Providing security in Social Media by using Trust Agent Based Behavior induction

(J.Vaishnavi) 1 (N.Sai Lohita) 2
1(STUDENT, DEPT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, SPMVV, TIRUPATI, INDIA)
2(ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, DEPT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, SPMVV, TIRUPATI, INDIA)

Abstract:- Nowadays, a big a part of people relies on available content in social media in their selections. Generally, social networks are a sort of social structure that consists of multiple nodes and also the relationships among them. We propose a novel trust agent-based behavior-induction approach for social network environments. Given a restricted negative behavior, the agent the way to induct, persuade, encourage, or induce social network participants to avoid this type of negative behavior as much as attainable. Specifically, we tend to introduce a trust agent (whose behavior is meant in keeping with the corresponding participants) geared toward eliciting maximized trust from different social network participants. Additionally, we generate a dynamic control mechanism to coordinate participant behavior in social networks and avoid a restricted negative behavior.

Keywords: Social networks, Behavior induction, Trust agent, dynamic control mechanism.

Introduction:-

The quick development of social network applications, adore on-line social websites, blogs, and wikis, has dramatically modified however we tend to deal with the web, to the point wherever Internet-based social networks became the key channel for human social relationship maintenance and knowledge dissemination. Generally, social networks are a sort of societal structure that consists of multiple nodes and also the relationships among them. Through these relationships, social networks connect all types of participants, from casual speaking acquaintances to closely connected relations.

However whereas on-line social networks bring convenience to trendy life, they'll have negative effects also. In politics, let's say, rumors might be created and unfold on social networks that result in incidents affecting societal stability; equally, in e-commerce, false data is touch social networks that deceive customers in on-line shopping platforms. Creation is often distributed via social video sharing and instant messaging platforms, and terrorists have adopted social networks to steer teenagers to require half in their illicit activities.

One way to counter these malicious behaviors is to introduce behavior induction, a method within which an individual or cluster influences the behavior of another person or cluster through the induction of behavioural attitudes. Common behavior-induction approaches adopted in social networks embody political restriction and using people to publish positive data. However, most of those approaches are too simple and inefficient, simply resulting in reverse recognization, a state within which the induced recognization is that the reverse of the meant induction; feeling offensive, a state that the induced participants feel offensive; political negligence, a state within which the iatrogenic participants become negligent to politics; and a lot of. Ideally, we are able to use the connection of participants and trendy process techniques to mechanically induct behaviors in social networks. To deal with these problems, we tend to propose a novel trust agent-based behavior-induction approach for social network environments. Given a nominal restricted negative behavior, the agent the way to induct, persuade, encourage, or induce social network participants to avoid this type of negative behavior the maximum amount as attainable. Specifically, we tend to introduce a trust agent (whose behavior is intended consistent with the corresponding participants) aimed toward eliciting maximized trust from different social network participants. Additionally, we tend to generate a dynamic management mechanism to coordinate participant behavior in social networks and avoid a restricted negative behavior.

Proposed system:-

Trust agents social options are often selected according to participants social features. This encourages participants to trust the agents, so follow the agents designed behaviors. Social options describe context—in this case, a participant's social in a social network 8–10 and are often classified into independent and dependent social options. A participant's independent social options visit the private characteristics that influence his or her interactions, trust, and recommendations; they usually include a task impact issue and preference.11 Participant activities in social networks are often classified into totally different domains supported their characteristics, that we contemplate the role impact issue. maybe, the behavior of an individual who has experience in a particular domain is deemed additional trustworthy than that of somebody who has no data in it.

Trust Agent-Based Behavior Induction

After trust agents' social options are elite to create participants trust the agent, the agent's designed behaviors will effectively induct participant behaviors through the subsequent steps:

1. Initialize the typical chance R_{Agt} of all trust agents entering the restricted behavior space during a behavior- mapping space as the average chance R of all participants entering the restricted behavior space.

Initialize the average probability Q_{Agt} of all trust agents leaving the restricted behavior area in the behavior- mapping space as the average probability Q of all participants leaving the restricted behavior area.

2. Define the initial induction time as t0, when participants in the restricted behavior area reach the threshold Z. Define q time units as qt. Initialize q = 1.

3. With Equations 1 and 2, at time T = t0 + qt, the probability of all trust agents entering and leaving the restricted behavior area is calculated. If the number of participants in a restricted behavior area

pr is less than a threshold L, the induction is completed; otherwise, assign the value of q + 1 to and q go to step 3.

Conclusion:-

We propose a novel trust agent-based behavior-induction approach for social network environments. Given a specified restricted negative behavior, the agent a way to induct, persuade, encourage, or induce social network participants to avoid this type of negative behavior as much as attainable. Specifically, we introduce a trust agent (whose behavior is meant according to the corresponding participants) aimed at eliciting maximized trust from different social network participants. Additionally, we generate a dynamic control mechanism to coordinate participant behavior in social networks and avoid a restricted negative behavior.

References:-

[1] Albert, R., Barabasi, A.: Statistical mechanics of complex networks. Reviews of Modern Physics 74(1), 47-97 (2002).

[2] Garton, L., Haythornthwaite, C., Wellman, and B.: Studying online social networks. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication 3(1) (1997).

[3] Ye, S., Lang, J., Wu, and F.: Crawling Online Social Graphs. In: Proc. of the 12th International Asia-Pacific Web Conference, pp. 236-242. IEEE (2010).

[4] Kleinberg, J.: The small-world phenomenon: an algorithm perspective. In: Proc. of the 32nd annual symposium on Theory of computing, pp. 163-170. ACM (2000).

[5] Gjoka, M., Kurant, M., Butts, C., Markopoulou, and A.: Walking in Face book: a case study of unbiased sampling of OSNs. In: Proc. of the 29th conference on Information communications, pp. 2498-2506. IEEE (2010)

[6] Mislove, A., Marcon, M., Gummadi, K., Druschel, P., Bhattacharjee, and B.: Measurement and analysis of online social networks. In: Proc. of the 7th SIGCOMM conference on Internet measurement, pp. 29-42. ACM (2007)

[7] Han, J., Kamber, M., Pei, J.: Data mining: concepts and techniques. Morgan Kaufman Pub (2011)

[8] Adamic, L., Adar, E.: Friends and neighbors on the web. Social networks 25(3), 211-230 (2003)

[9] Blondel, V., Gajardo, A., Heymans, M., Senellart, P., Van Dooren, P.: A measure of similarity between graph vertices: Applications to synonym extraction and web searching.Siam Review pp. 647-666 (2004)

[10] Jeh, G., Widom, and J.: Simrank: a measure of structural-context similarity. In: Proc. Of the 8th SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining, pp. 538-543. ACM (2002)

[11] Batagelj, V., Doreian, P., Ferligoj, A.: An optimization approach to regular equivalence .Social Networks 14(1-2), 121-135 (1992)

[12] Golub, G., Van Loan, C.: Matrix computations, vol. 3. Johns Hopkins University Press (1996)

[13] Kleinberg, J.: Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment. Journal of the ACM 46(5), 604-632 (1999)

[14] Romero, D., Galuba, W., Asur, S., Huberman, B.: Influence and passivity in social media. In: Proc. of the 20th International Conference Companion on World Wide Web, pp. 113-114. ACM (2011)

[15] Mathioudakis, M., Koudas, N.: Efficient identification of starters and followers in social media. In: Proc. of the International Conference on Extending Database Technology, pp. 708-719. ACM (2009)

[16] Song, X., Chi, Y., Hino, K., Tseng, B.: Identifying opinion leaders in the blogosphere. In: Proc. of the 16th Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, pp. 971-974. ACM (2007).

[17] Goldenberg, A., Zheng, A., Fienberg, S., Airoldi, E.: A survey of statistical network models. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2(2), 129-233 (2010)

[18] Aiello, L.M., Barrat, A., Cattuto, C., Ruffo, G., Schifanella, R.: Link creation and profile alignment in the aNobii social network. In: Proc. of the 2nd International Conference on Social Computing, pp. 249-256 (2010)