

CMI METHOD FOR THE SOLUTION OF LINEAR FORMULATING PROBLEM

¹Ms. S. Cynthiya Margaret Indrani, ²Dr.N.Srinivasan

¹Research Scholar & Assistant Professor, ² Professor and Head

¹ Department of Mathematics,

¹ St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, Avadi, Chennai-54, India

ABSTRACT- In this paper, CMI method is proposed to the solution of the linear Formulating problem. This method is very powerful with effortless iterations when compared to the available methods. This method involves less or equivalent number of iterations than the traditional simplex method. It also saves the worthy time by omitting the calculations of net evaluations.

KEY WORDS – Linear Formulating Problem, Optimal Solution, CMI Method.

I. INTRODUCTION

Linear formulating Problem is a Mathematical modelling technique in which a linear function is maximized or minimized when subjected to various restrictions (or constraints) expressed as equation or inequalities. The solution of a linear formulating problem reduces to finding the optimum value of the linear expression called the objective function.

II MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

To Maximize the Objective function $Z=CY$

Subject to $AY=B, Y \geq 0$

Where $Y = q \times 1$ column matrix

$A = p \times q$ coefficient matrix

$B = p \times 1$ column matrix

$C = 1 \times q$ row matrix.

There are few methods to obtain the solution of the above problem. In that few methods simplex method is the most general and powerful.

III PROPOSED CMI ALGORITHM

To find the optimal solution of any LFP by CMI method, algorithm is given as follows,

Step 1 : Check the objective function of LFP is of maximization or minimization type. If it is to be minimization type then convert it into a maximization type by using the result $\text{Min } Z = - \text{Max } (-Z)$

Step 2 : Check whether all b_i are non negative. If any b_i is negative then multiply the corresponding constraints by -1 .

Step 3: Express the given LFP in standard form then obtain an initial feasible solution.

Step 4 : To find the entering column.

- (i) Only in the initial iteration select the entering column by choosing the greatest coefficient in the objective function C_j .
- (ii) In successive iterations select the Maximum positive value of the variables (both basic and non basic).
- (iii) If the maximum value attains 1, it should be chosen from body matrix only.

Step 5 : To find the leaving row

- (i) Select the Minimum value of the variables (both basic and non basic) except 0 and 1.
- (ii) If the pivot element attains 0, leave that corresponding row and consider the next minimum value
- (iii) If the minimum value occurs in tie break then select the corresponding row of largest element in that entering column.

Step 6 : Drop the leaving row and introduce the entering column in the basis and convert the pivot element to unity and all other elements in its column to zero by using usual simplex method and go to next step.

Step 7 : Ignore the corresponding row and column. Proceed to step 4 and 5 for remaining elements and repeat the same procedure until an optimum solution is obtained or there is an indication for an unbounded solution.

Step 8 : If all rows and columns are ignored then the current solution is an optimum solution.

IN CASE OF FAILURE:

- i) **While finding the entering column (step-4),** Once the variable leaves, it should not enter again in the basis in the successive iterations. If it happens, leave that corresponding maximum value and consider the next maximum one from the other variables.
- ii) **While finding the leaving row (Step-5),** Once the variable enters, it should not leave from the basis in the successive iterations. If it happens, leave that corresponding minimum value and consider the next minimum one from the other variables

IV NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

PROBLEM -1

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Maximize } Z = 2y_1 + 4y_2 + y_3 \\
 & \text{Subject to the constraints} \\
 & \quad y_1 + 3y_2 \leq 4, \\
 & \quad 2y_1 + y_2 \leq 3, \\
 & \quad y_2 + 4y_3 \leq 3, \text{ where } y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Solution:

By introducing the slack variables, the set of constraints of the LFP are converted in to the system of equations. The modified objective function

$$\text{Maximize } Z = 2y_1 + 4y_2 + y_3 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 + 0P_3$$

Subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
 & y_1 + 3y_2 + P_1 = 4, \\
 & 2y_1 + y_2 + P_2 = 3, \\
 & y_2 + 4y_3 + P_3 = 3, \\
 & \text{where } y_1, y_2, y_3, P_1, P_2, P_3 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Initial iteration.

O.F			2	4	1	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
0	P ₁	4	1	3	0	1	0	0
0	P ₂	3	2	(1)	0	0	1	0
0	P ₃	3	0	1	4	0	0	1



In initial iteration the variable y₂ column is having greatest coefficient in objective function. So select that column as an entering column. The minimum value is 2 which is in P₂ row. Hence that corresponding row is a leaving row. The Pivotal element is (1).

First iteration:

By using Step 6, the initial iteration becomes

O.F			2	4	1	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
0	P ₁	-5	-5	0	0	1	-3	0
4	y ₂	3	2	1	0	0	1	0
0	P ₃	0	-2	0	(4)	0	-1	1



In the above iteration the maximum value is 4 which occurs in y₃ column, therefore it is an entering column. The minimum value is -5 (i.e. in P₁ row). If we select that one then pivotal element becomes 0, so as per the condition (ii) in step-5 leave that corresponding row and consider the next minimum value. The next minimum value is -2 that occurs in P₃ row, hence P₃ is the leaving row. The Pivotal element is (4).

Second Iteration:

By using Step 6, the first iteration becomes

O.F			2	4	1	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
0	P ₁ →	-5	(-5)	0	0	1	-3	0

4	y_2	3	2	1	0	0	1	0
1	y_3	0	$\frac{-1}{2}$	0	1	0	$\frac{-1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$

↑

In the above iteration the maximum value is 2 that occurs in y_1 and hence it is an entering column. next the minimum value is -5 that occurs in P_1 row, hence P_1 is a leaving row. The Pivotal element is (-5).

Third iteration:

By using Step 6, the second iteration becomes

O.F		2	4	1	0	0	0	
C_B	BASIS	y_B	y_1	y_2	y_3	P_1	P_2	P_3
2	y_1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{-1}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	0
4	y_2	1	0	1	0	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	0
1	y_3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	$\frac{-1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$\frac{1}{4}$

Since all the rows and columns are ignored, hence an optimum basic feasible solution has been reached.

Therefore the optimum solution is

$$y_1 = 1, y_2 = 1, y_3 = \frac{1}{2} \text{ \& Max } Z = \frac{13}{2}$$

PROBLEM -2: Minimize $Z = -2y_1 - 3y_2$

Subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} 2y_1 + 2y_2 &\leq 7, \\ y_1 &\leq 2, \\ y_2 &\leq 2, \text{ where } y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solution: Since the given objective function is of minimization type, convert it as maximization type given as follows.

$$\text{Maximize } (-Z) = \text{Maximize } Z^* = 2y_1 + 3y_2$$

Subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} 2y_1 + 2y_2 &\leq 7, \\ y_1 &\leq 2, \\ y_2 &\leq 2, \text{ where } y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

By introducing the slack variables, the set of constraints of the LFP are converted in to the system of equations.

The modified objective function

$$\text{Maximize } Z^* = 2y_1 + 3y_2 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 + 0P_3$$

Subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} 2y_1 + 2y_2 + P_1 &= 7, \\ y_1 + P_2 &= 2, \\ y_2 + P_3 &= 2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } y_1, y_2, P_1, P_2, P_3 \geq 0$$

Initial iteration.

O.F		2	3	0	0	0	
C_B	BASIS	y_B	y_1	y_2	P_1	P_2	P_3
0	P_1	7	2	(2)	1	0	0
0	P_2	2	1	0	0	1	0
0	P_3	2	0	1	0	0	1

↑

In initial iteration the variable y_2 is having greatest coefficient in objective function. So select that column as an entering column. The minimum value is 2 which is in P_1 row. Hence that corresponding row is a leaving row. The Pivotal element is (2).

First iteration:

By using Step 6, the initial iteration becomes

O.F			2	3	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
3	y ₂	$\frac{7}{2}$	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
0	P ₂	2	1	0	0	1	0
0	P ₃ →	$-\frac{3}{2}$	(-1)	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	1

↑

In the above iteration the maximum value is 1 which occurs in y₁ column(as per condition (iii) in step-4), therefore y₁ is an entering column. The minimum value is -1 which occurs in P₃ row. So select P₃ is a leaving row . The Pivotal element is (-1)

Second iteration:

By using Step 6, the first iteration becomes

O.F			2	3	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
3	y ₂	2	0	1	0	0	1
0	P ₂	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	1
2	y ₁	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	-1

Since all the rows and columns are ignored, hence an optimum basic feasible solution has been reached. Therefore the optimum solution is

$$y_1 = \frac{3}{2}, y_2 = 2 \text{ \& Max } Z^* = 9$$

But Minimize Z = -Maximize (-Z) = - Maximize Z* = -9

Therefore Min Z = - 9, y₁ = $\frac{3}{2}$, y₂ = 2

PROBLEM -3: Maximize Z = 3y₁ + 2y₂ + 5y₃

Subject to the constraints

$$y_1 + 2y_2 + y_3 \leq 43,$$

$$3y_1 + 2y_3 \leq 46,$$

$$y_1 + 4y_2 \leq 42, \text{ where } y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0$$

Solution: By introducing the slack variables, the set of constraints of the LFP are converted in to the system of equations.

The modified objective function **Maximize Z = 3y₁ + 2y₂ + 5y₃ + 0P₁ + 0P₂ + 0P₃**

Subject to the constraints

$$y_1 + 2y_2 + y_3 + P_1 = 43,$$

$$3y_1 + 2y_3 + P_2 = 46,$$

$$y_1 + 4y_2 + P_3 = 42,$$

where y₁, y₂, y₃, P₁, P₂, P₃ ≥ 0

Initial iteration.

O.F			3	2	5	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
0	P ₁	43	1	2	1	1	0	0
0	P ₂ →	46	3	0	(2)	0	1	0
0	P ₃	42	1	4	0	0	0	1

↑

In initial iteration the variable y₃ column is having greatest coefficient in objective function. So select that column as an entering column .The minimum value is 2 which occurs in both P₁ and P₂ rows .Here tie break occurs, hence by using the condition (iii) in step-5, P₂ is a leaving row. The Pivotal element is (2).

First iteration:

By using Step 6, the initial iteration becomes

O.F			3	2	5	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
0	P ₁ →	20	$-\frac{1}{2}$	(2)	0	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0
5	y ₃	23	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0
0	P ₃	42	1	4	0	0	0	1

↑

In the above iteration the maximum value is 4 which occurs in y₂ column, therefore it is an entering column. The minimum value is -1/2 (i.e in P₁row), hence P₁ is the leaving row. The Pivotal element is (2).

Second Iteration:

By using Step 6, the first iteration becomes

O.F			3	2	5	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
2	y ₂	10	$-\frac{1}{4}$	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{4}$	0
5	y ₃	23	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0
0	P ₃	2	2	0	0	-2	1	1

Since all the rows and columns are ignored, hence an optimum basic feasible solution has been reached. Therefore the optimum solution is y₁ = 0 , y₂ = 10, y₃ = 23 & Max Z =135

FAILURE CASE:

PROBLEM -4: Maximize $Z = 4y_1 + 6y_2 + 2y_3$
 Subject to the constraints
 $4y_1 - 4y_2 \leq 5,$
 $-y_1 + 6y_2 \leq 5,$
 $-y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \leq 5,$ where $y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0$

Solution: By introducing the slack variables, the set of constraints of the LFP are converted in to the system of equations.
 The modified objective function

Maximize $Z = 4y_1 + 6y_2 + 2y_3 + 0P_1 + 0P_2 + 0P_3$

Subject to the constraints
 $4y_1 - 4y_2 + P_1 = 5,$
 $-y_1 + 6y_2 + P_2 = 5,$
 $-y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + P_3 = 5,$
 where $y_1, y_2, y_3, P_1, P_2, P_3 \geq 0$

Initial iteration.

O.F			4	6	2	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
0	P ₁ →	5	4	(-4)	0	1	0	0
0	P ₂	5	-1	6	0	0	1	0
0	P ₃	5	-1	1	1	0	0	1

↑

In initial iteration the variable y₂ column is having greatest coefficient in objective function. So select that column as an entering column .The minimum value is -4 which is in P₁row.Hence that corresponding row is a leaving row. The Pivotal element is (-4).

First iteration:

By using Step 6, the initial iteration becomes

O.F			4	6	2	0	0	0
C _B	BASIS	y _B	y ₁	y ₂	y ₃	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
6	y ₂	$-\frac{5}{4}$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{4}$	0	0

0	P_2 →	$\frac{25}{2}$	(5)	0	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0
0	P_3 →	$\frac{25}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1

↑

In the above iteration the maximum value is 5 which occurs in y_1 column, therefore it is an entering column. The minimum value is -1(i.e in y_2 row), but according to condition (ii) in failure case, leave that corresponding row and select the next minimum value. The next minimum value is $\frac{1}{4}$ (i.e in P_3 row), If we select that one then the pivotal element becomes 0, so as per the condition (ii) in step-5 leave that corresponding row also and consider the next minimum value. The next minimum value is $\frac{3}{2}$ that occurs in P_2 row hence P_2 is the leaving row. The Pivotal element is (5).

Second Iteration:

By using Step 6, the first iteration becomes

O.F			4	6	2	0	0	0
C_B	BASIS	y_B	y_1	y_2	y_3	P_1	P_2	P_3
6	y_2	$\frac{5}{4}$	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{20}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0
4	y_1	$\frac{5}{2}$	1	0	0	$\frac{3}{10}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0
0	P_3 →	$\frac{25}{4}$	0	0	(1)	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1

↑

In the above iteration the maximum value 1 occurs in y_3 column as per the condition (iii) in step-4, y_3 is an entering column. For the minimum value, by using the conditions (ii) in failure case skip the y_2 and y_1 rows and select the next minimum value ie $\frac{1}{4}$ which is in P_3 row, hence P_3 is a leaving row. The Pivotal element is (1).

Third iteration:

By using Step 6, the second iteration becomes

			4	6	2	0	0	0
C_B	BASIS	y_B	y_1	y_2	y_3	P_1	P_2	P_3
6	y_2	$\frac{5}{4}$	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{20}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0
4	y_1	$\frac{5}{2}$	1	0	0	$\frac{3}{10}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0
2	y_3	$\frac{25}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1

Since all the rows and columns are ignored, hence an optimum basic feasible solution has been reached. Therefore the optimum solution is

$$y_1 = \frac{5}{2}, y_2 = \frac{5}{4}, y_3 = \frac{25}{4} \text{ \& Max Z =30}$$

V.CONCLUSION

CMI method has been derived to obtain the solution of linear Formulating problem. we observed that the solution obtained in less iteration or at the most equal iterations by our modified technique. It is very easy to apply and reduces our manual work. This method save our worthy time as there is no need to calculate the net evaluations.

REFERENCES

[1] Kalpana Lokhande; Pranay .Khobragade and .W. Khobragade: Alternative approach to simplex method, International journal of engineering and innovative Technology, volume 4, Issue 6, pg:123-127
 [2] Mrs Lokhande K. G., Khobragade N. W., Khot P.G: Simplex method : An Alternative Approach, International Journal of, International journal of Engineering and innovative Technology, volume 3, Issue 1, pg:426-428
 [3] Khobragade N. W., Khot P.G: An Alternative Approach to the Simplex method-I ,Bulletin of pure and applied sciences, volume 23(E) pg:35-40(2004)