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#### Abstract

A method for estimating temperature dependence of volume thermal expansion of geophysical minerals at high pressures has been developed by modifying the formulation originally due to Suzuki [5]. The formulation modified in the present study becomes applicable upto very high temperatures close to the melting temperatures of the minerals under high pressures. The method has been applied to predict volumes of pyrope, $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ perovskites at simultaneously elevated pressures and temperatures upto their melting temperatures. Values of pressure $P$, isothermal bulk modulus $K_{T}$ and its pressure derivative $d K_{T} / d P$ at different compressions have been used those derived from the Rydberg-Vinet [9] equationof state. It has been found that the rate of increase of volume thermal expansion with temperature decreases at high pressures. This has been correlated with the melting temperatures of the minerals under


 high pressures.
## 1. INTRODUCTION:

An adequate understanding of thermoelastic properties of geophysical minerals requires the knowledge of temperature dependence of volume thermal expansion at high pressures [1, 2]. A multi-step method has been developed by Anderson et al [3] for estimating the volumes of minerals at high pressures and high temperatures. This method
is based on the equation for isobaric volume thermal expansion formulated by Suzuki et al $[4,5]$. However, there is a shortcoming in the original derivation of the Suzuki equation which is rectified in the present study. By expressing the modified Suzuki equation in terms of thermal pressure, we estimate the temperature dependence of volume thermal expansion along isobars at $\mathrm{P}=0$ and also at higher pressures for three important minerals viz., pyrope, $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ - perovskite and $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ - perovskite. The input date on $\mathrm{K}_{0}, \mathrm{~K}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}_{0}$, $\overline{\alpha K_{T}}$ for these minerals have been reported by Wang et al [6]. The values of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime} \quad$ corresponding to different compressions needed in the calculations are estimated using the Rydberg-Vinet equation of state.

## 2. REVISION OF THE SUZUKI FORMULATION

The Suzuki equation has been derived from the Mie-Grüneisen equation of state (EOS) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~V}, 0)+\frac{\gamma \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{th}}}{\mathrm{~V}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(V, 0)$ represents the pressure as function of volume only at $T=0 \mathrm{~K} . \gamma$ is the Grüneisen parameter, and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{th}}$ is the thermalenergy evaluated at different temperatures with the use of the Debye theory. At zero pressure, i.e. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T})=0$, equation (1) reduces to the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{th}}=\mathrm{VP}(\mathrm{~V}, 0)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~V}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suzuki et al expanded the right - hand side of equation (2) in powers of change in volume $\left(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)$. Thus we can expand the function f given on the right hand side of equation (2) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{th}}=\mathrm{f}_{0}+\left.\frac{\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{~V}}\right|_{\mathrm{V}_{0}}\left(\mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)+\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{~V}^{2}}\right|_{\mathrm{V}_{0}}\left(\mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)^{2}+\ldots . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By truncating the series past the squared term, a quadratic equation was found for $\left(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)$. The coefficients in the Taylor series expansion in equation (3) were evaluated in terms of the isothermal bulk modulus and its pressure derivative both at $\mathrm{P}=0$. The Suzuki equation thus obtained is

$$
\frac{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V}_{0}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}=\frac{\left[1+2 \mathrm{k}\left(1-\frac{4 \gamma_{0} \mathrm{kE}_{\text {th }}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}_{0}} \mathrm{~V}_{0}}\right)\right]}{2 \mathrm{ka}}
$$

where

$$
k=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(K_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)
$$

and $a_{v}$ is the ratio of volumes at 300 K and 0 K . Equation (4), originally due to Suzuki has been used widely in the field of geophysics [1, 7]. It is pointed out here that when we review the derivation of equation (4) from equation (3) it is found that $\gamma$ has been replaced by $\gamma_{0}$, the value of Grüneisen parameter at initial conditions of pressure and temperature. However this is not justified in view of the fact that $\gamma$ depends on volume and its volume dependence is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{0}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}\right)^{\mathrm{q}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where q is known as the second Grüneisen parameter. For most of the minerals the value of $q$ is nearly equal to 1 . When we take $q=1$, we get an equation also quadratic in $\left(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)$ and yields the following solutions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}-1=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{1}}{\mathrm{~A}_{2}}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{2 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \gamma_{0} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{th}} / \mathrm{V}_{0}}{\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{0}-\left(\gamma_{0} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{th}}\right) / \mathrm{V}_{0} \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{2}=\mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be emphasized that equation (6) corresponds to $q=1$ in equation (5), whereas the Suzuki equation corresponds to $q=0$. It should also be mentioned that equation (6) reduces to Singh -Suzuki equation obtained recently by Singh [8] where $\left(\gamma_{0} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{th}}\right) / \mathrm{V}_{0}$ is replaced by thermal pressure $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{th}}$. Thus we have [8].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}-1=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{1}}{\mathrm{~A}_{2}}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{2 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{th}}}{\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{0}-\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{th}} \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{2}=\mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF VOLUME AT HIGH PRESSURES:

Equation (6) or (7) can be used to estimate the volume as afunction of temperature along isobars at $\mathrm{P}=0$ as well as along higher pressures. When we use equation (7) along isobars at finite pressures $(P>0)$, the parameters $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ appearing in equation (7) have modified meaning i.e. $\mathrm{A}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right)-\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{th}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right) \quad\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right)-1\right]$. The volume ratio $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}_{0}$ appearing in equation (7) is now $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}) / \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right)$. Values of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right), \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right), \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right)$ at 300 K are estimated with the help of equations given below based on the Rydberg -Vinet equation of state [9].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=3 \mathrm{~K}_{0}(1-\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{x}^{-2} \exp [\eta(1-\mathrm{x})] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{x}^{-2}[1+(\eta \mathrm{x}+1)(1-\mathrm{x})] \exp \{\eta(1-\mathrm{x})\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{x(1-\eta)+2 \eta x^{2}}{1+(\eta x+1)(1-x)}+\eta x+2\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{x}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right)}{\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{0}, 0\right)}\right]^{1 / 3}
$$

and

$$
\eta=\frac{3}{2}\left(K_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)
$$

The three minerals namely pyrope, $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ perovskites have recently been studied by Wang et al [6]. The input data reported by them are given in Table-1. Values of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right)$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{P}\right)$ at different compressions, calculated from equations (8) (10) using input data given in Table-1 are reported in Table- 2. For determining the values of thermal pressure to be used in equation (7), we consider the following expression [Equation (5) of Wang et al, [6]]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{th}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{th}}(\mathrm{~T})-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{th}}(300 \mathrm{~K})=\alpha \overline{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}[\mathrm{~T}}-300 \mathrm{~K}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Values of $\overline{\alpha K_{T}}$ are given in Table 1. for the minerals under study.

## 4. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Values of volumes V (T, P) calculated with the help ofequation (7) using the data given in Tables 1 and 2 and the values of $\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\text {th }}$ estimated from equation (11) at different temperature are reported in Table 3-5. The range of compressions down to $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}_{0}=$
0.60 considered in the present study corresponds to a much wider range of pressures upto $231 \mathrm{GPa}, 375 \mathrm{GPa}$ and 390 GPa in case of pyrope, $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ perovskite and $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ perovskite respectively. These values of pressures are much higher than their isothermal bulk modulus $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}$ at ambient pressure which are $172.8 \mathrm{GPa}, 261 \mathrm{GPa}$ and 232 GPa respectively. It should be mentioned that the range of pressures in the lower mantle is well within the range of these pressures.

The volume-temperature relationships have been used to predict the values of volumes $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ at melting temperatures $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ fordifferent minerals under high pressures. The results are shown in Figures 1-3. The values of melting temperature have been taken from Wang et al. [6]. They have obtained critical volume at different pressures and reference volume at 300 K at higher pressure by exchanging the values of isothermal bulk modulus at 300 K and at the critical temperature. The melting temperatures for minerals under study thus obtained by Wang et. al. [6] are in close agreement with the experimental values reported in the literature.

It is evident from Figures 1-3 that the rate of volume expansion with increasing temperature reduces significantly as the pressure is increased, this would imply that the thermal expansivity decreases at high pressures. At relatively lower pressure the variation of volume with temperature becomes nonlinear at high temperature ( $\mathrm{T}>4000 \mathrm{~K}$ ). This is particularly evident from figures 2 and 3 for silicate perovskites for which we have calculated variation of volume with temperature upto 7000 K . The non-linearity is reduced and is almost eliminated at higherpressures. This finding seems to be related with the effect of anharmonicity. Karki et al. [10] have found that the effect of anharmonicity is dominant at high temperatures and lower pressures. The effect of anharmonicity is suppressed at simultaneounaly elevated high pressure and high temperatures. Thus the
findings of the present study are supported by the work of Karki et al. [10] and Panwar et al. [11].
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TABLE-1: Input data on volume $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$, isothermal bulk modulus $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ (GPa), and pressure derivative $K_{0}^{\prime}$ all at reference pressure $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ and 300 K , and product $\alpha K_{T}$ (average) [where $\alpha$ is thermal expansivity and $K_{T}$ is isothermal bulk modulus][6].

| Minerals | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P}_{0} \\ (\mathbf{G P a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V}_{0} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{K}_{0} \\ (\mathbf{G P a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{K}^{\prime}{ }_{0}$ | $\overline{\alpha K_{T}}$ <br> (Average) $\left(10^{-3}\right) \text { GPa K }^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Pyrope } \\ \left(\mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right) \end{array}$ | 0 | 113.31 | 172.8 | 4.0 | 4.45 |
| $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ <br> perovskite | 26 | 142.52 | 261 | 4.0 | 6.92 |
| $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ <br> perovskite | 16 | 41.59 | 232 | 4.8 | 7.20 |

TABLE - 2: Values of pressure $P$ (GPa) isothermal bulk modulus $K_{T}(\mathbf{G P a})$ and $K_{T}=$ $\left(\mathrm{dK}_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{dP}\right.$ ) for Pyrope, $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ perovskites calculated from Rydberg Vinet EOS.

| V/V0 | Mineral |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pyrope |  |  | $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ |  |  |
|  | P (GPa ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{K}_{T} \\ (\mathbf{G P a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\prime}$ | P (GPa) |  | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ (GPa) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{T}} \\ (\mathbf{G P a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{\text {T }}^{\prime}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 172.8 | 4.000 | 26 | 261 | 4.000 | 16 | 232 | 4.800 |
| 0.95 | 9.82 | 211 | 3.746 | 40.82 | 318.3 | 3.75 | 29.45 | 294.0 | 4.452 |
| 0.90 | 22.4 | 256.5 | 3.525 | 59.86 | 387.4 | 3.525 | 47.37 | 371.0 | 4.159 |
| 0.85 | 38.6 | 312 | 3.330 | 84.34 | 471.1 | 3.330 | 71.24 | 467.0 | 3.906 |
| 0.80 | 59.54 | 379.6 | 3.155 | 116 | 573.4 | 3.155 | 103 | 587.8 | 3.685 |
| 0.75 | 86.66 | 462.9 | 2.996 | 157 | 699.1 | 2.996 | 146 | 740.7 | 3.488 |
| 0.70 | 122 | 566.2 | 2.851 | 210 | 855.3 | 2.851 | 203 | 936.3 | 3.309 |
| 0.65 | 169 | 695.9 | 2.716 | 281 | 1051 | 2.716 | 282 | 1189.0 | 3.145 |
| 0.60 | 231 | 860.3 | 2.589 | 375 | 1299.5 | 2.589 | 390 | 1520 | 2.993 |

TABLE -3: Values of volumes $V(T, P)\left\{\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right\}$ for Pyrope $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right]$ at simultaneously elevated temperature and pressure calculated from Rydberg-Vinet EOS and Singh-Suzuki equation.

| $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{G P a})$ | $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{P})\left\{\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{~ m o l}^{-1}\right\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 0} \mathbf{K}$ |  |
| 0.0 | 113.31 | 113.90 | 115.45 | 117.12 | 118.94 | 120.94 | 123.16 | 125.71 | 128.72 |  |
| 9.8 | 107.65 | 108.11 | 109.29 | 110.55 | 111.90 | 113.32 | 114.86 | 116.53 | 118.36 |  |
| 22.4 | 101.98 | 102.34 | 103.25 | 104.21 | 105.21 | 106.26 | 107.37 | 108.54 | 109.78 |  |
| 38.6 | 96.31 | 96.59 | 97.30 | 98.03 | 98.78 | 99.57 | 100.38 | 101.23 | 102.11 |  |
| 59.5 | 90.65 | 90.87 | 91.41 | 91.96 | 92.53 | 93.12 | 93.73 | 94.35 | 94.99 |  |
| 86.7 | 84.98 | 85.14 | 85.56 | 85.99 | 86.42 | 86.86 | 87.31 | 87.78 | 88.25 |  |
| 122 | 79.32 | 79.44 | 79.76 | 80.08 | 80.40 | 80.73 | 81.07 | 81.42 | 81.77 |  |
| 169 | 73.65 | 73.75 | 73.98 | 74.23 | 74.47 | 74.72 | 74.97 | 75.22 | 75.48 |  |
| 231 | 67.99 | 68.05 | 68.23 | 68.41 | 68.59 | 68.78 | 68.96 | 69.15 | 69.33 |  |

## TABLE-4: Value of volumes $V(T, P) \quad\left\{\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right\}$ for $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ perovskite at

## simultaneously elevated temperature and pressure calculated from

## Rydberg-Vinet EOS and Singh-Suzuki equation.

| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P} \\ (\mathbf{G P a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{T , P})\left\{\mathrm{cm}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 300K | 500K | 1000K | 1500K | 2000K | 2500K | 3000K | 3500K | 4000 K | 4500K | 5000K | 5500K | 6000K | 6500K | 7000K |
| 26.0 | 142.52 | 143.29 | 145.30 | 147.47 | 149.83 | 152.46 | 155.39 | 158.77 | 162.80 | 168.17 | 177.62 | - | - | - | - |
| 40.8 | 135.39 | 135.99 | 137.53 | 139.17 | 140.92 | 142.77 | 144.79 | 146.98 | 149.41 | 152.13 | 155.26 | 159.05 | 164.06 | 173.56 | - |
| 59.9 | 128.27 | 128.73 | 129.92 | 131.17 | 132.46 | 133.84 | 135.27 | 136.81 | 138.44 | 140.18 | 142.07 | 144.14 | 146.42 | 149.01 | 152.01 |
| 84.3 | 121.14 | 121.51 | 122.98 | 123.36 | 124.35 | 125.37 | 126.42 | 127.53 | 128.69 | 129.89 | 131.16 | 132.51 | 133.92 | 135.45 | 137.07 |
| 116 | 114.02 | 114.29 | 115.0 | 115.72 | 116.47 | 117.23 | 118.02 | 118.83 | 119.66 | 120.53 | 121.43 | 122.35 | 123.32 | 124.32 | 125.37 |
| 157 | 106.89 | 107.10 | 107.64 | 108.19 | 108.75 | 109.33 | 109.92 | 110.51 | 111.12 | 111.75 | 112.41 | 113.07 | 113.74 | 114.45 | 115.16 |
| 210 | 99.76 | 99.92 | 100.33 | 100.75 | 101.17 | 101.60 | 102.04 | 102.49 | 102.94 | 103.41 | 103.87 | 104.35 | 104.84 | 105.34 | 105.85 |
| 281 | 92.64 | 92.76 | 93.07 | 93.38 | 93.69 | 94.02 | 94.34 | 94.67 | 95.00 | 95.34 | 95.69 | 96.03 | 96.39 | 96.74 | 97.10 |
| 375 | 85.51 | 85.61 | 85.84 | 86.07 | 86.30 | 86.54 | 86.78 | 87.02 | 87.26 | 87.50 | 87.75 | 88.01 | 88.27 | 88.52 | 88.78 |

TABLE -5: Values of volumes $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P})\left\{\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right\}$ for $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}$ perovskite at simultaneously elevated temperature and pressure calculated from Rydberg-Vinet EOS and Singh-Suzuki equation.

| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P} \\ (\mathbf{G P a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{T , P})\left\{\mathrm{cm}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 300K | 500K | 1000K | 1500K | 2000K | 2500K | 3000K | 3500K | 4000K | 4500K | 5000K | 5500K | 6000K | 6500K | 7000K |
| 16.0 | 41.59 | 41.85 | 42.536 | 43.35 | 44,25 | 45.32 | 46.69 | 48.85 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29.5 | 39.51 | 39.71 | 40.22 | 40.78 | 41.39 | 42.06 | 42.82 | 43.70 | 44.78 | 46.26 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 47.4 | 37.43 | 37.58 | 37.96 | 38.36 | 38.79 | 39.25 | 39.74 | 40.27 | 40.86 | 41.52 | 42.28 | 43.19 | 44.38 | 46.47 | - |
| 71.2 | 35.35 | 35.46 | 35.74 | 36.04 | 36.35 | 36.67 | 37.01 | 37.36 | 37.74 | 38.14 | 38.57 | 39.04 | 39.55 | 40.12 | 40.77 |
| 103 | 33.27 | 33.36 | 33.57 | 33.78 | 34.00 | 34.23 | 34.47 | 34.72 | 34.98 | 35.24 | 35.52 | 35.81 | 36.12 | 36.45 | 36.79 |
| 146 | 31.19 | 31.26 | 31.41 | 31.57 | 31.73 | 31.89 | 32.07 | 32.24 | 32.42 | 32.60 | 32.79 | 32.99 | 33.19 | 33.40 | 33.62 |
| 204 | 29.11 | 29.16 | 29.27 | 29.39 | 29.51 | 29.63 | 29.75 | 29.87 | 30.00 | 30.13 | 30.26 | 30.39 | 30.53 | 30.67 | 30.82 |
| 282 | 27.03 | 27.07 | 27.15 | 27.23 | 27.32 | 27.40 | 27.49 | 27.58 | 27.67 | 27.76 | 27.85 | 27.95 | 28.04 | 28.14 | 28.24 |
| 390 | 24.95 | 24.98 | 25.04 | 25.10 | 25.16 | 25.22 | 25.28 | 25.34 | 25.41 | 25.47 | 25.54 | 25.60 | 25.67 | 25.74 | 25.80 |



FIGURE 1 : PLOTS OF V(T,P) VERSUS TEMPERATURE T FOR PYROPE $\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right)$ AT DIFFERENT PRESSURES. MELTING TEMPERATURES Tm AT DIFFERENT PRESSURES ARE MARKED BY (*)


FIGURE 2: PLOTS OF V(T.P) VERSUS TEMPERATURE T FOR MgSiQ 3 PEROVSKITE AT DIFFERENT PRESSURES. MELTING TEMPERATURES Tm AT DIFFERENT PRESSURES ARE MARKEDBY (*)


FIGURE 3 : PLOTS OF V(T.S) VERSUS TEMPERATURE T FOR CaSiO ${ }_{3}$ PEROVSKITE at different pressures. Melting temperatures Tm at DIFFERENT PRESSURESARE MARKEDBY (*)

