

# ANTI-FUZZY SUB ALGEBRAS AND ANTI FUZZY K-IDEALS IN INK-ALGEBRAS

R.Rajakumari<sup>1</sup> and KR.Balasubramanian<sup>2</sup>

Research scholar<sup>1</sup> and Assistant Professsor<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of mathematics, H. H. The Rajah's college,  
Pudukkottai-622-001, Tamil Nadu, India

## Abstract:

The aim of this paper is introduce the notion of anti-fuzzy sub algebras and anti-fuzzy K-ideals in INK-algebras, several theorems, properties are stated and proved. The fuzzy relations on INK-algebras are also studied.

## Keywords:

INK- algebras, Anti homomorphism, Anti fuzzy K- ideal, anti-fuzzy subgroup, anti-fuzzy sub algebra, Cartesian product, level subset, conditions stated.

## 1. Introduction

K. Iseki and Tanaka introduced two classes of abstract algebras BCI-algebras and BCK-algebras in[2]. It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI- algebra. Q.P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebra namely BCH- algebras. L.A. Zadeh ,(1965), introduced the notion of fuzzy sets in [7]. This idea has been applied to several mathematical branches. Xi applied this concept to BCK- algebra.W.A. Dudek (1992) fuzzified the ideals in BCC- algebras. Y.B.Jun (2009) contributed a lot to develop the theory of fuzzy sets. M.Kaviyarasu et.all(2017), introduced a new notion called INK-algebra in[11], which is a generalization of TM/Q/ BCK/ BCI/ BCH-algebra and investigated some properties. In this study, we introduce the concepts of anti-fuzzy sub algebras and anti-fuzzy K-ideals in INK-algebra and investigate some of their properties.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we first review some elementary aspects which are useful in the sequel.

### Definition: 2.1[2]

A BCK-algebra is algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- i)  $((x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y)))$ ,
- ii)  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ ,
- iii)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- iv)  $0 * x = 0$ ,
- v)  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply  $x = y$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . We can

Define a partial ordering  $\leq$  on  $X$  by  $x \leq y$  is defined by  $x * y = 0$ .

### Definition 2.2.[11]

A INK-algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant '0' and a binary operation  $*$  satisfying the following axioms :

- i)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- ii)  $x * 0 = x$ , for  $x \in X$ .
- iii)  $0 * x = x$ ,
- iv)  $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$

In  $X$  we can define a binary relation  $\leq$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ .

**Example: 2.3.[11]**

Consider the set  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with the following table

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Then we easily check that  $(X, *, 0)$  is a INK-algebra since Then we easily can check that  $(X, *, 0)$  is a k-algebra, since we have  $x * x = 0, x * 0 = 0$  and

$(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . But  $(X, *, 0)$  is not a INK-algebra,

Since  $0 * 1 \neq 0$

$x=0, y=1, z=3$

$(0 * 1) * 3 = 0 * (3 * (0 * 1))$

$(1 * 3) = (3 * 1)$

$2 = 2$  hence verified,

**3. Anti-Fuzzy sub algebras of k-ideals**

**Definition:3.1.**

A fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $X$  is called a anti-fuzzy sub algebra of  $K$  if  $\mu(x * y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$

**Definition: 3.2.**

A fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $X$  is called a anti fuzzy ideals of  $K$  if it satisfies:

(i)  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ ,

(ii)  $\mu(x) \leq \max\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y * (y * x))\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$

Clearly  $x = 0$  gives  $\mu$  is a anti-fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Definition: 3.3.**

Let  $G$  be a group. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a group  $G$  is called a anti fuzzy subgroup of the group if

(i)  $\mu(xy) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$  for every  $x, y \in G$  and

(ii)  $\mu(x^{-1}) = \mu(x)$  for every  $x \in G$

**Example:3.4.**

Consider the set  $X = \{0, 1, 2, \text{ and } 3\}$  with the following cayley's table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Define a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  as by  $\mu(0) = 0.5,$

$\mu(1) = 0.6, \mu(2) = 0.7 \mu(3)=0.9.$

. It is easy to verify that  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem: 3.5.**

If  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy sub algebra of a INK –algebra  $X$ , then  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ , for any  $x \in X$ .

Proof. Subsequently  $x * x = 0$ , for any  $x \in X$ , then:

$$\mu(0) = \mu(x * x) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(0) = \mu(x).$$

**Theorem: 3.6.**

If  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy sub algebra of a INK –algebra X, then  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ , for any  $x \in X$ .

**Proof:**

Subsequently  $x * x = 0$ , for any  $x \in X$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(0) &= \mu(x * x) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x)\} \\ &\Rightarrow \mu(0) = \mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem: 3.7.**

A fuzzy set  $\mu$  of an INK-algebra X is a anti fuzzy sub algebra if and only if for every  $t \in [0,1]$   $\mu_t$  is either empty of a anti fuzzy sub algebra of X.

**Proof.**

Assume that  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy sub algebra of X. and  $\neq \emptyset$

Then for any  $x, y \in \mu_t$

we have,  $\mu(x * y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \leq t$ .

Therefore  $x, y \in \mu_t$

$\mu_t$ . Hence  $\mu_t$  is anti-fuzzy sub algebra of X. Conversely,  $\mu_t$  is a anti fuzzy sub algebra of X. Let  $x, y \in X$ .

Take  $t = \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ . Then by assumption  $\mu_t$  is anti-fuzzy sub algebra of X implies:

$$x * y \in \mu_t. \text{ Therefore } \mu(x * y) \leq t = \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$$

.Hence  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy sub algebra of X.

**Definition: 3.8.**

Let G be a group .A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a group G is called a anti fuzzy subgroup of the group if

(i)  $\mu(xy) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$  , for every  $x, y \in G$

(ii)  $\mu(x^{-1}) = \mu(x)$ , for every  $x, y \in G$

**Theorem: 3.9.**

Anti-fuzzy sub algebra is a anti-fuzzy subgroup.

**Proof:**

Consider a anti-fuzzy sub algebra on the algebra A then there exists a

Fuzzy set  $\mu \in K^A$  then for

(i) nullary operation

$$\mu(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \leq \mu(x_1) * \dots * \mu(x_n) \text{ for all } x_1, \dots, x_n \in A \text{----- (1)}$$

From (1) let  $x_2 = y$

$$\mu(f(xy)) \leq \mu(x) * \mu(y) \text{----- (2)}$$

$$\text{since } \mu(x * y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \text{----- (3)}$$

from (2) and (3)

$$\mu(x) * \mu(y) = \mu(x * y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \text{----- (4)}$$

Let  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$

Therefore  $\mu: X^{-1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x^{-1}) = \mu(x) \text{----- (5)}$$

(ii) nullary operation ( for any constant)

If there exist any constant  $\mu(c)$  then

$$\mu(c) * \mu(x) = \mu(c * x) \leq \max\{\mu(c), \mu(x)\} = \mu(x) \text{----- (6)}$$

$$\mu(c) \leq \mu(x), \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

∴ all the element of  $\mu(x)$  lies between 0 & 1. Also  $\mu(c)$  is any constant and  $\leq 1$ . Using the results (4), (5) and (6), it is clear that anti fuzzy sub algebra is a anti fuzzy subgroup.

**Theorem: 3.10.**

A anti fuzzy sub algebra of a group G is a anti-fuzzy subgroup of the group G iff  $\mu(xy^{-1}) \leq \max\{ \mu(x) , \mu(y) \}$  for every  $x,y \in G$ .

**Proof:**

Real part:

Consider a anti-fuzzy sub algebra of a group G is a anti fuzzy subgroup on the algebra A then we have to prove that

$$\mu(xy^{-1}) \leq \max\{ \mu(x) , \mu(y) \}$$

For anti-fuzzy sub algebra there exist a fuzzy set  $\mu \in K_A$ , then

(i) For n – ary operation

$$\mu(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \leq \mu(x_1) * \dots * \mu(x_n) \text{ for all } x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$$

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in k$  where  $k \in G$ . Consider  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = y^{-1}$

Since it is a anti fuzzy subgroup using theorem (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \mu(f(x_1, x_2)) &= \mu(f(x, y^{-1})) \\ &\leq \mu(x) * \mu(y^{-1}) \\ \mu(x) * \mu(y^{-1}) &= \mu(x * y^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

since anti fuzzy algebra satisfies fuzzy relation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } \mu(x * y^{-1}) &\leq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y^{-1}) \text{ using equation (5)} \\ &\leq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y) \\ &\leq \max\{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \} \end{aligned}$$

i) For nullary operation

$$\mu(c) \leq \mu(x) \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

Using theorem (1), it is a subgroup

∴ Let  $\mu(e)$  be an identity element ,

We know that  $\mu(c) \leq \mu(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(c) &= \mu(c) * \mu(e^{-1}) \leq \mu(c) * \mu(e) \text{ using equation (5)} \\ &\leq \mu(c) \vee \mu(e) \\ &\leq \max\{ \mu(c), \mu(e) \} = \mu(e) \text{-----(7)} \end{aligned}$$

C is any constant it may be  $\leq$  identity element

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &= \mu(x) * \mu(e^{-1}) \leq \mu(x) * \mu(e) \text{ using equation (5)} \\ &\leq \mu(x) \vee \mu(e) \\ &\leq \max\{ \mu(x), \mu(e) \} = \mu(x) \text{-----(8)} \end{aligned}$$

**Converse part:**

If  $\mu(xy^{-1}) \leq \max\{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$  for every  $x, y \in k$  and  $k \in G$  then a fuzzy partially ordered subset  $\mu$  of a group is a anti fuzzy subgroup of the group G.

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(xy^{-1}) &\leq \max\{ \mu(x) , \mu(y^{-1}) \} \\ &\leq \max\{ \mu(x) , \mu(y) \} \text{ using (5)} \\ &\leq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y) \end{aligned}$$

$\mu(xy^{-1}) = \mu(x * y^{-1})$  using fuzzy algebra relation.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x * y^{-1}) &= \mu(x) * \mu(y^{-1}) = \mu(x) * \mu(y) \\ &\leq \mu(f(x, y)) \text{ ( n- ary operation)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(f(x, y)) \leq \mu(x) * \mu(y) \text{-----(9)}$$

Let  $\mu(c)$  and  $\mu(e)$  be a any constant & identity element, then

Assume that  $\mu(c) = \mu(x)$

$$\mu(c) * \mu(e^{-1}) = \mu(x) * \mu(e^{-1})$$

Using (5)  $\mu(c) * \mu(e^{-1}) = \mu(x) * \mu(e^{-1})$   
 $\mu(c) * \mu(e) \leq \max \{ \mu(c), \mu(e) \} = \mu(e)$   
 $\mu(x) * \mu(e) \leq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(e) \} = \mu(x)$

C is constant it may be  $\leq$  identity element and x  
 Therefore,  $\mu(c) \leq \mu(x)$  ----- (10)  
 From (9) and (10) we get, If  $\mu(xy^{-1}) \leq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$   
 Hence anti fuzzy sub algebra is a anti fuzzy subgroup

**Result: 3.11.**

A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a group G is a anti fuzzy subgroup  $G \Leftrightarrow \mu(xy^{-1}) \leq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$  for every  $x, y \in G$

**4. Anti-Fuzzy k-ideals in INK-algebra:**

**Definition: 4.1.**

A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  in a INK-algebra X is called a anti fuzzy ideal of X, if:  
 i)  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$   
 ii)  $\mu(x) \leq \max \{ \mu(x * y), \mu(y) \}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition:4.2.**

A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  in a INK-algebra X is called a anti fuzzy K- ideal of X, if:  
 (i)  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$   
 (ii)  $\mu(x) \leq \max \{ \mu(z * x) * (z * y), \mu(y) \}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .  
 Clearly  $z = 0$  gives  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy K- ideal of X.

**Example: 4.3.**

Consider INK-algebra  $X = \{0, 1,2,3\}$  with the following cayley table.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Define  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  by  $\mu(0) = 0.2, \mu(1) = 0.3, \mu(2) = \mu(3) = 0.4$   
 $\mu(0) \leq \max \{ \mu(3 * 0), \mu(3 * 1), \mu(1) \}$   
 $= \max \{ \mu(3 * 1), \mu(1) \}$   
 $= \max \{ \mu(2), \mu(1) \}$   
 $0.2 = \max \{ 0.4, 0.3 \}$   
 $\therefore 0.2 \leq 0.4$

Then it is easy to verify that  $\mu$  is anti fuzzy K- ideal.

**Theorem: 4.4.**

Let  $\mu$  be a anti fuzzy K- ideal of a INK-algebra X. Then the following are equivalent:

- (K<sub>1</sub>)  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy k-ideal of X,
- (K<sub>2</sub>)  $\mu((x * y) * z) \leq \mu(x * (y * z))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,
- (K<sub>3</sub>)  $\mu(x * y) \leq \mu(x * (0 * y))$ .

**Proof.**

(K<sub>1</sub>)  $\rightarrow$  (K<sub>2</sub>) since  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy K- ideal of X, we have



$$\begin{aligned}\mu((x * y) * z) &\leq \max \{ \mu((x*y)*(0*z)), \mu(0) \} \\ &= \mu((x*y)*(0*z)). \text{ On the other hand} \\ (x*y)*(0*z) &= (x * y) * ((y * z) * y) \\ &\leq (x * (y * z)),\end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\mu((x * y) * z) \leq \mu((x * y) * (0 * z))$ .

(K<sub>2</sub>) → (K<sub>3</sub>) Letting  $y = 0$  and  $z = y$ ,

(K<sub>3</sub>) → (K<sub>1</sub>) since  $(x * (0 * y)) * (x * (z * y)) \leq (z * y) * (0 * y) \leq z$ ,  
we have  $\mu(x * (0 * y)) \leq \max \{ \mu(x * (z * y)), \mu(z) \}$ .

Hence by hypothesis

$$\mu(x * y) \leq \max \{ \mu(x * (z * y)), \mu(z) \}.$$

Therefore  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of X.

#### Theorem: 4.5.

Every anti fuzzy K-ideal  $\mu$  of a INK-algebra X is order reversing, that is if  $x \geq y$  then:  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \geq y$ . Therefore  $x * y = 0$ , Put  $z = 0$ , Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x) &= \mu(0 * x) \\ &\leq \max \{ \mu((z * x) * (z * y)), \mu(y) \} \\ &= \max \{ \mu((0 * x) * (0 * y)), \mu(y) \} \\ &= \max \{ \mu(x * y), \mu(y) \} \\ &= \max \{ \mu(0), \mu(y) \}\end{aligned}$$

$\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ . Hence complete the proof.

#### Theorem: 4.6.

Let  $\mu$  be an anti-fuzzy ideal of INK-algebra X. If  $\mu(x * y) \leq \mu(x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\mu$  is a K-ideal of X.

#### Proof:

Since  $\mu$  is an anti-fuzzy ideal of X, by hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}\max \{ \mu(x*(y*z)), \mu(y) \} &\leq \max \{ \mu((x*z)*(y*z)), \mu(y*z) \} \\ &\leq \mu(x*z), \forall x, y, z \in X.\end{aligned}$$

Let X is an INK-algebra. A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in X is called

an anti-fuzzy sub algebra of X if  $\mu(x * y) \leq \max \{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$

#### Theorem: 4.7.

A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a INK-algebra X is a anti fuzzy K-ideal if and only if it is a anti fuzzy ideal of X.

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a anti fuzzy K-ideal of X.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } \text{ i) } \mu(0) &\leq \mu(x) \text{ ii) } \mu(x) \\ &\leq \max \{ \mu(x * y), \mu(y) \} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.\end{aligned}$$

Putting  $z = 0$  in (ii) we have,  $\mu(x) \leq \max \{ \mu(x * y), \mu(y) \}$ .

Hence  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy ideal of X. Conversely,  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy ideal of X.

$$\mu(x) \leq \max \{ \mu(x * y), \mu(y) \}$$

$$\text{Then: } \mu(x) \leq \max \{ \mu((0 * x) * (0 * y)), \mu(y) \} .$$

$$\text{if we replace } z \text{ for } 0, \text{ we have } \mu(x) \leq \max \{ \mu((z * x) * (z * y)), \mu(y) \} .$$

Hence a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a INK-algebra.

## 5 Cartesian products of anti-fuzzy K-ideals of INK-algebras

### Definition: 5.1.

Let  $\mu$  and  $\vartheta$  be the fuzzy sets in a set X. The

Cartesian product  $\mu \times \vartheta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by:

$$\mu \times \vartheta (x, y) = \max \{ \mu(x), \vartheta(y) \}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Theorem: 5.2.**

If  $\mu$  and  $\vartheta$  are anti-fuzzy K-ideals in a INK- algebra  $X$ , then  $\mu \times \vartheta$  is a anti-fuzzy K-ideal in  $X \times X$ .

**Proof.**

If any  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, 0) &= \max \{ \mu(0), \vartheta(0) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \mu(x), \vartheta(y) \} \\ &= (\mu \times \vartheta)(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

ii) Let  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)$  and  $(z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \times \mu)(x_1, x_2) &= (\mu \times \vartheta)(x_1 * x_2) \\ &= \max \{ \mu(x_1), \vartheta(x_2) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \max \{ ((z_1 * x_1) * (z_1 * y_1)), \mu(y_1) \}, \\ &\quad \max \{ \vartheta((z_2 * x_2) * (z_2 * y_2)), \vartheta(y_2) \} \} \\ &= \max \{ \max \{ \mu((z_1 * x_1) * (z_1 * y_1)), \vartheta((z_2 * x_2) * (z_2 * y_2)) \}, \\ &\quad \max \{ \mu(y_1), \vartheta(y_2) \} \} \\ &= \max \{ (\mu \times \vartheta)((z_1 * x_1) * (z_1 * y_1)), \vartheta((z_2 * x_2) * (z_2 * y_2)), \\ &\quad (\mu \times \vartheta)(y_1, y_2) \}, \\ &= \max \{ (\mu \times \vartheta)((z_1, z_2) * (x_1, x_2)), \vartheta((z_1, z_2) * (y_1, y_2)), (\mu \times \vartheta)(y_1, y_2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\mu \times \vartheta)$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of a INK-algebra in  $X \times X$ .

**Theorem: 5.3.**

Let  $\mu$  and  $\vartheta$  be fuzzy sets in a INK-algebra  $X$  such that  $\mu \times \vartheta$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of  $X \times X$ .

- i) Either  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\vartheta(0) \geq \vartheta(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$
- ii) If  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ , either  $\vartheta(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\vartheta(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$
- iii) If  $\vartheta(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ , either  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\mu(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$
- iv) Either  $\mu$  or  $\vartheta$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof.**

$\mu \times \vartheta$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of  $X \times X$ . Therefore  $(\mu \times \vartheta)(0, 0) \leq (\mu \times \vartheta)(x, y)$ , for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . And  $(\mu \times \vartheta)(x_1, x_2)$

$$\leq \max \{ (\mu \times \vartheta)((z_1, z_2) * (x_1, x_2)) * ((z_1, z_2) * (y_1, y_2)), (\mu \times \vartheta)(y_1, y_2) \}, \text{ for all } (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \text{ and } (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X.$$

Suppose that  $\mu(0) > \mu(x)$  and  $\vartheta(0) > \vartheta(y)$ , for some  $x, y \in X$ .

Then:  $(\mu \times \vartheta)(x, y) = \max \{ \mu(x), \vartheta(y) \} < \max \{ \mu(0), \vartheta(0) \} = (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, 0)$ . a contradiction.

Therefore either  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\vartheta(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Assume that  $\exists x, y \in X$  such that:

$$\vartheta(0) > \mu(x) \text{ and } \vartheta(0) < \vartheta(y). \text{ Then: } (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, 0) = \max \{ \mu(0), \vartheta(0) \} = \vartheta(0)$$

$$\text{and hence } (\mu \times \vartheta)(x, y) = \max \{ \mu(x), \vartheta(y) \} < \vartheta(0)$$

$$= (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, 0), \text{ a contradiction. Hence if } \mu(0) \leq \mu(x), \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

then either:  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\mu(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$

Similarly we can prove that if  $\vartheta(0) < \vartheta(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ ,

then either  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\mu(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$ .

First we prove that  $\vartheta$  is a anti fuzzy k-ideal of  $X$ .

Since, by (i), either  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\vartheta(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Assume that  $\vartheta(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . It follows from (iii)

That either  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\mu(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$ . If  $\mu(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$ , for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$\text{then: } \vartheta(x) = \max \{ \mu(0), \vartheta(x) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, x). \vartheta(x) \\
&= \max\{\mu(0), \vartheta(x)\} = (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, x) \\
&\leq \max\{(\mu \times \vartheta)((0, z) * (0, x)) * ((0 * z) * (0, y)), (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, y)\} \\
&= \max\{(\mu \times \vartheta)((0 * 0), (z * x)) * ((0 * 0), (z * y)), (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, y)\} \\
&= \min\{(\mu \times \vartheta)((0 * 0) * (0 * 0)), ((z * x) * (z * y)), (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, y)\} \\
&= \min\{(\mu \times \vartheta)(0, ((z * x) * (z * y))), (\mu \times \vartheta)(0, y)\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\vartheta(x) = \max\{\vartheta(z * x) * (z * y), \vartheta(y)\}.$$

Hence  $\vartheta$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of X.

Now we will prove that  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of X. Let  $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ .

By(ii) either  $\vartheta(0) \leq \mu(x)$  or  $\vartheta(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$ . Assume that  $\vartheta(0) \leq \vartheta(x)$ ,

Then:  $\mu(x) = \max\{\mu(x), \vartheta(0)\}$

$$= (\mu \times \vartheta)(x, 0).$$

$$\mu(x) = \max\{\mu(x), \vartheta(0)\}$$

$$= (\mu \times \vartheta)(x, 0)$$

$$\leq \max\{(\mu \times \vartheta)((z, 0) * (x, 0)) * ((z * 0) * (y, 0)), (\mu \times \vartheta)(y, 0)\}$$

$$= \max\{(\mu \times \vartheta)((z * x), (0 * 0)) * ((z * y), (0 * 0)), (\mu \times \vartheta)(y, 0)\}$$

$$= \max\{(\mu \times \vartheta)((z * x) * (z * y)), ((0 * 0) * (0 * 0)), (\mu \times \vartheta)(y, 0)\}$$

$$\mu(x) = \max\{\mu((z * y) * (y * z)), \mu(y)\}.$$

Hence  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of X.

## 6. Anti-homomorphism of INK-algebras

### Definition: 6.1.

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be K-algebras. A mapping

$g : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is said to be a anti homomorphism if it satisfies:

$$f(x_1 * x_2) = f(x_2) * f(x_1), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

### Definition:6.2.

Let  $g : X^1 \rightarrow X^1$  be an endomorphism and  $\mu$  a fuzzy set in  $X^1$ . We define a new fuzzy set in X by  $\mu_g$  in X by  $\mu_g(x) = \mu(g(x))$ , for all x in X.

### Theorem: 6.3.

Let f be an endomorphism of a INK- algebra  $X^1$ . If  $\mu$  is a anti fuzzy K-ideal of  $X^1$ , then so is  $\mu_g$ .

**Proof :**

$$\mu_g(x) = \mu(g(x)) * \mu(0)$$

$$= \mu(g(0))$$

$$= \mu_g(x). \text{ for all } x \in X^1. \text{ Let } x, y, z \in X^1.$$

$$\text{Then: } \mu_g(x) = \mu(g(x))$$

$$\leq \max\{\mu((g(z) * g(x)) * (g(z) * g(y))), \mu(g(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu((g(z * x)) * g(z * y)), \mu(g(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu(g((z * x) * (z * y))), \mu(g(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu(g((z * x) * (z * y))), \mu(g(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu_g((z * x) * (z * y)), \mu_g(y)\}.$$

Hence  $\mu_g$  is a fuzzy k-ideal of  $X^1$ .



## References

- [1] K. Iseki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 8 (1980), 125130.
- [2] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK -algebras, Math. Japonica, 23 (1978), 126,
- [3] J.Negggers and H.S.Kim , "On B-algebras",math,vensik,54 (2002), 21-29.
- [4] Y.B.Jun., 2009."Generalization of  $(\epsilon, \epsilon \wedge q)$  -fuzzy subalgebras in BCK /BCI-algebras". Comput.Math. Appl., 58: 1383-1390.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.camwa.2009.07.043
- [5] A.Tamilarasi , K. Megalai, TM-algebra an introduction,CASCT,2010.
- [6] K. Megalai . and A. Tamilarasi, "Fuzzy Subalgebras and Fuzzy T- ideals in TM- algebra",, Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 7(2) (2011) 107-111.
- [7] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [8] Laszlo filep.Study of fuzzy algebras and relations from a general viewpoint, acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyrlregyhaziensis,Tonus 14(1998), 49-55.
- [9] W.B.Vasantha kandasamy. Smarandache fuzzy algebra.american research press, Rehoboth 2003.11-12.
- [10] I. M. Anthony and H. Sherwood. Fuzzy groups redefined, Journal Math. Anal. Appl.,(1979) 69:124-130,
- [11] M.Kaviyarasu, K.Indhira and V.M.Chandrasekaran (2017)  
" Fuzzy Sub algebras and Fuzzy K-ideals in INK-Algebras" Inter national Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics Volume 113 No. 6 2017, 47 - 55
- [12]W.A.Dudek, On proper BCC-algebra,Bull.Inst.Math Academia sinica,20 (1992),137-150

