

# FACTORS OF SOCIAL ECONOMIC STATUS

**R.AMBIKA**

Ph.D. Research Scholar (Full-Time)  
Department of History  
Alagappa University  
Karaikudi

**Dr.K.KRISHNAMOORTHY**

Assistant Professor  
Department of History  
Alagappa University  
Karaikudi

## **Abstract**

*Socioeconomic status is an monetary and sociological joint total amount of a being's work information and of an person's. Socioeconomic status is characteristically fragmented into three levels to label the three seats a family or an discrete may decrease. The socioeconomic status with unhappy condition is diverse. Socioeconomic status (SES) is a compound measure of an person's economic and sociological standup. It is a complex valuation measured in a variability of ways that version for a individual's work knowledge and monetary and social place in relative to others.*

## **Introduction**

Socioeconomic status is an monetary and sociological joint total amount of a being's work information and of an person's or family's economic then social position in relative to others, founded on domestic revenue, payees' education, and job are inspected, as well as combined revenue, whereas for an person's SES only their individual qualities are measured. However, SES is more usually used to depict an financial change in society as a entire.

## **Socioeconomic status**

Socioeconomic status is characteristically fragmented into three levels to label the three seats a family or an discrete may decrease. When introduction a family or distinct into one of these classes, any or altogether of the three variables can be judged. Socioeconomic standing (SES) is usually leisurely by shaping instruction, income, career, or a amalgamated of these measurements. One of the solidest and most unswerving predictors of a individual's disease and mortality familiarity is that person's socioeconomic status (SES). Although these scopes of SES are interrelated, it has been proposed that each reflects somewhat unlike individual and general forces accompanying with health and infection. For example, income mirrors expenditure power, frame, diet, and medicinal care; living measures respect, responsibility, bodily activity, and work experiences; and education designates skills necessary for obtaining positive social, mental, and economic incomes.

## Reviews of socio economic Status

The socioeconomic status with unhappy condition is diverse. Numerous epidemiologic lessons have testified that higher-status relatives or suburban quarters are suggestively more likely to have families with Down condition, not governing for caring age (Gath & Gumley, 1986; Hodapp et al., 2008; Shepperdson, 1985; Vrijheid et al., 2000). Two army studies from the United Kingdom informed that the spreading of paternal livelihoods was analogous to that of a judgment group but with a unimportant excess of higher-status jobs in the Down condition group along with elder parental age (Carr & Hewett, 1982; Cunningham, 1996). Some other educations have described no significant alteration in socioeconomic features of families with children with Down condition and children of other preschoolers, including alterations from Sweden using a general birth register that involved measures of intimate income and frame quality (Ericson, Eriksson, & Zetterstrom, 1984), birth blemishes following data in the Czech State (Dzurova & Pikhart, 2005), and a case–regulator study of live childbirths in Italy (Rosano, Del Bufalo, & Burgio, 2008). Other educations have described an inverse overtone, with developed socioeconomic position families taking a lower chance of having a child with Unhappy syndrome (Dzurova & Pikhart, 2005; Khoshnood et al., 2006; Knox & Lancashire, 1991; Torfs & Christianson, 2003). Ecological trainings in the United Kingdom found no overtone between local actions of socioeconomic status and the commonness of Down disease (Lopez, Stone, & Gilmour, 1995).

### Factors of socio economic status

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a compound measure of an person's economic and sociological standup. It is a complex valuation measured in a variability of ways that version for a individual's work knowledge and monetary and social place in relative to others, founded on income, teaching, and occupation. Socioeconomic position has been a influential determinant of health as a overall rule, well-off people tend to be in improved health than persons of poorer standing (Erreygers, 2013). There looks to be a important impact of socioeconomic status on a multitude of sicknesses. Socioeconomic status plays an central role, even if it is ancillary. Most premalignant lesions (Hashibe 2003) and utmost squamous cubicle carcinomas of the verbalized cavity occur in publics of low socioeconomic station (Edwards and Jones, 1999; Greenwood et al., 2003; Bhurgri, 2005).

People are generally whole into clusters based on these metrics, from least fortunate to most privileged, or low, average, or tall SES (Galobardes et al., 2006). There are numerous multifaceted factors in the association between socioeconomic rank and fitness. People with comparatively few capitals may not must very good admission to care facilities, or even transport to get health upkeep. They may not must the time to emphasis on their fitness, or adequate teaching to realize the influence that certain rudiments have on their fitness. Urgencies can vary also; one being might be annoying to uphold good fitness, while another being is a single ma trying to uphold a family with a least wage job. The pressure related to a being's socioeconomic rank alone may effect his or her fitness (Businelle et al., 2013). Irrespective of the mechanism, there is a strong

connotation among SES and health. Countless studies with numerous different illnesses have found deep inferences of socioeconomic status for illness (Bashinskaya et al., 2012; Gershon et al., 2012).

## Conclusion

Torfs and Christianson (2003) tested the premise that social besides economic weakness experienced by a female during her period growths her risk of eating a fetus with Miserable syndrome. The data derived from an investigation of families of progenies with birth shortcomings collected in 1991–1993 by (CBDMP), in which parents were quizzed regarding potential safety factors. The authors assembled a scale based on five methods of low socioeconomic position over a female's lifecycle up to time of start—mothers' teaching less than high university, mother's low-status occupation. The scale had a lined overtone with Down syndrome, with a confident response on each item allied with an 18% developed jeopardy of Down syndrome. The authors stated adjusted odds ratios fluctuating from 1.2 for one jeopardy issue up to 1.9 for four or more socioeconomic rank risk factors, supervisory for motherly age and Hispanic civilization. When the overtone was limited to live labors, the overtone with little socioeconomic rank was sturdier for older females.

## Reference

1. National Center for Educational Statistics. 31 March 2008. <http://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/glossary/s.asp>
2. Goode, Erica (1 June 1999) "For Good Health, it Helps to be Rich and important" *The New York Times*
3. Marmot, Michael. 2004. *The Status Syndrome: How Social Standing Affects Our Health and Longevity*. New York: Owl Books.
4. Werner, Shirli, Malaspina, Dolores, and Rabinowitz, Jonathan. Socioeconomic Status at Birth is Associated with Risk of Schizophrenia: Population-Based Multilevel Study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 18 April 2007.
5. *"Disability & Socioeconomic Status"*. American Psychological Association.
6. Hunt, J (4 October 1972). Early Education and low class .doi:10.1037/h0082195
7. Wisdom Supreme. 6 April 2008. <http://www.wisdomsupreme.com/dictionary/absolute-income-hypothesis.php>
8. Boushey, Heather and Weller, Christian. (2005). *Inequality Matters: The Growing Economic Divide in America and its Poisonous Consequences.. "What the Numbers Tell Us."* Pp 27-40. Demos.
9. Lareau, Annette. (2003). *Unequal Childhoods: Race, Class, and Family Life*. University of California Press
10. Staff (2012) (2012) "Education & Socioeconomic Status" American Psychological Association
11. Gollnick, Donna M & Chinn, Philip. (2013) *Multicultural Education in a Pluralistic Society* Pearson. ISBN 9781256639121

12. Stanek (2012) *Talking About Class*
13. Scott, Janny and Leonhardt, David. "Class Matters: A Special Edition." New York Times 14 May 2005. <https://www.nytimes.com/2005/05/14/national/class/15MOBILITY-WEB.html>
14. Milne, A., & Plourde, L. A. (2006). Factors of a Low-SES Household: What Aids Academic Achievement?
15. Recent Trends in Household Wealth in the United States: Rising Debt and the Middle-Class Squeeze—an Update to 2007 by Edward N. Wolff, Levy Economics Institute of Bard College, March 2010
16. MacArthur Research Network on SES and Health. 31 March 2008. "Archived copy". Archived from the original on 29 April 2008. Retrieved 16 April 2008.

