

Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler Function

¹ Madan Lal, ² Kuldeep Singh Gehlot, ³ Rajeev Kumar Gupta

¹Assistant Professor, ²Associate Professor, ³Professor

¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics,

¹ Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur-342005, India

Abstract : In this paper we introduce Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler Function defined as,

$${}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m}[z] = {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); z],$$

$${}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m}[z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p s(a_j)_{n,k} z^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q s(b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m s\Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)},$$

where $s, k \in R_+ = (0, \infty)$; $a_j, b_r, \beta_i \in C$; $\eta_i \in R$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p$; $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

Certain relations that exist between ${}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m}[z]$ function and Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and derivatives have been evaluated. It has been shown that the fractional integration and differentiation of ${}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m}[z]$ function with power multipliers into the function of the same form.

Also deduce Mittag-Leffler functions introduced by [1],[3],[5],[6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[14],[15],[16],[17] are particular cases of Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler function for some particular values of parameters and deduce some particular cases.

IndexTerms - Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler function, K-Series, S-K-Pochhammer symbol, S-K- Gamma function, Riemann-Liouville fractional operators.

MSC 2000 : 26A33, 33E12, 33C20.

I. INTRODUCTION

In [4](Definition 2.2, Eqn.2.6) the author introduce the generalized S-K-Gamma Function ${}_s \Gamma_k(x)$ as

$${}_s \Gamma_k(x) = \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! s^{n+1} (ns)^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{s(x)_{n,k}}, \quad s, k > 0, x \in C \setminus kZ^-, \tag{1}$$

where ${}_s(x)_{n,k}$ is the s-k-Pochhammer symbol [4](Definition 2.1, Eqn.2.1) and is given by

$${}_s(x)_{n,k} = \left(\frac{xs}{k}\right) \left(\frac{xs}{k} + s\right) \left(\frac{xs}{k} + 2s\right) \dots \left(\frac{xs}{k} + (n-1)s\right), \quad x \in C, s, k \in R, n \in N^+. \tag{2}$$

Integral representation of S-K-Gamma function[4](Theorem 2.4, Eqn.2.14) is given by,

$${}_s \Gamma_k(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-\frac{t^k}{s}} dt, \quad x \in C, k \in R, Re(x) > 0, \tag{3}$$

and it follows easily that [4](Eqns. 2.22 and 2.19)

$${}_s(x)_{n,k} = \frac{{}_s \Gamma_k(x+nk)}{{}_s \Gamma_k(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad {}_s \Gamma_k(x) = \left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{\frac{x}{k}} \Gamma_k(x) = \frac{s^{\frac{x}{k}}}{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \tag{4}$$

The Fractional Integral operators for $\alpha > 0$ ([11], Definition 2.1, Page 33) are defined as,

$$(I_{0+}^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad (x > 0), \tag{5}$$

$$(I_x^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad (x > 0), \tag{6}$$

The Fractional Derivative for $\alpha > 0$ ([11], Definition 2.2, Page 35) are defined as,

$$(D_{0+}^\alpha f)(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{[\alpha]+1} (I_{0+}^{1-\{\alpha\}} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\{\alpha\})} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{[\alpha]+1} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{\{\alpha\}}} dt, \quad (x > 0), \tag{7}$$

$$(D_x^\alpha f)(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{[\alpha]+1} (I_x^{1-\{\alpha\}} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\{\alpha\})} \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{[\alpha]+1} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(t)}{(t-x)^{\{\alpha\}}} dt, \quad (x > 0). \tag{8}$$

where $[\alpha]$ means the maximal integer not extending α and $\{\alpha\}$ is the fractional part of α .

The next assertion is well known; see ([11], (2.44) and table 9.3, formula 1).

Let $\alpha \in C, (Re(\alpha) > 0)$ and $\gamma \in C$.

$$\text{if } Re(\gamma) > 0, \text{ then } (I_{0+}^\alpha t^{\gamma-1})(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma)} x^{\alpha+\gamma-1} \tag{9}$$

$$\text{if } Re(\gamma) > Re(\alpha) > 0, \text{ then } (I_x^\alpha t^{-\gamma})(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} x^{\alpha-\gamma} \tag{10}$$

II. MAIN RESULT

In this section we introduce Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler function and for particular values of parameter we can deduce Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler function into earlier known Mittag-Leffler functions. Finally we calculate Fractional integral and derivative of Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler function.

2.1 Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffer Function

Definition: Let $s, k \in R_+ = (0, \infty)$; $a_j, b_r, \beta_i \in C$; $\eta_i \in R$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p$; $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then the Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler function defined as,

$${}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p s(a_j)_{n,k} z^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q s(b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m s\Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \quad (11)$$

Where $s\Gamma_k(x)$ is the S-K-Gamma function given by (1) and $s(\gamma)_{n,k}$ is the S-K-Pochhammer symbol given by (2).

The series (11) is defined when none of the parameter b_r ($r = 1, 2, \dots, q$) is negative integer or zero. If any parameter a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p$) in (11) is zero or negative, the series terminates into polynomial in z .

Convergent conditions for the series (11) are given by Ratio test,

(i) If $p < q + \sum_{i=1}^m (\frac{\eta_i}{k})$, then the power series on the right of (11) is absolutely convergent for all $z \in C$.

(ii) If $p = q + \sum_{i=1}^m (\frac{\eta_i}{k})$, then the power series on the right of (11) is absolutely convergent for all $|s^{p-q-\sum_{i=1}^m (\frac{\eta_i}{k})} z| < \prod_{i=1}^m (|\frac{\eta_i}{k}|)^{\frac{\eta_i}{k}}$ and $|s^{p-q-\sum_{i=1}^m (\frac{\eta_i}{k})} z| = \prod_{i=1}^m (|\frac{\eta_i}{k}|)^{\frac{\eta_i}{k}}, Re(\sum_{r=1}^q (\frac{b_r}{k}) + \sum_{i=1}^m (\frac{\beta_i}{k}) - \sum_{j=1}^p (\frac{a_j}{k})) > \frac{2+q+m-p}{2}$.

2.1.1 Particular cases

By particularizing the parameters in (11), we obtain following known Mittag-leffler functions.

(a) If we set $s = k$ in (11), we have

$${}_p K_{k,k}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [z] = {}_p K_{k,k}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); z],$$

$${}_p K_{q,k}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} z^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)}$$

Which is Multiparameter K-Mittag Leffler function defined by [3].

(b) If we set $s = k = 1$ in (11), we have

$${}_p K_{q,1}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_n z^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_n \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(\eta_i n + \beta_i)}$$

$${}_p K_{q,1}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); z] = {}_p K_q^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [z].$$

Which is K-Series defined by [5],[6].

(c) If we set $s = k = 1, p = q = m$ and $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_m = 1$ in (11), we have

$${}_m K_{m,1}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [a_1, \dots, a_m; 1, 1, \dots, 1, (\beta_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (a_i)_n z^n}{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(\eta_i n + \beta_i) (n!)^m}$$

$${}_m K_{m,1}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [a_1, \dots, a_m; 1, 1, \dots, 1, (\beta_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); z] = E_{(\eta_i), (\beta_i)}^{(a_i), m} [z].$$

Which is the 3M-Parameter Multi-Index Mittag-Leffler function defined by [7].

(d) If we set $s = k = 1, p = q = 1, a_1 = \rho, b_1 = 1$ in (11), then we obtain

$${}_1 K_{1,1}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [\rho; 1, (\beta_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho)_n z^n}{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(\eta_i n + \beta_i) (n!)^m}$$

$${}_1 K_{1,1}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [\rho; 1, (\beta_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); z] = E_{\rho}[(\eta, \beta)_m; z].$$

Which is the Generalized Mittag-Leffler function studied by [8].

(e) If we set $s = k = 1, p = q = 1, a_1 = b_1 = 1$ and $\eta_i = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}$ in (11), then we obtain

$${}_1 K_{1,1}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [1; 1, (\beta_1, \frac{1}{\alpha_1}), \dots, (\beta_m, \frac{1}{\alpha_m}); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(n \frac{1}{\alpha_i} + \beta_i)}$$

$${}_1 K_{1,1}^{(\beta, \eta)_m} [1; 1, (\beta_1, \frac{1}{\alpha_1}), \dots, (\beta_m, \frac{1}{\alpha_m}); z] = E_{(\frac{1}{\alpha_i}), (\beta_i)}^{(m)} [z].$$

Which is the Multi-Index Mittag-Leffler function studied by [16].

(f) If we set $s = k = 1, m = 1$ in (11), we have

$${}_pK_{q,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta, \eta); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_n z^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_n \Gamma(\eta n + \beta)}$$

$${}_pK_{q,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta, \eta); z] = {}_pM_q^{\eta,\beta}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z].$$

Which is Generalized M-Series defined by [15].

(g) If we set $s = k = 1, m = 1$ and $\beta = 1$ in (11), we have

$${}_pK_{q,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (1, \eta); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_n z^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_n \Gamma(\eta n + 1)}$$

$${}_pK_{q,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (1, \eta); z] = {}_pM_q^{\eta}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z].$$

Which is M-Series defined by [14].

(h) If we set $s = k = 1, p = q = m = 1, a_1 = \delta, b_1 = k$ in (11), then we obtain

$${}_1K_{1,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[\delta; k, (\beta, \eta); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta)_{n,k}}{\Gamma_k(\eta n + \beta)(n!)} \left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^n,$$

$${}_1K_{1,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[\delta; k, (\beta, \eta); z] = E_{k,\eta,\beta}^{\delta} \left[\frac{z}{k}\right].$$

Which is the K- Mittag-Leffler function studied by [1].

(i) If we set $s = k = 1, p = q = m = 1, a_1 = \delta, b_1 = 1$ in (11), then we obtain

$${}_1K_{1,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[\delta; 1, (\beta, \eta); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta)_n z^n}{\Gamma(\eta n + \beta)(n!)}$$

$${}_1K_{1,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[\delta; 1, (\beta, \eta); z] = E_{\eta,\beta}^{\delta}[z].$$

Which is the Generalized Mittag-Leffler function studied by [10].

(j) If we set $s = k = 1, p = q = m = 1, a_1 = b_1 = 1$ in (11), then we obtain

$${}_1K_{1,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[1; 1, (\beta, \eta); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\eta n + \beta)}$$

$${}_1K_{1,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[1; 1, (\beta, \eta); z] = E_{\eta,\beta}[z].$$

Which is the Mittag-Leffler function studied by [17].

(k) If we set $s = k = 1, p = q = m = 1, a_1 = b_1 = 1$ and $\beta = 1$ in (11), then we obtain

$${}_1K_{1,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[1; 1, (1, \eta); z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\eta n + 1)}$$

$${}_1K_{1,1}^{(\beta,\eta)_1}[1; 1, (1, \eta); z] = E_{\eta}[z].$$

Which is the Mittag-Leffler function studied by [9].

2.2 Fractional Claculus of Multiparameter S-K-Mittag-Leffler Function

We will prove, the fractional integration and differentiation of ${}_pK_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)_m}[z]$ function with power multipliers into the function of the same form.

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha > 0, a \in R, s, k \in R_+ = (0, \infty); a_j, b_r, \beta_i \in C; \eta_i \in R, Re(\beta_i) > 0; (j = 1, 2, \dots, p; r = 1, 2, \dots, q; i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$. Then there holds the relation,

$$(I_{0+}^{\alpha} [t^{\frac{\beta_1}{k}-1} {}_pK_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)_m}[at^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]])(x) = s^{\alpha} x^{\frac{(\beta_1+\alpha-1)}{k}} {}_pK_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)_m}[ax^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]. \tag{12}$$

Proof: By virtue of (5) and (11), we have

$$A \equiv (I_{0+}^{\alpha} [t^{\frac{\beta_1}{k}-1} {}_pK_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)_m}[at^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]])(x),$$

$$A \equiv (I_{0+}^{\alpha} [t^{\frac{\beta_1}{k}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} (at^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}})^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)}]) (x),$$

on interchanging the order of the integration and summation, and using (9), we obtain

$$A \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k}}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\eta_1 n + \beta_1}{k}) a^n x^{\frac{(\eta_1 n + \beta_1 + \alpha - 1)}{k}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\eta_1 n + \beta_1}{k} + \alpha)},$$

using (4) and rearranging the terms, we have

$$A \equiv s^\alpha x^{\left(\frac{\beta_1+\alpha-1}{k}\right)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k}}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=2}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \frac{(ax \frac{\eta_1}{k})^n}{s^{\Gamma_k(n\eta_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha k)'}}$$

$$A \equiv s^\alpha x^{\left(\frac{\beta_1+\alpha-1}{k}\right)} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta_1 + \alpha k, \eta_1), (\beta_2, \eta_2) \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); ax \frac{\eta_1}{k}],$$

which proves the theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha > 0, a \in R, s, k \in R_+ = (0, \infty); a_j, b_r, \beta_i \in C; \eta_i \in R, Re(\beta_i) > 0; (j = 1, 2, \dots, p; r = 1, 2, \dots, q; i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$. Then there holds the relation,

$$(I_-^\alpha [t^{-\alpha - \frac{\beta_1}{k}} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [at^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]]) (x) = s^\alpha x^{(-\frac{\beta_1}{k})} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [ax^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]. \tag{13}$$

Proof. By virtue of (6) and (11), we have

$$A \equiv (I_-^\alpha [t^{-\alpha - \frac{\beta_1}{k}} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [at^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]]) (x),$$

$$A \equiv (I_-^\alpha [t^{-\alpha - \frac{\beta_1}{k}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} (at^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}})^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)}]) (x),$$

on interchanging the order of the integration and summation, and using (10), we obtain

$$A \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k}}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n\eta_1 + \beta_1}{k}) a^n x^{-(\frac{n\eta_1 + \beta_1}{k})}}{\Gamma(\frac{n\eta_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha}{k})},$$

using (4) and rearranging the terms, we have

$$A \equiv s^\alpha x^{(-\frac{\beta_1}{k})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k}}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=2}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \frac{(ax^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}})^n}{s^{\Gamma_k(n\eta_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha k)'}}$$

$$A \equiv s^\alpha x^{(-\frac{\beta_1}{k})} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta_1 + \alpha k, \eta_1), (\beta_2, \eta_2) \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); ax^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}}],$$

which proves the theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha > 0, a \in R, s, k \in R_+ = (0, \infty); a_j, b_r, \beta_i \in C; \eta_i \in R, Re(\beta_i) > 0; (j = 1, 2, \dots, p; r = 1, 2, \dots, q; i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$. Then there holds the relation,

$$(D_{0+}^\alpha [t^{\frac{\beta_1-1}{k}} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [at^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]]) (x) = s^{-\alpha} x^{(\frac{\beta_1-\alpha-1}{k})} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [ax^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]. \tag{14}$$

Proof. By virtue of (7) and (11), we have

$$A \equiv (D_{0+}^\alpha [t^{\frac{\beta_1-1}{k}} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [at^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]]) (x),$$

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^\gamma \int_0^x \frac{t^{\frac{\beta_1-1}{k}-1}}{(x-t)^{1+\alpha-\gamma}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} (at^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}})^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} dt,$$

where $\gamma = [\alpha] + 1$

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} a^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^\gamma \int_0^x \frac{t^{\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1-1}{k}}}{(x-t)^{1+\alpha-\gamma}} dt,$$

put $t = ux$ the above expression transforms in to the form

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} a^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^\gamma x^{\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k} + \gamma - \alpha - 1}$$

$$\times \int_0^1 (1-u)^{\gamma-\alpha-1} u^{\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}-1} dt,$$

using the Beta function formula, we have

$$A \equiv x^{\frac{\beta_1-\alpha-1}{k}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} a^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})}{\Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k} - \alpha)} x^{\frac{n\eta_1}{k}},$$

using (4) and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$A \equiv s^{-\alpha} x^{\frac{\beta_1-\alpha-1}{k}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k}}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=2}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i) s^{\Gamma_k(n\eta_1 + \beta_1 - k\alpha)}} (ax^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}})^n,$$

$$A \equiv s^{-\alpha} x^{\frac{\beta_1-\alpha-1}{k}} {}_p K_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta_1 - \alpha k, \eta_1), (\beta_2, \eta_2) \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); ax^{\frac{\eta_1}{k}}],$$

which proves the theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha > 0, a \in R, s, k \in R_+ = (0, \infty); a_j, b_r, \beta_i \in C; \eta_i \in R, Re(\beta_i) > 0; (j = 1, 2, \dots, p; r = 1, 2, \dots, q; i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$. Then there holds the relation,

$$(D_-^\alpha [t^{\alpha-\frac{\beta_1}{k}q} {}_pK_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [at^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]])(x) = s^{-\alpha} x^{(-\frac{\beta_1}{k}q} {}_pK_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [ax^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]. \tag{15}$$

Proof. By virtue of (8) and (11), we have

$$A \equiv (D_-^\alpha [t^{\alpha-\frac{\beta_1}{k}q} {}_pK_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [at^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}}]])(x),$$

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)} \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^\gamma \int_x^\infty \frac{t^{\alpha-\frac{\beta_1}{k}}}{(t-x)^{1+\alpha-\gamma}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} (at^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}})^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} dt,$$

where $\gamma = [\alpha] + 1$

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} a^n}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i)} \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^\gamma \int_x^\infty \frac{t^{\alpha-\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}}}{(t-x)^{1+\alpha-\gamma}} dt,$$

put $t = \frac{x}{u}$ and using the Beta function, the above expression transforms in to the form,

$$A \equiv x^{-\frac{\beta_1}{k}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} a^n \Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}-\gamma) (-1)^\gamma \Gamma(1+\gamma-\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}) x^{-\frac{n\eta_1}{k}}}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i) \Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}-\alpha) \Gamma(1-\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})}, \tag{16}$$

the reflection formula for gamma function, see ([11],1.60),

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})}{\Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})\Gamma(1-\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})\sin[(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})\pi]}{\pi}, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}-\gamma)\Gamma(1+\gamma-\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}) &= \frac{\pi}{\sin[(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}-\gamma)\pi]}, \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\sin[(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})\pi]\cos(\gamma\pi)} = \frac{\pi(-1)^\gamma}{\sin[(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k})\pi]}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

using (17) and (18) in (16), we obtain

$$A \equiv x^{-\frac{\beta_1}{k}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k} a^n \Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}) x^{-\frac{n\eta_1}{k}}}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i) \Gamma(\frac{\beta_1+n\eta_1}{k}-\alpha)},$$

using (4) and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$A \equiv s^{-\alpha} x^{-\frac{\beta_1}{k}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{n,k}}{\prod_{r=1}^q (b_r)_{n,k} \prod_{i=2}^m \Gamma_k(\eta_i n + \beta_i) \Gamma_k(n\eta_1 + \beta_1 - k\alpha)} (ax^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}})^n,$$

$$A \equiv s^{-\alpha} x^{-\frac{\beta_1}{k}q} {}_pK_{s,k}^{(\beta,\eta)m} [a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q, (\beta_1 - \alpha k, \eta_1), (\beta_2, \eta_2), \dots, (\beta_m, \eta_m); ax^{-\frac{\eta_1}{k}}],$$

which proves the theorem.

2.2.1 Particular Cases

(A) By putting $s = k$,

- (i) in equation (12), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([3], Theorem 1, Equation 12).
- (ii) in equation (13), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([3], Theorem 2, Equation 13).
- (iii) in equation (14), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([3], Theorem 3, Equation 14).
- (iv) in equation (15), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([3], Theorem 4, Equation 15).

(B) By putting $s = k = 1$,

- (i) in equation (12), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([5], Theorem 3.1, Equation 6).
- (ii) in equation (13), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([5], Theorem 3.2, Equation 7).
- (iii) in equation (14), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([5], Theorem 3.3, Equation 8).
- (iv) in equation (15), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([5], Theorem 3.4, Equation 9).

(C) By putting $s = k = 1, p = q = m$ and $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_m = 1$,

- (i) in equation (12), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([7], Theorem 4.1, Equation 4.4).
- (ii) in equation (14), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([7], Theorem 4.2, Equation 4.5).

(D) By putting $s = k = p = q = 1, a_1 = \rho$ and $b_1 = 1$,

- (i) in equation (12), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([12], Theorem 1, Equation 2.1).
- (ii) in equation (13), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([12], Theorem 3, Equation 2.4).
- (iii) in equation (14), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([12], Theorem 5, Equation 2.6).
- (iv) in equation (15), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([12], Theorem 7, Equation 2.8).

(E) By putting $s = k = p = q = m = 1, a_1 = \delta$ and $b_1 = 1$,

- (i) in (12), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([13], Theorem 1, Equation 14).
- (ii) in (13) then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([13], Theorem 3, Equation 23).
- (iii) in (14) then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([13], Theorem 5, Equation 29).

(iv)in (15) then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([13],Theorem 7, Equation 35).

(F) By putting $s = k = m = 1$ and $\beta = 1$,

- (i) in (12), then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([2], Theorem 2.1, Equation 6).
- (ii)in (13) then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([2],Theorem 2.2, Equation 10).
- (iii)in (14) then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([2],Theorem 2.3, Equation 14).
- (vi)in (15) then it reduces to the result earlier given by ([2],Theorem 2.4, Equation 18).

REFERENCES

- [1] Dorrego, G.A. and Cerutti, R.A. *The K-Mittag-Leffler Function*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 7 (2012) No. 15, 705-716.
- [2] Gehlot Kuldeep Singh, *Integral Representation and Certain Properties of M- Series Associated with Fractional Calculus*, International Mathematical Forum, Vol.8, (2013), no. 9, 415-426.
- [3] Gehlot Kuldeep Singh, *Multiparameter K-Mittag-Leffler function*, International Mathematical Forum, Vol.8, (2013), no. 34, 1691-1702.
- [4] Gehlot Kuldeep Singh, *Two Parameter Gamma Function and its Properties*, arXiv:1701.01052v1[Math.CA] 3 Jan 2017.
- [5] Gehlot, Kuldeep Singh and Chena Ram, *Integral Representation of K-Series*, International Transactions in Mathematical Sciences and computers, Volume 4, No. 2 (2012), pp.387-396.
- [6] Gehlot, Kuldeep Singh and Chena Ram, *Certain properties of K-Series associated with Fractional calculus*, (Communicated for Publication).
- [7] Konovska, Paneva Jordanka, *Three-Multi-Index Mittag-Leffler Functions, Series and Convergence Theorem*, Proceeding of FDA'2012 symposium, Nanjing, China, Paper No. 284, FDA 2012.
- [8] Luchko, Yu. F. and Yakubovich, S.B., *An operational method for solving some class of introducedifferential equations*, (Russian). Differ. Uravn., 30, 269-280; translation in Differential Equation, 30, 247-256.
- [9] Mittag-Leffler, G. *Sur la nouvellefonction $E_\alpha(z)$* , C.RAcad. Sci. Paris 137 (1903) 554-558.
- [10] Prabhakar, T.R., *A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernal*, Yokohama Math. J. 19 (1971), 7-15.
- [11] Samko, S. G., Kilbas, A.A. and Marichev,O.I. ,*Fractional Integral and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*, Gordon Breach, Yverdon et al. (1993).
- [12] Saxena, R.K., Ram, J. and Suthar, D.L., *Fractional Calculus of Mittag-Leffler functions*, Journal of Indian Acad. Math., Vol. 31, No. 1, (2009), pp. 165-172.
- [13] Saxena, R.K. and Saigo, M., *Certain properties of Fractional Calculus Operator Associated with Generalized Mittag-Leffler function*, Frac. Cal. Appl. Anal.,Vol. 8, No. 2, (2005), 141-154.
- [14] Sharma, M. *Fractional Integral and Fractional Differentiation of the M-Series*, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, Vol. 11, No. 2 (2008), 187-191.

- [15] Sharma, M., Jain, Renu, *A note on generalized M-Series as a special function of fractional calculus*, Fractional Calculus and applied Analysis, Vol. 12, No.4 (2009), 449-452.
- [16] Virginia S. Kiryakova, *Multiple (multiondex) Mittag-Leffler functions and relations to generalized fractional calculus*, Journal of Computational and applied Mathematics, 118, (2000) P 241-259.
- [17] A. Wiman, *Über de fundamental satz in der theorie der funktionen $E_\alpha(x)$* , Acta Math. 29 (1905), 191-201.

