

# A study on Interpretation in three variables and its applications

*J. Kalpana Kumari Das<sup>1</sup>, R. Vanithashree<sup>2</sup>*

1. M Phil Scholar, Department of Mathematics, St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, Avadi, Chennai - 54
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, Avadi, Chennai - 54

## **ABSTRACT:-**

In this dissertation we divided into two chapters. In mathematics is the process of extracting the underlying essence of a mathematical concept removing any dependence on real world objects with which it might originally have been connected and generalizing it so that it has wider applications or matching among other descriptions of equivalent.

**KEY WORDS:-** Open set, system equations, invertible, matrix, determinant, continuously differentiable, differentiable, continuous function, one-one function, inverse function.

## **INTRODUCTION:-**

The implicit functions defined by GM. Scarpello in 2002. All these this was matter for research for discards and other mathematics since the first half of the 17<sup>th</sup> century for almost two hundred years were broadly defined an author is "the person who originated or gave existence to anything". The first known use of interpretation is 14<sup>th</sup> century.

**IMPLICIT FUNCTION:-** In mathematics an implicit equation is a relation of the form  $R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$  where  $R$  is a function of several variables.

For Example the implicit equation of the unit circle is  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ .

I.e, A function or relation in which the dependent variables is not isolated on one side of the equation.

Ex:- The Equation  $x^2 + xy - y^2 = 1$  represents an implicit function.

Interpretation:-

**Definition:-** The act or the result of interpreting, explanation. A particular or version of a work, method or style. A teaching technique that combines factual with stimulating explanatory information.

**Implicit Function Theorem:-**

**Statement:-** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$  be an open set  $f$  is a mapping from  $E$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $f(a, b, c) = 0$  for some point  $(a, b, c) \in E$  put  $A = f^{-1}(a, b, c)$  and assume the  $A_x$  invertible then there exist an open sets  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$  and  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $(a, b, c) \in U$  and  $bcw$  similarly  $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$  having the following property.

(1) To Every  $a \in y$  corresponds a unique  $x$  such that  $(x, y, z) \in U$  and  $f(x, y, z) = 0 \rightarrow 1$

(2) If this  $x$  is defined to be  $g(y)$  then  $g$  is a mapping of  $y$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $g(b) = a$  or  $g(c) = b$ ,  $f(g(y), y, z) = 0$  for each  $y \in y \rightarrow 2$

(3)  $g^{-1}(c) = -(A_x)^{-1} A_y \cdot A_z \rightarrow 3$

Now will introduce the interpretation topic in three variables.

### INTERPRETATION:-

The Equation  $f(x, y, z) = 0$  can be written as a system of  $n$  equations with  $n+m+k$  variables.

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m; z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = 0$$

$$f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m; z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = 0$$

Assume  $A_x$  is invertible that the “ $n \times n$ ” matrix Evaluated at  $(a, b, c)$  defines as

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 f_1 & D_2 f_1 & \dots & D_n f_1 \\ D_1 f_2 & D_2 f_2 & \dots & D_n f_2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ D_1 f_n & D_2 f_n & \dots & D_n f_n \end{pmatrix}$$

ie.. The determinant of the above matrix at  $(a, b, c) = 0$  also 1 holds at  $(a, b, c) \in E$ .

Then the conclusion of the theorem is that 1 can be solved for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in terms of  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  for every  $y$  nearby  $b$  and  $z$  nearby  $c$  that these solutions are continuously differentiable function of  $y$  and  $z$ .

### PROOF OF THE ABOVE THEOREM: -

Proof: Define  $F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$  by  $F(x, y, z) = (f(x, y, z), y, z)$

Since  $f$  is differentiable for Every  $(x, y, z) \in E$

There Exist  $B \in L(\mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}, \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\text{Such that } \lim_{(h, k, l) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x+h, y+k, z+l) - f(x, y, z) - B(h, k, l)\|}{\|(h, k, l)\|} \rightarrow 2$$

Here  $B = f^1(x, y, z)$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x+h, y+k, z+l) - F(x, y, z) \\ &= (f(x+h, y+k, z+l), y+k, z+l) - (f(x, y, z), y, z) \\ &= (f(x+h, y+k, z+l) - f(x, y, z), k, l) \end{aligned}$$

From 2

$$\lim_{(h, k, l) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x+h, y+k, z+l) - F(x, y, z) - B(h, k, l)\|}{\|(h, k, l)\|} = 0 \rightarrow 3$$

Write  $c(h, k, l) = (B(h, k, l), l)$

$$C = f^{-1}(x, y, z)$$

$$\text{and } C \in L(\mathbb{R}^{n+m+k})$$

Therefore  $F$  is differentiable at  $(x, y, z)$  and  $C = f^{-1}(x, y, z)$

Now we can show that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

Let  $B$  and  $C$  as above and

$$B_0 = f^{-1}(x_0, y_0, z_0)$$

$$C_0 = f^{-1}(x_0, y_0, z_0)$$

$$\text{Now consider } \|f^{-1}(x, y, z) - f^{-1}(x_0, y_0, z_0)\| = \|c - c_0\|$$

$$\text{But we have } c(h, k, l) = (B(h, k, l), l)$$

$$\text{And } c_0(h, k, l) = (B_0(h, k, l), l)$$

$$\text{So that } (C - C_0)(h, k, l) = ((B - B_0)(h, k, l), 0)$$

$$= \|(C - C_0)(h, k, l)\| = \|((B - B_0)(h, k, l), 0)\|$$

$$= \|(B - B_0)(h, k, l)\|$$

$$< \|(B - B_0)\| \|(h, k, l)\|$$

$$\text{For all } (h, k, l) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k} \\ \rightarrow \|(C - C_0)\| < \|(B - B_0)\|$$

$$\text{Now } \|f^{-1}(x, y, z) - f^{-1}(x_0, y_0, z_0)\| < \|f^{-1}(x, y, z) - f^{-1}(x_0, y_0, z_0)\|$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, z_0)$$

$f^{-1}$  is continuous function on  $E$

$$\text{Thus } F \in e^{-1}(E)$$

$$\text{Now write } F(a, b, c) = (f(a, b), b, c) = (o, b, c)$$

$$\text{Now let } T = f^{-1}(a, b, c) \text{ and } A = f^{-1}(a, b, c)$$

$$\text{From Equation No (3) } T(h, k, l) = 0$$

$$(A(h, k, l), l) = 0$$

$$(A(h, k, l)) = 0, l = 0$$

$$(A(h, k, 0)) = 0, l = 0$$

$$A_x(h, k) = 0, l = 0$$

$$A(h, 0) = 0, l = 0$$

$$A_x(h) = 0, K=0, l = 0$$

$$(h, k, l) = (0, 0, 0)$$

This T is one – one and hence T is invertible Therefore  $f^{-1}(a, b, c)$  is invertible function.

We have  $F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$  is such that  $F \in e(E)$ ;  $F(a, b, c) = (o, b, c)$  and  $f^{-1}(a, b, c)$  is invertible. Thus F satisfies the hypothesis of inverse function theorem

Hence, there exist an open sets U, V and W of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$  such that  $(a, b, c) \in U$ ,  $(o, b, c) \in V$  and  $(o, o, c) \in W$  with F is 1-1 on U, V and W

$$F(U) = V, F(V) = W$$

$$\text{Write } X = \left\{ y, z, \in \mathbb{R}^{m+k} / (o, y, z) \in v \right\}$$

$$\text{Since } (o, b, c) \in v \rightarrow b \in x \rightarrow b \in x \rightarrow x = \emptyset$$

Now the mapping  $y \rightarrow (o, y)$  is continuous from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$

And the mapping  $Z \rightarrow (o, z)$  is continuous from  $\mathbb{R}^k$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$

Hence the proof of the Theorem.

Lemma1:- Every  $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}, \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  can be split into three linear transformations  $A_x, A_y, A_z$  defined by  $A_x(h) = A(h, 0, 0)$ ,  $A_y(k) = A(0, k, 0)$   $A_z = A(0, 0, l)$

For any  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{R}^k$  then  $A_x \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $A_y \in L(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $A_z \in L(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k)$  and

$$A(h, k, l) = A_x(h) + A_y(k) + A_z(l).$$

Proof: let  $z_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$

$$z_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$$

$$\text{and } z_3 = (x_3, y_3, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$$

$$\text{then } A(z_1 + z_2 + z_3) = A z_1 + A z_2 + A z_3$$

and  $A(\alpha z) = \alpha A z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$  and  $\alpha$  be any scalar with this observation, it is clear that  $A_x \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\text{for } A_x(h_1 + h_2) = A(h_1 + h_2, 0, 0)$$

$$= A(h_1, 0, 0) + A(h_2, 0, 0) + A(h_3, 0, 0)$$

$$= A_x(h_1) + A_x(h_2) + A_x(h_3)$$

Similarly  $A_x(\alpha h) = \alpha A_x(h)$  for any  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and scalar  $\alpha$ .

Now  $A_y \in L(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$

Let  $h \in \mathbb{R}^m, k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $l \in \mathbb{R}^k$  then

$$\begin{aligned} A_x(h) + A_y(k) + A_z(l) &= A(h, 0, 0) + A(0, k, 0) + A(0, 0, l) \\ &= A(h, k, l) \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved the lemma.

Lemma 2: - If  $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and if  $A_x$  is invertible, then there corresponds to every  $k \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is a unique  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $A(h, k) = 0$ . This  $h$  can be computed from  $k$  by the formula  $h = -(A_x)^{-1} A_y(k)$ .

PROOF OF THE LEMMA: -

By lemma (1)

$$A(h, k) = 0 \text{ if and only if } A_x(h) + A_y(k) = 0$$

If and only if  $h = -(A_x)^{-1} A_y(k)$

Where  $A_x$  is invertible

NOTE: - The conclusion of the lemma 2 is in other words that the equation  $A(h, k) = 0$  can be solved (uniquely) for  $h$  if  $k$  is given and that the solution  $h$  is linear function of  $k$ .

APPLICATIONS: -

1. From Implicit function theorem we have

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m+k}$  be an open set.  $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, f \in C^1(E)$  such that  $f(a, b, c) = 0$  for some point  $(a, b, c) \in E$ .

Take  $n = m = k = 1$

Put  $A = f^{-1}(a, b, c)$  and assume that  $A_x$  is invertible. Then there exist open sets  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}, W \subset \mathbb{R}^m, V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $(a, b, c) \in U$  and  $b \in W, c \in V$  having the following property.

To every  $y \in W, z \in V$  corresponds a unique  $x$  such that  $(x, y, z) \in U$  and  $f(x, y, z) = 0$ .

If this  $x$  is defined to be  $g(y)$ , then  $g$  is  $C^1$ -mapping of  $W$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n, g(b) = a, f(g(y), y) = 0, y \in W$  and  $g(b) = -(A_x)^{-1} A_y$ .

2. Now take  $n = 2, m = 3, k = 0$  and consider the mapping  $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$  from  $\mathbb{R}^5$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$F_1(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3) = 2e^{x_1} + x_2 y_1 - 4y_2 + 3.$$

$$F_2(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3) = x_2 \cos x_1 - 6x_1 + 2y_1 - y_3.$$

If  $a = (0, 1)$  and  $b = (3, 2, 7)$  then  $f(a, b) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } A = f^{-1}(a, b) &= \begin{bmatrix} D_1 f_1 & D_2 f_1 & D_3 f_1 & D_4 f_1 & D_5 f_1 \\ D_1 f_2 & D_2 f_2 & D_3 f_2 & D_4 f_2 & D_5 f_2 \end{bmatrix} (a, b) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2e^{x_1} & y_1 & x_2 & -4 & 0 \\ -x_2 \sin x_1 & \cos x_1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} (0, 1, 3, 2, 7) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 & -4 & 0 \\ -6 & 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[A_x] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } [A_y] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } [A_y] = [1 \ -4 \ 0]$$

$\det[A_x] \neq 0$  so that  $A_x$  is invertable.

Hence by the implicit function theorem there exist open sets  $UCR^{n+m}$  and  $WCR^m$  with  $(a,b) \in U$  and  $b \in W$  with following property.

To every  $b \in W$  corresponds a unique  $x$  such that  $(x,y) \in U$  and  $f(x,y)=0$ .

If this  $x$  is defined to be  $g(y)$  then  $g$  is a  $C^1$  mapping of  $W$  into  $R^n$ . with  $g(b)=a$

'i.e.  $g(3,2,7) = (0,1)$  and  $f(g(y),y)=0$  for any  $y \in W$  also  $g^{-1}(b) = -(A_x)^{-1}a = A_y$

$$[(A_x)^{-1}] = [A_x]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 \\ 20 & 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g^{-1}(b) = g(3,2,7) = -1/20 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 1/5 & -3/20 \\ -1/2 & 6/5 & 1/10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore

$$D_1g_1(3,2,7)=1/4, D_2g_1(3,2,7)=1/5, D_3g_1(3,2,7)=-3/20.$$

$$D_1g_2(3,2,7)=-1/2, D_2g_2(3,2,7)=6/5, D_3g_2(3,2,7)=1/10.$$

### CONCLUSION:-

Flaws in logic can also occur at the interpretation stage. We may have a well- designed study obscure the true meaning of the data by misreading the findings. practical significance (or)theoretical implications are discussed; guidance for future studies is offered. The study limitations are discussed. Alternative interpretations for the findings are considered.

I have already derived the interpretation in three variables. Similarly we can derive for n variables also in future. Develop their ability to work in a connected word.

**Reference:** Dr. M.Kavitha, St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, Avadi, Chennai-54