FIXED POINT THEOREM ON COMPLEX PARTIAL B-METRIC SPACE USING RATIONAL TYPE CONTRACTION CONDITION

¹B.BANUPRIYA ²Dr.N.SRINIVASAN

¹Research Scholar.

Department Of Mathematics, SPIHER, Avadi, Chennai-54 ²Professor, Head of Department Of Mathematics, SPIHER, Avadi, Chennai-54, India

Abstract: In this paper, we obtain a unique fixed point theorem on complex partial b-metric space under rational type contraction which is generalized results of [1]. Also an example is to demonstrate our result. **Key words**: complex valued b-metric space; complex partial b-metric space; fixed point.

I. INTRODUCTION

In many branches of science, economics, computer science, engineering and the development of nonlinear analysis, the fixed point theory is one of the most important tool. In 1989, Backhtin [2] introduced the concept of b-metric space. In 1993, Czerwik [3] extended the results of b-metric spaces. Azam et al. [4] introduced new spaces called complex valued metric spaces and established the existence of fixed point theorems under the contraction condition. Rao et al. [5] introduced the concept of complex valued b-metric space which was more general than the well known complex valued metric space. P.Dhivya and M.Marudai [6] introduced new spaces called complex partial metric space and established the existence of common fixed point theorems under the contraction condition of rational expression. In 2019, M.Gunaseelan introduced new spaces called Complex partial b-metric space. In this paper, we obtain a unique fixed point theorem on complex partial b-metric space under rational type contraction which is generalized results of [1].

We recall some basic notions and definitions which will be useful for proving our main results.

Let \Box be the set of Complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \Box$. Define partial order \leq on \Box as follows.

 $z_1 \le z_2$ if and only if Re. $(z_1) \le$ Re. (z_2) also Im. $(z_1) \le$ Im. (z_2)

It follows that $z_1 \le z_2$ if one of the following conditions holds

1.
$$Re.(z_1) = Re.(z_2)$$
, $Im.(z_1) < Im.(z_2)$

- 2. $Re.(z_1) < Re.(z_2)$, $Im.(z_1) = Im.(z_2)$
- 3. $Re.(z_1) < Re.(z_2)$, $Im.(z_1) < Im.(z_2)$
- 4. $Re.(z_1) = Re.(z_2)$, $Im.(z_1) = Im.(z_2)$

In particular, we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (1), (2) and (4) is satisfied and we will write $z_1 < z_2$ if only (3) is satisfied.

Definition [1]:

A complex partial b-metric on a non-empty set X is a function

 $p_{cb}: X \times X \rightarrow \Box^+$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

(i) $0 \le p_{cb}(x, x) \le p_{cb}(x, y)$ (small self-distances)

(ii) $p_{cb}(x, y) = p_{cb}(y, x)$ (symmetry)

(iii) $p_{cb}(x, x) = p_{cb}(x, y) = p_{cb}(y, y)$ if and only if x = y(equality)

(iv) \exists a real number $s \ge 1$ such that $p_{cb}(x, y) \le s(p_{cb}(x, z) + p_{cb}(z, y)) - p_{cb}(z, z)$ (triangularity).

A complex partial b-metric is a pair (X, p_{cb}) such that X is a nonempty set and p_{cb} is a complex partial b-metric on X. The number s is called the coefficient of (X, p_{cb}) .

Remark [1].

In a complex partial b-metric space (X, p_{cb}) if $x, y \in X$ and $p_{cb}(x, y) = 0$, then x = y, but the converse may not be true.

Remark[1].

It is clear that every complex partial metric space is a complex partial b-metric space with coefficient s = 1 and every complex valued b-metric is a complex partial b-metric space with the same coefficient and zero self-distance. However, the converse of this fact need not hold.

Definition [1]

Let (X, p_{cb}) be a complex partial b-metric space with coefficient s. Let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then: (i) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent with respect to τ_{cb} and converges to x, if $\lim p_{cb}(x_n, x) = p_{cb}(x, x)$.

(ii) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence in (X, p_{cb}) if $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{cb}(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.

(iii) (X, p_{cb}) is said to be a complete complex partial b-metric space if for every

Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p_{cb}(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n\to\infty} p_{cb}(x_n, x) = p_{cb}(x, x)$.

(iv) A mappings $R: X \to X$ is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $R(B_{p_{ch}}(x_0, \delta)) \subset B_{p_{ch}}(R(x_0, \varepsilon))$.

Example[1]

Let $Y = \Box^+$, q > 1 a constant and $p_{cb}: Y \times Y \to \Box^+$ be defined by

 $p_{cb}(y,l) = ([\max\{y,l\}]^q + |y-l|^q)(1+i) \text{ for all } y,l \in Y.$

Then (Y, p_{cb}) is a complete complex partial b-metric space with coefficient $s = 2^q > 1$, but it is neither a b-metric nor a partial metric space.

M. Gunaseelan[1], proved the following theorem.

Let (R, p_{cb}) be a complete complex partial b-metric space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and $Q: R \to R$ be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$p_{cb}(Qr, Qp) \le \alpha [p_{cb}(r, Qr) + p_{cb}(p, Qp)]$$
 for all $r, p \in \mathbb{R}$,
Where $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$. Then Q has a unique fixed point $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_{cb}(q, q) = 0$

In this paper, we obtain unique fixed point theorem under rational type contraction.

2. Main Results

Theorem 1:

Let (M, p_{cb}) be a complete complex partial b-metric space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and $H: M \to M$ be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$p_{cb}(Hm, Hp) \le \eta \frac{p_{cb}(m, Hm) p_{cb}(p, Hp)}{p_{cb}(m, p)} + \delta p_{cb}(m, p) \text{ for all } m, p \in M,$$

Where $\eta, \delta \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$ and $\eta + \delta < 1$. Then *H* has a unique fixed point $t \in H$ and $p_{cb}(t, t) = 0$.

Proof:

Let $m_0 \in M$ be arbitrary, define sequence $\{m_n\}$ in M such that $m_n = Mm_{n-1}$. For any $n \in N$,

$$\begin{split} p_{cb}(m_n, m_{n+1}) &= p_{cb}(Mm_{n-1}, Mm_n) \\ &\leq \eta \, \frac{p_{cb}(m_{n-1}, Mm_{n-1}) \, p_{cb}(m_n, Mm_n)}{p_{cb}(m_{n-1}, m_n)} + \delta \, p_{cb}(m_{n-1}, m_n) \\ &= \eta \, p_{cb}(m_n, Mm_n) + \delta \, p_{cb}(m_{n-1}, m_n) \\ p_{cb}(m_n, m_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{\delta}{1-\eta}\right) (p_{cb}(m_{n-1}, m_n)), \text{ where } h = \frac{\delta}{1-\eta} < \end{split}$$

Then it follows that

 $p_{cb}(m_n, m_{n+1}) \le hp_{cb}(m_{n-1}, m_n) \le \dots \le h^n p_{cb}(m_0, m_1).$

1

For any $n, r \in N$, with r > n, $p_{cb}(m_n, m_r) \le s[p_{cb}(m_n, m_{n+1}) + p_{cb}(m_{n+1}, m_r)] - p_{cb}(m_{n+1}, m_{n+1})$ $\le sp_{cb}(m_n, m_{n+1}) + s^2[p_{cb}(m_{n+1}, m_{n+2}) + p_{cb}(m_{n+2}, m_r)] - sp_{cb}(m_{n+2}, m_{n+2})$ $\le sp_{cb}(m_n, m_{n+1}) + s^2p_{cb}(m_{n+1}, m_{n+2}) + s^3p_{cb}(m_{n+2}, m_{n+2}) + \dots + s^{r-n}p_{cb}(m_{r-1}, m_r)$ $\le sh^n p_{cb}(m_1, m_0) + s^2h^{n+1}p_{cb}(m_1, m_0) + s^3h^{n+2}p_{cb}(m_1, m_0) + \dots + s^{r-n}h^{r-1}p_{cb}(m_1, m_0)$ $= sh^n[1 + sh + (sh)^2 + \dots]p_{cb}(m_1, m_0)$ $= \frac{sh^n}{1 - sh} (p_{cb}(m_1, m_0)).$ Thus, $|p_{cb}(m_r, m_n)| \le \frac{sh^n}{1 - sh} |p_{cb}(m_1, m_0)| \to 0$ As $r, n \to \infty$ which implies that $\lim_{n, r \to \infty} p_{cb}(m_n, m_r) = 0$ such that $\{m_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in M. By completeness of M there exists $t \in M$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{cb}(m_n, t) = \lim_{n, r \to \infty} p_{cb}(m_n, m_r) = p_{cb}(t, t) = 0$ (1)

Next, we have to prove t is a fixed point of M.

Suppose that *t* is not a fixed point of *H*, then $p_{cb}(t, Ht) > 0$. For any $n \in N$, $p_{cb}(t, Ht) \leq s[p_{cb}(t, m_{n+1}) + p_{cb}(m_{n+1}, Ht)] - p_{cb}(m_{n+1}, m_{n+1})$ $\leq s[p_{cb}(t, m_{n+1}) + p_{cb}(Hm_n, Ht)]$ $\leq s[p_{cb}(t, m_{n+1}) + \eta \frac{p_{cb}(m_n, Hm_n)p_{cb}(t, Ht)}{p_{cb}(m_n, t)} + \delta p_{cb}(m_n, t)]$ $\leq \frac{s}{1-s\eta} p_{cb}(t, m_{n+1}) + \frac{s\delta}{1-s\eta} p_{cb}(m_n, t)$ $|p_{cb}(t, Ht)| \leq \frac{s}{1-s\eta} |p_{cb}(t, m_{n+1})| + \frac{s\delta}{1-s\eta} |p_{cb}(m_n, t)|$ As $n \to \infty$, $|p_{cb}(t, Ht)| \leq 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore *t* is a fixed point of *H*. To prove the uniqueness of fixed point . Suppose $a, b \in M$ be two distinct points of *H*. Then $p_{cb}(a, a) = p_{cb}(b, b) = 0$.

Now,

$$p_{cb}(a,b) = p_{cb}(Ha,Hb) \le \eta \frac{p_{cb}(a,Ha)p_{cb}(b,Hb)}{p_{cb}(a,b)} + \delta p_{cb}(a,b).$$

 $|p_{cb}(a,b)| \le 0$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore t is a unique fixed point of H. This complete the proof.

References:

[1]M.Gunaseelan, "Generalized Fixed Point Theorems on Complex Partial b-Metric Space "International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews", Volume 6, Issue 2, April-June 2019.

[2] I.A.Bakhtin," The contraction mappings principle in quasi-metric spaces", Functional Analysis, vol.30,pp.26-37,1989(Russian).

[3] S.Czerwick, "Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces", Acta Mathematicaet Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis,vol.1,pp.5-11,1993.

[4] A. Azam B. Fisher and M. Khan "Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces", Numer.Funct.Anal.Optim.32(3),(2011) 243-253.

[5] K.P.R.Rao, P.R.Swamy and J.R.Prasad, "A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces", Bulletin of Mathematics and statisticsresearch,1(1)(2013).

[6] P.Dhivya and M. Marudai, "Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational expression on a ordered complex partial metric space', Cogent Mathematics(2017),4:1389622.

