

A REVIEW OF ROUTING TECHNIQUES FOR UAV ASSISTED WSNs

Abhishek Sagar, Dr. Dinesh Kumar
Research Scholar
Guru Kashi University

Abstract: The technology of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) has gone through revolutionary improvements, which have led to highly advanced UAVs that come in numerous sizes, capabilities, and functions. These days the wireless sensor networks that consist of battery-limited nodes are using the UAVs for data gathering purposes. UAV helps significantly reduce the energy consumption used in data transmission, reduce radio frequency interference that can be caused with the hidden terminal and collision problems that are present in the multi hop data routing approach. UAV assisted WSN can have better network lifetime as the sensor nodes do not have to forward the data to the sink node. This also improves the QoS parameters of the network.

Keywords: UAV, WSN, network lifetime, energy consumption, QoS parameters

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, wireless sensor networks (WSNs) have received considerable attention, and many research efforts have been designed to address some critical issues in WSNs, such as routing [1, 2, 3], energy-efficient design [4, 5], MAC-layer protocols [6], coverage and connectivity issues [7, 8], etc. The development of modern sensing techniques and wireless communication technologies has greatly promoted wireless sensor development with both sensing and wireless communication abilities, which furthers the development of WSNs [9]. The objective of deploying a WSN is to keep the field-of-interest (FoI) under strict surveillance [10], and then

forward the obtained information to a designated gateway/data sink. Accordingly, area coverage problem and target detection problem are two essential problems needed to be solved, and many research approaches have been proposed to cope with these two problems. For example, the authors in [11] analyzed the target detection problem in a WSN based on the geometric probability theory. Moreover, since the WSNs are always deployed in remote areas or hazardous environments, they could be very dangerous for human safety (e.g., battlefield, volcano, etc.). Thus, the manual/deterministic deployment strategies of WSNs may not be adopted [9]. In this case, deploying the unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) with sensing equipment is a more pragmatic option, and is preferred for missions that are dangerous for humans. Meanwhile, compared with the traditional mobile sensor nodes, the UAV has a much faster moving speed, longer deployment range and relatively longer operating time. Consequently, the UAV can be considered as a perfect carrier for existing sensing equipment to form a UAV-based WSN (UWSN).

This paper defines the collaborative network formed by UAV and WSNs in Section II. Section III describes the previous research techniques that focus on achieving better network performance for

collaborative networks. Finally paper has been concluded in the last section.

II. UAV assisted WSNs

Collaborative networks help resolving tasks that are relatively complex to be attained using a single network model. One of the collaborative networks can be formed using the unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and the wireless sensor networks (WSNs). UAVs have tendency to fly autonomously and can collaborate with existing network to form a search, tracking, and data acquisition networks [12]. Further, these networks can be used to provide vast coverage and enhanced security in border areas [13]. These vehicles are capable of forming intermittent connections with the existing wireless networks to form fully reliable opportunistic networks that can sort issues like broadcast storm, network partitioning, and so forth [14]. With the tendency to organize and resolve the failure issues easily, these vehicles can be used to form self-sufficient networks that can withstand the sudden network changes and failures [15].

Motes in sensor network are static devices that operate over the battery and provide highly sensitive applications in data acquisitions [16, 17]. WSNs can be used in remote areas where direct data acquisition is not in the reach of humans. Excessive consumption of energy and optimal route selection in WSNs are still an open issue that requires an optimal solution to form an efficient WSN [18–20].

The collaboration between the UAVs and the WSNs can provide a vast range of applications such as sensor flocking, border surveillance, area

monitoring, remote data gathering, and obstacle avoidance [17, 20–22]. Collaborative network between the UAVs and the WSNs can provide an efficient solution to the routing loop problem in traditional sensor networks and can also enhance the lifetime of the sensors by optimal division of the load. Also, the positioning of UAVs in sensor networks is an optimization problem that needs to be resolved for efficient deployment of such collaborative network models.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

This paper [23] mainly proposes a new path planning algorithm based on spiral decomposition, which is named as Spiral Path Planning (SPP) algorithm. Besides, the paper improves the existing FPPWR algorithm. Simulation proves SPP is more suitable for a UAV to collect data from sensors nodes evenly distributed in a circle area. Compared with FPPWR, the path SPP plans is shorter and runtime is less when number of sensors is small.

In this work [24], the authors study the age-optimal trajectory planning problem in UAV-enabled wireless sensor networks, where a UAV is dispatched to collect data from the ground sensor nodes (SNs). The age of information (AoI) collected from each SN is characterized by the data uploading time and the time elapsed since the UAV leaves this SN. They attempt to design two age-optimal trajectories, referred to as the Max-AoI-optimal and Ave-AoI-optimal trajectories, respectively. The Max-AoI-optimal trajectory planning is to minimize the age of the ‘oldest’ sensed information among the SNs. The Ave-AoI-optimal trajectory planning is to minimize the

average AoI of all the SNs. Then, they show that each age-optimal flight trajectory corresponds to a shortest Hamiltonian path in the wireless sensor network where the distance between any two SNs represents the amount of inter-visit time. The dynamic programming (DP) method and genetic algorithm (GA) are adopted to find the two different age-optimal trajectories. Simulation results validate the effectiveness of the proposed methods, and show how the UAV's trajectory is affected by the two AoI metrics.

In this paper [25], the authors first acquire data sensing points from the entire sensor field, in which UAV communicates with sensors to obtain sensor data, then we determine the best flight path between neighboring acquisition points. Using the proposed joint Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) from possible UAV flight paths, an optimal one is selected in accordance with sensing, energy, time and risk utilities. The simulation results show that our method can obtain dynamic environmental additivity and high utility in various practical situations.

This work [26] discusses the problem of trajectory planning for WSN (Wireless Sensor Network) data retrieving deployed in remote areas with a cooperative system of UAVs (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles). Three different path planners are presented in order to autonomously guide the UAVs during the mission. The missions are given by a set of waypoints which define WSN collection zones and each UAV should pass through them to collect the data while avoiding passing over forbidden areas and collisions between UAVs. The

proposed UAV trajectory planners are based on Genetics Algorithm (GA), RRT (Rapidly-exploring Random Trees) and RRT* (Optimal Rapidly-exploring Random Trees). Simulations and experiments have been carried out in the airfield of Utrera (Seville, Spain). These results are compared in order to measure the performance of the proposed planners.

In this paper [27], the authors explore various UAV mobility patterns that follow different paths to sweep the operation area in order to seek the best area coverage with the maximum number of covered nodes in the least amount of time needed by the mobile sink. They also introduce a new metric to formulate the tradeoff between maximizing the covered nodes and minimizing the operation time when choosing the appropriate mobility pattern. A realistic simulation environment is used in order to compare and evaluate the performance of the system. They present the performance results for the explored UAV mobility patterns. The results are very useful to present the tradeoff between maximizing the covered nodes and minimizing the operation time to choose the appropriate mobility pattern.

This paper [28] proposes a novel distributed range-free movement mechanism for mobility-assisted localization in WSNs when the mobile anchor's movement is limited. The designed movement is formed in real-time pattern using a fuzzy-logic approach based on the information received from the network and the nodes' deployment. Our proposed model, Fuzzy-Logic based Path Planning for mobile anchor-assisted Localization in WSNs (FLPPL), offers superior results in several metrics

including both localization accuracy and localization ratio in comparison to other similar works.

The aim of the present paper [29] is to propose an agricultural environment monitoring server system utilizing a low-cost Wireless Sensor Network (WSN). Several sensor nodes are scattered in fields several kilometres in size, and the authors propose collection of the information stored in the nodes by a mobile node, or mule. To cover long distances in a short period of time, they use an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), which retrieves the data stored in the ground nodes. In addition, the UAV may be used to acquire additional information and to perform actions. Its elevated position allows observation of the field with a perspective that is useful for detecting changes affecting crops, such as pests, diseases, significant changes in soil moisture, drought or floods.

This paper [30] proposes a new quality-aware and energy-efficient UAV coverage and path planning scheme with the objective of sensing a geometrically complex target area with satisfactory spatial and temporal resolutions. An occlusion-aware waypoint generation algorithm is first designed to find the best set of waypoints for taking pictures in a target area to satisfy the spatial resolution requirement. The selected waypoints are then assigned to multiple UAVs by solving a vehicle routing problem (VRP) such that all the waypoints are visited within a global deadline to satisfy the temporal resolution requirement. The vehicle routing problem is formulated to minimize the maximum energy for the UAVs to travel through the waypoints within the deadline. A Min-

Max energy path planning algorithm is designed to solve this problem in two steps: first, a mixed integer linear programming problem (MILP) is solved to calculate the minimum energy for a UAV to go from one waypoint to other; then, a genetic algorithm is devised to plan the paths for all the UAVs. Evaluation results show that the proposed coverage and path planning scheme results in better coverage and energy consumption than traditional coverage and path planning techniques for UAVs.

In this paper [31], a method is proposed to optimize parameters which affect performance of the PSO algorithm by using Rauch-Tung-Striebel (RTS) smoother. Moreover the Metropolis Criterion is applied as acceptance policy, which can prevent the PSO algorithm from falling into local minimums in the proposed method. The RTS smoother is applied to eliminate the irregular error of the PSO updated position, and to smooth the produced path. Experimental results show the proposed method which is based on the fusion of the PSO, Metropolis Criterion and RTS performs better than the existing methods in terms of solution's quality and robustness in the path planning problem for UAVs.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper describes the collaborative networks formed using unmanned aerial vehicles and wireless sensor networks. These collaborative network have improved network lifetime as equated to normal sensor networks. In these networks, the QoS parameters such as end to end delay or throughput depends on how efficiently data has been gathered by UAV. Therefore, path planning for UAV in such networks is of prime

importance. One of such algorithm is spiral path planning algorithm that proposed UAV to visit the nodes in the spiral trajectory. In future, the spiral path can be optimized in a way which reduces the path length for UAV trajectory and improve network's performance.

References:

- [1] L. A. Villas, D. L. Guidoni, R. B. Araújo, A. Boukerche, A. A. Loureiro, "A scalable and dynamic data aggregation aware routing protocol for wireless sensor networks", Proceedings of the 13th ACM International Conference on Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems, MSWiM '10, 2010, pp. 110–117.
- [2] M. Salehi, A. Boukerche, A. Darehshoorzadeh, "Modeling and performance evaluation of security attacks on opportunistic routing protocols for multihop wireless networks", Ad Hoc Networks 50 (2016) 88 – 101.
- [3] A. Boukerche, "Algorithms and protocols for wireless, mobile Ad Hoc networks", John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [4] A. Boukerche, X. Cheng, J. Linus, "Energy-aware data-centric routing in micro sensor networks", Proceedings of the 6th ACM International Workshop on Modeling Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems, MSWiM '03, 2003, pp. 42–49.
- [5] A. Boukerche, P. Sun, "A novel hierarchical two-tier node deployment strategy for sustainable wireless sensor networks", IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Computing (2018) 1–1, Early Access.
- [6] P. Huang, L. Xiao, S. Soltani, M. W. Mutka, N. Xi, "The evolution of mac protocols in wireless sensor networks: A survey", IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 15 (1) (2013) 101–120.
- [7] S. Samarah, A. Boukerche, A. S. Habyalimana, "Target association rules: A new behavioral patterns for point of coverage wireless sensor networks", IEEE Transactions on Computers 60 (6) (2011) 879 – 889.
- [8] P. Sun, A. Boukerche, "Integrated connectivity and coverage techniques for wireless sensor networks", Proceedings of the 14th ACM International Symposium on Mobility Management and Wireless Access, MobiWac '16, 2016, pp. 75–82.
- [9] A. Ghosha, S. K. Das, "Coverage and connectivity issues in wireless sensor networks: A survey", Pervasive and Mobile Computing 4 (3) (2008) 303 – 334.
- [10] H. D. Oliveira, A. Boukerche, E. Nakamura, A. Loureiro, "An efficient directed localization recursion protocol for wireless sensor networks", IEEE Transactions on Computers 58 (5) (2009) 677 – 691.
- [11] L. Lazos, R. Poovendran, J. A. Ritcey, "Probabilistic detection of mobile targets in heterogeneous sensor networks", Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks, IPSN '07, 2007, pp. 519–528.
- [12] V. Sharma and R. Kumar, "A cooperative network framework for multi-UAV guided ground

ad hoc networks,” *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, Volume 77, no. 3-4, pp. 629–652, 2015.

[13] S. Berrahal, J.-H. Kim, S. Rekhis, N. Boudriga, D. Wilkins, and J. Acevedo, “Unmanned aircraft vehicle assisted WSN-based border surveillance,” *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Software, Telecommunications and Computer Networks (SoftCOM '15)*, pp. 132–137, IEEE, Split, Croatia, September 2015.

[14] V. Sharma and R. Kumar, “An opportunistic cross layer design for efficient service dissemination over flying ad hoc networks (FANETs),” *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Electronics and Communication Systems (ICECS '15)*, pp. 1551–1557, Coimbatore, India, February 2015.

[15] V. Sharma, R. Kumar, and P. S. Rana, “Self-healing neural model for stabilization against failures over networked UAVs,” *IEEE Communications Letters*, Volume 19, no. 11, pp. 2013–2016, 2015.

[16] I. F. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam, and E. Cayirci, “Wireless sensor networks: a survey,” *Computer Networks*, Volume 38, no. 4, pp. 393–422, 2002.

[17] K. Akkaya and M. Younis, “A survey on routing protocols for wireless sensor networks,” *Ad Hoc Networks*, Volume 3, no. 3, pp. 325–349, 2005.

[18] A. T. Erman, L. V. Hoesel, P. Havinga, and J. Wu, “Enabling mobility in heterogeneous wireless sensor networks cooperating with UAVs for mission-critical management,” *IEEE Wireless*

Communications, Volume 15, no. 6, pp. 38–46, 2008.

[19] C. Gu and Q. Zhu, “An energy-aware routing protocol for mobile ad hoc networks based on route energy comprehensive index,” *Wireless Personal Communications*, Volume 79, no. 2, pp. 1557–1570, 2014.

[20] G. Anastasi, M. Conti, M. Di Francesco, and A. Passarella, “Energy conservation in wireless sensor networks: a survey,” *Ad Hoc Networks*, Volume 7, no. 3, pp. 537–568, 2009.

[21] E. Basha, M. Eiskamp, J. Johnson, and C. Detweiler, “UAV recharging opportunities and policies for sensor networks,” *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, Volume 2015, Article ID 824260, 10 pages, 2015.

[22] H. H. Choi, H. Choi, M. Choi, T. Shon, and B. Park, “An obstacle avoidance scheme maintaining connectivity for micro-unmanned aerial vehicles,” *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, Volume 2014, Article ID 920534, 11 pages, 2014.

[23] Wu Yue, Zhu Jiang, “Path Planning for UAV to Collect Sensors Data Based on Spiral Decomposition”, 8th International Congress of Information and Communication Technology, *Procedia Computer Science* 131 (2018) 873–879.

[24] Juan Liu, Xijun Wang, Bo Bai, “Age-Optimal Trajectory Planning for UAV-Assisted Data Collection”, arXiv:1804.09356v1, April 018.

[25] Qin Yang, Sang-Jo Yoo, “Optimal UAV Path Planning: Sensing Data Acquisition Over IoT

Sensor Networks Using Multi-Objective Bio-inspired Algorithms”, IEEE Access, PP(99):1-1, March 2018.

[26] D. Alejo, J. A. Cobano, G. Heredia, J. Ramiro Martínez-de Dios, A. Ollero, “Efficient Trajectory Planning for WSN Data Collection with Multiple UAVs”, Cooperative Robots and Sensor Networks, pp 53-75, 2015.

[27] Felipe Gonzalez Toro, “Analyzing the Effects of UAV Mobility Patterns on Data Collection in Wireless Sensor Networks”, Sensors (Basel), Volume 17(2): 413, February 2017.

[28] Abdullah Alomari, William Phillips, Nauman Aslam, Frank Comeau, “Dynamic Fuzzy-Logic Based Path Planning for Mobility-Assisted Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks”, Sensors, Volume 17(8), 1904, 2017.

