

NORMALITY OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS PERTAINING SHARED VALUES

Abstract: Here n, k is certain whole numbers considering $n \geq 2k + 4$, $a \neq 0$ is complex number, and F is gathering of functions meromorphic in D space, for every $f \in F$, $f_n + af(k)$ and $g_n + ag(k)$ share b , and zeros have extend minimum of $k + 1$, at that point F is ordinary in D

Keywords: meromorphic function; normal family; shared value.

1. Introduction and results

In this exploration, C is indicated as entire complex plane. Here f is meromorphic work in area $D \subset C$. For $a \in C$, set $\bar{E}_f(a) = \{z \in D : f(z) = a\}$. The meromorphic capacities g and f share esteem a with the end goal that $\bar{E}_f(a) = \bar{E}_g(a)$ in D . At the point when $a = \infty$, zeros of $f - a$ methods shafts of f .

Let F a gathering of meromorphic functions characterized on $D \subset C$. F is said to be typical on D , in the feeling of Montel, if for any grouping $f_j \in F$ there exists a subsequence f_{n_j} merges circularly locally consistently on D , to a meromorphic work or ∞ (see [1], [2], [3]).

In Bloch's standard, all condition which reduces a meromorphic work in plane C to a consistent, changes a gathering of meromorphic works in an area D to ordinary. It is vital to discover ordinariness criteria in shared qualities. Schwick[4] demonstrated that a gathering of meromorphic works in area is typical if in which all capacity shares 3 unmistakable limited complex numbers with its first subordinates. Sun[5] exhibited a gathering of meromorphic works in space is typical if in which each match of capacities share 3 settled discrete qualities, which was ad libbed variant of Montel's Normal Criterion [6] by shared qualities. Numerous outcomes on ordinariness criteria with respect to shared qualities was discovered [7-9].

In 2008, Zhang[10] proved

Theorem A. (see [10]). Let F be a gathering of capacities meromorphic in a space D , n be a positive whole number and a, b be two constants with the end goal that $a \neq 0, \infty$ and $b \neq \infty$. In the event that $n \geq 4$ and for every f and g in F , $f^n - af^n$ and $g^n - ag^n$ share the esteem b , at that point F is ordinary in D .

In this paper, we replace f^n by $f^{(k)}$ in Theorem A and obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let n, k be a positive whole numbers fulfilling $n \geq 2k + 4$, $a \neq 0, \infty$ and $b \neq \infty$ be intricate numbers, and let F be a gathering of capacities meromorphic in an area D . In the event that for each $f, g \in F$, $f^n + af^{(k)}$ and $g^n + ag^{(k)}$ share b , and every one of the zeros have assortment in any event $k + 1$, at that point F is ordinary in D .

Example: Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $F = \{f_n\}$ where

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{n\sqrt[n]{z}}, z \in D, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Clearly $f_n'' + f_n^{(9)} = \frac{5n^8 + 5}{8n^9 \sqrt[n]{z^9}}$. So for each pair m, n , $f_n'' + f_n^{(7)}$ and $f_m'' + f_m^{(7)}$ share 0 in D , but F is not normal at the point $z = 0$ since $f_n^{(k)}(\frac{1}{n^4}) = \frac{n^4}{4(1+n)} \rightarrow \infty (n \rightarrow \infty)$. This example show that Theorem 1 is not valid if f doesn't satisfy that all zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 1$.

2. Lemmas

In this section, some lemmas were illustrated.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]). F is a group of functions meromorphic on unit disc, all of zeros have multiplicity with minimum of k , and there exists $A \geq 1$ so that

$|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$ whenever $f(z) = 0$. Then if F is not normal, there exist, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$,

- a) a number $0 < r < 1$;
- b) points $z_n, |z_n| < r$;
- c) functions $f_n \in F$; and
- d) positive numbers $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$

such that $\rho_n^{-\alpha} f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi) = g_n(\xi) \rightarrow g(\xi)$ locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where g is a nonconstant meromorphic function on C , all of zeros have multiplicity with minimum of k , such that $g^\#(\xi) \leq g^\#(0) = kA + 1$. Particularly, g has instructed at most two; and, in case g is entire function, as exponential type. **Lemma 2.2** [11] Let f be a meromorphic function, then

$$T(r, f) < N(r, f) + N(r, \infty) + N(r, \frac{1}{\infty}) - N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + S(r, f), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$S(r, f) = 2m(r) + \frac{f'}{m(r)} + \frac{f''}{m(r)} + \frac{192|f(0)(f'(0) - 1)|}{\log |f'(0)|} + \frac{1}{f'(0)}$$

Lemma 2.3 Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, n and k be two integers and $n \geq 2k + 4$, and all zeros of f are of multiplicity greater than $k + 1$, then $f^n + af^{(k)}$ assumes every finite complex value b infinitely often.

Proof: Let $\psi(z) = \frac{af^{(k)}(z) - b}{f^n(z)}$, and suppose that $\psi(z) = -1$ has only finite number of roots. Then by Lemma 2.2

$$T(r, \psi) < \bar{N}(r, \psi) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{\psi}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{\psi + 1}) + S(r, \psi) \tag{2.2}$$

Now poles of $\psi(z)$ occur only at zeros of $f(z)$, and those poles which are not instantaneously zeros of $af^{(k)} - b$ have multiplicity minimum of n . Zeros of $\psi(z)$ can occur only at zeros of $af^{(k)} - b$ which are not poles of $f(z)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}(r, \psi) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{\psi}) &\leq \frac{1}{n}N(r, \psi) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{af^{(k)} - b}) + \bar{N}(r, f) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n}T(r, \psi) + T(r, f^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

By the first fundamental theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, \psi) + N(r, \frac{1}{\psi}) &\leq T(r, \psi) + T(r, f) + (k + 1)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq_n T(r, \psi) + (k + 2)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Take (2.4) into (2.1),

$$(1 - \frac{1}{n})T(r, \psi) < (k + 2)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} nT(r, f) &= T(r, \frac{af^{(k)} - b}{\psi}) \\ &\leq T(r, f^{(k)}) + T(r, \psi) + O(1) \\ &\leq (k + 1)T(r, f) + T(r, \psi) + S(r, f), 3 \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(n - k - 1)T(r, f) \leq T(r, \psi) + S(r, f). \tag{2.6}$$

Combine (3.5) and (3.6) obtaining

$$(1 - \frac{1}{n})T(r, \psi) < [\frac{k + 2}{n} + O(1)]T(r, \psi) \tag{2.7}$$

which contradicts with the condition $n \geq 2k + 4$.

Thus proved Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4 f is nonconstant rational function, n and k be two integers and $n \geq 2k + 4$, and all zeros of f are of multiplicity higher than $k + 1$, then $f^n + af^{(k)}$ has minimum of 2 distinct zeros.

Proof: Case 1. If $f^n + af^{(k)}$ has no zeros, it is easy to see that f is not a polynomial, then f is rational function but not a polynomial.

Let $f = \frac{P}{(z - z_1)^{m_1}(z - z_2)^{m_2} \dots (z - z_t)^{m_t}} = \frac{P}{Q}$, $f^{(k)} = \frac{P_1}{Q_1}$. We denote $p = \deg P$, and $q = \deg Q$, then $\deg Q_1 = q + kt$, $\deg P_1 = p + k(t - 1)$.

$$fn + af^{(k)} = \frac{PnQ + aP_1Qn}{Q_1 + nQaP_1Qn} \tag{2.8}$$

$\deg(P^nQ_1) = np + q + kt$, $\deg(P_1Q^n) = p + k(t - 1) + nq$.

If $p \geq q$, then $np + q + kt - (p + k(t - 1) + nq) = (n - 1)(p - q) + k > 0$, that is $\deg(P^nQ_1) > \deg(P_1Q^n)$; If $p < q$, since $n \geq 2k + 4$, then $np + q + kt - (p + k(t - 1) + nq) = (n - 1)(p - q) + k < 0$, that is $\deg(P^nQ_1) < \deg(P_1Q^n)$, thus $f^n + af^{(k)}$ have zeros, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $f^n + af^{(k)}$ has only one distinct zero z_0 .

If f is a polynomial, then $f^n + af^{(k)} = A(z - z_0)^l$. From the condition that all zeros of f are of multiplicity greater than $k + 1$, it can be deduced that z_0 is the only zero of f , so $f = b(z - z_0)^m$, $m \geq k + 1$, where b is a constant and m is a positive integer.

$$f^{(k)} = bm^{(m-1)} \dots (m-k+1)(z - z_0)^{m-k} \tag{2.9}$$

and $f^n + af^{(k)} = A^n(z - z_0)^{nl} + c(z - z_0)^{m-k} = (z - z_0)^{m-k}[A(z - z_0)^{nl-m+k} + C]$, (2.10) thus $f^n + af^{(k)}$ has two distinct zeros, contradiction.

So f is a non-polynomial rational function, then assuming

$$f(z) = \frac{A(z - z_0)^m}{(z - z_1)^{n_1}(z - z_2)^{n_2} \dots (z - z_s)^{n_s}} \tag{2.11}$$

where A is a constant, and s is a positive integer. By integration (2.4)

$$f^{(k)} = \frac{(z - z_0)^{m-k}g(z)}{(z - z_1)^{n_1+1}(z - z_2)^{n_2+1} \dots (z - z_s)^{n_s+1}} \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.11) and (2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} fn + af^{(k)} &= \frac{An(z - z_0)^m}{(z - z_1)^{n_1+1}(z - z_2)^{n_2+1} \dots (z - z_s)^{n_s+1}} - 0nm + \\ &= \frac{a(z - z_0)^{m-k}[An(z - z_0)^{nm-m+k} + ag(z)(z - z_1)(n_1 - 1)n_1 - k \dots (z - z_s)(n_s - 1)ns - k]}{(z - z_1)^{n_1+1}(z - z_2)^{n_2+1} \dots (z - z_s)^{n_s+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, since $f^n + af^{(k)}$ has only one zero,

$$f^n + af^{(k)} = \frac{C(z - z_0)^l}{(z - z_1)^{n_1}(z - z_2)^{n_2} \dots (z - z_s)^{n_s}} \tag{2.14}$$

Combining (2.13) and (2.14)

$$C(z - z_0)^l = (z - z_0)^{m-k} g_1(z),$$

where $g_1(z) = A^n(z - z_0)^{nm - m + k} + ag(z)(z - z_1)^{(n-1)n_1 - k} \dots (z - z_s)^{(n-1)n_s - k}$. If $l > m - k$, then $g_1(z)$ has a zero z_0 , which is impossible. If $l = m - k$, $g_1(z) = C$, that is $A^n(z - z_0)^{nm - m + k} + ag(z)(z - z_1)^{(n-1)n_1 - k} \dots (z - z_s)^{(n-1)n_s - k} = C$, thus $nm - m + k = (n - 1)N$, where $N = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s$, thus $(n - 1)(N - m) = k$, which is impossible since $n \geq 2k + 4$.

The proof of Lemma 2.4 is completed.

3. The Proof of Theorem 1

Assuming that $D = \Delta$, the unit disc. Suppose that F is not normal on Δ . Then by Lemma1, we can find $f_j \in F, z_j \in \Delta$, and $\rho_j \rightarrow 0^+$ such that

$$g_j(\xi) = \rho_j^{n-1} f_j(z_j + \rho_j \xi)$$

converges locally uniformly with respect to the sphericity metric to a nonconstant meromorphic function g on C , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least k , which satisfies $g^{\#}(\xi) \leq g^{\#}(0) = kA + 1$, in particular, g has order at most two.

On every compact subset of C we have

$$\rho_j^{n-1} [f_j^n + af_j^{(k)} - b] = g_j^n(\xi) + ag_j^{(k)}(\xi) - \rho_j^{n-1} b \rightarrow g^n(\xi) + ag^{(k)}(\xi) \tag{3.1}$$

If $g^n(\xi) + ag^{(k)}(\xi) \equiv 0$, then g has no poles and g is not a polynomial, thus g is a transcendental entire function. From $g^n(\xi) + ag^{(k)}(\xi) \equiv 0$ we obtain $g^{n-1} = -a \frac{g^{(k)}}{g}$, by the first fundamental theorem,

$$(n - 1)T(r, g) = (n - 1)m(r, g) = m(r, g^{n-1}) = m(r, -a \frac{g^{(k)}}{g}) = S(r, g)$$

since $n \geq 2k + 4$, we obtain $T(r, g) = S(r, g)$, which is a contradiction, thus $g^n(\xi) + ag^{(k)}(\xi) \not\equiv 0$.

By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we obtain that $g^n + ag^{(k)}$ has minimum 2 distinct zeros.

Next we prove that $g^n + ag^{(k)}$ has only one distinct zero.

Let ξ_0 and ξ_0^* be two distinct zeros of $g^n + ag^{(k)}$. We choose a small $\delta > 0$ such that

$$D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset, \text{ where } D_1 = \xi \in C : |\xi - \xi_0| < \delta \text{ and } D_2 = \xi \in C : |\xi - \xi_0^*| < \delta$$

From (3.1), Hurwitz's theorem implied that there exist points $\zeta_j \in D_1$ and $\zeta_j^* \in D_2$ such that for sufficiently large j

$$f_j^n(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j) + af_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j) = b, f_j^n(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j^*) + af_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j^*) = b.$$

By the assumption of Theorem 1, we see that for each $f_m \in F$

$$f_m^n(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j) + af_m^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j) = b, f_m^n(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j^*) + af_m^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j^*) = b.$$

Fix m and let $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have $z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j \rightarrow z_0$, and $z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j^* \rightarrow z_0$, and

$$f_m^n(z_0) + af_m^{(k)}(z_0) = b.$$

Since the zeros of $f_m^n + af_m^{(k)} - b$ have no accumulation points, we deduce that $z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j = z_0$ and $z_j + \rho_j \zeta_j^* = z_0$ for sufficiently large j .

Hence $\xi_j = \xi_j^* = (z_0 - z_j) / \rho_j$, which contradicts the fact that $\zeta_j \in D_1, \zeta_j^* \in D_2$ and $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$.

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] Hayman, W.K.; The spherical derivative of integral and meromorphic functions. Comment Math. Helv. 40. 117-148(1966).
- [2] Schiff. J., Normal Families. Springer. Berlin (1993).
- [3] Y. L.: Value distribution theory, Springer. Berlin (1993).
- [4] Schwick. W.: Sharing values and normality. Arch. Math. 59, 50-54 (1992).
- [5] D. C. Sun, On the normal criterion of shared values, J. Wuhan Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed. 3(1994)9-12(in Chinese).
- [6] P. Montel, Sur les familles de fonctions analytiques qui admettent des valeurs exceptionnelles dans un domaine, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.29(1912)487-535.
- [7] M. Fang, L. Zalcman, A note on normality and shared values, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 32(2004)141-150.
- [8] X. Pang, L. Zalcman, Normal families and shared values, Bull. London. Math. Soc. 32(2000)325-331.
- [9] Q. Zhang, Normal criteria concerning sharing values, Kodai Math. J. 25(2002)8-14.
- [10] Q. C. Zhang, Normal families of meromorphic functions concerning shared values, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338(2008)545-551.
- [11] W.K. Hayman, Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, Ann. of Math. 70(1959)9-42.