

INTENSE GROWTH OF INDIAN SOCIAL ENTERPRISE (SE'S)

AN EVALUATION OF SOCIAL ENTERPRISES IN INDIA

¹S.Roopak

¹FDP Faculty, PG & Research Department of Economics

¹Sree Narayana College

¹Sivagiri, Varkala, Kerala

Abstract : The SE is accessible as one of the schemes to support progress of capacities of dynamic contribution and participation. SEs are reflected both as a managerial substitute, invention as a tactic of employment creation, if well in emerging form, begins to enlarge as an alternate to the emergency of Well-being the state and the market-generated discriminations. The principal aim of SE is to advance living environments of community by attaining financial gain, and, equally, directing to produce excesses to reinvest in harmony with the requirements of the Public. It lacks turnover and pursues to gratify the public concern. It points to uninterrupted enhancement of the standard of life through erudition procedures and training, to advance and reinforce the human capital of the public. This research has been done to evaluate the growth of social enterprises in India.

Keywords: social enterprises, entrepreneurship, Growth, Socio-Economic Development, Bottom line Indians.

1. INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship is the “engine” of economic development. According to Nicholls (2006), the term “social entrepreneur” was first familiarized in 1972 by Banks, who distinguished that social problems could also be deployed by management practices. However social entrepreneurship, albeit under diverse headings, expanded practical significance during the 1970s and 1980s, it was not until the 1990s that the topic fascinated attention from both governments and academia (Hoogendoorn, et al., 2010). Finding if these developing social enterprises are essential for economic development parallel to their colleague for-profit organizations (NGOs) is an important first step to escalate the true importance of such bodies.

2. QUANTITY IMPRESSION PRODUCED BY SOCIAL ENTERPRISES

According to Acumen Fund, a SE, Calculating the social or environmental revenues of our investments is not so frank. The principal challenge is defining what exactly we mean by “social influence.” This may vary from a proof of notion of our model—that SEs can devote risk capital in it and see return—to eloquent that SE investments in malaria are prominent to decreases in the occurrence of malaria or that our savings in drip irrigation are moving smallholder agrarians out of poverty. Additionally, once it is perfect what threshold of results we are directing for, it turns out that it is really reasonably hard and costly to “prove” anything. Lastly, SE would love to recognize, but don't have the capability to quantify, the economic multipliers or unintended concerns of efforts. If a fabric business generates 5,000 employments in Tanzania, the sort of impression this has on the local or regional economy or general tax proceeds.

Much as one can calculate the financial return (ROI) of any investment, careful analysis of a few comparable programs might enable a social investor to calculate an SROI (Social Return on Investment) as well. As Acumen Fund tried to apply this thinking to SEs portfolio, Acumen Fund realized that SROI might be better as metaphor than as methodology. Acumen Fund had too many

diverse investments (water projects in India, housing projects in Pakistan, health clinics in Kenya) to quantify in dollar terms the value of the social services being provided in a comparable way” (MIT Press, 2008).

3. SOCIAL ENTERPRISES IN INDIA

The Indian government had the insight to include SEs within the permissible for the 2% target (ADB, 2012). Therefore, businesses administered by the law will invest 2% of their so-called SE is to say, businesses that practice the entrepreneurial exemplary to elucidate social problems. Such organisations are not NGOs but countless profit-oriented enterprises. The SEs are satisfying a necessity in the human and social time. Human, for certain entrepreneurs do not catch their version in the outmoded industrial model, but they do not sense out of place either in NGOs. Not industrial enough for some ... too industrial for others. The crossbreed model offered by SEs suits them better (ADB, 2012).

SEs are now part of the economic environment. Inspiring Indian businesses to spend their 2% in SEs, the government of India contributes to the progress of an industry that finds answers and generates wealth (FPM, n.d.). The government of India is composed to transform the guidelines of social responsibility. Indian enterprises with revenues over \$ 200M or above \$ 1M profits will invest 2% of their average profits of the last three years in CSR i.e. incorporate social responsibility programs (ADB, 2012). India will be the principal nation to permit such a law. Indian SEs are not alone. The social and harmony economy exists all over the world. SEs have to be submitted as such, must create a system, and create relations and partnerships with other establishments to effectively achieve its resolution (FPM, n.d.).

4. THE IRREPRESSIBLE GROWTH OF INDIAN SOCIAL ENTERPRISE

India has continuously been a nation to 'edge in the arena of SE, the rate of growth of SEs has been undeniably overwhelming time throughout the black of the financial crisis and the succeeding period of soberness. It was predictable a growing rate of SEs by 57% compared with a 41% of traditional SMEs (Community Council for Somerset, n.d.). Indian government records approximation that there are roughly 62,000 SEs in the India for an influence of at least 42 billion rupees to the economy of the nation (Community Council for Somerset, n.d.).

From the world of SEs come other hopeful data: the yearly turnover within the world of SE has grown-up between 2009 and 2011 from Rs. 175,000 to Rs. 240,000, though 39% of SEs with the most underprivileged and marginalised societies in the India equated with a 13% of traditional industries (Citizens for Europe, 2011). Even in relations with funding, grows all over the nation the amount of social investors who base their investment decisions on the social value of ventures and not only on the opportunity of a monetary recurrence. It is emphasized that the inventive character of India in the strategies of social investment, an instance is the formation of so-called "social impact bonds" that the government of India is scattering a bit 'all over the globe (Community Council for Somerset, n.d.)

5. SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP: ANSWERING TO THE ESSENTIALS OF HUMANITY

Social entrepreneur is an individual who pursues and delivers novel answers to particular glitches of society. Thus, the social entrepreneur makes available to the public one or more applied thoughts, moral and justifiable over time through their adaptation into products or services, to engender social transformation. (Community Council for Somerset, n.d.). Indisputable is that its motive for being fit does not stop or the businesses, whether public or private, or the NGO but the fact is that SE act in the skill

applying corporate models to attain a social goal (Silicon India, 2012). Therefore, the double role of SE in the being of both for-profit establishments has a social purpose as non-profit organizations that adopt market tactics to accomplish their objectives.

It is then obvious that SEs but always assume effectiveness over actions that reverse the situation absolutely through the gratification of requirements of society and the equivalent social alteration (Citizens for Europe, 2011). Once past the myth of incompatibility between economic benefit and social function should shell phases of business strategy or business model around social entrepreneur. For that SEs formulate Strategic design, niche market, design inventive product or service, search for sources of funding, sustainable Production and Distribution

6. SOCIAL ENTERPRISES AND CIVIC DEVELOPMENT OF INDIA

Between numerous local growth enterprises developing for the social inclusion, comprise businesses and organizations from the new social economy, which demonstrates that the objects that are precise local progress are closely linked to the standards and individuality of the social economy. So, the latter often plays an significant role in the presentation and enactment of local progress approaches (The Guardian, 2013). There are three features that categorize companies and social economy entities and attach straight with local progress approaches, paying meaningfully to the social and worker incorporation:

Major one is the local dimension of Social economy enterprises, which guarantees customer closeness, effortlessly familiarizing to the actual requirements of the groups which aim labour incorporation procedures. (European Center for Not-for-Profit Law, 2012). The succeeding one is that the social dimension of Social economy enterprises established both inside and from the outside (Silicon India, 2012). The third is the industry and economic dimension of Social economy enterprises, which assure not only the efficiency but also the productivity (European Center for Not-for-Profit Law, 2012).

7. INFLUENCE OF SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTION ON COUNTRY'S GDP AND OCCUPATION POSITION

SEs exist in India and other countries in Asia and Africa, practice quiet indefinitely to the public. Though SEs are an influential tool for social alteration (Sundaram, 2011). Despite not pursue profit, SEs required to be self-sustaining to not be disordered with charity or philanthropy. This point is very vital: SEs are not charity, Each penny people put in a SE must produce additional penny capable to meet the expense of the investment. In an NGO, every single penny donated should be contributed in the subsequent year for the society to continue standing. Procuring a sophisticated revenue than the cost is not forbidden in a SE, but this extra must be invested in the business

8. CONCLUSION

The greatest opportunities lie in market let-downs, are the perfect circumstances for the effort of SEs. It is arranged as a win-win procedure, bearing in mind the progressively persistent requirement for a maintainable development exemplary. SEs are the principal to recognize social desires and connected chances, providing for their determination with a professional model that can generate wealth. India is more than others from demanding to get out of that gridlock concerned with economy only income and bad economics. India, consequently, appears to be the nation that "the groove track" when it comes to SE, as showed by the sustained progress and novelty in the segment, only if other nations will be able to shadow this way the worldwide social corporate will endure to cultivate in the method that is by this time remunerating off.

9. REFERENCE

1. ADB: Asian Development Bank (2012). *India Social Enterprise Landscape Report*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.avpn.asia/downloads/india-social-enterprise-landscape-report.pdf>> [Accessed 26 May 2013].
2. Chhabra, E. (2011). *How to Upgrade India's Social Enterprise Ecosystem* [Online] Available at: <<http://www.good.is/posts/how-to-upgrade-india-s-social-enterprise-ecosystem>> [Accessed 22 May 2013].
3. Citizens for Europe (2011). *Stimulating the impact of social entrepreneurship in the economy*. [Online] Available at: <http://citizensforeurope.eu/prop-595_en.html> [Accessed 25 May 2013].
4. Community Council for Somerset (n.d.). *Our Work - Community and Social Enterprise*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.somersetccc.org.uk/work-social.php>> [Accessed 22 May 2013].
5. Das, D. (2012). A Social Entrepreneurship Model & Policy Framework for Social Inclusion in India. *Inclusive Growth In India: Varied Dimensions & Challenges*, 1-6.
6. D'Costa, A. P. (Ed.). (2012). *Globalization and Economic Nationalism in Asia*. OUP Oxford.
7. Dongre, A. P. (2012). Policy changes in the wake of globalization and its impact on Indian industries. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 34(3), 476-496.
8. Euricse: European Research Institute on Cooperative and Social Enterprises (n.d.). *The impact of cooperative and social enterprises on development and the creation of social capital*. [Online] Available at: <<http://euricse.eu/en/research/research-projects/impact-on-development>> [Accessed 25 May 2013].
9. European Center for Not-for-Profit Law (2012). *Legal Framework for Social Economy and Social Enterprise: A Comparative Report*. [Online] Available at: <<http://evpa.eu.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/ECNL-on-socent-in-Europe.pdf>> [Accessed 23 May 2013].
10. FPM: First Practice Management (n.d.). *Creating a Community Interest Company/Social Enterprise*. [Online] Available at: <http://www.firstpracticemanagement.co.uk/index.php/knowledge_base/practice_administration/creating_a_community_interest_company_social_enterprise/> [Accessed 22 May 2013].
11. Gill, G. (2012). Impact of Economic liberalisation on small scale sector in India.
12. Haggblade, S., Hazell, P., & Reardon, T. (2010). The rural non-farm economy: Prospects for growth and poverty reduction. *World Development*, 38(10), 1429-1441.
13. Harji, K. (2009). *Measuring Social Enterprise Impacts and Benefits: Emerging Approaches and Issues*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.slideshare.net/kharji/measuring-social-enterprise-impacts-and-benefitsemerging-approaches-and-issues-1530503>> [Accessed 25 May 2013].
14. Hartley, L. (2011). *Social enterprise: obtaining 'community permission'*, *The Guardian* [Online] Available at: <<http://socialenterprise.guardian.co.uk/social-enterprise-network/2011/apr/20/social-enterprise-community-permission>> [Accessed 26 May 2013].
15. Kathuria, V., & Sen, K. (2013). Institution of State-Business Relation and Its Impact on Manufacturing Productivity Growth in India: A Post-reform Analysis. *South Asia Economic Journal*, 14(1), 83-108.
16. Kotwal, A., Ramaswami, B., & Wadhwa, W. (2011). Economic liberalization and Indian economic growth: What's the evidence?. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 49(4), 1152-1199.
17. Kumar, N. K., & Sardar, G. (2011). Competitive Performance of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in India. *Asia Pacific Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(1), 128-146.
18. Langille, A. (2012). *Innovation: Reflections on India*. [Online] Available at: <<http://blog.ennovent.com/2012/11/innovation-reflections-on-india/>> [Accessed 29 May 2013].
19. Neville, C. (2007). *Introduction to Research and Research Methods*, University of Bradford. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.brad.ac.uk/management/media/management/els/Introduction-to-Research-and-Research-Methods.pdf>> [Accessed 24 May 2013].
20. New Age Publishers (n.d.). *Research Methodology: An Introduction*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.newagepublishers.com/samplechapter/000896.pdf>> [Accessed 23 May 2013].
21. Portland state university.(n.d.).[Online]. Available at: <<http://www.pdx.edu/impactentrepreneurs/psu-impact-entrepreneurs>> [Assessed May 2013]
22. Project Survival Media (2012). *Social Enterprises, A Solution to Energy Access in India*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.projectsurvivalmedia.org/2012/05/social-enterprises-as-a-solution-to-energy-access-problems-in-india/>> [Accessed 25 May 2013].
23. Rao, A. (2012). Managing diversity: Impact of religion in the Indian workplace. *Journal of World Business*, 47(2), 232-239.

24. Rao, V. (2012). *Action For India Launches Growth Prize Competition For Early Stage Social Enterprises From India*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.vallabhrao.com/2012/06/03/action-for-india-launches-growth-prize-competition-for-early-stage-social-enterprises-from-india/>> [Accessed 23 May 2013].
25. Shastri, R. K., Tripathi, R., & Ali, M. (2011). Liberalization and its impact on small scale industries. *International Journal of Vocational and Technical Education*, 2(7), 81-83.
26. SiliconIndia (2012). *8 Social Enterprises that Strive to Make India Better*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.siliconindia.com/news/startups/8-Social-Enterprises-that-Strive-to-Make-India-Better--nid-135774-cid-100.html>> [Accessed 15 May 2013].
27. Sundaram, A. (2011). The Impact of Trade Liberalization on Micro Enterprises: Do Banks Matter? *Evidence from Indian Manufacturing* (No. 225).
28. Tanvir, R. (n.d). Learning from India: Rakeen's IDEX Fellowship in Social Enterprise. [Online] Available at: <Learning from India: Rakeen's IDEX Fellowship in Social Enterprise> [Accessed 22 May 2013].
29. The Guardian (2012). *Best bits: Social enterprise goes international*. [Online] Available at: <<http://socialenterprise.guardian.co.uk/social-enterprise-network/2012/aug/17/international-best-bits>> [Accessed: 20 May 2013].
30. The Guardian (2013). *Social enterprise in India makes steady progress*. [Online] Available at: <<http://socialenterprise.guardian.co.uk/social-enterprise-network/2013/jan/09/india-social-entrepreneurs-steady-progress>> [Accessed 25 May 2013].
31. The Resurgam Trust (n.d.). *Social Enterprise*. [Online] Available at: <<http://resurgamtrust.co.uk/social-enterprise>> [Accessed 25 May 2013].
32. The World Bank (2013). *World Bank Group Awards \$2 Million to Social Enterprises in the States of Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, and Jharkhand*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2013/05/01/world-bank-group-awards-two-million-dollars-to-social-enterprises-in-the-states-of-madhya-pradesh-chhattisgarh-jharkhand>> [Accessed 23 May 2013].
33. Village Volunteers (2013). *Micro/Social Enterprise Development*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.villagevolunteers.org/volunteer-abroad/initiatives/micro-enterprise-development/>> [Accessed 23 May 2013].
34. Woolf, L.M. (2013). *Research Methods*. [Online] Available at: <<http://www2.webster.edu/~woolfm/statmethods.html>> [Accessed 23 May 2013].