COMPREHENSIVE STUDY AND REVIEW ON PHARMACEUTICAL VALIDATION

Shine Sudev[1], Farsana[2],Mohamed.P[3], Ameer Suhail.A.K[4],Anju Jose[5],

Department of pharmaceutical chemistry, Moulana College of pharmacy, Kerala, India.

Abstract

Validation part is the most important and recognized parameter in the cGMPs. The process validation is standardization of the validation documents that has got to be submitted with the submission file for promoting authorization. According to agency (FDA), assurance of product quality springs from careful and general attention to variety of importance factors, including: selection of quality process through in-process and end-product testing. Validation is a concept that has evolved in unite states in 1978.The concept of validation has expanded through the years to embrace a wide range of activities from analytical methods used for the standard management of drug substances and drug product to processed systems for clinical trials, labeling or process control. Validation is founded on, however not prescribed by regulative needs and is best viewed as a very important and integral a part of cGMP.

Key words: validation, Importance, Scope, Types.

Introduction

Validation, it is the documented act of proving that any procedure, process, equipment, material, activity or system actually leads to the expected result. This are used to maintain and assure a higher degree of quality of food and drug products. As stated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the goal of validation is to: "establish documented evidence which provides a high degree of assurance that a specific process will compatibly produce a product meeting its predetermined specifications and quality attributes."

ISO definition, validation is the confirmation by examination and the provision of objective evidence that the particular requirements for a specific intended use can be consistently fulfilled.

US FDA Definition

"Process validation is establishing documented evidence which provides a high degree of assurance that a specified process will consistently produce a product meeting its pre-determined specifications and quality characteristics."

ICH Definition

"Process Validation is the means of ensuring and providing documentary evidence that processes within their specified design parameters are capable of repeatedly and reliably producing a finished product of the required quality."

WHO Definition

"The documented act of proving that any procedure, process, equipment, material, activity or system actually leads to expected result."

WHY VALIDATION?

New product or existing product changes as per the SUPAC.

- Change in vendor of API.
- Change in critical control parameter.
- Change in specification on input material.
- Change in process existing product.
- Change in batch size.
- Change in equipment.
- Change in component.
- Change in site of manufacture.

History

The concept of validation was first proposed by to FDA officials, Ted Byers and Bud Loftus, in the mid 1970s in order to improve the quality of pharmaceuticals (Agalloco 1995). It was found several problems in the sterility of large volume parenteral market. So, the first validation activities were focused on making of these products, but quickly spread to associated process of pharmaceutical.

IMPORTANCE OF VALIDATION

- More rapid and reliable start-up of new equipment
- The development of the next generation
- More rapid automation
- Customer satisfaction
- Customer mandated
- Product liability
- Reduction in utility cost
- Control production cost
- Safety
- Assurance of quality
- Process optimization
- Increased out put
- Avoidance of capital expenditure
- Scheduling and planning
- Change control
- Reference to existing document

SCOPE OF VALIDATION

Pharmaceutical Validation may be a large space of labor and it practically covers every aspect of pharmaceutical processing activities, hence defining the Scope of Validation becomes a really difficult task. However, a systematic look at the pharmaceutical operations will point out at least the following areas for pharmaceutical validation;

- Analytical Test Methods
- Instrument Calibrations
- Process Utility Services
- Raw Material
- Equipment
- Facilities
- Product Design
- Cleaning
- Operators
- Manufacturing operation

MANIFESTATION OF VALIDATION

The validation activity cannot be completed without proper documentation of each and every minute activity with almost details. Manifestation of validation is generally different types such as,

I. Validation Master Plan(VMP)
II. Risk Assessments (RA)
III. Validation Reports (VR)
IV. Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)
V. Validation plans(VP)
VI. Computer System Validation (CSV)

Validation Master Plan (VMP)

Advantages:

- It gives idea about future performed
- What activities are to be performed?
- Who is going to perform these activities?
- When the activities should start and when they should get over?
- What documents will be generated?
- What the policy on revalidation?

V.M.P. includes...

- Premises
- Processes
- Products
- Format for protocol and other documentation
- List of relevant SOPs
- Planning and scheduling
- Location
- Estimation of staffing requirements

- A time plan of the project

Guidelines on Preparing V.M.P.

- V.M.P. writes on A4 size paper.
- File in a presentable form.
- Have sufficient explanatory drawings.
- Clearly divide the V.M.P in different form.
- It must be dated and signed properly by authorized persons.
- If found any step inappropriate discuss this with the F.D.A. People in advance.

Validation plans (VP)

- Validation Plans outline the scope and goals of a validation project.
- The Validation set up is written at the beginning of the validation project (sometimes at the same time with the user demand specification) and is sometimes specific to one validation project.
- The collection of documents created throughout a validation project is named a Validation Package.
- Once the Validation project is complete, all documents in the validation package should be stored according to your site document control procedures.
- Validation Plans square measure typically project specific; Validation Master Plans govern validation activities for a whole organization or web site.
- Sometimes plans are named for the applicable knowledge domain, such as a Software Validation Plan.

  Validation Plan Examples

  A Validation Plan should include:

  - Deliverables (documents) to be generated during the validation process
  - Resources, departments, and personnel to participate in the validation project
  - Time-lines for completing the validation project
  - Acceptance criteria to confirm that the system meets defined requirements
  - Compliance requirements for the system, including how the system will meet these requirements
- The plan should be written with an amount of detail that reflects system complexity.
- The plans ought to be approved, at a minimum, by the System Owner and Quality Assurance.
- Once approved, the set up ought to be maintained consistent with your web site document management procedures.

Risk Assessments (RA)

In validation, Risk Assessment documents potential business and compliance risks related to a system and also the methods that may be accustomed mitigate those risks.

RA justifies allocation of validation resources and might contour the testing method.

They conjointly function a forum for users, developers, system owners, and quality to discuss the system which can have other intangible benefits.

21 CFR eleven doesn't need risk assessments, but Annex 11 does require a risk-management strategy.

Assigning risk should be a multi-disciplinary function.

System homeowners, key end-users, system developers, information technology, engineers, and Quality should all participate if they are involved with the system.

The Risk Assessment (RA) should be signed by the personnel who participated in the assessment.

Risk Assessment Examples

- There are several ways for Risk Assessment, but they generally all include rating risk for each requirement in at least three specific categories:
- Criticality – How important a function is to system functionality?
- Low criticality means the system will still operate comparatively usually, even if the function is completely compromised.
- High risk means that if the function is damaged, one of the primary functions of the system cannot be accomplished.
  Detectability – The ease of detecting an issue arising with a particular function. It is more risky if there is a low chance of detectability; high chances of detectability correspond to lower risk.
  Probability – The probability of an issue arising with a particular function. Low probability means there is little chance that the function will fail; high probability means there is a high chance that the function will fail.

Computer System Validation (CSV)

- Computer system validation (sometimes known as laptop validation or CSV) is that the method of documenting that a computing system meets a collection of outlined system necessities.
- Validation of laptop systems to make sure accuracy, dependableness, consistent supposed performance, and therefore the ability to make out invalid or altered records may be a vital demand of electronic record compliance, as
- Described within the government agency twenty one CFR eleven.10 (a) and EMA Annex 11, Section 4.

Computer System Validation Services

Our computing system validation consultants have valid laptop programs for every type of FDA-regulated businesses, including pharmaceutical and biologics manufacturers, medical device manufacturers, clinical research organizations, and GLP laboratories.
Software Validation – laptop systems validation services for SAP, LIMS, Sales force, Track wise, and other business and laboratory data management systems.
Web primarily based Applications – specialized validation services for internet, cloud, and mobile applications.
MS surpass computer programs – Spreadsheet security and compliance with twenty one CFR eleven.
MS Access Databases – Your internally-created databases created compliant with government agency necessities.

Computer System Validation Training

- Ofni Systems has given laptop validation displays and coaching categories to organizations like FOI Services, ISPE, IVT, and PDA.
- Computer System Validation – climbable coaching categories on laptop validation.
- Learn computer system validation principles and ensure compliance.
- Spreadsheet Compliance and Validation – on-line coaching categories that debate specific validation necessities for computer programme validation
- Review of {computer system| computing system automatic data methoding system |ADP system| ADPS| system} Validation Documentation and Techniques – Web-based categories that give an outline of the validation process and best practices

Computer System Validation Resources

- Additional computing system validation steerage and resources from Ofni Systems

- Validation Documents – A library of knowledge concerning computing system validation plans, practical specifications, and different validation documentation

- 21 CFR 11.10(a) – examine government agency computing system validation necessities with further statement from Ofni Systems validation consultants.

- FastVal – management your validation method with Ofni Systems validation management system.

Additional Electronic Record Compliance Services

Part eleven and Validation Assessments – Ofni Systems will review your electronic record compliance or produce audit checklists for your organization.
Software Testing – Stress testing, challenge testing, load testing, and other specialized software testing services for FDA-regulated businesses
Data Migration – Migrate knowledge from heritage systems and guarantee correct knowledge transfer following government agency tips.
Maximize the Benefits of Computer System Validation
Computer validation is more than a compliance requirement.
Pharmaceutical computing system validation may be a distinctive chance for a business to look at their laptop systems to maximize effectiveness and enhance quality.
Ofni Systems ensures that your validation project clearly documents why your customers should share the high degree of confidence you hold in your company and your systems, while scaling the project to your organizational validation requirements and budget.

BASIC CONCEPT OF PROCESS VALIDATION

It is the most important and recognized parameters of cGMPs. The need of process validation seems in the quality system (QS) regulations. Its aim is to simultaneously produce products that are fit for their intend use.

Installation Qualification (IQ)

The Installation Qualification Protocol verifies the right installation and configuration of a System. This can embody making certain that necessary files are loaded, instrumentality has been put in, the mandatory procedures are approved, or the acceptable personnel are trained. The requirements to properly install the system were outlined within the style Specification. Installation Qualification should be performed before finishing the Operational Qualification or Performance Qualification. Depending on your wants and therefore the complexness of the system, Installation Qualification can be combined with Operational Qualification or Performance Qualification. Installation Qualification protocols ought to be approved before protocol execution. A copy of the unexecuted protocol should be kept in the validation package. The unexecuted protocol should be approved by the System Owner and Quality Assurance. The executed protocol should be signed by the tester and reviewed by the system owner and Quality.

Installation Qualification Examples

Installation Qualification might test:

- That the software system has the acceptable processor, RAM, etc.
- That all files required to run the system are present
- That all documentation needed to coach system personnel has been approved
- Each step of the qualification ought to embody associate instruction, an expected result, and the actual result.
- Any discrepancy between the expected result and therefore the actual result ought to be tracked as a deviation.
- Deviations should be resolved before validation is complete.

Operational Qualification (OQ)

- The Operational Qualification Protocol may be a assortment of take a look at cases accustomed verify the correct functioning of a system.
- The operational qualification take a look at necessities are outlined within the practical necessities Specification.
- Operational Qualification is typically performed before the system is free to be used.
- Depending on your wants and also the quality of the system, Operation Qualification can be combined with Installation Qualification or Performance Qualification.
- Operational Qualifications should be approved before protocol execution. A copy of the unexecuted protocol should be kept in the validation package. The unexecuted protocol should be approved by the System Owner and Quality Assurance. The executed protocol should be signed by the tester and reviewed by the system owner and Quality.

Operational Qualification Examples

For example, the operational qualification might test

- That each screen accepts the appropriate data
- That associate item will be enraptured through a complete advancement
- That system security has been properly implemented
- That all technological controls for compliance with twenty one CFR eleven are functioning evidently
- Each step of the qualification ought to embody associate instruction, an expected result, and the actual result.

- Any discrepancy between the expected result and also the actual result ought to be half-track as a deviation.
- Before completion the validation deviation should be resolved

Performance Qualification (PQ)

- Performance Qualifications area unit a set of take a look at cases wont to verify that a system performs evidently underneath simulated real-world conditions.
- The performance qualification tests needs outlined within the User needs Specification (or presumably the useful needs Specification).
- Sometimes the performance qualification is performed by power users because the system is being free.
- Depending on your wants and also the complexness of the system, Performance Qualification can be combined with Installation Qualification or Operational Qualification.
- Performance Qualifications should be approved before protocol execution. A copy of the unexecuted protocol should be kept in the validation package. The unexecuted protocol should be approved by the System Owner and Quality Assurance. The executed protocol should be signed by the tester and reviewed by the system owner and Quality.

Performance Qualification Examples

For example, a performance qualification might demonstrate:

- That a system will handle multiple users while not important system lag
- That when the system contains large quantities of data, queries are returned in a certain (short) period of time
- That concurrent independent work-flows do not affect each other
- That a laboratory take a look at properly identifies a familiar material
- That a process was completed within defined system requirements
- Each step of the qualification ought to embody associate instruction, an expected result, and the actual result.
- Any discrepancy between the expected result and also the actual result ought to be half-track as a deviation.
- Before validation, deviation should be resolved

TYPES OF VALIDATION

The major types of Validation are,

I. **Prospective Validation**(New Product/Process/System/Equipment)
II. **Concurrent Validation**(Routine Product/Process/System/Equipment)
III. **Retrospective Validation**(Review and Analysis of Historical Data)
IV. **Revalidation** (Repetition of Validation/Qualification Process).

Type 1 – Prospective validation

Prospective validation is dispensed throughout the event stage by means that of a risk analysis of the assembly method, that is softened into individual steps: this square measure then evaluated on the idea of past expertise to see whether or not they would possibly result in vital things. Where doable vital things square measure known, the risk is evaluated, the potential causes are investigated and assessed for probability and extent, the trial plans are drawn up, and the priorities set. The trials are then performed and evaluated, and an overall assessment is made. If, at the end, the results are acceptable, the process is satisfactory. Unsatisfactory processes should be changed and improved till a validation exercise proves them to be satisfactory.This form of validation is crucial so as to limit the chance of errors occurring on the assembly scale, e.g.

In the preparation of injectable products.

Type 2 -Concurrent validation

Concurrent validation is carried out during normal production.

This technique is effective on condition that the event stage has resulted in an exceedingly correct understanding of the basics of the method.

The first 3 production-scale batches should be monitored as comprehensively as doable.1The nature and specifications of subsequent in-process and final tests are based on the evaluation of the results of such monitoring. This careful observation of the primary 3 production batches is usually thought to be prospective validation.

Concurrent validation alongside {analytic thinking} together with stability ought to be dispensed to an applicable extent throughout the lifetime of the merchandise.

Type 3 -Retrospective validation

Retrospective validation involves the examination of past expertise of production on the idea that composition, procedures, and equipment remain unchanged; such experience and the results of in-process and final control Tests are then evaluated.

Recorded difficulties and failures in production square measure analyzed to see the boundaries of method parameters. An analytic thinking is also conducted to see the extent to that the method parameters square measure among the permissible varies.

Retrospective validation is clearly not a top quality assurance live in itself, and should never be applied to new processes or products. It may be thought of in special circumstances solely, e.g.

When validation needs square measure 1st introduced in an exceedingly company.

Retrospective validation might then be helpful in establishing the priorities for the validation programme if the results of a retrospective validation square measure positive, this indicates that the process is not in need of immediate attention and may be validated in accordance with the normal schedule for tablets which have been compressed under individual pressure-sensitive cells, and with qualified equipment, retrospective validation is the most comprehensive test of the overall manufacturing process of this dosage form. On the other hand, it should not be applied in the manufacture of sterile products.

Type 4 –Revalidation

Revalidation is required to make sure that changes within the method and/or within the method surroundings, whether or not intentional or unintentional, do not adversely affect process characteristics and product quality.

Revalidation may be divided into two broad categories:
• Revalidation once any modification having an impression on product quality.
• Periodic revalidation carried out at scheduled intervals.

Revalidation after changes

Revalidation should be performed on the introduction of any changes of a manufacturing procedure having an impact on the established product performance features. Such changes may embrace those in packaging, starting material, equipments, manufacturing processes, manufacturing areas, or support systems in process control (water, steam, etc.).

Every such modification requested ought to be reviewed by a certified validation cluster, which can decide whether or not it's vital enough to justify revalidation and, if so, its extent.

Re-validation once a change is also supported the performance of identical tests and activities as those used throughout the first validation, together with tests on sub processes and on the instrumentality involved.

Some typical changes that need revalidation embrace the following:

Changes in the starting material(s).

Changes at intervals the physical properties, like density, viscosity, particle size distribution, and crystal kind and modification, of the active ingredients or excipients might have an effect on the mechanical properties of the material; as a consequence, they'll adversely have an effect on the method or the merchandise.

Changes in the packaging material, e.g.

Replacing plastics by glass might need changes within the packaging procedure and thus have an effect on product stability.

Changes in the process, e.g.

Changes in compounding time, drying temperature and cooling regime, may affect subsequent process steps and product quality.

Changes in equipment, including measuring instruments, may affect both the process and the product; repair and maintenance work, such as the replacement of major equipment components, may affect the process.

Changes within the production space and web, e.g.

The transcription of producing areas and/or support systems might end in changes within the method.

Surprising changes and deviations is also discovered throughout self-inspection or audit, or during the continuous trend analysis of process data.

Periodic Revalidation

It is accepted that method changes might occur step by step though practiced operators work properly in line with established strategies.

Similarly, equipment wear may also cause gradual changes. Consequently, revalidation at scheduled times is wise though no changes are deliberately created.

The decision to introduce periodic revalidation ought to be primarily based primarily on a review of historical knowledge, i.e.

Data generated throughout in-process and finished product testing once the most recent validation, aimed at verifying that the process is under control.

During the review of such historical data, any trend in the data collected should be evaluated.

In some processes, like sterilization, further method testing is needed to enhance the historical knowledge.

The degree of testing needed is apparent from the first validation.

VALIDATION DOCUMENTS: REQUIREMENT SPECIFICATION

USER SPECIFICATION

The User necessities Specification describes the business wants for what users need from the system.

User necessities Specifications are written early within the validation method, usually before the system is formed.

They are written by the system owner and end-users, with input from Quality Assurance.

Requirements outlined in the URS are usually tested in the Performance Qualification or User Acceptance Testing.

User necessities Specifications aren't meant to be a technical document; readers with solely a public knowledge of the system ought to be able to perceive the necessities made public in the URS.

The URS is generally a planning document, created when a business is planning on acquiring a system and is trying to determine specific needs.

User Requirements Examples

Good requirements are objective and testable. For example:

Screen A accepts production info, as well as heap, Product Number, and Date.

System B produces the Lab Summary Report.

Twenty users will use System C at the same time while not noticeable system delays.

Screen D will print on-screen knowledge to the printer.

System E will be compliant with 21 CFR 11.

The URS should include:

Introduction – as well as the scope of the system, key objectives for the project, and the applicable regulatory concerns

Program necessities – the functions and work flow that the system should be able to perform

Data Requirements – the information type should be able to process in the system.

Life Cycle necessities – as well as however the systems are going to be maintain and users trained For a lot of examples and templates.

Requirements are sometimes given a singular symbol, such as ID #, to aid in traceability throughout the validation process.

User necessities Specifications ought to be signed by the system owner, key end-users, and Quality.

Once approved, the URS is retained according to your organization’s practices for document retention.

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The practical needs Specification documents the operations and activities that a system should be able to perform.

Functional Requirements should include:

Descriptions of information to be entered into the system

Descriptions of operations performed by each screen

Descriptions of work-flows performed by the system

Descriptions of system reports or other outputs

Who can enter the data into the system?

How the system meets applicable regulatory requirements

The practical needs Specification is meant to be browse by a general audience.

Readers ought to perceive the system; however no specific technical data ought to be needed to grasp the document.

DESIGN SPECIFICATION

Design Specifications describe however a system performs the wants printed within the purposeful needs. Depending on the system, this may embrace directions on testing specific needs, configuration settings, or review of functions or code. All needs printed within the purposeful specification ought to be addressed; linking needs between the purposeful needs and style specification is performed via the Traceability Matrix.

Design Specification Examples

- Good requirements are objective and testable. Design Specifications may include:
- Specific inputs, including data types, to be entered into the system
- Calculations/code used to accomplish defined requirements
- Outputs generated from the system
- Explaining technical measures to ensure system security
- Identify how the system meets applicable regulatory requirements
- For more examples and templates, see the Fast Val Design Specification Template.
- System needs and verification of the installation method area unit typically tested within the Installation Qualification.
- Input, Processing, Output, and Security testing area unit typically tested within the Operational Qualification.
- Due to the extraordinarily technical nature of most style documents, there is currently some discussion in the industry about who needs to review the Design Specification.
- The Design Specification is reviewed and approved, at minimum, by the System Owner, System Developer, and Quality Assurance.
- Quality Assurance signs to confirm that the document complies with applicable laws which all needs were with success self-addressed, but they do not necessarily need to review technical information.
- Depending on the dimensions and complexness of the program, the planning specification could also be combined.

THE VALIDATION REPORT

After completion of validation, a report should be available which should be in written form, if it found acceptable, then it should be approved and authorized(signed and dated).and the report should have the following details;

- Title and objective of the study;
- Reference to protocol;
- Details of material;
- Equipment;
- Programmes and cycle used;
- Details of procedure and test method;
- Results(compared with acceptance criteria);
- Recommendations on the limit and criteria to be applied on future basis.

CONCLUSION

Validation has been proven assurance for the process efficiency and sturdiness and it is the full-fledged quality attributing tool for the pharmaceutical industries. Validation is the commonest word in the areas of drug development, manufacturing and specification of finished products. It also renders reduction in the cost linked with process monitoring, sampling and testing. Apart from all the consistency and reliability of a validated process to produce a quality Product is the very important for an industry.

REFERENCE

1. Sreejanardhanan.V,Shine Sudev; Review on HPLC method Development Validation and Optimization;Int.J.Pharm.Sci.Rev.Res.2019,56(2) 28-42.

2.Singh SP, Dhaker K, Namdev A, Khinchi MP, Bhatnagar S; A Review on Process Validation; PharmaTutor; 2016; 4(12); 9-19.

3. http://www.ofnisystems.com/services/validation/validation resources/. Overview of validation documents and projects.2019.

4.Dr.keyur B,Ahir, Khushboo D. Sing, Sushma P, Yadav, Hetal S, Patel,Chetan B, Poyahari; Overview of Validation and Basic Concepts of Process Validation.2014:3(2):178-190

5.Monika Sharma,Shikha Agarwal,Shweta Agarwal,Manoj Sharma; A Review on prospective validation;the pharma innovation journal2015;4(3):01-07.

6. Kaur Harpreet*,Singh Gurpreet,Seth Nimrata;A Review on Pharmaceutical Process Validation; Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics;2013, 3(4),189-194.

7. Dr.keyur B,Ahir, Khushboo D. Sing, Sushma P, Yadav, Hetal S, Patel,Chetan B, Poyahari; Overview of Validation and Basic Concepts of Process Validation; Scholars Academic Journal of Pharmacy;2014;3(2):178-190

8.Elsie Jattoand Augustine O.Okhamafe; An Overview of Pharmaceutical Validation and Process Controls in Drug Development.;Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research,December 2002;1(2):115-122.