

ANTIBIOTIC PRODUCTION FROM ISOLATED BACTERIA FROM SOIL AND ITS PRODUCTION DEMONSTRATION

@Shakti Kumar Saket & #Dr. Arpita Awasthi

@Research Scholar, Centre For Biotechnology Studies, A.P.S.University, Rewa(M.P.)

#Professor, Deptt. of Botany, T.R.S. College, REWA (M.P.)

Abstract :

Antibiotics are chemical compounds that have been used since being discovered and used as remedy for infections, inflammations and diseases. This antibiotic is prevalent in almost everywhere. Soil is diversified with various types of microorganisms which include mainly bacteria, cyanobacteria, microfungi, microalgae and protozoans. Among all the products produced by soil microbes antibiotics are the most important secondary metabolites produced by them. Today almost all the known disease producing bacteria have developed resistance to the existing drugs because of their extensive use. Samples from different soil environments were tested for the presence of antibiotic producing bacteria by screening soil bacterial isolates for antibiotic production using standard cultures (*Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus subtilis*).

Keywords : antibiotic, prevalent, bacteria, cyanobacteria, micro fungi.

1. INTRODUCTION

Competition, which is a negative interaction among microorganisms, arises when different microorganisms within a population or community try to acquire the same resource, whether this is a physical location or a particular limiting nutrient. 'Amensalism' is the unidirectional process based on the release of a specific compound by one organism which has a negative effect on another organism during competition. A classic example of amensalism is the production of antibiotics that can inhibit or kill a susceptible microorganism (Prescott, 2002). Antibiotics are classes of chemical compounds which are derived mainly from microorganisms (Van Epps, 2006). Based on the chemical structure of naturally produced antibiotics, similar substances are produced wholly or partly by chemical synthesis; which in low concentrations inhibit the growth of one or more other species of microorganisms (Kelmani, 1997). Soils and habitats lacking organic matter stimulate microorganisms to produce antibiotics to eliminate competitors (Waksman and Woodruff, 1940). During the current study, antibiotic producing bacteria were isolated from different soil environments. Primary screening was done using the spot test and the cross streaking method. Agar well diffusion technique was used for secondary screening to confirm the results from the primary screening. Identification of antibiotic producing bacteria and characterizing them up to the species level was performed using several morphological and biochemical tests. The growth curves of the

isolates were constructed to study the relationship between the growth phase and antibiotic production. The underlying principle of antibiotic production as a result of depletion in nutrients or space when bacterial growth reaches the stationary phase was studied.

2. METHODOLOGY

Screening for antibiotic producing isolates

Samples from different soil environments were tested for the presence of antibiotic producing bacteria by screening soil bacterial isolates for antibiotic production using standard cultures (*Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus subtilis*). A dilution series was prepared using soil and each dilution was plated out using nutrient agar. After incubation, well isolated bacterial colonies were used for the spot test where they were spotted on a lawn of standard organisms spread on an agar surface using the spread plate technique. The cross streak method was also performed for primary screening where a perpendicular streak was performed using the isolate across a streak made using the standard culture. The agar well diffusion method was used for secondary screening. A solution of antibiotic containing cell free suspension was obtained by growing the isolate in nutrient broth and filtration using the Hemming's filtration technique. The antibiotic solution was poured into metal wells placed on an agar surface spread with a standard culture. The primary and secondary screenings were done in triplicate for accuracy. Antibiotic producing *Bacillus subtilis* was used as the positive control while a culture of *Escherichia coli*, which is a non-antibiotic producer, was used as the negative control during primary and secondary screening.

3. IDENTIFICATION OF THE ISOLATES

Morphological studies and biochemical tests were performed to identify the antibiotic producing isolates into their genus and species levels. (Motility of the isolates, Grams' stain of the isolates, Endospore staining, Catalase test, Oxidase test, Glucose fermentation test, Oxidative/ fermentative test (Hugh and Leifson's' test), Test for anaerobic growth, Test for acid production from carbohydrates, Hydrolysis of casein and gelatin, Hydrolysis of starch, Utilization of citrate, Nitrate reduction test, Indole test, Urease test, Temperature tests, Growth in *Pseudomonas* selective media, Florescence test, Growth on MacConkey Agar, Arginine dihydrolase, Pigment production and Egg yolk reaction)

4. CONSTRUCTION OF THE GROWTH CURVE

A small amount of inoculum from a selected isolate was transferred to 10 ml of sterilized nutrient broth in a test tube. It was incubated for 24 hours. Nutrient broth (90 mL) was prepared in a 500 mL screw capped flask and was autoclaved. Incubated 10 mL broth was aseptically transferred to the 500 mL screw capped flask containing 90 mL of nutrient broth and the added time was recorded as zero. It was shaken well and a dilution series was prepared up to 10^{-8} using 1 mL of the original sample. Each dilution (1 mL) was plated out using nutrient agar. The flask was continuously shaken at a constant rate of 250 r.p.m. At constant time periods i.e. every 30 minutes for 14 hours, 1 mL of the original broth was pipetted out and using a dilution series, pour plating was performed. The plates were incubated for 24 hours in an inverted position using the 30 °C incubator. The number of colony forming units were counted and recorded.

5. DETERMINATION OF ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY

An overnight broth culture of a particular test organism was inoculated on to the surface of a solidified Mueller Hinton agar plate using the spread plate technique. Stainless steel autoclaved antibiotic wells (6 mm in diameter) were placed on Mueller Hinton Agar. A Cell free suspension of the antibiotic producing isolate was obtained using Hemming's filtration. Using a micropipette, 200 μ L of the cell free suspension was aseptically and carefully added into the well. The plates were incubated at a face-up position for 24 hours in a 30 °C incubator.

6. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF DATA

Data was analyzed using the MINITAB 14 software package. Correlation between the diameter of the inhibition zone and the Log CFU/mL was tested with Pearson correlation analysis. A linear regression analysis was performed to determine the relationship between the log CFU/ml and time (min), during the log phase of the growth curves.

7. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A zone of inhibition was observed around isolates spotted on a bacterial lawn grown on nutrient agar during primary screening. The diameters of the zones of inhibition vary with the type of isolate and the type of bacterial lawn. The observation of a clear zone was recorded. (Table 1) When the tested organism is streaked over by the isolate, growth suppression in the region streaked, indicates a positive result.

8. SCREENING FOR ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY

Results based on Mueller-Hinton agar. Class results may vary with use of different agars.

1. Antimicrobial activity of isolated microbe NBR-1, against Antibiotics

S.NO.	Selected Antibiotics	Antibiotics CONC. (µl)	Isolated bacterial species(µl)	ZOI(mm)
1	Tetracycline	100	30	12
2	Ciprofloxacin	100	30	16
3	Amoxicillin	100	30	0.0
4	Nalidixic acid	100	30	08
5	Vancomycin	100	30	0.0

Table1 .showing AST activity of isolated microbe **NBR-1** against antibiotics, according to SIR Table No.5




Figure 1. Showing AST activity of Ciprofloxacin against unknown isolated bacteria A1

2. Antimicrobial activity of isolated microbe HTB-2 against Antibiotics

S.NO.	Selected Antibiotics	Antibiotics CONC. (μ l)	Isolated bacterial species(μ l)	ZOI(mm)
1	Tetracycline	100	30	11
2	Ciprofloxacin	100	30	13
3	Amoxicillin	100	30	06
4	Nalidixic acid	100	30	08
5	Vancomycin	100	30	04

Table2.showing AST activity of isolated microbe HTB-2 against Antibiotics, according to SIR Table No.5




Figure 2. Showing AST activity of Ciprofloxacin against unknown isolated bacteria HTB-2

3. Antimicrobial activity of isolated microbe HTB-4, against Antibiotics

S.NO.	Selected Antibiotics	Antibiotics CONC. (μ l)	Isolated bacterial species(μ l)	ZOI(mm)
1	Tetracycline	100	30	12
2	Ciprofloxacin	100	30	14
3	Amoxicillin	100	30	05
4	Nalidixic acid	100	30	08
5	Vancomycin	100	30	12

Table 3. showing AST activity of isolated microbe **HTB-4**, against Antibiotics, according to SIR Table No.5




Figure 3. Showing AST activity of antibiotics against unknown isolated bacteria **HTB-4**.

4. Antimicrobial activity of isolated microbe NBC-3, against Antibiotics

S.NO.	Selected Antibiotics	Antibiotics CONC. (μ l)	Isolated bacterial species(μ l)	(ZOI)
1	Tetracycline	100	30	10
2	Ciprofloxacin	100	30	09
3	Amoxicillin	100	30	11
4	Nalidixic acid	100	30	12
5	Vancomycin	100	30	02

Table 4. showing AST activity of isolated microbe **NBC-3** against Antibiotics, according to SIR Table No.5




Figure 4. Showing AST activity of antibiotics against unknown isolated bacteria **NBC-3**.

5. Antimicrobial activity of isolated microbe TPR-2, against Antibiotics

S.NO.	Selected Antibiotics	Antibiotics CONC. (μ l)	Isolated bacterial species(μ l)	(ZOI)
1	Tetracycline	100	30	08
2	Ciprofloxacin	100	30	10
3	Amoxicillin	100	30	04
4	Nalidixic acid	100	30	08
5	Vancomycin	100	30	14

Table 5. showing AST activity of isolated microbe B4 against Antibiotics, according to SIR Table No.5





Figure .5. Showing AST activity of antibiotics against unknown isolated bacteria B4

6. Antimicrobial activity of isolated microbe TPR-5, against Antibiotics

S.NO.	Selected Antibiotics	Antibiotics CONC. (μ l)	Isolated bacterial species(μ l)	(ZOI)
1	Tetracycline	100	30	06
2	Ciprofloxacin	100	30	12
3	Amoxicillin	100	30	05
4	Nalidixic acid	100	30	03
5	Vancomycin	100	30	11

Table 6.showing AST activity of isolated microbe **TPR-5** against Antibiotics, according to SIR Table No.5Figure .6.Showing AST activity of antibiotics against unknown isolated bacteria **TPR-5**

7. Antimicrobial activity of isolated microbe SPR-3, against Antibiotics

S.NO.	Selected Antibiotics	Antibiotics CONC. (μ l)	Isolated bacterial species(μ l)	(ZOI)
1	Tetracycline	100	30	12
2	Ciprofloxacin	100	30	16
3	Amoxicillin	100	30	10
4	Nalidixic acid	100	30	11
5	Chloramphenicol	100	30	13

Table 7. showing AST activity of isolated microbe **SPR-3** against Antibiotics, according to SIR Table No.5




Figure .7. Showing AST activity of antibiotics against unknown isolated bacteria **SPR-3**

According to the results of the microscopic characteristics and the biochemical tests, the isolates were identified using the 'first stage table for Gram negative bacteria' in the Cowan and Steels' manual (Cowan, 1989), the 'second stage table for identifying Pseudomonads' in the Cowan and Steels' manual and using the Bergy's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology.

Physical parameters such as temperature, design of the shaker flask, shaking speed, volume of the broth, agitation and aeration plays a key role. Therefore during the design of the laboratory shaker flask

incubator setup, a presumed set of physical parameters for the incubation of *Pseudomonads* were employed and the same parameters were continuously followed during repeated incubation processes. Bacteria in the lawn and an isolate spotted on it will compete for nutrients and space in the nutrient agar plate. This will lead to the production of inhibitory substances that would inhibit the competitor (Atlas, 1995).

Primary and secondary screening revealed that the growth of Gram negative *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* has the ability to inhibit the growth of Gram positive *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Gram negative *Pseudomonas putida* can inhibit the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* but not *Bacillus subtilis*. This might be due to the antibiotic produced by *Pseudomonas putida* being specific only for the inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* or as the concentration of the antibiotic is deficient than the minimum inhibitory concentration needed to inhibit *Bacillus subtilis*.

Growth curves were constructed for all three isolates. The basic focus during the construction of the growth curve was on the time taken for the culture to reach the stationary phase which is important for the antibiotic production. The growth was measured in terms of colony forming units per milliliter (CFU/mL). The production of antibiotics is believed to take place in the late logarithmic phase or in the stationary phase. (Atlas, 1995).

The production of antibiotics was tested alongside the construction of the growth curve. Agar well diffusion technique was performed at time intervals for samples withdrawn from the shaker flask culture which were filtered using Hemming's filtration. After the initial few hours clear zones started to appear and the diameter of the clear zones kept increasing as the antibiotic production increased with time during the stationary phase. The amount of antibiotic produced did not decrease with the death phase of growth due to the accumulation of it in the broth.

Statistical analysis of data explains that there is a correlation between log CFU/mL and the diameter of the zone of inhibition for all three isolates ($R-Sq = 82.3\%$, $P < 0.05$). However, based on the assumption that the diameter of the clear zone is directly proportional to the amount of inhibitory compound/antibiotic produced, it can be stated that there is a correlation between the growth of bacteria and antibiotic production.

CONCLUSIONS

During the screening process, one of the notable features found was that some isolates, those that displayed strong antagonism against many other bacteria of the same habitat failed to show such strong activity against test organisms. This may be because they have evolved to gain the capacity to fight against competitors in their own habitat, but not against the limited number of laboratory strains chosen by us. Among the isolates, those belong to the Genus *Pseudomonas* were found to have the strongest antagonistic activity against test organisms. The degree of growth inhibition was found to be dependent on the isolate, test organisms, phase of the growth and changing environmental parameters. The growth curves constructed using the results of the particular isolates confirmed the established principle which says that the antibiotics are usually produced starting from the end of the log phase and during the stationary phase of growth.

REFERENCES

1. Atlas, R. M and Bartha, R. (1998). Microbial Ecology- Fundamentals and Applications. 4th edition. Benjamin/Cummings Science Publishing company. pp. 214-227
2. Cowan, S. T. (1989). Manual for the Identification of Medical Bacteria, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press 1974
3. Fleming, A. (1929). On the antibacterial action of cultures of a *Penicillium*, with special reference to their use in the isolation of *B. influenzae*, Brit. J. Exptl. Path. 10: 226-236.
4. Hosobuchi, M and Yoshikawa, H. (1999). Manual of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology. ASM Press. New York. pp 236-238
5. Kelmani, C. (1997). S. B. Sulia (Eds), A Textbook of Microbiology for Second Year Degree Students. United publishers. Mangalore pp. 167-183
6. Prescott, L., Harley, J and Klein, D. (1999). Microbiology. 4th edition. McGraw-Hill Company. New York. pp 678-696
7. Tortora, G J., Funke, B. R and Case, C. L. (2007). Microbiology an Introduction. Pearson Education. New York. pp 583
8. Van Epps, H. L. (2006). René Dubos: unearthing antibiotics. J. Exp. Med. 203 (2): 259
9. Waksman, S. A and Woodruff, H. B. (1940). The origins of antibiotics. J. Bacteriol. 40(4):581

10. Ash C., Farrow J., Wallbanks S. and Collins M.D. (1991). Phylogenetic heterogeneity of the genus *Bacillus* revealed by comparative analysis of small-subunit-ribosomal RNA sequences. *Letters of Applied Microbiology*, 13(4): 202-206.
11. Ash C., Priest F.G. and Collins M.D. (1993). Molecular identification of rRNA group 3 bacilli (Ash, Farrow, Wallbanks and Collins) using a PCR probe test. Proposal for the creation of a new genus *Paenibacillus*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 64: 253-260.
12. Baltz RH (2008). Renaissance in antibacterial discovery from actinomycetes. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, 8: 557–563.
13. Bansidhar T., Poonam D. and Dillip D. (2013). Recurrent Challenges for Clinicians: Emergence of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Vancomycin Resistance, and Current Treatment Options. *Journal Laboratory Physicians*, 5(2): 71-78.
14. Baruzzi F., Quintieri L., Morea M. and Caputo L. (2011). Antimicrobial compounds produced by *Bacillus* species and applications in food. Institute of Sciences of Food Production, National Research Council of Italy, Via G. Amendola 122/o, 70126, Bari, Italy.
15. Belkova N.L., Tazaki K., Zakharova J.R. and Parfenova V.V. (2007). Activity of bacteria in water of hot springs from Southern and Central Kamchatskaya geothermal provinces, Kamchatka Peninsula. *Russia Microbiology Research*, 162(2): 99-107. 60

16. Bentley S.D., Chater K.F., Cerdeno-Tarraga A.M. and 40 other authors (2002). Complete genome sequence of the model actinomycete *Streptomyces coelicolor*; *Nature*, A3(2): 417, 141–147.
17. Bertrand B.C. (2008). Searching for narrow spectrum antibiotics from microbes in soil. *Journal of Young Investigators*, 10(4): 1-5.
18. Besson F., Peypoux F., Michel G. and Delcambe L. (2008). Identification of antibiotics of iturin group in various strains of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of Antibiotics*, 1(4): 284-8.
19. Bloomberg B. (2004). Antimicrobial resistance in developing countries. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*, 128(21): 2462-2466.
20. Bobbie R.J. and White D.C. (1980). Characterization of benthic microbial community structure by high solution gas chromatography of fatty acid methyl esters. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 39: 1212–1222.
21. Boon N.C., Marle E.M., Top C. and Verstraet W. (2000). Comparison of the spatial homogeneity of physico-chemical parameters and bacterial 16S rRNA genes in sediment samples from a dumping site for dredging sludge. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 53: 742-747.
22. Bosshard P.P., Zbinden R., Abels S., Böddinghaus B., Altwegg M. and Böttger E. (2006). 16S rRNA gene sequencing versus the API 20 NE system and the Vitek 2 ID-GNB card for identification of non-fermenting gram-negative bacteria in the clinical laboratory. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 44: 1359-136.
23. Brock T.D. (1986). Introduction: An overview of thermophiles. In: *Thermophiles: General, Molecular, and Applied Microbiology*, (eds) Brock TD. New York: John Wiley & Sons, pp 154-158.

24. Bull A.T., Ward A.C. and Goodfellow M. (2000). Search and discovery strategies for biotechnology: the paradigm shift. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Revised*, 64: 573–606. 61
25. Cappuccino, J.G. and Sherman, N., (2002). *Microbiology. A laboratory manual*. 6th edition. Pearson education inc. San Francisco, California. 215-224.
26. Chant E.L. and Summers D.K. (2007). Indole signaling contributes to the stable maintenance of *Escherichia coli* multicopy plasmids. *Molecular Microbiology*, 63: 35–43.
27. Chatterjee S., Lad S.J., Phansalkar M.S., Rupp R.H., Ganguli B.N., Fehlhaber H.W., Kogler H. and Mersacidin (2002). A new antibiotic from Bacillus: fermentation, isolation, purification and chemical characterization. *The Journal of Antibiotics*, 45: 832–838.
28. Chelikani P., Fita I. and Loewen P.C. (2004). "Diversity of structures and properties among catalases". *Cellular Molecules Life Science*, 61 (2): 192–208.
29. Chen K., Neimark H., Rumore P., and Steinman C. R. (1989). Broad-range DNA probes for detecting and amplifying eubacterial nucleic acids. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 57: 19-24.
30. Coates A. R. and Y Hu (2009). Novel approaches to developing new antibiotics for bacterial infections. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 152: 1147–1154.
31. D'Costa V M., Christine E. K., Lindsay K., Mariya M., Wilson W. L., Carsten S., Duane F., Grant Z., Fabrice C., Regis D.G., Brian G., Hendrik N.P. and Gerard D.W. (2011). Antibiotic resistance is ancient. *Nature*, 477: 457–461.
32. David M. H., R. rooks C., Maureen M. H., Rosalind R. ., Phil H., Suzanne C. M., Nickson O., Tony D., Ekkehard ., Oliver N., anjiru E. M., and Jscut G. (2003). Aquatic biodiversity and saline lakes: Lake Bogoria National Reserve, Kenya. *Hydrobiologia*, 500: 259–276.