

SEMIGENERALIZED α -COMPACT SPACES

S. VONITH KUMAR* AND P. GOMATHI SUNDARI#

* Department of Mathematics, Swami Dayananda college of Arts and Science, Manjakudi, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India.

#Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract – In this paper, we introduce and study the notion of compact spaces in topological spaces via semigeneralized α -open sets. Several properties of these notions are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Generalized open sets play a very important role in General Topology and they are now the research topics of many topologists worldwide. Indeed a significant theme in General Topology and Real Analysis concerns the variously modified forms of continuity, separation axioms, compactness, connectedness etc. by utilizing generalized open sets. One of the most well known notions and also an inspiration source is the notion of $sg\alpha$ -open sets was introduced by Rajesh and Biljana in 2009. In this paper we introduce and study some topological properties of $sg\alpha$ -convergence and $sg\alpha$ -cluster points of net and filter by using the concept of $sg\alpha$ -open sets. Throughout this paper (X, τ) and (Y, σ) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. In this paper, we introduce and study the notion of compact spaces in topological spaces via semigeneralized α -open sets. Several properties of these notions are discussed.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, (X, τ) denote topological spaces. The closure of $S \subseteq X$ and the interior of S denoted with $C_1(S)$ and $\text{Int}(S)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be semi-open [1] (resp. α -open [2]) if $A \subseteq C_1(\text{Int}(A))$ (resp. $A \subseteq \text{Int}(C_1(\text{Int}(A)))$).

Definition 2.2. The intersection of all α -closed sets of a topological space (X, T) containing $A \subseteq X$ is called the α -closure of A and is denoted by $\alpha C_1(A)$ [2].

Definition 2.3 A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called semigeneralized α -closed (briefly $sg\alpha$ -closed) [3] if $\alpha C_1(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semiopen in (X, τ) . The complement of $sg\alpha$ -closed set is called $sg\alpha$ -open and class of all $sg\alpha$ -open (resp. $sg\alpha$ -closed) subsets of (X, τ) is denoted by $sg\alpha O(X)$ (resp. $sg\alpha C(X)$).

Definition 2.4. The intersection of all $sg\alpha$ -closed sets containing A is called the $sg\alpha$ -closure of A [3] and is denoted by $sg\alpha C_1(A)$.

III. $SG\alpha$ -COMPACT TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 3.1. A collection $\{A_i : i \in \Delta\}$ of $sg\alpha$ -open sets in a topological space (X, τ) is called a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of a subset A in X if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} A_i$.

Definition 3.2. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be $sg\alpha$ -compact if for each $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\tau_n = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It is clear that $SG\alpha O(X, \tau_n) = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Clearly, the topological space (X, τ) is not a $sg\alpha$ -compact space.

However, we have the following Theorem

Theorem 3.4. Every finite topological space (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Proof: Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$. Let C be a $sg\alpha$ -open covering of X . Then each element in X belongs to one of the members of C , say, $x_1 \in G_1, x_2 \in G_2, \dots, x_n \in G_n$, where $G_i \in C, i=1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the collection $\{G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots, G_n\}$ is a finite subcover of X . Hence (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Theorem 3.5. Finite union of $sg\alpha$ -compact sets is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Proof: Let U and V any two $sg\alpha$ -compact subset of X . Let C be a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of $U \cup V$. Then C will also be a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of both U and V . So by hypothesis, there exists a finite subcollection of C of $sg\alpha$ -open sets, say $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ and $\{V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots, V_n\}$ covering U and V respectively. Clearly, the collection $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n, V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots, V_n\}$ is a finite collection of $sg\alpha$ -open sets covering $U \cup V$. By induction, every finite union of $sg\alpha$ -compact sets is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Theorem 3.6. Every $sg\alpha$ -closed subset of a $sg\alpha$ -compact space (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Proof: If G is $sg\alpha$ -closed set in the $sg\alpha$ -compact space (X, τ) and C is any $sg\alpha$ -open cover of G , then the collection $C^* = (X \setminus G) \cup C$ is a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X . Since X is $sg\alpha$ -compact, the collection C^* has finite subcover. If this finite subcover contains the set $X \setminus G$, discard it, otherwise leave the finite sub cover alone, the resulting collection is a finite sub cover of C .

Theorem 3.7. Every infinite subset of a $sg\alpha$ -compact space (X, τ_n, γ) has at least one $sg\alpha$ -cluster point in X .

Proof: Suppose X is $sg\alpha$ -compact space and let A be an infinite subset of X . Assume that A has no $sg\alpha$ -cluster points in X . Then for each $x \in X$, there exists a $sg\alpha$ -open set U_x such that $U_x \cap A = \{x\}$ or Φ . Now the collection $\{U_x : x \in X\}$ is a $sg\alpha$ -open covering of X . Since X is $sg\alpha$ -compact, there exists points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in X such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i} = X$. But $(U_{x_1} \cap A) \cup (U_{x_2} \cap A) \cup \dots \cup (U_{x_n} \cap A) = \{x_1\} \cup \{x_2\} \cup \dots \cup \{x_n\}$ or Φ . It follows that $(U_{x_1} \cup U_{x_2} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n}) \cap A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ or Φ . Hence $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ or Φ , contradicts that A is infinite.

Definition 3.8. A collection η of $P(X)$ is said to satisfy the finite intersection condition if for every finite subcollection $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$ of η , the intersection $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_n$ is nonempty.

We will give several characterizations of the $sg\alpha$ -compact spaces. The first characterization makes use of the finite intersection condition.

Theorem 3.9. The following statements are equivalent for any topological space (X, τ_n, γ) :

- (1). (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact.
- (2). Given any family F of $sg\alpha$ -open sets, if no finite subfamily of F covers X , then F does not cover X .
- (3). Given any family F of γ $sg\alpha$ -closed sets, if F satisfies the finite intersection condition, then $\bigcap \{A : A \in F\} \neq \Phi$.
- (4). Given any family F of subsets of X , if F satisfies the finite condition, then $\bigcap \{sg\alpha cl(A) : A \in F\} \neq \Phi$.

Proof: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4): If $F \subset P(X)$ satisfies the finite intersection condition, then $\bigcap \{sg\alpha cl(A) : A \in F\}$ is a family of $sg\alpha$ -closed sets, which obviously satisfies the finite intersection condition.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (3): Follows from the fact that $A = sg\alpha cl(A)$ for every $sg\alpha$ -closed subset A of X .

Theorem 3.10. A topological space (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact if and only if for every collection of $sg\alpha$ -closed sets in X , satisfying the finite intersection condition, the intersection of all the $sg\alpha$ -closed sets in the condition is nonempty.

Proof: Suppose Γ is the collection of subsets of X . Let $\xi = \{X \setminus G : G \in \zeta\}$ be the collection of their compliments. Then we have the following equivalent statements:

- (i). Γ is collection of all $sg\alpha$ -open sets if and only if ξ is collection of all $sg\alpha$ -closed sets in X .
- (ii). The collection Γ is a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X if, and only if the intersection of all $sg\alpha$ -closed elements in ξ is empty.
- (iii). The finite subcollection $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ of Γ is a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X if, and only if the intersection of the corresponding $sg\alpha$ -closed elements in ξ is nonempty.

Now X is $sg\alpha$ -compact if, and only if given any collection Γ of $sg\alpha$ -open sets, if Γ is $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X if, and only if any given collection Γ of $sg\alpha$ -open sets, if there is no finite sub collection of Γ covers X , then Γ is not a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X if, and only if any collection ξ of $sg\alpha$ -closed sets, if for every finite intersection of $sg\alpha$ -closed in ξ is nonempty, then the intersection of all the $sg\alpha$ -closed sets in ξ is nonempty.

Definition 3.11. Let (X, τ) be topological space. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a $sg\alpha$ -cluster point of a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ if $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is frequently in every $sg\alpha$ -open set containing x . we denote by $sg\alpha\text{-cp}_\gamma\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ the set of all $sg\alpha$ -cluster points of a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$.

Theorem 3.12. The set of all $sg\alpha$ -cluster point of an arbitrary net in X is $sg\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Let $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ be a net in X . Set $A = sg\alpha\text{-cp}_\gamma\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$. Let $x \in X \setminus A$. Then there exists a $sg\alpha$ -open set U_x containing x and $\alpha_x \in \Delta$ such that $x_\beta \notin U_x$ whenever $\beta \in \Delta, \beta \geq \alpha_x$. It turns out that $U_x \subset X \setminus A$, hence $x \in sg\alpha int(X \setminus A) = X \setminus sg\alpha cl(A)$. This shows that $sg\alpha cl(A) \subset A$; hence A is $sg\alpha$ -closed in (X, τ) .

Theorem 3.13. A topological space (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact if, and only if each net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ in X has atleast one $sg\alpha$ -compact point.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a $sg\alpha$ -compact space. Assume that there exist some net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ in X such that $sg\alpha\text{-cp}_\gamma\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is empty. Then for every $x \in X$, there exist $U(x) \in SG\alpha O(X, x)$ and $\alpha(x) \in \Delta$ such that $x_\beta \notin U(x)$ when ever $\beta \geq \alpha(x), \beta \in \Delta$. Then the family $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$ is cover of X by a $sg\alpha$ -open sets and has a finite subcover, say, $\{U_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where $U_k = U(x_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\{x_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For every $\beta \in \Delta$ such that $\beta \geq \alpha$ we have, $x_\beta \notin U_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, hence $x_\beta \notin X$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, if X is not $sg\alpha$ -compact, there exists $\{U_i : i \in \Delta\}$ a cover of X by $sg\alpha$ -open sets, which have no finite subcover. Let $F(\Delta)$ be the family of all finite subsets of Δ . Clearly $(F(\Delta), \subset)$ is a directed set. For each $J \in F(\Delta)$, we may choose $x_j \in$

$X \setminus \{U_i : i \in j\}$. Let us consider the net $\{x_j\}_{j \in F(\Delta)}$. By, hypothesis the set $sg\alpha\text{-cp}_\gamma\{x_j\}_{j \in F(\Delta)}$ is nonempty. Let $x \in sg\alpha\text{-cp}_\gamma\{x_j\}_{j \in F(\Delta)}$ and let $i_0 \in \Delta$ such that $x \in U_{i_0}$. By the definition of $sg\alpha$ -cluster point for each $J \in F(\Delta)$ there exists $J^* \in F(\Delta)$ such that $J \subset J^*$ and $x_{j^*} \in U_{i_0}$. For $j = \{i_0\}$, there exists $j^* \in F(\Delta)$ such that $i_0 \in j^*$ and $x_{j^*} \in U_{i_0}$. But $x_{j^*} \in X \setminus \{U_i : i \in j^*\} \subset X \setminus U_{i_0}$. The contradiction we obtained shows that (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

In the following, we will give a characterization of $sg\alpha$ -compact spaces by means of filterbase.

Let us recall that a nonempty family F of subsets of X is said to be a filterbase on X if $\Phi \notin F$ and each intersection of two members of F a third member of F . Notice that each chain in the family of all filterbase on X (ordered by inclusion) has an upper bound, for example the union of all members of the chain. Then by, zorn's lemma, the family of all filterbase on X has atleast one maximal element. Similarly, the family of all filterbase on X containing a given filterbase F has at least one maximal element.

Definition 3.14. A filterbase F on a topological space (X, τ) is said to be

- (1). $sg\alpha$ -coverage to a point $x \in X$ if for each $sg\alpha$ -open set U containing x , there exists $B \in F$ such that $B \subset U$.
- (2). $sg\alpha$ -accumulate at $x \in X$ if $U \cap B \neq \Phi$ for every $sg\alpha$ -open set U containing x and every $B \in F$.

Remark 3.15. A filterbase F $sg\alpha$ -accumulates at x if, and only if $x \in \bigcap \{sg\alpha cl(B) : B \in F\}$. Clearly, if a filterbase F $sg\alpha$ -converges to $x \in X$, then F $sg\alpha$ -accumulates at x .

Lemma 3.16. If a maximal filterbase F $sg\alpha$ -accumulates at $x \in X$, then F $sg\alpha$ -converges to x .

Proof: Let F be a maximal filterbase which $sg\alpha$ -accumulates at $x \in X$. if F does not $sg\alpha$ -converges to x , then there exists a $sg\alpha$ -open set U containing x such that $U \cap B \neq \Phi$ and $(X \setminus U) \cap B \neq \Phi$ for every $B \in F$. Then $F \cup \{U \cap B : B \in F\}$ is a filterbase which strictly contains F , which is a contradiction.

Theorem 3.17. For a topological space (X, τ) the following statements are equivalent:

- (1). (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact.
- (2). Every maximal filterbase $sg\alpha$ -converges to some point of X .
- (3). Every filterbase $sg\alpha$ -accumulates at some point of X .
- (4). For every family $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of $sg\alpha$ -closed subsets of (X, τ) , such that $\bigcap \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \Phi$ there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\bigcap \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \Phi$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let F_0 be a maximal filterbase on X . suppose that F_0 does not $sg\alpha$ -converge to any point of X . Then by Lemma 3.16, F_0 does not $sg\alpha$ -accumulate at any point of X . For each $x \in X$, there exists a $sg\alpha$ -open set U_x containing x and $B_x \in F_0$ such that $U_x \cap B_x = \Phi$. The family $\{U_x : x \in X\}$ is cover of X by $sg\alpha$ -open sets. By (1), there exists a finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of X such that $X = \bigcup \{U_{x_k} : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since F_0 is a filterbase, there exists $B_0 \in F_0$ such that $B_0 = \Phi$. This is a contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let F be a filterbase on X . There exists a maximal filterbase F_0 such that $F \subset F_0$. By (2), F_0 $sg\alpha$ -converges to some point $x_0 \in X$. Let $B \in F$. For every $U \in SG\alpha O(X, x_0)$. There exists $B_0 \in F_0$ such that $B_0 \subset U$, hence $U \cap B \neq \Phi$, since it contains the member $B_0 \cap B$ of F_0 . This shows that F $sg\alpha$ -ccumulates at x_0 .

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of $sg\alpha$ -closed subsets of (X, τ) such that $\bigcap \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \Phi$. If possible suppose that every finite subfamily has a nonempty intersection. Then $\beta = \{\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i} : n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{\alpha_i} \in \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}\}$ form a filterbase on X . Then by (3) β is $sg\alpha$ -accumulates to some points $x \in X$. this implies that for every $sg\alpha$ -open set U containing x , $F_\alpha \cap U \neq \Phi$ for $F_\alpha \in \beta$. and every $\alpha \in \Delta$. Since $x \notin \bigcap \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$, there exists $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$ such that $x \notin F_{\alpha_0}$. Therefore $x \in X \setminus F_{\alpha_0}$, which is $sg\alpha$ -open set in X . But $F_{\alpha_0} \cap X \setminus F_{\alpha_0} = \Phi$, then we get the contradiction of the fact that β is $sg\alpha$ -accumulates to x .

(4) \Rightarrow (1): Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X . Then $\{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of $sg\alpha$ -closed subset of X such that $\bigcap \{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \Phi$. Then by (4) there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\bigcap \{X \setminus U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \Phi$. This implies that $X = \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$; hence (X, τ) is a $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Definition 3.18. A point x in a topological space (X, τ) is said to be a $sg\alpha$ -accumulation point of a subset A of X if $|S \cap A| = |A|$ for each $S \in SG\alpha O(X, x)$.

Definition 3.19. In a topological space (X, τ) , a point x is said to be a $sg\alpha$ -adherent point of a filter base F on X if it lies in the $sg\alpha$ -closure of all sets of F .

Theorem 3.20. A topological space (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact if, and only if each infinite subset

Proof: Let (X, τ) be a $sg\alpha$ -compact space and A an infinite subset of X . Let K be the set of all points of x in X which are not $sg\alpha$ -complete accumulation points of A . Now it is obvious that for each point x in K , we are able to find $U(x) \in SG\alpha O(X, x)$ such that $|A \cap U(x)| \neq |A|$. If K is the whole space X , then $C = \{U(x) : x \in X\}$ is a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X . By the hypothesis X is $sg\alpha$ -compact, so there exists a finite subcover $G = \{U(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup \{U(x_i) \cap A : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $|A| = \max\{|A \cap U(x_i)| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which does not agree with what we assumed. This implies that A has a $sg\alpha$ -complete accumulation point. Now assume that X is not $sg\alpha$ -compact and that every infinite subset A of X has a $sg\alpha$ -complete accumulation

point in X . it follows that, there exists a $sg\alpha$ -open cover S with no finite subcover. Set $\alpha = \min\{|\varphi| : \varphi \subset S, \text{ where } \varphi \text{ is the } sg\alpha\text{-open cover of } X\}$. Fix $\varphi \subset S$ for which $|\varphi| = \alpha$ and $\cup\{U : U \in \varphi\} = X$. Then, by hypothesis $\alpha \geq |\aleph|$, where \aleph denotes the set of all natural numbers. By well ordering of φ by some minimal well ordering " \sim ", suppose that U is any member of φ . By minimal well-ordering " \sim " we have $|\{V : V \in \varphi, V \sim U\}| < |\{V : V \in \varphi\}|$. Since φ can not have sub cover with cardinality less than α , then for each $U \in \varphi$ we have $X \neq \cup\{V : V \in \varphi, V \sim U\}$. For each $U \in \varphi$ we have $X \neq \cup\{V : V \in \varphi, V \sim U\}$. For each $U \in \varphi$, choose a point $x(U) \in X \setminus \cup\{V : V \in \varphi, V \sim U\}$. We always able to do this if not one can choose a cover of smaller cardinality from φ . If $H = \{x(U) : U \in \varphi\}$, then to finish the proof we will show that H has no $sg\alpha$ -complete accumulation point in X . suppose that $z \in X$. Since φ is a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X , z is a point of some set, say, W in φ . By the fact that $U \sim W$, we have $x(U) \in W$. it follows that $T = \{U : U \in \varphi \text{ and } x(U) \in W\} \subset \{V : v \in \varphi, V \sim W\}$. This means two distinct points U and W in φ , we have $x(U) \neq x(W)$. This means that H has no $sg\alpha$ -complete accumulation point in X , which contradicts to our assumptions. Therefore, X is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Theorem 3.21. A topological space (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact if, and only if every net in X with a well ordered directed set as its domain $sg\alpha$ -accumulation to some point of X .

Proof: suppose that X is $sg\alpha$ -compact and $A = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ a net with a well ordered directed set A as domain. Assume that A has no $sg\alpha$ -adherent point in X . Then for each $x \in X$, there exists $V(x) \in SG\alpha O(X, x)$ and an $\alpha(x) \in A$ such that $V(x) \cap \{x_\alpha : \alpha \geq \alpha(x)\}$ is a subset of a $X \setminus V(x)$. Then collection $C = \{V(x) : x \in X\}$ is a $sg\alpha$ -open cover of X . since X is $sg\alpha$ -compact, C has a finite subfamily $\{V(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^n V(x_i)$. Suppose that the corresponding elements of A be $\alpha(x_i)$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. since A is well ordered and $\{\alpha(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is finite, the largest element of $\{\alpha(x_i)\}$ exists. Suppose it is $\alpha(x_i)$. Then for $\beta \geq \alpha(x_i)$, we have $\{x_\delta : \delta \geq \beta\} \subset \cap_{i=1}^n \{X \setminus V(x_i)\} = X \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n V(x_i) = \emptyset$, which is impossible. This shows that A has at least one $sg\alpha$ -adherent point in X . Conversely, suppose that S is an infinite subset of X . According to Zorn's lemma, the finite set S is well ordered. This means that we can assume S to be a with domain which is well ordered index set. It follows that S has a $sg\alpha$ -adherent point z . Therefore, z is a $sg\alpha$ -complete accumulation point of S . This shows that X is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Theorem 3.22. A topological space (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact if, and only if each filter base in X has atleast one $sg\alpha$ -adherent point.

Proof: Suppose that X is $sg\alpha$ -compact and $F = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ a filter in it. Since all finite intersections of F_α 's are nonempty, it follows that all finite intersections of $sg\alpha cl(F_\alpha)$'s are also nonempty. By finite intersection property $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} sg\alpha cl(F_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that F has at least one $sg\alpha$ -adherent point. Now suppose that F is any family of $sg\alpha$ -closed sets. Let each finite intersection be nonempty, the set F_α with finite intersection establish the filter base F . Therefore, F $sg\alpha$ -accumulates to some point z in X . It follows that $z \in \cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha$. Now we have by theorem 3.9(3), X is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

Theorem 3.23. A topological space (X, τ) is $sg\alpha$ -compact if, and only if each filter base in X , with at most $sg\alpha$ -adherent point, is $sg\alpha$ -convergent.

Proof: Suppose that X is $sg\alpha$ -compact, $x \in X$ and F is a filter base on X . The $sg\alpha$ -adherent of F is a subset of $\{x\}$. Then the $sg\alpha$ -adherent of F is equal to $\{x\}$ by Theorem 3.22. Assume that there exists $V \in SG\alpha O(X, x)$ such that for all $F \in F$, $F \cap (X \setminus V) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\varphi = \{F \setminus V : F \in F\}$ is a filter base on X . Then $sg\alpha$ -adherent of φ is nonempty. However, $\cap_{F \in F} sg\alpha cl(F \setminus V) \subset (\cap_{F \in F} sg\alpha cl(F)) \cap (X \setminus V) = \{x\} \cap (X \setminus V) = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Hence for each $V \in SG\alpha O(X, x)$, there exists $F \in F$ such that $F \subset sg\alpha cl(V)$. This shows that F $sg\alpha$ -converges to x . Conversely, assume that F is a filter base on X with no $sg\alpha$ -adherent point. By hypothesis, F $sg\alpha$ -converges to some point z in X . suppose F_α is an arbitrary element of F . then for each $V \in SG\alpha O(X, z)$, there exists a $F_\beta \in F$ such that $F_\beta \subset V$. Since F is a filter base, there exists a δ such that $F_\delta \subset F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\alpha \cap V$, where $F_\delta \neq \emptyset$. This means that $F_\alpha \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for every $V \in SG\alpha O(X, z)$ and correspondingly for each $\alpha, z \in sg\alpha cl(F_\alpha)$. It follows that $z \in \cap_\alpha sg\alpha cl(F_\alpha)$. Therefore z is a $sg\alpha$ -adherent point of F , a contradiction. This shows that X is $sg\alpha$ -compact.

REFERENCES

- [1] Levine, N, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. math. monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [2] Njastad, O, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific j. Math., 15(1965), 961-970
- [3] Rajesh, N and Biljana, K, Semigeneralized α -closed sets, Antartica J. Math., 6 (1) 2009, 1-12.