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#### Abstract

Abstract: Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected simple undirected graph. A subset $\mathrm{S} \subseteq V$ is a dominating set if for every vertex $v \in V / S$, there exists a vertex $w \in S$ such that $v w \in E$; that is every vertex outside $S$ has atleast one neighbor in $S$. The minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G is the domination number of G , it is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. A subset $\mathrm{S} \subseteq V$ is a perfect dominating set if for every vertex $v \in V / S$, there exists exactly one vertex $w \in S$ such that $v w \in E$; that is every vertex outside S has exactly one neighbor in S . The minimum cardinality of a perfect dominating set of G is the perfect domination number of G , it is denoted by $\gamma_{p}(G)$. We introduce new concept that a perfect domination polynomial of a graph G. The perfect domination polynomial of a graph G of order n is the polynomial


$$
P D(G, x)=\sum_{i=\gamma_{p}(G)}^{n} p d(G, i) x^{i}
$$

Where $p d(G, i)$ is the number of perfect dominating sets of G of size i and $\gamma_{p}(G)$ is the perfect domination number of G . we obtain some properties of $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{x})$ for component graph and then derive some concepts based on it.

## IndexTerms - Domination set, Domination polynomial, Lollipop graph, Perfect domination, Perfect domination

 polynomial.
## I. Introduction

In literature, the concept of domination in graphs introduced by Claude Berge in 1958 and Oystein Ore in 1962 [6] is currently receiving much attention. Following the article of Ernie Cockayne and Stephen Hedetniemi [2], the domination in graphs became an area of study by many researchers. One type of domination in graphs is the perfect domination. This was introduced by Cockayne et al. [1] in the paper Perfect domination in graphs.

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected simple graph and $v \in V$. The open neighbourhood of $v$ is the set $N(v)=\{u \in V ; u v \in$ $E\}$. The closed neighbourhood of $v$ is the set $N[v]=N(v) \cup\{v\}$. A subset $\mathrm{S} \subseteq V$ is a dominating set if for every vertex $v \in V /$ $S$, there exists a vertex $w \in S$ such that $v w \in E$; that is every vertex outside S has atleast one neighbor in S . The minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G is the domination number of G , it is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. A subset $\mathrm{S} \subseteq V$ is a perfect dominating set if for every vertex $v \in V / S$, there exists exactly one vertex $w \in S$ such that $v w \in E$; that is every vertex outside $S$ has exactly one neighbor in S . The minimum cardinality of a perfect dominating set of G is the perfect domination number of G , it is denoted by $\gamma_{p}(G)$. Let $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{i})$ be the family of dominating sets of a graph G with cardinality i and let $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{i})=|\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{i})|$. Then the domination polynomial $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{x})$ of G is defined as $D(G, x)=\sum_{i=\gamma(G)}^{n} d(G, i) x^{i}$

In the second section, we introduce the domination polynomial and in the third section we derive perfect domination polynomial for the Lollipop graph.

## I. PERFECT DOMINATION POLYNOMIAL

The perfect domination polynomial of a graph G of order n is the polynomial

$$
P D(G, x)=\sum_{i=\gamma_{p}(G)}^{n} p d(G, i) x^{i}
$$

Where $p d(G, i)$ is the number of perfect dominating sets of G of size i and $\gamma_{p}(G)$ is the perfect domination number of G .

## Theorem 1.1:

If $G$ is a Graph without isolated vertices, consisting of two components $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, then $\mathrm{PD}(\mathrm{G}, x)=\operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}, x\right) . \operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}, x\right)$.

## Proof:

Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the components of a Graph $G$ without isolated vertices. Let the Vertex-edge domination number of $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ be $\gamma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$. For any $\mathrm{k} \geq \gamma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{G})$, the vertex-edge dominating set of k vertices in G arises by choosing a vertex-edge dominating set of $j$ vertices of $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and a Vertex-edge dominating set of k - j vertices in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$.

The number of vertex-edge dominating sets in $\mathrm{G}_{1} \cup \mathrm{G}_{2}$ is equal to the co-efficient of $x^{k}$ in $\operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}, x\right)$. $\operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}, x\right)$. But the number of vertex-edge dominating sets of G of cardinality k is the co-efficient of $x^{\mathrm{k}}$ in $\mathrm{PD}(\mathrm{G}, x)$.

Hence the co-efficient of $x^{\mathrm{k}}$ in $\operatorname{PD}(\mathrm{G}, x)$ and $\operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}, x\right) . \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}, x\right)$ are equal.

$$
\therefore \mathrm{PD}(\mathrm{G}, x)=\mathrm{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}, x\right) \cdot \mathrm{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}, x\right) .
$$

## Theorem 1.2

If $G$ is a Graph without isolated vertices, consisting of $m$ components $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, then

$$
\operatorname{PD}(\mathrm{G}, x)=\operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}, x\right) \cdot \operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}, x\right) \ldots \ldots \cdot \operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}, x\right)
$$

## Proof:

The proof of the theorem follows from theorem 1.1.

## Theorem 1.3:

If $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ are Graphs without isolated vertices, let $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$, then $\operatorname{PD}(\mathrm{G}, x)=\operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}, x\right) . \operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}, x\right)$.

## Proof:

The proof of the theorem follows from theorem 1.1.

## Example:

Consider the graph given in the figure 1 :


$$
G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}
$$

Figure 1

## Consider $\boldsymbol{G}_{1}$ :

Here Perfect domination number of $G_{1}$ is 2. (i.e., $\gamma_{p}\left(G_{1}\right)=2$ ). So $p d\left(G_{1}, 1\right)=0$.
Perfect domination set of size 2 are $\{1,4\},\{2,3\}$. So $p d\left(G_{1}, 2\right)=2$.
There is no Perfect domination set of size 3 , so $p d\left(G_{1}, 3\right)=0$.
Perfect domination set of size 4 are $\{1,2,3,4\}$. So $p d\left(G_{1}, 4\right)=1$.
Therefore the perfect domination polynomial is defined by

$$
P D\left(G_{1}, x\right)=0 x^{1}+2 x^{2}+0 x^{3}+1 x^{4}=2 x^{2}+1 x^{4}=x^{2}\left(2+x^{2}\right)
$$

## Consider $\boldsymbol{G}_{2}$ :

Here Perfect domination number of $G_{2}$ is 1. (i.e., $\gamma_{p}\left(G_{2}\right)=1$ ). .
Perfect domination set of size 1 are $\{5\},\{6\},\{7\}$. So $p d\left(G_{2}, 1\right)=3$.
There is no Perfect domination set of size 2 , so $p d\left(G_{2}, 2\right)=0$.

Perfect domination set of size 3 are $\{5,6,7\}$. So $p d\left(G_{2}, 3\right)=1$.
Therefore the perfect domination polynomial is defined by

$$
P D\left(G_{2}, x\right)=3 x^{1}+0 x^{2}+1 x^{3}=3 x^{1}+1 x^{3}=x^{1}\left(3+x^{2}\right)
$$

## Consider $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ :

Here Perfect domination number of $G$ is 3. (i.e., $\gamma_{p}(G)=3$ ). So $p d(G, 1)=p d(G, 2)=p d(G, 2)=0$.
Perfect domination set of size 3 are $\{2,4,5\},\{2,4,6\},\{2,4,7\},\{1,3,5\}\{1,3,6\},\{1,3,7\}$. So $p d(G, 3)=6$.
There is no Perfect domination set of size 4 , so $p d(G, 4)=0$.
Perfect domination set of size 5 are $\{1,3,5,6,7\},\{2,4,5,6,7\}\{1,2,3,4,5\}\{1,2,3,4,6\},\{1,2,3,4,7\}$.
So $p d(G, 5)=5$
There is no Perfect domination set of size 6 , so $p d(G, 6)=0$
Perfect domination set of size 7 are $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$, So $p d(G, 7)=1$
Therefore the perfect domination polynomial is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P D\left(G_{2}, x\right)=0 x^{1} & +0 x^{2}+6 x^{3}+0 x^{4}+5 x^{5}+0 x^{6}+x^{7} \\
& =6 x^{3}+5 x^{5}+x^{7} \\
& =x^{3}\left(6+5 x^{2}+x^{4}\right) \\
& =x^{3}\left(3+x^{2}\right)\left(2+x^{2}\right) \\
& =P D\left(G_{1}, x\right) P D\left(G_{2}, x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By proceeding in this manner we get the general term for $m$ components,

$$
\operatorname{PD}(\mathrm{G}, x)=\operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}, x\right) \cdot \operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}, x\right) \ldots \ldots \cdot \operatorname{PD}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}, x\right)
$$

## Theorem 1.4:

Let G be a graph with $|V(G)|=n$. If G is connected, then in the perfect domination polynomial
i. the coefficient of $x^{n}$ is 1 and
ii. the coefficient of $x^{n-1}$ is $n$.

## Proof:

(i) Since G has n vertices, there is only one way to choose all these vertices and it dominates all the vertices and edges. Therefore, $p d(G, n)=1$.
(ii) If we delete one vertex v , the remaining $\mathrm{n}-1$ vertices dominate all the vertices and edges of G. (This is done in n ways). Therefore, $p d(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{n}-1)=\mathrm{n}$.

## Theorem 1.5:

Let $G$ be a graph with $|V(G)|=n$. If $G$ is connected, then in the perfect domination polynomial $p d(G, i)=0$ if $i<$ $\gamma_{p d}(G)$.

## Proof:

(i) $\quad$ Since $D_{v e}(G, i)=\phi$ if $i<\gamma_{v e}(G)$

Therefore, we have $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ve}}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{i}) .=0$ if $\mathrm{i}<\gamma_{\mathrm{ve}}(\mathrm{G})$
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