# Some Conclusions of Contractions on Complete Metric Space

V. Kiran

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007 (Telangana), India.

*Abstract*: Fixed point theorems relates to maps f of a set X into itself which, under certain conditions, admit a fixed point, that is, a point  $x \in X$  such that f(x) = x. Much research has been carried out to find conditions on f and X, sufficient to ensure the existence of a fixed point of f in X and in uniqueness and in procedures for the calculation of fixed points. The knowledge of the existence of fixed points has relevant applications in many branches of analysis and topology.

In this paper, a theorem of the approach of contractions on complete metric space is presented, which extends fixed point theorems given by Meir and Keeler [5], Edelstein [2], Jungck [3], Park [6] and Chung [1] and few conclusions of the result are reviewed.

## IndexTerms - Fixed point, contractions, complete metric space.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space. A mapping g:  $X \to X$  is said to be a self map of X and it is called a contraction if there is a positive real number  $\alpha \le 1$  such that d (gx, gy)  $\le \alpha d(x, y)$  for all x, y  $\in X$ .

The well known Banach contraction principle states that "if (X,d) is a complete metric space and g is a contraction on X, then g has a unique fixed point  $\xi$ ". This principle has been generalized in many ways and by several authors.

Park and Bae [6] have generalized the notion of  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  – contraction using a continuous self map f of a metric space (X, d) as follows:

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space and f is a continuous self mapping of X, then a self map g of X is called as  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ 

(1.1)  $\epsilon \le d(fx, fy) < \epsilon + \delta$  implies  $d(gx, gy) < \epsilon$ and gx = gy whenever fx = fy

Observe that  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ - contraction of a metric space X is  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -I contraction where I is the identity map of X.

Let  $C_f$  denote the class of self maps g of X such that fg = gf and  $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$ , where f is a self map of a metric space (X, d). i.e.,  $C_f = \{g : X \to X \mid fg = gf$  and  $g(X) \subseteq f(X) \}$ 

Suppose  $g \in C_f$ . For any  $x_0 \in X$ , we have  $g(x_0) \in g(X) \subseteq f(X)$  so that there is  $x_1 \in X$  with  $f(x_1) = g(x_2)$ . In this way to each  $x_0 \in X$  we can find a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $f_{n+1} = gx_n$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Then

(1.2) the sequence  $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is called the f iteration of  $x_0$  under g.

In this paper, a common fixed point theorem of park and Bae [6] is discussed for  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction g of X which commutes with f on the complete metric space X and subsequently various conclusions of this result are surveyed.

## **II.** PRELIMINARIES

**2.1 Lemma:** Let f be a continuous self map of a metric space X and g be an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction. If  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an f-iteration of  $x_0$  under g, then  $\{ d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) | n \ge 0 \}$  is monotone decreasing sequence and converges to 0.

Proof: Suppose  $x_0 \, \varepsilon \, X$  and {  $fx_n$  } is an f-iterate of  $x_0$  under g.

Here g is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  - f contraction. Therefore d  $(f x_{n+1}, f x_{n+2}) = d (gx_n, gx_{n+1}) < d (f x_n, f x_{n+1})$ , proving that  $\{d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a decreasing sequence.

(2.2) Let 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(f x_n, f x_{n+1}) = r$$

If r > 0, then by (1.1), there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $r \le d(fx, fy) < r + \delta$  which implies d(gx, gy) < r for all  $x, y \in X$ . Now from (2.2), we get a natural number N such that for every  $m \ge N$ . we have

$$(2.3) \quad r \leq d (f x_n, f x_{n+1}) < r + \delta,$$

then for every  $m \ge N$  we have  $d(f x_{m+1}, f x_{m+2}) = d(g x_m, g x_{m+1}) < r$ , which contradicts (2.3), proving r = 0.

**2.4 Definition:** Let (X, d) be a metric space and f, g be two self mappings of X then a point  $\xi \in X$  is called a coincidence point of f and g if  $f \xi = g \xi$ .

**2.5 Lemma:** Let (X, d) be a metric space, f is a continuous self map of X and g be an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction. If f and g are two commuting maps having a coincident point at  $\xi \in X$ , then  $f \xi (= g \xi)$  is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

Proof: Given that  $\xi \in X$  is the coincidence point of f and g so that  $f \xi = g \xi$ . Suppose  $f \xi = \eta$ . We now prove  $f \eta = \eta$  and that  $\eta$  is unique.

If possible  $f\eta \neq \eta$ , using g is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction, we have  $d(\eta, f\eta) = d(g\xi, fg\xi) < d(f\xi, ff\xi) = d(\eta, f\eta)$ , which is absurd. Therefore  $f\eta = \eta$ .

Similarly, we can show  $g \eta = \eta$ , proving  $\eta$  is common fixed point of f, g.

Now Let  $\eta^1$  be a common fixed point of f and g such that  $\eta \neq \eta^1$ . Since g is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction,

 $d(\eta, \eta^1) = d(g\eta, g\eta^1) < d(f\eta, f\eta^1) = d(\eta, \eta^1)$ , absurd again. Proving  $\eta$  is unique.

**2.6 Theorem:** ([6], theorem 2.3): Let f be a self map of a metric space (X, d) and g be an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction commuting with f and  $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$ . If a point  $x_0 \in X$  has an f-iteration  $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  under g with a cluster point  $\xi \in X$  at which f is continuous, then  $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $\xi$ , and f  $\xi$  is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

Proof: In view of lemma 2.5 it is sufficient to show that we can find a coincidence point point  $\xi \in X$ .

(i.e.,  $\xi \in X$  such that  $f \xi = g \xi$ ).

If  $d(f x_n, f x_{n+1}) = 0$  for some n,

then f  $x_{n+1} = f x_n$ , so that g  $x_n = f x_n$  giving that  $x_n$  is a coincidence point of f and g, proving the theorem with  $\xi = x_n$ . Now suppose d (f  $x_n$ , f  $x_{n+1}$ )  $\neq 0$  for any n.

We now claim that  $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence. If possible assume that  $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{fx_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{fx_n\}$  such that

(2.7)  $d\left\{fx_{n_{i}}, fx_{n_{i+1}}\right\} > 2\varepsilon.$ 

Now by (1.1), there exists a  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ , such that  $\epsilon \le d(fx, fy) < \epsilon + \delta$  implies  $d(gx, gy) < \epsilon$ . But by lemma 2.1, there exists a positive integer N such that for every  $m \ge N$ .

(2.8) 
$$d\{fx_m, fx_{m+1}\} < \frac{\delta}{6}$$

Thus by (2.7) and (2.8) we have for every  $n_i \ge N$  we can find m such that  $n_i < m < n_{i+1}$  and

$$(2.9) \quad \varepsilon + \frac{\delta}{3} \le d \left( f x_{n_i}, f x_m \right) < \varepsilon + \delta$$

Then  $d(fx_{n_i}, fx_m) \le d(fx_{n_i}, fx_{n_{i+1}}) + d(fx_{n_{i+1}}, fx_{m+1}) + d(fx_{m+1}, fx_m)$  $< \frac{\delta}{6} + d(gx_{n_i}, gx_m) + \frac{\delta}{6} < \varepsilon + \frac{\delta}{3}$ 

Which contradicts (2.9). Therefore our assumption is wrong. Hence  $\{fx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Now since  $\{fx_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  clusters at  $\xi \in X$ , it converges to  $\xi$ . And as f is continuous at  $\xi$ , the sequence  $\{fx_n\} = \{fx_{n-1}\} = \{gfx_{n-1}\}$  converges to f  $\xi$ .

Now if  $ffx_m = ffx_{m+1} = ffx_{m+2} = ...$  for some m, then {  $ffx_n$  } converges to  $ffx_n$  and in that case, we have

 $ffx_m = ffx_{m+1} = fgx_m = gfx_m$  showing that  $fx_m = \xi$  is the coincidence point of f and g and  $ffx_m = f\xi$  is the coincidence point of f and g and  $ffx_m = f\xi$  is a common fixed point for f and g by lemma 2.5.

In the case, where there is no integer m satisfying that  $ffx_m = ffx_{m+1} = ...$  then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number N such that

(2.10) d ( ffx<sub>m</sub> = f $\xi$  ) <  $\epsilon/2$  for m  $\ge$  N.

Now we find an  $n \ge N$  such that

(2.11)  $ffx_n \neq f\xi$ .

Then by using (2.10) and the fact that g is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction, we get

 $d (f\xi, g\xi) \le d (f\xi, fgx_n) + d (fgx_n, g\xi)$ = d (f\xi, ffx\_{n+1}) + d (gfx\_n, g\xi) < \varepsilon/2 + d (ffx\_n, f\xi)

$$< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 - \varepsilon$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $f\xi = g\xi$ .

## **III. MAIN CONCLUSIONS**

**3.1 Theorem:** let f be a continuous self map of a complete metric space X and g be an  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction in  $C_f$ . Then f and g have a unique common fixed point  $\xi$  in X. In fact for any  $x_0 \in X$  every f-iteration of  $x_0$  under g converges to some  $\xi \in X$  and  $f \xi = g \xi$ .

Proof: Since  $g \in C_f$ , we have by (1.2), that an f-iteration {  $fx_n$  } of  $x_0$  under g exists for each  $x_0 \in X$ . Then by lemma 2.1, {  $fx_n$  } is a Cauchy sequence and since X is complete, {  $fx_n$  } converges to some  $\xi \in X$  and  $f \xi = g \xi$ .

**3.2 Corollary:** Let f be a continuous self map of a complete metric space X and g be in C<sub>f</sub>. If  $g^N$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction for some positive integer N, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since  $g \in C_f$ , clearly it follows that  $g^N f = fg^N$  and  $g^N(X) \subset g(X) \subset f(X)$ . Therefore  $g^N \in C_f$ . Hence by Theorem 2.6 we have that  $g^N$  and f have a unique common fixed point. Say  $\eta$ . Thus  $fg \eta = gf \eta = g \eta$  and  $g^Ng \eta = gg^N \eta = g \eta$  showing  $g \eta$  is also a common fixed point of f and  $g^N$  which implies  $g \eta = \eta$  because of uniqueness.

Now suppose  $\eta$  and  $\eta^1$  are common fixed points of f and g. Then  $g^N \eta = \eta = f \eta$  and  $g^N \eta^1 = \eta^1 = f \eta^1$ . Since f and  $g^N$  have a common fixed point, we have  $\eta = \eta^1$ .

**3.3 Corollary:** If f is a bijective continuous self map of a complete metric space X and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all x, y  $\varepsilon$  X,  $\varepsilon \le d(fx, fy) < \varepsilon + \delta$  implies  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ . Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: If we replace g by  $I_x$  in Theorem 3.1, the corollary follows.

**3.4 Corollary:** Let f be a continuous self map of a complete metric space X and  $\{g_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of self maps in C<sub>f</sub>. If each  $g_{\lambda}$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -f contraction, then there exists a unique point  $\eta \in X$  such that  $f \eta = g_{\lambda} \eta = \eta$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Proof: For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $g_{\lambda}$  and f have a unique common fixed point say  $\eta$ . Then for any  $\mu \in \Lambda$  we have

 $g_{\lambda}(g_{\mu}\eta) = g_{\mu}(g_{\lambda}\eta) = g_{\mu}\eta = g_{\mu}(f\eta) = f(g_{\mu}\eta)$ , showing that  $g_{\mu}\eta$  is a common fixed point of  $g_{\lambda}$  and f. Therefore it follows that  $g_{\mu}\eta = \eta$ , by uniqueness.

**3.5 Corollary:** Let f be a self map of a complete metric space X such that  $f^k$  is continuous for some positive k. Let g:  $f^{k-1}X \rightarrow X$  be a map such that  $gf^{k-1}X \sqsubseteq f^kX$  and gf = fg, whenever both sides are defined. If  $gf^{k-1}$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ - $f^k$  contraction then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: By Theorem 3.1  $gf^{k-1}$ ,  $f^k$  have a unique common fixed point  $\eta$ . Then since  $gf^{k-1}(f \eta) = g(f^k \eta) = g \eta$  and  $gf^{k-1}(f \eta) = gf(f^{k-1} \eta) = fg(f^{k-1} \eta) = f \eta$ We get  $g \eta = f \eta$ . Also we have  $f^k(f \eta) = f(f^k \eta) = f \eta$ . Thus  $f \eta$  is also a common fixed point of  $gf^{k-1}$  and  $f^k$ . Therefore we have  $\eta = f \eta = g \eta$ , showing  $\eta$  is a common fixed point of f and g. The uniqueness is obvious.

## REFERENCES

[1] Chung K.J., On fixed point theorems of Meir and Keeler, Math.Japonica. 23 (1978), 381 - 383.

- [2] Edelstein M., On fixed and periodic points under contractive mapping., J. London. Math.Soc. 37 (1962), 74 79.
- [3] Jungck G., Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (1986), 771 -779.
- [4] Kada O., Suzuki T. and Takahashi W., Math. Japonica. 44 (1996), 381 391.
- [5] Meir A. and Keeler E., A theorem on contraction mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 28 (1969), 326 329.

[6] Park S. and Bae J.S., Extensions of fixed point theorems of Meir and Keeler, Ark. Math. 19 (1981). 223 – 228.

[7] Royden H.L., Real Analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition.

