Deduce ab-plane and c-plane value of the magnetic penetration depth of Hg-1223 System

PareshVyas

Department of Physics, Christian Eminent College, Indore-452011, India

Abstract:

The ab-plane and c-plane value of the magnetic penetration depth of mercury based cuprate Hg- 1223is deduced within the Fermi liquid approach. The two dimensional conducting CuO₂ planes and their numbers in a unit cell are significant and important features of Hg- based cuprates. The estimation of superconducting parameter essentially depends on the value of carrier density of the volume surrounded by Fermi surface. The anisotropy of the layered structured is well reflected in the shape of open Fermi surface. The result is analyzed and discussed with the available experimental data.

Introduction :

The discovery of Hg- based cupratehomologous series $HgBa_2Ca_{n-1}Cu_n O_{2n+2+\delta}$ {Hg - 12 (n - 1)n}, where n denotes the number of CuO₂ planes per unit cell, has again stimulated intense interest in the nature of pairing mechanism and the physical properties of layered cuprates.Putilin et al.[1] have first reported superconductivity in $HgBa_2CuO_{4+\delta}$ (Hg-1201) T_c = 94 K with mono CuO₂ layer per unit cell. Later on, superconductivity up to 133 K and zero resistance at 95 K were observed in multi-phased samples of Hg-Ba-Ca-Cu-O

With 15% of the sample volume containing Hg-1212 and Hg-1223 an observed superstructure [2]. The optimal T_c is found initially increase with n and reach a maximum of 136 K for n=3 in Hg-1223 { $\delta = 0.4$ } [3].

The mercury based cuprateshave remarkable high transition temperature and relative defined crystal structure. The fundamental parameters in the superconductivity, the magnetic penetration depth provides information regarding the effective mass (m^*) and the charge carrier density (n_v) as well possible anisotropy. Couach et al. have investigated the ac response of mixed phase randomly oriented materials and determined the ab component of penetration depth in Hg-1223 as $1100A^{\circ}$ [4].

Panagopoulos et al. have reported the temperature dependence of magnetic penetration depth with $\lambda_{ab} = 2100A^{\circ}$ and $\lambda_c = 61000A^{\circ}$ of magnetically aligned powders of crystalline HgBa₂Ca₂Cu₃O₈ [5].

The ab-plane and c-plane value of the magnetic penetration depth of mercury based cuprate Hg- 1223is deduced within the Fermi liquid approach. The magnetic penetration depth and their anisotropy on this are consistent with the published data when the physical parameters are deduced from the Fermi liquid approach. The availability of a wide range of

experimental data and our earlier theoretical investigations on some cuprateshave provided the motivation of this work.

The Model :

To a first approximation these layers are well separated and treated as non-interacting. The screened Coulomb potential for a series of identical CuO_2 planes separated by the distance d (= c/3for Hg-1223). The free holes as charge carriers are constrained to move within CuO_2 layer and the hole gas lies in this plane. Also the coupling of holes as charge carriers in the perpendicular direction is considered as weak.

The layered electron gas consists of a super lattice with spacing of each layer (*d*) containing *n* carriersembedded in a uniform neutralizing background. The interaction Hamiltonian for such infinitely thin layers is [7]

$$H = \sum_{k} \epsilon_{k} c_{k}^{+} c_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q} V(q) \sum_{kk'} c_{k}^{+} c_{k'}^{+} c_{k'+q} c_{k-q}$$
(1)

With an interaction potential of the following form

$$V(q) = \frac{2\pi e^2}{q} \frac{\sinh(qd)}{\cosh(qd) - \cosh(q_z d)} (2)$$

The energy of free particle by considering open Fermi surface is given as

$$\epsilon_k = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_{ab}} + \frac{\hbar^2}{m_c d^2} [1 - \cos(k_z d)] - \mu(3)$$

Where k and k_z are the wave vector along and perpendicular to the conducting CuO₂ plane. The m_{ab} and m_c are the effective mass of holes as carriers in the k and k_z directions respectively. The inter-planar separation between two consecutive CuO₂planes is denoted by d and the chemical potential is represented by μ . Josephson tunneling weakly couples the 2-D layers(planes). With the use of Eq. (3) the electronic group velocity $v(k) = \left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\right) \left[\partial \mathcal{E}(k)/\partial k\right]$ yield Fermi velocities along and perpendicular to the CuO₂ plane as $v_F^{ab} = \hbar k/m_{ab}$ and $v_F^c = \left[\hbar/m_c d\right] \sin(k_z d)$. This enables one to write $m_{ab} = \hbar k/v_F^{ab}$ and $m_c = \left[\hbar/v_F^c d\right] \sin(k_z d)$. Here we restrict ourselves to a case $|k_z, max| = \pi/c$ where c is lattice parameter in the k_z direction and d is related with the lattice parameter c as mentioned earlier.By considering the above approximation m_c reduces to $\hbar/v_F^c d$.

The estimation of superconducting parameter essentially depends on the value of carrier density of the volume surrounded by Fermi surface. For the sack of 2-D conducting planes which are well separated, the condition of optimized pairing allows that the 2-D charge carrier density will follow $n_c d^2 = 1$. For optimized doping the three lengths viz. d (separational distance between sheets), k_F^{-1} (inverse Fermi wavevector), and w (transeverse confinement width) should roughly equal, while the dimensionless Wigner-Seitz radius should have a value $r_s = r_s^0$, somewhat less than $r_s \approx 37$ at which Wigner crystal forms for a single layer 2-D electron gas[8].

In general the behaviour of the system critically depends only on the planar electron density and the spacing between the planes. Thus the condition for optimized pairing would follow $n_c d^2 = \beta$, where β is of the order of unity and weakly dependent on w/d [9].In addition, $n_c = n_v d$ with d is the average spacing for a stack of two dimensional conducting planes.

In layered systems with 2-D identical planes the effective mass of the carriers along the plane is related to the electronic specific heat coefficient (γ) through

$$m_{ab} = \frac{3\pi\hbar^2\gamma d}{K_B^2} (4)$$

With K_B as the Boltzman constant.

By considering the Hg-based system as 2-D superconductors with 2-D Cooper pairs in the CuO₂ layer, the penetration depth is anisotropic as the conduction of charge carriers takes place in the both k and k_z directions. For direction parallel to ab- plane, the penetration depth λ_{ab} and λ_c is evaluated from London relationship as

$$\lambda_{ab}(0) = \left[\frac{m_{ab}c^2}{4\pi n_v e^2}\right]^{1/2}$$
(5) and

 $\lambda_c(0) = \left[\frac{m_c c^2}{4\pi n_v e^2}\right]^{1/2}$

(6)

Result and Discussion:

While estimating the anisotropic superconducting state parameters for Hg- 1223 system, we have used the realistic physical parameters based on experimental data as follows. The

effective mass of holes as charge carriers along the plane is well estimated from the specific heat measurements. We use γ value from the specific heat measurement as 12mJ/mol/K²[10].

Using eq. (4) the effective mass of holes as charge carriers along the conducting CuO_2 plane incorporating the inter-layer distance is estimated as $m_{ab}=7m_e$. The charge carrier density of superconducting carriers in the CuO_2 plane is obtained from the lattice parameter c for the condition of optimised pairing.

With the above values for the input parameters, the in plane penetration depth λ_{ab} and cplane value of λ_c for Hg-1223 system are found to be 1682 Å and 2588 Å, which are consistent with the experimental data[5]. It is concluded that the magnetic penetration depth and their anisotropy on these superconductors are consistent with the published data when the physical parameters are deduced from the Fermi Liquid approach description.

References:

1. S.N.Putilin, E.V. Antipov, M. Marezio, Physics C, 212,266 (1993); Nature, 362, 226 (1993).

2.A. Schilling, M. Cantoni, J.D. Guo and H.R. Ott, Nature, 363, 56 (1993).

3. E.V. Antipov, S.M. Loureivo, C. Challiout, J.J. Capponi, P. Bordet, J.L. Tholence,

S.N. Putilin and M. Marezio, Physica-C, 215, (1993).

4. M. Couach, A.F. Khoder, R. Claemzuck, J.L. Ch. MarcenatTholence, J.J. Capponi and M. F. Gorius, Phys. Lett. **A1** 885 (1994).

5. C. Panagopoulos, J.R. Cooper, G.B. Peacock, I. Gomeson, P.P. Edward's, W.

Schmidbauer and J.W. Hodby, Phys. Rev. B53, R2999 (1996).

6. Q.Huang, J.W. Lynn, Q. Xiong and C.W. Chu, Phys. Rev. B52, 462 (1995).

7.P.B. Visscher and L.M. Falicov, Phys. Rev. B3, 2541 (1971).

8. B. Tanatar and D.M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B39, 5005 (1989).

9. D.R. Harshman and A.P. Millis, Jr., Phys. Rev. B45, 10864 (1992).

10.O.Jeandupeux, A. Schilling and H.R. Ott, Physica-C, 216, 17 (1993).