Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation of some Thioacids by Quinolinium Dichromate

 ¹Archana Sharma, ²Jigyasa Sharma, ³Ruchi Kalla, ⁴Usha Songara and ⁵Pradeep K. Sharma
 ¹Research Scholar, ²Research Scholar, ³Research Scholar ⁴UGC-RGNF-SRF, ⁵Sr. Professor & Research supervisor Chemical Kinetics Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, J.N.V. University, Jodhpur

ABSTRACT: Oxidation of thioglycollic, thiolactic and thiomalic acids by quinolinium dichromate (QDC) in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) leads to the formation of disulphide dimmers. The reaction is first order in QDC. Michaelies-Menten type of kinetics is observed with respect to the thioacids. Reaction is failed to induce the polymerisation of acrylonitrile. The reaction is catalysed by hydrogen ions. The hydrogen ion dependence has taking the form $k_{obs} = a + b$ [H⁺]. The oxidation of thiolactic acid has been studied in nineteen different organic solvents. The solvent effect has been analysed by using Kamlet's and Swain's multiparametric equations. A mechanism involving the formation of a thioester and its decomposition in slow step has been proposed.

Key words: Halochromate, kinetics, mechanism, oxidation, thioacid

1. INTRODUCTION:

Cr(VI) and its various salts / complexes have long been used as oxidizing reagents in synthetic organic chemistry. However, these salts are drastic and non-selective oxidants in nature. Further, they are insoluble in most of the organic solvents also. Thus miscibility is a problem. To overcome these limitations, a large number of organic derivatives of Cr(VI) have been prepared and used in synthetic organic syntheses as mild and selective oxidants in non-aqueous solvents[1]. One such compound is quinolinium dichromate (QDC)[2]. We have been interested to work on chromium complexes and some reports have already been emanated from our laboratory[3-6]. It is known that the mode of oxidation depends on the nature of the counter-ion attached to the chromium anion. Therefore, in continuation of our earlier work by halochromates and dichromates, we report in the present article, the kinetics of the oxidation thioglycollic (TGA), thiolactic (TLA) and thiomalic (TMA) acids by quinolinium dichromate in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) as solvent. Mechanistic aspects have also been discussed.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

2.1 Materials

The thioacids (Fluka) and dithiodiglycollic acid (Evan Chemicals, USA) were commercial products and were used as such. Dithiodimalic and dithiodilactic acids were prepared by the oxidation of the corresponding thiols by ferric alum[7]. The method results in products with nearly 99% purity. The solutions of the thioacids were freshly prepared in DMSO and were standardized by titrating them against a standard solution of iodine[7,8]. QDC was prepared by the reported method[2] and its purity was checked by an iodometric method. The solvents were purified by usual methods[9] p-Toluene sulphonic acid (TsOH) was used as a source of hydrogen ions.

2.2 Stoichiometry

Stoichiometric determinations, as well as the characterization of the products, carried out polarigraphically[10,11]using an automatic (Heyrovsky TP 55A). It was found that the cathode wave given by a known sample of disulphide dimmer coincided by the wave given by the final product of the oxidation. The reaction exhibited a 1:2 stoichiometry, i.e. 2 moles of the thiol are oxidized per mole of QDC reduced. Further, the reaction mixtures with an excess of QDC were allowed to go to completion and the residual QDC was determined iodometrically. These results also gave a 1:2 stoichiometry. The oxidation state of chromium in completely reduced reaction mixtures, determined by an iodometric method, is 3.95 ± 0.10 .

$$2 R - S - H + Cr_2 O_7^{-2} + 12H^+ \longrightarrow R - S - S - R + 7H_2 O + 2Cr^{+3}$$
(1)

2.3 Kinetic measurements

The reactions were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions by keeping a large excess (\times 15 or greater) of the thioacids over QDC. The solvent was DMSO, unless specified otherwise. The reactions were carried out in flasks blackened from the outside to prevent any photochemical reactions and were followed up to ca. 80% conversion by monitoring the decrease in the [QDC] at 352 nm on a spectrophotometer (AIMIL, India, Model MK-II). The QDC showed a λ_{max} of 352 nm. Further, no other

species had any noticeable absorbance at this wavelength. The pseudo-first-order rate constants, k_{obs} , were evaluated from the linear least-squares plots of log [QDC] against time. Duplicate kinetic runs showed that the rate constants are reproducible to within ±3%. The second order rate constants were evaluated from the relation $k_2 = k_{obs}/[reductant]$. All reactions, other than those to study the effect of [H⁺], were performed in the absence of TsOH.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

3.1 Rate-Laws

The reactions are of first order with respect to QDC. Further, the pseudo-first order rate constant, k_{obs} is independent of the initial concentration of QDC. The reaction rate increases with increase in the concentration of the thioacid but not linearly (Table 1). A plot of $1/k_{obs}$ against 1/[Thioacid] is linear (r > 0.995) with an intercept on the rate-ordinate (Figure 1). Thus, Michaelis-Menten type kinetics are observed with respect to the thioacid. This leads to the postulation of following overall mechanism (2) and (3) and rate law (4).

10 ³ [QDC]	[Thioacid]		$10^3 k_{\rm obs}$ (s ⁻¹)	
(mol dm ⁻³)	(mol dm ⁻³)	TGA	TLA	ТМА
1.0	0.10	3.01	14.3	6.85
1.0	0.20	4.55	21.2	10.3
1.0	0.40	6.12	28.0	13.8
1.0	0.60	6.92	31.4	15.5
1.0	0.80	7.40	33.4	16.5
1.0	1.00	7.73	34.8	17.2
1.0	1.50	<u>8.</u> 20	36.7	18.2
1.0	3.00	<mark>8.</mark> 74	38.9	19.4
2.0	0.40	<u>6.</u> 21	29.7	14.4
4.0	0.40	6.30	27.9	13.5
6.0	0.40	5.94	27.0	13.8
8.0	0.40	6.22	28.8	12.6
1.0	0.20	4.95*	22.5*	10.8*
^a contained 0.001 M	acrylonitrile			1

Table 1. Rate constants for the oxidation of thioacids by QDC at 298 K



Figure 1. Oxidation of thiolactic acid by QDC: A typical kinetic run

Thioacid + QDC $\stackrel{K}{\leftrightarrows}$ [complex]	(2)
$[Complex] \xrightarrow{k_2} Products$	(3)
Rate = $k_2 K [TA] [ODC] / (1 + K [TA])$	(4)

The dependence of reaction rate on the reductant concentration was studied at different temperatures and the values of K and k_2 were evaluated from the double reciprocal plots. The thermodynamic parameters of the complex formation and activation parameters of the decomposition of the complexes were calculated from the values of K and k_2 respectively at different temperatures (Tables 2 and 3). Figure 2 depict a typical kinetic run.

Table 3. Formation constants for the decomposition of QDC-thioacids complexes and their thermodynamic parameters

]	K/(dm ³ mo	l ⁻¹)			$-\Delta H^*$	$-\Delta S^*$	$-\Delta G^*$
Thioacid	288 K	298 K	308 K	318 K	(kJ mol ⁻¹)	(J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	(kJ mol ⁻¹)
TGA	5.58	4.73	-3.90	3.15	16.9±0.6	36±3	6.30±0.5
TLA	6.03	5.25	4.45	3.60	15.5±0.8	30±3	6.55±0.6
TMA	5.76	4.95	4.10	3.35	16.3±0.6	34±2	6.40±0.5

Table 4. Rate constants for the decomposition of QDC-Thioacids complexes and their activation parameters

	$10^3 k_2 / (dm)$	n ⁻³ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	5		ΔH^*	$-\Delta S^*$	ΔG^{*}
Thioacid	288 K	298 K	308 K	318 K	(kJ mol ⁻¹)	(J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	(kJ mol ⁻¹)
TGA	4.95	9.36	17.1	31.5	44.2±0.2	136± 1	84.6± 0.3
TLA	24.3	41.4	72.9	117	37.7±0.5	145± 1	80.9± 0.4
TMA	11.7	20.7	37.8	65.7	41.4±0.5	138± 2	82.6± 0.4





3.2 Induced polymerization of acrylonitrile / test for free radicals

The oxidation of thioacids by QDC, in an atmosphere of nitrogen, failed to induce the polymerization of acrylonitrile. Further, the addition of acrylonitrile had no effect on the rate (Table 1). Thus a one electron oxidation, giving rise to free radicals, is unlikely.

3.3 Effect of acidity

The reaction is catalysed by hydrogen ions (Table 4). The hydrogen- ion dependence has the following form $k_{obs} = a + b$ [H⁺]. The values of *a* and *b*, for TLA, are $14.2\pm0.83 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $25.4\pm1.38 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ respectively ($r^2 = 0.9884$).

$[QDC] = 0.001 \text{ mol dm}^{-3};$		[Thio	acids] = 1.0 mo	Temp. = 298 K		
[H ⁺]/mol dm ⁻³	0.10	0.20	0.40	0.60	0.80	1.00
TGA	3.51	4.32	5.04	5.85	7.56	8.19
TLA	16.2	19.8	25.2	27.7	35.1	39.6
TMA	8.01	9.27	11.7	13.5	17.1	18.9

Table 2. Effect of Hydrogen ion Concentration on the Oxidation of thioacids by QDC

3.4 Effect of solvents

The oxidation of thiolactic acid was studied in 19 different organic solvents. The choice of solvents was limited due to the solubility of QDC and its reaction with primary and secondary alcohols. There was no reaction with the solvents chosen. The dependence of rate on [Thioacid] and [QDC] were studied in all the solvents. The kinetics were similar in all the solvents. The values formation constants K and decomposition constants k_2 for TLA-QDC complexes at 298 K are recorded in Table 5. The formation constant of the intermediate complex, K, did not vary much with the solvent but the rate constant, k_2 , exhibited much variation in values with different solvents.

|--|

Solvents	K (dm ⁻³ mol ⁻¹)	$\frac{10^3 k_{obs}}{(s^{-1})}$	Solvents	K (dm ⁻³ mol ⁻¹)	$10^3 k_{obs}$ (s ⁻¹)
Chloroform	5.55	16.2	Toluene	5.58	5.25
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.63	18.6	Acetophenone	5.44	19.1
Dichloromethane	5.49	14 <mark>.4</mark>	THF	6.10	9.77
DMSO	5.25	41. <mark>4</mark>	t-Butylalcohol	5.04	7.58
Acetone	5.58	17.4	1,4-Dioxane	4.77	8.51
DMF	6.03	22.4	1,2-Dimethoxyethane	4.44	5.89
Butanone	5.80	12.0	CS ₂	4.70	3.47
Nitrobenzene	4.95	20.9	Acetic Acid	5.59	3.46
Benzene	5.33	6.46	Ethyl Acetate	5.73	7.94
Cyclohexane	6.12	1.12			

3.5 Solvent effect

The rate constants of the oxidation, k_2 , in eighteen solvents (CS₂ was not considered, as the complete range of solvent parameters was not available) were correlated in terms of the linear solvation energy relationship (LESR) of Kamlet and Taft[12](5).

$$\log k_2 = A_0 + p\pi^* + b\beta + a\alpha \tag{5}$$

In this equation, π^* represents the solvent polarity, β the hydrogen bond acceptor basicities and α is the hydrogen bond donor acidity. A₀ is the intercept term. It may be mentioned here that out of the 18 solvents, 12 have a value of zero for α . The results of correlation analyses in terms of equation (5), a biparametric equation involving π^* and β , and separately with π^* and β are given below as equations (6) - (9).

$$\log k_2 = -2.87 + 1.28 (\pm 0.17) \pi^* + 0.17 (\pm 0.14) \beta + 0.14 (\pm 0.14) \alpha$$

$$R^2 = 0.8367; \quad sd = 0.16; \quad n = 18; \quad \psi = 0.44$$
(6)

$\log k_2 = -2.90 + 1.33 \ (\pm 0.17) \ \pi^* + 0.12 \ (\pm 0.14) \ \beta$	(7)
$R^2 = 0.8249; sd = 0.16; n = 18; \psi = 0.44$	
$\log k_2 = -2.88 + 1.36 \ (\pm 0.16) \ \pi^*$	(8)
$r^2 = 0.8160; sd = 0.16; n = 18; \psi = 0.44$	
$\log k_2 = -2.13 + 0.36 (\pm 0.29) \beta$	(9)
$r^2 = 0.0837; sd = 0.35; n = 18; \psi = 0.95$	

Here n is the number of data points and is the Exner's statistical parameter[13].

Kamlet's[12] triparametric equation explains *ca.* 84% of the effect of solvent on the oxidation. However, by Exner's criterion[13] the correlation is not even satisfactory (*cf.* equation 6). The major contribution is of solvent polarity. It alone accounted for *ca.* 82% of the data. Both β and α play relatively minor roles.

The data on the solvent effect were analysed in terms of Swain's[14] equation (10) of cation- and anion-solvating concept of the solvents also.

$$\log k_2 = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{C} \tag{10}$$

Here A represents the anion-solvating power of the solvent and B the cation-solvating power. C is the intercept term. (A + B) is postulated to represent the solvent polarity. The rates in different solvents were analysed in terms of equation (10), separately with A and B and with (A + B).

$\log k_2 = 0.46 + (\pm 0.04) \text{ A} + 1.40 (\pm 0.03) \text{ B} - 3.05$	(11)
$R^2 = 0.9918$; sd = 0.03; n = 19; $\psi = 0.09$	
$\log k_2 = 0.26 (\pm 0.46) \mathrm{A} - 3.91$	(12)
r^2 = 0.0188; sd = 0.37; n = 19; ψ = 0.91	
$\log k_2 = 1.36 (\pm 0.09) \text{ B} - 3.10$	(13)
$r^2 = 0.9347$; sd = 0.10; n = 19; $\psi = 0.26$	
$\log k_2 = 1.08 \pm 0.12 (A + B) - 3.98$	(14)
r^2 = 0.8229; sd = 0.16; n = 19; ψ = 0.43	

The rates of oxidation of TLA in different solvents showed an excellent correlation in Swain's equation (cf. equation 11) with the cation-solvating power playing the major role. In fact, the cation-solvation alone account for *ca*. 99% of the data. The correlation with the anion-solvating power was very poor. The solvent polarity, represented by (A + B), also accounted for *ca*. 82% of the data. In view of the fact that solvent polarity is able to account for *ca*. 82% of the data, an attempt was made to correlate the rate with the relative permittivity of the solvent. However, a plot of log k₂ against the inverse of the relative permittivity is not linear ($r^2 = 0.5298$; sd = 0.26; $\psi = 0.70$).

4.0 MECHANISMS

The lack of any effect of radical scavenger, such as acrylonitrile on the reaction rate and the failure to induce the polymerisation of a crylonitrile, point against the operation of a one-electron oxidation giving-rise to free radicals. The observed solvent effect supports a transition state, which is more polar than the reactant state. The observed Michaelis-Menten type of kinetics with respect to thioacids also leads us to suggest the formation of thioester as an intermediate. It is therefore, proposed that the reaction involves the formation of an ester intermediate and its subsequent decomposition in the slow step. (Scheme -1)

The formation of a sulphenium cation, in the rate-determining step, is also supported by the observed major role of cationsolvating power of the solvents.

It is of interest to compare here the reaction patterns of the oxidation of thiocaids by other halochromates (QFC [15], QBC [16] MCC [17]) and QDC. QFC and QDC represented a Michaelis-Menten type of kinetics with respect to thioacid, whereas the oxidation by QBC and MCC exhibited a second order kinetics, first with respect to each reactant. This may be due to a very low value of the formation constant of the thioester. The solvent effect and $[H^+]$ ion dependence are parallel in all the reactions.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

Thanks are due to University Grants Commission, New Delhi for financial support in the form of UGC – RGNF to Usha Songara.



REFERENCES:

- Corey, E.J., Suggs, W.J. 1975, Tetrahedron Lett., 2647; Guziec, F.S., Luzio, F.A. 1980, Synthesis, 691; Bhattacharjee, M..N., Choudhuri, M.K., Dasgupta, H.S., Roy, N., Khathing, D.T., 1982, Synthesis, 588; Pandurangan, A., Murugesan, V., Palamichamy, P. 1995, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 72, 479.
- [2] Balasubramanian K. and Prathiba, V. 1986, Indian J. Chem., 25B, 326.
- [3] Poonam, Rao, A. Sharma, G. Kotai, L. Sazo IE and Sharma P.K. 2015, Int. J. Chem., 4(1) 13.
- [4] Songara, U. Sharma, G. Ranga, V. Mathur L. and Sharma, P.K. 2016, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 93(10), 1205.
- [5] Sharma, G. Rathi, R. Sharma, A. Banerji J. and Sharma P.K. 2017, Eur.Chem. Bull., 6(4) 163.
- [6] Sharma, A. Sharma, J. Purohit, R. Sharma, G. Kotai L. and Sharma P.K. 2018, J. Applicable Chem., 7(6), 1779.
- [7] Leussing D.L.and Kolthoff I.M. 1953, J. Electrochem. Soc., 100, 334.
- [8] Krammer H. 1952, J. Assoc. of Agric. Chem., 35, 385.
- [9] Perrin D.D. Armarego W.L. and Perrin D.R. 1966, Purification of organic Compounds, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- [10] Kapoor R.C., Kachhwaha O.P., Sinha B.P., 1969, J. Phys. Chem., 73, 1627.
- [11] Kapoor R.C., Kachhwaha O.P., Sinha B.P., 1971, Indian J. Chem., 10, 499.
- [12] Kamlet, M.J., Abboud, J L.M., Abraham, M.H., Taft, R.W. 1983, J. Org. Chem., 48, 2877.
- [13] Exner, O. 1966, Collect. Chem. Czech. Commun., 31, 3222.
- [14] Swain, C.G., Swain, M.S., Powel, A.L., Alunni, S. 1983, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 502.
- [15] Khurana M., Sharma P.K., Banerji K.K. 1998, Indian J. Chem., 37A, 1011.
- [16] Vyas S., Sharma P.K., 2004, Int. J. Chem. Sci., 2(1), 13.
- [17] Malani N., Pohani S., Baghmar M., Sharma P.K., 2008, Indian J. Chem., 47A, 1373.