ON PRIME SEMI NEAR RINGS

¹**D.Radha**, ²**M.Vinutha**, ³**C.Dhivya** ¹Assiatant Professor of Mathematics,

A.P.C.Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India

²Research Scholar,

A.P.C.Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India

³Assistant Professor of Mathematics,

A.P.C.Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract : The notion of a Reverse Derivation as an additive mapping from a ring R into itself satisfying d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x) for all x, y in R was introduced by Bresar and Vukman [3]. Samman and Alyamani studied the Reverse Derivations on Semi Prime Rings [17]. In this paper we have introduced a Prime Semi Near-ring which admits non-zero Reverse σ -derivation satisfying certain conditions to be a Commutative ring. It is proved that a Prime Semi Near ring S together with d, a non-zero Reverse σ -derivation of S is commutative when d([x, y]) = [x, d(y)] for all x, y in S and proved that for a Prime Semi Near ring S with d a non-zero Reverse σ -derivation of S is commutative when [x, d(x)] = 0 for all x in S. Also it is proved that S is commutative if [d(y)d(x)] = 0 for all x, y in S. We have also showed that S is commutative if [x, d(y)] belongs to central elements where S is a Prime Semi Near ring and d is a non-zero Reverse σ -derivation of S.

Key words: Reverse derivation, Reverse σ -derivation, commutative in semi near-rings, central elements, Prime near-ring.

1.INTRODUCTION:

In 1987 H.E.Bell and G.Mason [2] initiated the study on commutativity of prime near-rings by using derivations. Later by generalizing some results of Bell and Mason, A.A.M.Kamal in 2001 [5] studied the commutativity of 3-prime near-rings using σ -derivation instead of usual derivation, where σ is an automorphism on the near-ring. [1] Afrah M.Ibraheem used the notion of reverse derivation on prime Γ - near ring *M* to study the commutativity conditions of *M*, when *U* be a non-zero invariant subset of *M*.Throughout the paper *S* will denote a zerosymmetric semi near-ring with multiplicative center Z.

2.PRELIMINARY:

Definition:2.1

A right near ring is a set N together with two binary operators '+" and '.' such that

- (i) (N,+) is a group (not necessarily abelian)
- (ii) (N,.) is a semi group
- (iii) For all x, y, x in N, (x + y)z = xz + yz (right distributive law)

Definition:2.2

A near ring N is called a *prime near ring* if xNy = 0 implies x = 0 or y = 0 for all $x, y \in N$.

Definition:2.3

A nonempty set S with two binary operators '+' and '.' is said to be *semi near ring* if (S,+) is a semi group and (S,.) is a semi group satisfying the right distributive law.

Definition:2.4

An additive mapping $d: N \to N$ is called *derivation* if d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y or equivalently that d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) for all $x, y \in N$.

Definition:2.5

An additive mapping d from a ring R into itself satisfying d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x) for all $x, y \in R$ is called *reverse derivation*.

Definition:2.6

The symbol Z(N) will represent the multiplicative *center* of N, that is, $Z(N) = \{x \in N | xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in N\}$.

Notation:2.7

- (i) The symbol [x, y] will denote the commutator xy yx for all $x, y \in N$.
- (ii) [x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z and [xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y satisfied for all $x, y, z \in N$.

Definition:2.8

A semi near ring S is said to have an absorbing zero if a + 0 = 0 + a = a and a = 0 = 0. a for all $a \in S$.

Definition:2.9

Let N be a near ring, and σ is an automorphism on N. An additive mapping d from N into itself is called a reverse σ -derivation on N if satisfying $d(xy) = d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x)$ for all $x, y \in N$.

3.ON PRIME SEMI NEAR RINGS

Definition:3.1

A Semi near ring S is called *prime semi near ring* if xSy = 0 implies x = 0 or y = 0 for all $x, y \in S$.

Definition:3.2

Let *S* be a semi near ring, and σ is an automorphism on *S*. An additive mapping d from S into itself is called a *reverse* σ -*derivation* on *S* if satisfying $d(xy) = d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Lemma:3.3

Let d be an arbitrary additive automorphism of S. Then $d(xy) = \sigma(y)d(x) + d(y)x$ for all $x, y \in S$ if and only if $d(xy) = d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Therefore, d is a reverse σ -derivation if and only if $d(xy) = d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x)$.

Proof:

Suppose, $d(xy) = \sigma(y)d(x)d(y)x$ for all $x, y \in S$.Since $(x + x)y = xy + xy, d((x + x)y) = d(xy + xy) \Rightarrow d((x + x)y) = \sigma(y)d(x + x) + d(y)(x + x) = \sigma(y)d(x) + \sigma(y)d(x) + d(y)x + d(y)x \cdots \cdots \cdots (1)$ for all $x, y \in S$.And, $d(xy + xy) = d(xy) + d(xy) = \sigma(y)d(x) + d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x) + d(y)x \cdots \cdots (2)$ for all $x, y \in S$.From (1) and (2) we get, $\sigma(y)d(x) + d(y)x = d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x)$ So, $d(xy) = d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$.The converse of the proof is similar. Hence the result.

Lemma:3.4

Let *S* be a prime semi near ring, and *d* be a non-zero reverse σ -derivation of *S*. If $d(S) \subset Z(S)$, then *S* is a commutative ring.

Proof:

Let $d(x) \in Z(S)$, for all $x \in S$. Then $d(x)z = zd(x) \cdots (1)$ Replacing x by xy in (1) we have $d(xy)z = zd(xy) \Rightarrow (d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x))z = z(d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x))$. Then, $\sigma(y)d(x)z - z\sigma(y)d(x) = -d(y)xz + zd(y)x = -d(y)xz + d(y)zx \cdots (2)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Replacing $\sigma(y)$ by d(x) in (2) and using (1) we have $d(x)d(x)z - zd(x)d(x) = d(y)[-xz + zx] \Rightarrow d(y)[z, x] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in S$. $\cdots (3)$. Replacing z by zy in (3) and using (3) we get, d(y)z[y, x] = 0 for all $x, y, z \in S$. Since S is prime, and $d(y) \neq 0$, we have [y, x] = 0 for all $x, y \in S$. Therefore S is commutative. Hence Proved.

Lemma:3.5

Let *S* be a prime semi near ring with center *Z*, and let *d* be a non-zero reverse σ -derivation of *S*, then $d(Z) \subset Z$.

Proof:

For any $z \in Z$ and $x \in S$, we have $d(xz) = d(zx) = d(z)x + \sigma(z)d(x) = \sigma(z)d(x) + d(z)x$ (by lemma:3.3). If we replace $\sigma(z)by z$, we get, $d(xz) = zd(x) + d(z)x \cdots \cdots (1)$ for all $x, z \in S \Rightarrow d(zx) = d(x)z + \sigma(x)d(z) \cdots \cdots (2)$ for all $x, z \in S$. From (1) and (2) we get, $d(z)x = \sigma(x)d(z)$ and since σ is automorphism, we have d(z)x = xd(z) for all $x, z \in S$. Therefore, $d(z) \in Z$. Hence the proof.

Lemma:3.6

Let d be a non-zero reverse σ -derivation of a prime semi near ring S, and $x \in S$. If xd(S) = 0 or d(S)x = 0, then x = 0.

Proof:

Let us assume that, $xd(s) = 0 \cdots \cdots (1)$ for all $s \in S$. Replacing *s* by ms in (1), we have $xd(ms) = 0 \Rightarrow xd(s)m + x\sigma(s)d(m) = 0 \cdots (2)$ for all $x, m, s \in S$. By using (1) in (2) we get, $x\sigma(s)d(m) = 0$. Also since σ is automorphism, we have xSd(m) = 0 for all $x, m \in S$. And, since S is prime and $d(s) \neq 0$, we have x = 0.Similarly, we can prove the case when d(s)x = 0 for all $s \in S$. Hence proved.

Theorem:3.7

For a prime semi near ring S, let d be a non-zero reverse σ - derivation of S, such that [x, d(x)] = 0, for all $x \in S$, then S is commutative.

Proof:

Let $[x, d(x)] = 0 \cdots \cdots \cdots (1)$ for all $x \in S$. Replacing d(x) by yd(x) in (1) and using (1) again, [x, yd(x)] = 0 we get $[x, y]d(x) = 0 \cdots \cdots \cdots (2)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Replace y by zy in (2) and using (2), we get [x, zy]d(x) = 0 we get [x, z]yd(x) = 0 for all $x, y, z \in S$. Since S is prime, we have either [x, z] = 0 or d(x) = 0. Since $d(x) \neq 0$, for all $x \in S$, then [x, z] = 0 it follows that $x \in Z(S)$ for each fixed $x \in S$ and by lemma:3.3, we get $d(x) \in Z(S)$, that is $d(S) \subset Z(S)$. By lemma:3.4, we get S is commutative.

Theorem:3.8

Let S be a prime semi near ring, and d be a non-zero reverse σ -derivation of S. If [d(y), d(x)] = 0 for all $x, y \in S$, then S is commutative.

Proof:

Given that $[d(y), d(x)] = 0 \cdots (1)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Replacing y by yx in (1) we get $[d(x)y + \sigma(x)d(y), d(x)] = 0$. By using (1) again, we get, $d(x)[y, d(x)] + [\sigma(x), d(x)]d(y) = 0 \cdots (2)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Replacing y by zy, where $z \in Z(S)$ in (2) we get, $d(x)z[y, d(x)] + d(x)[z, d(x)]y + [\sigma(x), d(x)]d(y)z + [\sigma(x), d(x)]\sigma(y)d(z) = 0 \cdots (3)$ for all $x, y, z \in S$. Since σ is automorphism and by using (2) in (3) we get, $[\sigma(x), d(x)]yd(z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in S$. Since S is prime, we have either $[\sigma(x), d(x)] = 0$ or d(x) = 0. Since $d(z) \neq 0$, we have $[\sigma(x), d(x)] = 0 \cdots (4)$ for all $x \in S$. Replacing $\sigma(x)$ by x in (4) and by using Theorem:3.7 we get, S is commutative.

Theorem:3.9

Let S be a prime semi near ring, and d be a non-zero reverse σ -derivation of S. If $[x, d(y)] \in Z(S)$, for all $x, y \in S$, then S is commutative.

Proof:

Assume that $[x, d(y)] \in Z(N)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Hence for all $s \in S$, $[[x, d(y)], s] = 0 \cdots (1)$. Replacing x by xd(y) in (1), and using (1) again, we get $[x, d(y)][d(y), s] = 0 \cdots (2)$ for all $x, y, s \in S$. Replacing x by sx in (2), and using (2) again, we get $[s, d(y)]x[d(y), s] = 0 \cdots (3)$ for all $x, y, s \in S$. Since S is prime, we have either $[s, d(y)] = 0 \cdots (4)$ for all $s, y \in S$ or $[d(y), s] = 0 \cdots (5)$ for all $y, s \in S$. If we replace d(y) by md(y) in (4) and (5) we get, $[s, md(y)] = m[s, d(y)] + [n, m]d(y) = 0 \Rightarrow [s, m]d(y) = 0$. Also, $[md(y), s] = 0 \Rightarrow m[d(y), s] + [m, s]d(y) = 0 \Rightarrow [m, s]d(y) = 0$ for all $s, m \in N$. Therefore, S is commutative.

Theorem:3.10

Let S be a prime semi near ring, d be a non-zero reverse σ -derivation of S, and $y \in S$. If [d(x), y] = 0then d(y) = 0 or $y \in Z(S)$.

Proof:

Let $[x, d(x)] = 0 \cdots \cdots (1)$ for all $x \in S$. Replacing d(x) by yd(x) in (1) and using (1) again, $[x, yd(x)] = 0 \Rightarrow [x, y]d(x) = 0 \cdots \cdots (2)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Replace y by zy in (2) and using (2), we get [x, zy]d(x) = 0 we get [x, z]yd(x) = 0 for all $x, y, z \in S$. Since S is prime, we have either [x, z] = 0 or d(x) = 0. Since $d(x) \neq 0$, for all $x \in S$, then we have [x, z] = 0, it follows that $x \in Z(S)$ for each fixed $x \in S$, and by lemma:3.5, we get $d(x) \in Z(S)$, that is $d(S) \subset Z(S)$. Then by lemma :3.4, we get S is commutative.

Theroem:3.11

Let *S* be a prime semi near ring, and *d* be a non-zero reverse σ -derivation of *S*, such that d([x, y]) = [x, d(y)] for all $x, y \in S$, then *S* is commutative

Proof:

Given that $d([x, y]) = [x, d(y)] \cdots (1)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Replacing y by yx in (1) and using (1), we get, $[x, d(x)]y + [x, \sigma(x)]d(y) = 0 \cdots (2)$ for all $x, y \in S$. If we replace $\sigma(x)$ by x in (2) $[x, d(x)]y = 0 \cdots (3)$ for all $x, y \in S$. Replacing y by yd(x) in (3), we get, [x, d(x)]yd(x) = 0. Since S is prime, $d(x) \neq 0$ we have, [x, d(x)] = 0 for all $x \in S$. Then by Theorem:3.7 we get S is commutative. Hence proved.

4. CONCLUSION:

We have studied in this paper, the commutativity of *S*, where *S* is a semi near ring and *S* has a non-zero reverse σ -derivation d, where d is an additive mapping from *S* onto itself satisfying $d(xy) = d(y)x + \sigma(y)d(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$ and σ is an automorphism on a semi near ring *S*. Also we have introduced some conditions on d, to get the commutativity on *S*, when *S* is a prime semi near ring.

REFERENCES:

- [1] M.Afrah Ibraheem, (2015). "*Reverse Derivations on Prime Gamma Near Rings*", Int.J.Pure Appl.Sci.Technol.,26(2), 64-69.
- [2] H.E.Bell and G.Mason, (1987), "On derivations in near-rings, Near-rings and near-fields(Tubingen, 1985)", North-Holland Math. Stud., 137, North-Holland, Amesterdam, 31-35.
- [3] M.Bresar and J.Vukman, (1989). "On some additive mappings in rings with involution", A equations math., 38, 178-185.
- [4] G.Pilz, 1983, "Near-Rings", North Holland, Amsterdam.
- [5] C.Jaya Subba Reddy, G. Venkata Bhaskara Rao, S.Vasantha Kumar, (2015). "Generalized Reverse Derivations on Semi prime Rings", The Bulletin of Society for Mathematical Services, Vol. 15, 1-4.
- [6] A.A.M.Kamal, (2001). " σ -derivations on prime near-rings", Tamkang J.Math.32, 89-93.
- [7] Radha.D and Selvi.V, September 2017, *Stable and Pseudo Stable Gamma Near Rings*, Proceedings on National Conference on Recent Trends in Pure and Applied Mathematics, ISBN: 978-81-935198-1-3.
- [8] Radha.D and Raja Lakshmi.C, October 17, 2018, A study on semicentral Seminear rings, Proceedings; National Seminar on New Dimensions in Mathematics and its Applications, ISBN No:(978-93-5346-948-1) pg:(97-106).
- [9] Radha.D and Meenakshi.P, December 2017, *Some Structures of Idempotent Commutative Semigroup*, International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM) Vol 2, Issue 12, ISSN (Online) 2456-1304.
- [10] D.Radha and C. Raja Lakshmi, February 2018, On Weakly π Subcommutative Γ Near Rings, Proceedings on National Conference on Innovations in Mathematics (NCIM 2018), ISBN : 978-81-935198-5-1, Page (10-18).
- [11] Radha.D and Kavitha.M, February 2018, *Pseudo Symmetric Ternary* Γ *Semiring*, Proceedings on National Conference on Innovations in Mathematics (NCIM 2018), ISBN : 978-81-935198-5-1, Page (19-24).
- [12] Radha.D and Raja Lakshmi.C, April 2018, On Zero Symmetric Semicentral Γ Near Rings, International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM) Vol 3, Issue 4, ISSN (Online) 2456-1304.
- [13] Radha.D, Siva Ranjini.J, Vinutha.M, (17th October-2018), *P-Pseudo Symmetric Ideals in Ternary Semigroup*, National Seminar on New Dimensions in Mathematics and its applications, ISBN: 978-93-5346-948-1, Page(8-15).
- [14] Radha.D and Suguna.D, April 2018, Normality in Idempotent Commutative Γ Semigroup, International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM) Vol 3, Issue 4, ISSN (Online) 2456-1304.
- [15] Radha.D and Parvathi Banu.M, April 2018, Left Singularity and Left Regularity in Near Idempotent Γ Semigroup, International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM) Vol 3, Issue 4, ISSN (Online) 2456-1304.
- [16] Radha.D, Vinutha.M, Raja Lakshmi.C, December 2018, A study on GS-Near Ring, Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR) Vol 6, Issue 2, 1064-1069, ISSN (online) 2349-5162.

- [17] M.Samman, N.Alyamani, (2007). "Derivations and reverse derivations in semi prime rings", International Mathematical, Forum, 2, No. 39, 1895-1902.
- [18] X.K.Wang, (1994). "Derivations in prime near-rings", Proc. Amer.Math.Soc., 121(2),361-366.
- [19] Yilun Shang, (2015). "A Note on the Commutativity of Prime Near-rings", Algebra Colloquium, 22(3), 361-366.

