalensis</i> Grun.	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cymbella cymbiformis</i> (Ag.) Kuetz.	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Fragillaria brevistriata</i> Grun.	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Fragillaria rumpens</i> (Kuetz.) Carl.	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Fragillaria construens</i> (Ehr.) Grun.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+

<i>Pinnularia viridis</i> (Nitz.) Ehr.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Pinnularia borealis</i> Ehr.	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Pinnularia brevicostata</i> Cl. v. <i>indica</i> Gandhi	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pinnularia aestuarii</i> Cl.v. <i>interrupta</i> (Hust.) A.Cl.	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pinnularia divergens</i> W. Smith	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pinnularia gibba</i> Ehr.	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pinnularia stomatophoroides</i> Mayer v. <i>ornata</i> A.Cl.	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gomphonema subapicatum</i> Fritch et Rich	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gomphonema constrictum</i> Ehr.	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Gomphonema moniliformae</i> Gandhi	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gomphonema lingulatum</i> Hustedt	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gomphonema lanceolatum</i> Ehr.	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Gomphonema gracile</i> Ehr.	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Gyrosigma attenuatum</i> (Kuetz.) Rabh.	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gyrosigma acuminatum</i> (Kuetz.) Rabh.	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gyrosigma scalproides</i> (Rabh.) Cl.	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Surirella icro</i> Kuetz.	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Surirella robusta</i> Ehr.	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Surirella tenera</i> Greg.	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Surirella capronioides</i> Gandhi	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Mastogloia smithi</i> Thwaites	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Stauroneis anceps</i> Ehr.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cymatopleura solea</i> (Breb.) W. Smith	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Achnanthes gibberula</i> Grun.	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Neidium dubium</i> (Ehr.) Cl.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i> Kuetz.	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cyclotella glomerata</i> Bachman	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Anomoeoneis brachysira</i> (Breb.) Grun. v. <i>thermaii</i> A.Cl.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Amphora ovalis</i> (Kuetz.) v. <i>affinis</i> Kuetz.	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Amphora normanii</i> Rabh.	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Eunotia monodon</i> Ehr.	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Eunotia laurians</i> (Ehr.) Grun.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pleurosigma angulatum</i> (Quekett) W. Smith	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cyanophyceae																
<i>Anabaena spiroides</i> Klebahn	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Anabaena anomala</i> Fritsch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Aphanocapsa grevillei</i> (Hass.) Rabenh.	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Aphanocapsa biformis</i> A.Br.	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Aphanocapsa elachista</i> v. <i>conferta</i> W. et G. S. West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Aphanocapsa littoralis</i> Hansgirg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Aphanothece microscopica</i> Nag.	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Aphanothece nidulans</i> Richter, P.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Aphanothece naegeli</i> Wartm.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-

<i>Microcystis floa-aquae</i> (Wittr.) Kirchner	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Microcystis viridis</i> (A.Br.) Lemm.	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Microcystis robusta</i> (Clark) Nygaard	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Merismopedia tenuissima</i> Lemm.	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Merismopedia elegans</i> A.Br.	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Oscillatoria princeps</i> Vaucher ex Gomort	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
<i>Oscillatoria subbervis</i> Schmidle	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Oscillatoria curviceps</i> Ag. Ex Gomont	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Lyngbya spirulinoides</i> Gomont	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lyngbya contorta</i> Lemmn.	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Arthrospira platensis</i> (Nordst.) Gomont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Spirulina gigantean</i> Schmidle	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Spirulina meneghiniana</i> Zanard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gloeocapsa punctata</i> Nag.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Chroococcus minor</i> (Kuetz.) Nag.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nostoc ellipsosporum</i> (Desm.) Rabenh.	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Nostoc calcicola</i> Breb.ex Born.	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Nostoc carneum</i> Ag.ex Born. et Flah.	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Phormidium fragile</i> (Meneghini) Gomont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Euglenophyceae																-
<i>Euglena proxima</i> Dangeard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Euglena acus</i> Hueb.	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Phacus orbicularis</i> Hueb.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3: Species diversity index (Shannon and Weaver, 1949) in different sampling station of River Ganga, 2014

Seasons	SS-1	SS-2	SS-3	SS-4	SS-5	SS-6	SS-7	SS-8
Pre-monsoon	5.12	1.675	1.293	5.678	7.902	1.675	1.201	0.117
Post-monsoon	1.577	1.022	1.675	4.013	8.631	1.111	1.022	0.195

Index: > 4 clean water, 3-4 = mildly polluted water, 2-3 = moderately polluted water, < 2 = heavily polluted water

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the Head University Department of Botany for necessary facilities and also thanks to Nachiket Kelkar, PhD student, Ashoka Trust for Research and the Environment (ATREE), Bangalore for help in GIS mapping of sampling station.

References

- [1] APHA 2005 Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water (21st edition) American Public Health Association, Washington, D. C.
- [2] Bilgrami, K. S. and Datta Munshi, J. S. 1985 Ecology of river Ganges (Impact of human activities and conservation of aquatic biota-Patna to Farakka). *Final Technical Report* (May, 1982 – April, 1985). Submitted to D.O.En., New Delhi, and PP 97.
- [3] Bilgrami, K. S. and Datta Munshi, J. S. 1988 Study of river Ganga (Munger to Farakka) *Final Technical Report*. Submitted to Ganga project Directorate, Govt. of India, New Delhi, PP. 152.
- [4] BIS (Bureau of Indian Standards) 10500:2004-2005. Indian Standard Specifications for Drinking Water New, Delhi.
- [5] Boyd, C. E. 1981. Water quality in warm water fish ponds, Anbum University of Agriculture experimental station, Craf master printers, Inc. U.S.A. second edition.
- [6] Choudhary, S. K. 1990. Species diversity of phytoplankton as a potent tool for monitoring river Ganga Pollution, *Env. And Ecol.*, 8(1): 115-118.
- [7] Cramer, J. 1984. Algae of the Indian subcontinent, A collection of papers, Bibliotheca Phycologia 66: A R Ganter Verlag K G Germany, pp445.
- [8] Das Sudipta Kumar and Maurya Onkar Nath. 2015. Algae in Vikramsila Gangetic Dolphin Sanctuary, Bihar (India). *Nelumbo* Vol. 57, 124-134.
- [9] Desikachary, T.V. 1959. Cyanophyta, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 621.
- [10] Farah Naemah, M.S., Nik Norulaini, N.A.R., Mohd Omar, A.K. and Fatehah, M.O. 2000. Identification of Pollution Sources within the Sungai Pinang River Basin. Project Report. University Sains Malaysia, Penang, 478-485.
- [11] Jhingran, V. G. 1980. Fish and Fisheries of India. Hindustan Publ. Corp, Delhi.
- [12] Kannan, K. 1991. Fundamentals of Environmental Pollution. S. Chand and Co. Ltd. New Delhi, Vol.7, No. 1. 81pp.
- [13] Kumar, Braj Nandan and Choudhary, Sunil Kumar. 2010. Phytoplankton species-diversity of Jagatpur Wetland, Bhagalpur, Bihar (India). *J. Indian bot. Soc.* Vol.89 (3& 4) 2010: 358-363.
- [14] Kumar, H. D. 1990. Introductory Phycology. Pub. Affiliated East West Press Pvt. Ltd.
- [15] Nandan, S. N. and Aher, N. H. 2005. Algal community used for assessment of water quality of Haranbaree dam and Mosam river of Maharashtra. *J. Environ. Biol.*, 26, 223-227.
- [16] Nygaard, G. 1949. Hydro biological studies of some Danish ponds and lakes II. The Quotient hypothesis and some new or little known phytoplankton organisms. *K. Danske Viedersk. Selsk Skr.* 7 (1):1-293.
- [17] Odum, E. P. 1971. Fundamentals of Ecology. W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia.
- [18] Palmer, C. M. 1969. Composite rating of algae tolerating organic pollution. *J. Phycol.* 5, 78-82.
- [19] Philipose, M.T. 1967. Chlorococcales, ICAR, New Delhi, pp323.
- [20] Phillips, J. D. 1989. An Evaluation of the Factors Determining the Effectiveness of Water Quality Buffer Zones. *Journal of Hydrology*, 107, 133-145. [http:// dx. Doi. Org/ 10.1016/0022-1694 \(89\)90054-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(89)90054-1).
- [21] Prescott, G.W. 1969. The Algae: A Review, Michigan State University, Great Britain. pp436.
- [22] Randhawa, M. S. 1959. Zygnemaceae, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 478.
- [23] Sarode, P. T. and Kamat, N. D. 1984. Fresh Water Diatoms of Maharashtra, Saikirpa Prakashan, Aurangabad, pp 70-217.
- [24] Sawyer, C. N. 1960. Chemistry for sanitary Engineers. Mc. Graw Hill Book Co. New York.
- [25] Shannon, C. E, and Weaver, W. 1949. The Mathematical Theory of Communication University of Illinois Press, Urbana, IL., USA.
- [26] Sharon Behar, 1997. Chemical and Physical Vital Signs of a River, Montpelier, VT: River Watch Network, ISBN 078234923.
- [27] Singh T. B and Singh Indu Bala and Devendra. 1999. *Pollution Research*, 18 (1), 111-114.
- [28] Sreenivasan, A. 1964. Limnological studies of tropical impoundment II: Hydrological features and planktons of Bhavanisagar Reservoir (Madras State) for 1961-1962. *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.*, 59 (B): 53-71.
- [29] Tiwari, Ashesh and Chauhan S. V. S. 2006. Seasonal phytoplanktonic diversity of Kitham lake, Agra. *J. Environ Biol.*, 27, 35-38.
- [30] Trivedy, R. K. and Goel, P. K. 1986. Chemical and Biological Methods for Water Pollution Studies. Environmental Publication, Karad.
- [31] Turner, W. B. 1892. The Fresh Water Algae of East India. pp187. Tab. I-XXIII.
- [32] West, W. and West, G. S. 1907. Fresh Water Algae from Burma, Including a few from Bengal and Madras. Vol. VI, Part II, pp. 176-260, Pl. X-XVI.
- [33] Zargar, S. and Ghosh, T. K. 2006. Influence of cooling water discharges from Kaiga nuclear power plant on selected indices applied to plankton population of Kadra reservoir. *J. Environ. Biol.*, 27, 191-198.