Meristic counts of *Puntius sophore* (Hamilton, 1822) and *Puntius chola* (Hamilton, 1822) concerning geographic variations

Anjalai. K* and Kasturi Jayaraman

Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Zoology, Ethiraj College for women, Chennai *Corresponding Author. Email ID -<u>anjalai.bio@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

The fishes, Puntius are tropical freshwater fishes belonging to the family Cyprinidae. Morphometric and meristic characters are helpful in the easy and correct identification of fish species in the laboratory as well as at natural places. This investigation aimed to study the meristic counts to find out geographical variations in the species *Puntius sophore* and *Puntius chola* collected from different localities of the Indian River system [Pennar River, Buckingham Canal, Godavari River, Cauvery River, Palar river, Krishna river, Bhima river, and the Brahmaputra]. The meristic characters of *Puntius sophore* and *P.chola* showed that there were no significant variations between the populations from the various river systems of India.

Keyword: Puntius chola, Puntius sophore, Meristic characters.

Introduction

The genus *Puntius* is found throughout India, Bangladesh, Malay Archipelago, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and Thailand; also found in southern continental china and adjacent islands. Nomenclature: -There has been considerable inconsistency and confusion in adopting the name Barbus Cuvier, 1817. *Puntius* Hamilton, 1822. Hamilton - Buchanan placed some species under his division *Puntius* and a few others under the division Cyprinus.

This group is the most species-rich among the fishes and is oriental in distribution. The *punti*us fishes are commonly called barbs and are widely distributed in South Asia. Intra-specific variability of color pattern coupled, on occasion, with similar interspecific morphometric and meristic characters have made it very difficult to distinguish between species. The genus *Puntius* is found throughout India, Bangladesh, Malay Archipelago, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and Thailand; also found in southern continental China and adjacent islands. The type species is the pool barb (*Puntius sophore*) first described as *Cyprinus sophore* by Hamilton in 1822 *Puntius sophore* is widely distributed in Indian waters. Many species of *Puntius* are attractive as aquarium fish due to their beautiful coloration, striking body markings, general body shape, and small size as well as the ease of rearing in home aquaria. Most are essentially riverine species, De Silva (1983) and De Silva (1988) but some are also found in the multitude of irrigation reservoirs across the country. Due to high abundance, they contribute significantly to the tropic dynamics of many reservoir ecosystems in the country Weliange W.S and Amarasinghe (2003).

Identification of a species plays a key role in any in-depth study of the species. Different methods are used for the identification of fish but meristic counts and morphometry are considered as the earliest and authentic methods for the identification of species (Naymen, 1965). Meristic counts mean anything that can be counted while, morphometry is the external measurement of body parts/proportions of an organism (Talwar and Jhingran, 1992). Comparing anatomical features of organisms has been a central element of biology for centuries. Both, the taxonomic classification of organisms was historically based on descriptions of morphological forms (Dean *et. al*, 2003). The present study is designed to provide basic data on the external morphology of *Puntius sophore*. To determine whether there are significant morphometric differences between sub-populations from various river systems of India.

Materials and Methods

The material for the present study was obtained from the Zoological Survey of India (ZSI), Southern Regional Centre, Chennai. The genus *Puntius* was selected as the study material from various river systems and several fishes collected from these localities are mentioned in Table 1a, b.

LOCATIONS	NO. OF FISHES	COLLECTOR	DATE
Pamidi (Pennar River)	20	T.Venkateswarlu	09.04.1986
Andhra (Buckingham Canal)	20	T.Venkateswarlu	05.05.1986

© 2019 JETIR May 2019, Volume 6, Issue 5

www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

Bhimavaram (Godavary River)	14	Nagaraja Rao	25.03.1995
Vedapuri Natham (Cauvery River)	20	Dr. K.R.Rao & Party	26.03.1992
Ethirmundi Reservoir (Krishna River)	20	K.C.Jayaram & Party	22.01.1990
Fish Market At Pandarpur Catch (Bhima River)	9	K.C.Jayaram & Party	13.05.1988
Bangladesh (Brahmaputra)	11	C.T.Sai &Y.Haroon	05.01.1978

Table – 1 (b): Sample Collection - Location Site - Puntius chola (Hamilton, 1822)

LOCATIONS	NO. OF FISHES	COLLECTOR	DATE
Kancheepuram (Palar River)	6	Dr.M.B.Raghunathan	07.04.2002
Pudukkottai (Kaveri River)	8	Dr. G.Thirumalai & Party	06.05.1986
Nagula Vellatur (Krishna River)	7	T.Venkateswarlu	21.03.1983
Somasila (Pennar River)	6	T.Venkateswarlu	17.03.1983
Karad Fish Market (Bhima River)	8	K.C.Jayaram & Party	10.03.1990

Puntius sophore (Hamilton- Buchanan, 1822)

Phylum	:	Chordata
Class	:Pisces	
Order	:Cyprini	formes
Family	:	Cyprinidae
Genus	:	Puntius
Species	:	sophore

Puntius chola (Hamilton - Buchanan) 1822

Phylum	:	Chordata
Class	:	Pisces
Order	:	Cypriniformes
Family	:	Cyprinidae
Genus	:	Puntius
Species	:	chola

Meristic Characters

Two species were obtained from the Zoological Survey of India (ZSI), Southern Regional Centre, Chennai. *Puntius sophore* (114 specimens) were collected from seven Indian river systems and 35 specimens of *Puntius chola* were collected from five South Indian River systems. Meristic counts (11 characters) for *P.sophore* and *P.chola* were carried out.

Results And Discussion

Analysis of frequency distribution of the 11 meristic characters of both species *Puntius sophore* and *Puntius chola* showed that there was no variation between the populations from the various river systems.

© 2019 JETIR May 2019, Volume 6, Issue 5

Puntius sophore (Hamilton - Buchanan, 1822)

D. iii 8; A. ii 5; P.i 14; V. i 8; C. 19; L. 1 24-26; L. tr. $3\frac{1}{2}$ - 1 - 3 - $3\frac{1}{2}$; predorsal scales 9-10; preventral scales 9-10; circumferential scales 15-16; circumpenduncular scales 9-10 (Table 2).

Puntius chola (Hamilton - Buchanan, 1822)

D. iii 8; A.ii 5; P. i 13-14; V. i 8; C. 19; L.l. 26 - 27; L. tr. $3\frac{1}{2}$ - 1 - 3 - $3\frac{1}{2}$; predorsal scales 10; preventral scales 9-10; circumferential scales 15-16; circupeduncular scales 9 - 10 (Table 3). The data for meristic and coded characters obtained for the *Puntius sophore* and *Puntius chola* examined in the present study were more or less comparable with the majority of earlier studies by Jayaram (1991), Pethiyagoda (1991), Deraniyagala (1952), and Munro (1955).

De Silva and Liyanage (2010) have suggested that meristic counts are discrete in nature, they were efficient for developing a dichotomous key for *Puntius* species in Sri Lanka as they gave sharp demarcations between individual species. Some meristic characters overlapped among species however and were therefore of limited use for distinguishing the species. Ihssen, *et al.* (1981), also have suggested that the discrete nature of meristic data reduces its suitability for the application of more powerful statistical analysis. Das *et al.*, (1985) have reported in studies on *Hilsa Ilisha* that meristic characters showed no significant differences in the mean values between stations.

Summary and Conclusion

The present study was conducted to analyze the geographic variations among the freshwater fishes of Indian river systems. Two species were obtained from the Zoological Survey of India (ZSI), Southern Regional Centre, Chennai. *Puntius sophore* (114 specimens) were collected from seven Indian river systems and 35 specimens of *Puntius chola* were collected from five South Indian River systems. Meristic counts (11 characters) for *P.sophore* and *P.chola* were carried out. Analysis of frequency distribution of the meristic characters of both species *P.sophore* and *P.chola* showed that there were no significant variations between the populations from the various river systems of India.



Table – 2: Freq	luency I	Distributio	Table – 2: Frequency Distribution of Meristic Characters of Puntius sophore in various river systems							
ies in ca		10					3		-	
Circu m pedunc ular Scales	'	6	20	19	13	20	17	6	10	
J.		17	1					i.		
Circumfere ntial Scales	,	16	16	17	13	16	17	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	6	
Cir Biti		ß	4	3	1	4	3	-	2	
e ut	,	10		-		2	,	i.		
Pre ventr al Scale s		6	20	19	14	18	20	6	11	
Pre- dors al \$cale \$		10	19	20	14	16	18	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	9	
rd de rx ∾		6	1	'	'	4	2		5	
	VS S	3%								
	LS S	-	16	17	13	19	17	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	6	
Lateral Transverse Scales	DS S	3%								
Lat Tran Sc	VS S	3								
	LS S	1	4	3	-	-	3		2	
	DS S	3%								
		36	15	17	13	19	14	1	10	
Lateral line scales	,	ĸ	5					ı		
1 3		24		3	-		5	6	1	
Caudal fin rays	,	6[3			2		1		
Ē C		18	17	19	14	18	19	6	=	
Anal Fin Rays	В	5	20	20	14	20	20	6	11	
A R H	ß	3	7	~		7	~			
Ventral Fin Rays	8	~	20	20	14	20	20	6	Ξ	
Ve Fin	ß	1								
	B	IS	2	-		,	,	,	-	
Pectoral Fin Rays	UB	1								
Ϋ́Ε.	m	14	18	19	14	20	20	6	10	
10		-								
Dorsal Fin Rays	8	~	20	20	14	20	20	6	=	
- 6	ß	۳ ۳								
No.		No. of Sam ple	20	20	14	20	20	6	11	
Meristic Count		Locality	Pamidi (Pennar River)	Andhra (Buckingham Canal)	Bhimavaram (Godavary river)	Vedapurinatham (Cauvery River)	Ethirmundi Reservoir (Krishna River)	Fish Market at Pandarpur Catch (Bhima River)	Bangladesh (Brahmaputra	
No. S.			1.	2.	ŝ	+	5	ÿ	Т.	
								•		

© 2019 JETIR May 2019, Volume 6, Issue 5

www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

Table – 3: Frequency Dist	ribution of Meristic Characters	s of <i>Puntius chola</i> in vario	us river systems

Table – 3: Frequency Distribution of Meristic Characters of Puntius chola in various river systems								
Circumfere Circum ntial peduncul Scales Scales		10		1		1	5	
		6	6	7	7	5	6	
		17						
		16	1		2			
		15	5	8	5	6	8	
Pre entr al s -		10	Τ	1		1	3	
Pre ventr al Scale s		6	5	7	7	5	5	
Pre- dorsal Scales		=		1		2	1	
Sc do P		10	9	7	7	4	7	
	SSA	3½						
	S S	-	-		7			
ral verse les	DS S	3½						
Lateral Transverse Scales	VS S	3						
	LS S	-	5	~	S	9	8	
	DS S	3½						
S		28		1		1		
Lateral line scales		27	2	1			-	
I ü		26	4	9	7	5	7	
fin rays		19	,	2				
Cat		18	6	9	7	6	8	
Anal Fin Rays	B	5	6	8	7	6	×	
A F R	UB	7	C C	~		•		
Ventral Fin Rays	В	~	9	8	L	9	~	
Ver Fin	UB	-						
	В	14	_	3	5		5	
Pectoral Fin Rays	UB	-						
Pec	B	13	5	5	5	9	6	
	UB	-	- •		~ •	-	-	
Dorsal Fin Rays	В	~	9	8	7	9	~	
Fin Do	UB	3						
ount		No of Sam	6	8	Ľ	6	~	
Meristic Count No Locality of Sam		Locality	Kanchee puram (Palar River)	Pudukot tai (Kaveri River)	Nagula Vallatur (Krishna River)	Somasil a (Pennar River)	Karad Fish Market (Bhima River)	
	S. S			2.	3.	4.	5.	
		I						

The abbreviations used in tables 2 and 3 are enlisted below.

UB – Unbranched Spine B - Branched Rays DSS - Dorsal side scale

- LSS Lateral side scale
- VSS Ventral side scale

Das, N.N, T. Nishida, S.A. Azar, M.S Islam, M.Hossain and Q.M. Huq (1985). Analysis of some morphometric and meristic characters of *Hilsa ilisha* of Bangladesh waters.

De Silva S.S (1983). The reservoir Fishery: present status and future strategies. Journal of inland fisheries (Sri Lanka) 20: 3-13.

De Silva S.S (1988). Reservoirs of Sri Lanka and their fisheries. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, 298; P. 128.

Dean, C.A Rholf, F.J. and Dennis, E.S. (2003). Geometric morphometric Ten years of progress following the revolution. *Ital. J. Zool.*, **71:** 5-16.

Deraniyagala P.E.P (1952). A coloured Atlas of some vertebrates from Ceylon volume.1:fishes **P.150**. The ceylon government press, Colombo.

Desilva M.P.K.S.K and N.P.P liyanage (2010). A multivariate approach for developing a dichotomous key for identification and differentiation of *puntius (osteichthyes: Cyprinidae)* species in *Sri Lanka. J. Natn. Sci. Foundation Sri Lanka.* **38 (1)** : 15-27.

Hamilton - Buchanan, F. (1822). An account of the fishes of River Ganges and its Branches. George Ramsay and Co., London VII + 450pp. 39pls.

Hamilton, F., 1822. An account of the fishes found in the River Ganges and its branches. Archibald Constable, Edinburgh Hurst, Robinson and co., London, VII + 405 pp, 39pl.

Ihssen, P.E., Brooke H.E., Casselman, J.M McGlase, J.M., Payne, N.R and Utter, F.M. (1981). Stock Identification materials and methods. *Can J. Fish Aquat. Sci.* 38: 1838-1855.

Jayaram, K.C (1991). Revision of the genus *puntius* Hamilton from the Indian Region (*Pisces: Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae, Cyprininae*). Records of Zoological Survey of India Occasional Paper. 135: 1-178.

Munro I.S.R (1955). The marine and Freshwater fishes of Ceylon.P. 349 Department of External Affairs, Canberra, Australia.

Nayman, (1965). Growth and Ecology of a fish population. J. Anim. Ecol.20: 201-219.

Pethiyagoda R. (1991). Freshwater fishes of Sri Lanka pp. 1-362, wildlife Heritage Trust of Sri Lanka, Cotta Road, Colombo 08.

Talwar, P.K and Jhingran, (1992). Inland fishes of India, Rec. Ind, J., 3: 19-24.

Weliange W.S and Amarasinghe U.S (2003). Accounting for diel feeding periodicity in quantifying food resource partitioning in fish assemblages in three reservoirs of Sri Lanka. *Asian Fisheries Science*. 16 (3 and 4): 203-213.