

DOUBT FUZZY BI - IDEAL OF BS-ALGEBRAS

¹ P. Ayesha Parveen, ² M. Himaya Jaleela Begum,

¹ M.Phil Scholar, ² Assistant Professor,

¹ Department of Mathematics,

¹ Sadakathullah Appa College, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract : In this paper, a new notion called BS-algebras, which is a generalization of the idea of BE/B/BCK, is introduced. We extend fuzzy ideal into fuzzy bi-ideal in BS-algebras. Then we introduce the notion of doubt fuzzy bi-ideal of BS-algebras. We investigate some algebraic nature of doubt fuzzy bi-ideal of BS-algebras. Doubt fuzzy bi-ideal of BS-algebras is also applied in Cartesian product. Finally, the homomorphic behaviour of doubt fuzzy bi-ideal of BS-algebras have been obtained.

IndexTerms –Fuzzy bi-ideal,Doubt fuzzy bi-ideal,Cartesian product,homomorphism(BS-algebras).

I. INTRODUCTION

After the introduction of fuzzy subsets by L.A.Zadeh[3], several researches explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy subset. In 1966, Imai and Iseki introduced two classes of abstract algebras viz. BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras[1]. The class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. J.Neggers and H.S. Kim introduced the notion of B-algebras[2] which is a generalisation of BCK-algebras. We introduce the notion of BS-algebras which is a generalisation of B-algebras. In this paper, we presented doubt fuzzy bi-ideal called DF bi-ideal of BS-algebras and establish some of their basic properties. The Cartesian product of fuzzy bi-ideal for BS-algebras has been introduced and some important properties are also studied. Finally, we investigate how to deal with homomorphism.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition: A BS-algebra is a non empty set with a constant 1 and a binary operation * satisfying the following axioms

- (i) $x*x=1$
- (ii) $x*1=x$
- (iii) $1*x=x$
- (iv) $(x*y)*z = x*(z*(1*y)) \forall x, y, z \in X$

Note: We call X as BS-algebra. A binary relation \leq on X can be defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x*y=1$.

Example: Let $X=\{1,a,b,c\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table

*	1	a	b	c
1	1	a	b	c
a	a	1	c	b
b	b	c	1	a
c	c	b	a	1

Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a BS-algebra.

Definition: Let μ be a fuzzy set in a BS-algebra X. Then μ is called a fuzzy sub algebra of X if

$$\mu(x*y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \forall x, y \in X$$

Definition: A fuzzy set μ of BS-algebra X is called a fuzzy ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions

- (i) $\mu(1) \geq \mu(x)$
- (ii) $\mu(y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y*x)\} \forall x, y, z \in X$

Definition: A fuzzy subset μ in a BS-algebra X is called fuzzy bi-ideal if

- (i) $\mu(1) \geq \mu(x)$
- (ii) $\mu(y*z) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(x*(y*z))\} \forall x, y, z \in X$

Definition: A fuzzy set μ of a BS-algebra X is called a doubt fuzzy sub algebra of X if $\mu(x*y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \forall x, y \in X$

III. DOUBT FUZZY BI IDEAL

Definition: A fuzzy set μ of BS-algebra X is called a doubt fuzzy (DF) ideal of X if

- (i) $\mu(1) \leq \mu(x)$
- (ii) $\mu(y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y*x)\} \forall x, y \in X$

Definition: A fuzzy set μ of BS-algebra X is called a doubt fuzzy bi- ideal of X if

- (i) $\mu(1) \leq \mu(x)$
- (ii) $\mu(y*z) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x*(y*z))\} \forall x, y, z \in X$

Example: Let $X = \{1, a, b, c\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table

*	1	a	b	c
1	1	a	b	c
a	a	1	c	b
b	b	c	1	a
c	c	b	a	1

Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a BS-algebra. Define a fuzzy set $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(1) = \mu(a) = 0.7$ and $\mu(b) = \mu(c) = 0.9$. Then μ is a DF bi-ideal of X .

Theorem 1: Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X . If $x \leq y*z$ then $\mu(y*z) \leq \mu(x)$ (i. e) μ is order-reversing.

Proof

Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X .

Let $x \leq y*z$ then $x*(y*z) = 1$

For any $x, y, z \in X$

$$\text{Now, } \mu(y*z) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x*(y*z))\} \\ = \max\{\mu(x), \mu(1)\}$$

Therefore, $\mu(y*z) \leq \mu(x)$

Theorem 2: Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X . If $x \leq y*z$ then $\mu(y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(z)\} \forall x, y, z \in X$

Proof

Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X . For any $x, y, z \in X$

$$\text{Now, } \mu(y) \leq \max\{\mu(y*z), \mu(z)\} \\ \leq \max\{\max\{\mu(x*(y*z)), \mu(x)\}, \mu(z)\} \\ = \max\{\max\{\mu(1), \mu(x)\}, \mu(z)\} \\ = \max\{\mu(x), \mu(z)\}$$

Therefore, $\mu(y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(z)\}$

Theorem 3: Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X . If $\mu(x*(y*z)) = \mu(1)$ then $\mu(y*z) \leq \mu(x)$

Proof

Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X . For any $x, y, z \in X$

$$\text{Now, } \mu(y*z) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x*(y*z))\} \\ = \max\{\mu(x), \mu(1)\} \\ = \mu(x)$$

Therefore, $\mu(y*z) \leq \mu(x)$

Theorem 4: Let μ_1 and μ_2 be two DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X . Then $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a DF bi-ideal of X .

Proof

Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X . For any $x, y, z \in X$

$$(i) \quad (\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(1) = \max\{\mu_1(1), \mu_2(1)\}$$

$$\leq \max\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(x)\} \\ = (\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(x)$$

Therefore, $(\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(1) \leq (\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(x)$

$$(ii) \quad (\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(y*z) = \max\{\mu_1(y*z), \mu_2(y*z)\}$$

$$\leq \max\{\max\{\mu_1(x), \mu_1(x*(y*z))\}, \max\{\mu_2(x), \mu_2(x*(y*z))\}\} \\ = \max\{\max\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(x)\}, \max\{\mu_1(x*(y*z)), \mu_2(x*(y*z))\}\} \\ = \max\{(\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(x), (\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(x*(y*z))\}$$

$$(\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(y*z) \leq \max\{(\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(x), (\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(x*(y*z))\}$$

Therefore, $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is a DF bi-ideal of X .

The above theorem can be generalised as

Theorem 5: Let $\{\mu_i/i=1,2,\dots\}$ be a family of DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X. Then $\cup_i \mu_i$ is also a DF bi-ideal of X, where $\cup_i \mu_i = \max\{\mu_i(x)/i=1,2,\dots\}$

Proof

Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of X. For any $x, y, z \in X$

$$(i) \text{ Now, } (\cup_i \mu_i)(1) = \sup\{\mu_i(1)/i=1,2,\dots\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{\mu_i(x)/i=1,2,\dots\} \\ = (\cup_i \mu_i)(x)$$

Therefore, $(\cup_i \mu_i)(1) \leq (\cup_i \mu_i)(x)$

$$(ii) \text{ Now, } (\cup_i \mu_i)(y^*z) = \sup\{\mu_i(y^*z)/i=1,2,\dots\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{\max\{\mu_i(x), \mu_i(x^*(y^*z))\}/i=1,2,\dots\} \\ = \max\{\sup\{\mu_i(x)/i=1,2,\dots\}, \sup\{\mu_i(x^*(y^*z))/i=1,2,\dots\}\} \\ = \max\{(\cup_i \mu_i)(x), (\cup_i \mu_i)(x^*(y^*z))\}$$

Therefore, $(\cup_i \mu_i)(y^*z) \leq \max\{(\cup_i \mu_i)(x), (\cup_i \mu_i)(x^*(y^*z))\}$

Theorem 6: A fuzzy subset μ of BS-algebra X is a fuzzy bi-ideal of X iff its complement μ^c is DF bi-ideal of X.

Proof

Let μ be a fuzzy bi-ideal of BS-algebra X. For any $x, y, z \in X$

$$(i) \quad \mu^c(1) = 1 - \mu(1) \\ \leq 1 - \mu(x) \\ = \mu^c(x)$$

Therefore, $\mu^c(1) \leq \mu^c(x)$

$$(ii) \quad \mu^c(y^*z) = 1 - \mu(y^*z) \\ \leq 1 - \min\{\mu(x^*(y^*z)), \mu(x)\} \\ = \max\{\mu^c(x), \mu^c(x^*(y^*z))\}$$

Therefore, $\mu^c(y^*z) \leq \max\{\mu^c(x), \mu^c(x^*(y^*z))\}$

Conversely,

$$(i) \quad 1 - \mu(1) \leq 1 - \mu(x) \\ -\mu(1) \leq -\mu(x)$$

Therefore, $\mu(1) \geq \mu(x)$

$$(ii) \quad 1 - \mu(y^*z) \leq \max\{1 - \mu(x), 1 - \mu(x^*(y^*z))\} \\ \leq 1 - \min\{\mu(x), \mu(x^*(y^*z))\}$$

Therefore, $\mu(y^*z) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(x^*(y^*z))\}$

Theorem 7: Let μ be a fuzzy subset of a BS-algebra X. If μ is a DF bi-ideal of X, then the lower level cut μ_t is an ideal of X for all $t \in [0,1], t > \mu(1)$

Proof

Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X. For any $x, y, z \in X$

Let $x, y \in \mu_t$

Since $\mu(1) \leq \mu(x) \leq t \Rightarrow 1 \in \mu_t \quad \forall t \in [0,1]$

Again let $x, x^*(y^*z) \in \mu_t$

Therefore $\mu(x) \leq t, \mu(y^*z) \leq t$

$$\text{Now, } \mu(y^*z) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x^*(y^*z))\} \\ \leq \max\{t, t\} = t$$

Therefore, $\mu(y^*z) \leq t \Rightarrow y^*z \in \mu_t$

Hence, μ_t is an ideal of X

Theorem 8: Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X. Then two lower level cuts μ_{t_1}, μ_{t_2} where $(t_1 < t_2)$ of μ are equal iff there is no $x \in X$ such that $t_1 < \mu(x) < t_2$

Proof

Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of X. For any $x, y, z \in X$

$$\mu_t = \{x \in X / \mu(x) \leq t\}$$

Let $\mu_{t_1} = \mu_{t_2}$ where $(t_1 < t_2)$ and there exists $x \in X$ such that $t_1 < \mu(x) < t_2$

Take $\mu_{t_1} \subset \mu_{t_2}$, then $x \in \mu_{t_2}$ but $x \notin \mu_{t_1}$ which contradicts the fact that $\mu_{t_1} = \mu_{t_2}$

Hence there is no $x \in X$ such that $t_1 < \mu(x) < t_2$

Conversely, suppose that there is no $x \in X$ such that $t_1 < \mu(x) < t_2$

Therefore $\mu_{t_1} \subset \mu_{t_2}$ (since $t_1 < t_2$)

Again if $x \in \mu_{t_2}$ then $\mu(x) \leq t_2$ and by hypothesis we get $\mu(x) \leq t_1 \Rightarrow \mu_{t_2} \subset \mu_{t_1}$

Hence $\mu_{t_1} = \mu_{t_2}$

Definition: [4] Let μ_1 and μ_2 be two fuzzy sets of X . Then the intersection of μ_1 and μ_2 is denoted by $\mu_1 \cap \mu_2$ and is given by $\mu_1 \cap \mu_2 = \min\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(x)\}$

Theorem 9: If $\{\mu_i/i=1,2,\dots\}$ is a family of DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X , then $\{\cap\mu_i/i=1,2,\dots\}$ is a DF bi-ideal

Proof

Let μ be a DF bi-ideal of BS-algebra X . For any $x, y, z \in X$

$$(i) (\cap\mu_i)(1) = \inf\{\mu_i(1)/i=1,2,\dots\}$$

$$\leq \inf\{\mu_i(x)/i=1,2,\dots\}$$

$$= (\cap\mu_i)(x), i=1,2,\dots$$

Therefore, $(\cap\mu_i)(1) \leq (\cap\mu_i)(x), i=1,2,\dots$

$$(ii) (\cap\mu_i)(y*z) = \inf\{\mu_i(y*z)/i=1,2,\dots\}$$

$$\leq \inf\{\max\{\mu_i(x), \mu_i(x*(y*z))\}/i=1,2,\dots\}$$

$$= \max\{\inf\{\mu_i(x)/i=1,2,\dots\}, \inf\{\mu_i(x*(y*z))/i=1,2,\dots\}\}$$

$$= \max\{(\cap\mu_i)(x), (\cap\mu_i)(x*(y*z))/i=1,2,\dots\}$$

Therefore, $(\cap\mu_i)(y*z) \leq \max\{(\cap\mu_i)(x), (\cap\mu_i)(x*(y*z))/i=1,2,\dots\}$

Hence $\{(\cap\mu_i)/i=1,2,\dots\}$ is a DF bi-ideal of X .

4. PRODUCT OF DF BI IDEALS OF BS-ALGEBRAS

Definition: Let μ_1 and μ_2 be two DF bi-ideals of BS-algebra X . Then their Cartesian product is defined by

$$(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x,y) = \max\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(y)\} \text{ Where } (\mu_1 \times \mu_2): X \times X \rightarrow [0,1] \forall x, y \in X.$$

Theorem 10: Let μ_1 and μ_2 be two DF bi-ideals of BS-algebra X . Then $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ is also a DF bi-ideal of $X \times X$.

Proof

Let μ_1 and μ_2 be two DF bi-ideals of BS-algebra X . For any $(x, y) \in X \times X$

We have $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(1,1) = \max\{\mu_1(1), \mu_2(1)\}$

$$\leq \max\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(y)\}$$

$$= (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x,y)$$

Therefore, $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(1,1) \leq (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x,y)$

Again let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$

Then $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)((y_1*z_1), (y_2*z_2)) = \max\{\mu_1(y_1*z_1), \mu_2(y_2*z_2)\}$

$$\leq \max\{\max\{\mu_1(x_1), \mu_1(x_1*(y_1*z_1))\}, \max\{\mu_2(x_2), \mu_2(x_2*(y_2*z_2))\}\}$$

$$= \max\{\max\{\mu_1(x_1), \mu_2(x_2)\}, \max\{\mu_1(x_1*(y_1*z_1)), \mu_2(x_2*(y_2*z_2))\}\}$$

$$= \max\{(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x_1, x_2), (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x_1*(y_1*z_1), x_2*(y_2*z_2))\}$$

Therefore, $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)((y_1*z_1), (y_2*z_2)) \leq \max\{(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x_1, x_2), (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(x_1*(y_1*z_1), x_2*(y_2*z_2))\}$

5. HOMOMORPHISM ON BS-ALGEBRAS

Definition: Let X and Y be two BS-algebras. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be homomorphism if $f(x*y) = f(x)*f(y) \forall x, y \in X$

Note: Let X and Y be two BS-algebras and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism. Then $f(1) = 1$

Proof

Let X and Y be two BS-algebras

Let $x \in X$ therefore $f(x) \in Y$

Now $f(1) = f(x*x) = f(x)*f(x) = 1*1 = 1$

Theorem 12: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an epimorphism of BS-algebras if λ be a DF bi-ideal of Y , then the pre image of λ under f is also a DF bi-ideal of X .

Proof

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an epimorphism of BS-algebras if λ be a DF bi-ideal of Y .

Let μ be the pre image of λ under f then $\lambda(f(x)) = \mu(x) \forall x \in X$

Now, $\lambda(1^f) = \lambda(f(1)) \leq \lambda(f(x)) = \mu(x)$

$\mu(1) = \lambda(1^f) \leq \mu(x) \Rightarrow \mu(1) \leq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$

Again, $\mu(y*z) = \lambda(f(y*z)) \leq \max\{\lambda(f(x)), \lambda(f(x*(y*z)))\}$

$$= \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x*(y*z))\}$$

Therefore, $\mu(y*z) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x*(y*z))\}$ Which is true for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Hence μ is a DF bi-ideal of X .

Theorem 13: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an epimorphism where X and Y are two BS-algebras if λ be a fuzzy subset of Y such that $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is DF bi-ideal of X , then λ is also a DF bi-ideal of Y .

Proof

Let X and Y are two BS-algebras if λ be a fuzzy subset of Y .

Let $u, v, w \in X$ therefore there exists $x, y, z \in X$ such that $f(x) = u, f(y) = v, f(z) = w$

Let μ be the pre image of λ under f then $\lambda(f(x)) = \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in X$

Since μ is DF bi-ideal of $X, \mu(1) \leq \mu(x) \Rightarrow \lambda(f(1)) \leq \lambda(f(x))$
 $\Rightarrow \lambda(1) \leq \lambda(u) \quad \forall u \in X$

Again, $\mu(y * z) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(x * (y * z))\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \lambda(f(y * z)) &\leq \max\{\lambda(f(x)), \lambda(f(x * (y * z)))\} \\ \Rightarrow \lambda(f((y * f(z))) &\leq \max\{\lambda(f(x)), \lambda(f(x * (y * z)))\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda(f(x)), \lambda(f(x) * f(y * z))\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda(f(x)), \lambda(f(x) * (f(y) * f(z)))\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda(u), \lambda(u * (v * w))\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda(v * w) \leq \max\{\lambda(u), \lambda(u * (v * w))\}$

Hence λ is a DF bi-ideal of Y .

REFERENCES

- [1] Y.Imai and K.Iseki, "On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi", 15 Proc.Japan Academy, Vol. 42,19-22,1966.
- [2] J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, "On B-algebras", Math. Vensik, Vol.54, 21-29,2002.
- [3] L.A. Zadeh, "Toward a generalized theory of uncertainty(GTU)-an outline, Inform".Sci.,Vol. 172, 1-40,2005.
- [4] L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets Information and control", 8(1965), 338-353.

