Integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers and their effect on quality and relative economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Swati Mehta¹ and Dr. Rajeev Bharat²

Division of Agronomy, Sher-e-Kashmir university of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, Chatha -180 009, Jammu (Jammu and Kashmir)

¹Ph.D. Scholar; ²Junior Scientist (Agronomy) AICRP, Rapeseed and Mustard, Division of PBG, SKUAST Jammu, Chatha 180 009.

ABSTRACT

An experiment entitled, "Effect of integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers on quality and relative economics of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)" was conducted at the research farm of Farming System Research Centre of Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, Chatha during the rabi season of 2015-2016. The experimental results revealed that among the treatments, treatment T₇ (100 % NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) though statistically at par with the treatment T_3 (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 50 % K + 50 % Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) and T_2 (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha) recorded significantly higher carbohydrate content (65.38 %) over all the other treatments in comparison. The highest net returns (Rs. 64580.58 per hectare) were recorded in treatment T_3 (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 50 % K + 50 % Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano -K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) over control and other treatments and was followed by treatment T₂ (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha) which gave net returns of Rs. 64390.83 per hectare. However, highest B:C ratio (2.87) was recorded in treatment T₂ (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha) followed by treatment T_1 (RDF) which recorded B:C ratio of 2.83.

Key words: Nano-fertilizers, WH-1105, Nano-K, Nano NPK, B:C ratio and Quality.

Wheat is a cereal grain, originally from the Levant region (Feldman et al., 2007) but now cultivated worldwide. Wheat is an important source of carbohydrates (Shewry and Hey, 2015). Globally, it is the leading source of vegetal protein in human food, having a protein content of about 13 %, which is relatively high compared to other major cereals, but relatively low in protein quality for supplying essential amino acids. When eaten as the whole grain is а source of multiple nutrients and fibre. In a small part of the general population, gluten - the major part of wheat protein can trigger coeliac disease, non-coeliac sensitivity, gluten ataxia and dermatitis gluten herpetiformis (Ludvigsson et al., 2013). The wheat crop is grown in India in an area of

about 30 million hectare with a production and productivity of 90.78 million tonnes and 2.99 tonnes per hectare respectively (Anonymous, 2016). It is the major rabi crop of Jammu and Kashmir state and is grown on acreage of 292 thousand hectares with an annual production of 602 thousand metric tonnes with an average productivity of 2.06 tonnes per ha (Anonymous, 2016a) which is quite low as compared to national average productivity. The green revolution of 1970's triggering high growth in agriculture which paved the way for food security in India mainly relied on short statured high yielding varieties which were responsive to inorganic fertilizers namely, Urea, DAP and MOP, thereby ensuring food security to the 1.2 billion up to early 21st century. India is mainly dependant on inputs of fertilizers which are imported from other countries and the input costs are rising on day to

day basis with subsequent reduction in subsidies on imported fertilizers by Govt. of India to save foreign exchange besides increasing the GDP of the country. Presently 35-40 % of the crop productivity depends upon fertilizer, but some of the fertilizer affects the plant growth directly. Ironically, indiscriminate and imbalanced use of these inorganic fertilizers has adversely affected the soil health, human well being besides reducing factor productivity. The application of urea, DAP and MOP have been found to have lower fertilizer efficiency which ranges from 20 to 50 % for nitrogen and 10-25 % for phosphorus and 70-80 % potassium (Shaviv, 2000; Chinnamuthu and Boopathi, 2009) owing to leaching losses besides volatilization and denitrification losses which not only contribute to the green house gases emission but also certain health hazards such as blue baby syndrome as a result of eutrophication and leaching losses of urea. Because of the shortage of arable land, limited water and nutrient resources, the development of agriculture sector is only possible by increasing resource use efficiency with the minimum damage to production bed through effective use of modern technologies (Naderi and Shahraki, 2013). To overcome all these drawbacks, nanotechnology holds promise and nano-fertilizers can go a long way in ensuring sustainable soil health and crop production (Lal, 2008). Fertilizers, nutrients encapsulated inside nano porous materials, coated with thin polymer film, or delivered as particle or emulsions of nano scales dimensions (Rai et al., 2012) are known as Nano-fertilizers. Nano-particles below 100 nm size can be used as fertilizer for efficient nutrient management besides an added advantage of stress tolerating ability. Nano-fertilizers provide the major nutrients to the crop as per the requirement in a phased manner as it contains nutrients and growth promoters encapsulated in nano scale polymers. These nano scale polymers ensure low and a target efficient release for providing the nutrients to the crop in a sustained manner during its life cycle thus ensuring increased nutrient use efficiency. These could also release their active ingredients in response to environmental triggers and biological demands more precisely and play a beneficial role in soil health by building up carbon uptake, improving soil aggregation and water holding capacity. Nanofertilizers being encapsulated in nano-particles increase the uptake of nutrients (Tarafdar et al., 2014). These fertilizers made through biological

match inorganic fertilizers in terms of nutrient composition and application rates. Nano-fertilizers are synthesized in order to regulate the release of nutrients depending on the requirements of the crops and are more efficient than ordinary fertilizers (Liu and Lal, 2015). The chelated & revolutionary nutritional nano-fertilizers formulated with organic & chelated micro nutrients, trace elements, vitamins, probiotics, seaweed extract and humic acid served as complete nutritional fertilizer for all the crops. These high performance and efficient fertilizers enhanced the crop production while protecting ecology. Also, the deficiency of potassium had been reported in large area of Jammu region, therefore the nano-potassium was also included in the experiment. Thus, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the response of wheat crop to eco-friendly granular as well as foliar Nano-NPK and Nano-K fertilizers under Jammu conditions so that a viable and economically feasible option can be given to the farmers of the region for maintaining sustainable crop production with improved quality of the wheat crop. It is pertinent to mention here that no work on this aspect had been initiated in Jammu region so far.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during rabi season of 2015-2016 at the Research Farm, FSR Centre, SKUAST-J, Main campus Chatha, Jammu. Geographically, the experimental site was located at 32° - 40' N latitude and 74° - 58' E longitude with an altitude of 332 meters above mean sea level in the Shiwalik foothills of North-Western Himalayas. The climate of the experimental site was mainly sub- tropical in nature endowed with hot and dry early summers followed by hot and humid monsoon seasons and cold and dry winters. The mean annual rainfall of the location varies from 1050 - 1115 mm of which 70 % rainfall is received from June to September, whereas the remaining 30 % of rainfall is received in few scanty showers of cyclonic winter rains from December to March due to western disturbances. However, the total rainfall and its distribution are subjected to large variations. The soil of experimental site was clay loam in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction, low in organic carbon, available nitrogen and potassium but medium in available phosphorus. The experiment was laid out in randomized-block design with eight treatments and three replications at the research farm of Farming System Research Centre of Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, Chatha. Urea, DAP and MOP were used as chemical sources of fertilizer and for nano-fertilizer treatments, Nano NPK and Nano-K in granular (G) and liquid forms (L) were used in the experiment. The experiment consisted of 8 treatments viz. T₁: RDF (Control), T₂: 100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha, **T**₃: 100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 50 % K + 50 % Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water, T4: 75 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 50 % K + 50 % Nano –K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water, T5: 75 % N + 50 % P₂O₅ + 50 % Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha, T₆: 75 % N + 50 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @ 37.5kg/ha + 2 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 25 and 45 DAS @ 3ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water, **T**₇: 100 % NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water and Ts: 50 % NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water which was arranged in Randomized Block design with three replications. The crop variety WH-1105 was sown on 30th November, 2015. Full dose of P and K along with one third of N was applied as basal dose at the time of sowing through inorganic sources of nutrients viz. Urea, DAP and MOP, respectively and remaining two third was applied in two equal splits at CRI stage and pre booting stage. Granular as well

as foliar forms of Nano-NPK and Nano-K were applied as per the treatments. The relevant quality parameters were recorded in wheat crop and was subjected to analysis by using Fisher's method of analysis of variance (ANOVA) and interpreted as outlined by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The level of significance used in 'F' and 't' test was p = 0.05. Critical difference values were calculated where F test was found significant. The economics was worked out based on the prevailing prices in the market during the period both for inputs and the outputs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Quality

Quality of wheat in terms of protein content and carbohydrate content reported in Table 1 revealed that in case of quality parameters, protein content failed to show significant results with the integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers. However, carbohydrate content of wheat increased significantly with the integrated use of nano and nonnano fertilizers on the wheat. Highest carbohydrate content was recorded in the treatment T_7 (100 % NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage @ 4 ml/litre of water) which was although found to be at par with the treatment T_3 (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 50 % K + 50 % Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) and T₂ (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha). This might be due to more surface area and more availability of nutrients to the crop plant which help to increase these quality parameters of the plant (such as protein, sugar content) by enhancing the rate of reaction or synthesis process in the plant system Mir et al. (2015), Rajaie and Ziaevan (2009), Ghafari and Razmjoo (2013).

Table 1: Effect of integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers on quality of wheat

Treatments	Protein content (%)	Carbohydrate content (%)	
T ₁ RDF (control) (N:P:K @ 100:50:25 kg/ha)	11.17	63.21	
T ₂ 100 % N + 100 % P_2O_5 + 100% Nano-K (G) @62.5 kg/ha	11.14	64.10	
T ₃ 100 % N + 100 % $P_2O_5 + 50$ % K + 50% Nano-K (G) @	11 14	(4.21	
31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water T_4 75 % N + 100 % P ₂ O ₅ + 50 % K + 50% Nano-K (G) @	11.14	64.21	
31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water T = 75% N = 50% P Q = 50% Norma K (C) @ 21.25 kg/ha +	11.16	63.14	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	11.17	62.05	
Nano NPK (G) @ 37.5kg/ha + 2 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 25 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	11.15	63.01	
 Tr RDF + 3 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 35 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water 	11.14	65.38	
 T₈ 50 % RDF + 3 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 35 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water 	11.17	61.54	
SEm±	0.01	0.48	
CD (5%)	NS	1.45	

*Granular – (G) *Liquid – (L)

Relative economics

The treatment wise economic returns were worked out with the help of operating cost of individual treatment and the cost of production. The variations in economics of wheat further led to marked variations in its relative economics. The data so obtained have been given in the Table 2. Variation on gross returns and net returns has been founded by application of integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers. The economic feasibility and usefulness of a treatment can be effectively adjusted in terms of B: C ratio and net returns. Treatment T_3 (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 50 % K + 50 % Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K(L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) fetched more net returns (64580.58 Rs./ha) and treatment T_7 (100 % NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) fetched more gross returns

(95778.00 Rs. /ha). However, the treatment T_8 (50 % NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45

DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) fetched less net returns (33070.88 Rs./ha) and gross returns (62517.17 Rs./ha) both. The treatment $T_3 \ (100 \ \% \ N \ + \ 100 \ \%$ P₂O₅ + 50 % K + 50 % Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage @ 4 ml/litre of water) recorded numerically higher value for net returns followed by treatment T_2 (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha) which was ultimately due to the significant difference in grain & straw yield of wheat crop and cost of fertilizers incurred at different treatments. However, highest B:C ratio was recorded in treatment T_2 (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha) followed by treatment T_1 (RDF), whereas the lowest B:C ratio was observed in treatment T₆ (75 % N + 50 % P_2O_5 + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G)

@ 37.5kg/ha + 2 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 25 and 45 DAS @ 3ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays @ 4 ml/litre of water at grain development stage) which might be due to variation in cost of cultivation and net returns.

Table 2: Effect of integrated use of nano and no	non-nano fertilizers on relative economics of wh	neat

	Treatments	Cost of cultivation	Gross returns	Net returns (Rs./ha)	B:C ratio
	1 reatments	(Rs./ha)	(Rs./ha)		
T ₁	RDF (control) (N:P:K @ 100:50:25 kg/ha)	20900	80068.83	59168.83	2.83
T_2	100 % N + 100 % P ₂ O ₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @62.5 kg/ha	22425	86815.83	64390.83	2.87
T ₃	$100 \% \text{ N} + 100 \% \text{ P}_2\text{O}_5 + 50 \% \text{ K} + 50\%$				
	Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	26882	91462.58	64580.58	2.40
T ₄	75% N + 100 % P ₂ O ₅ + 50 % K + 50% Nano-				
14	K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS	26501.13	76496.67	49995.54	1.89
T ₅	@ 4 ml/litre of water 75 % N+ 50% $P_2O_5 + 50$ % Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @62.5 kg/ha 75 % N + 50% P O + 100 % Name K (C)	22719.67	68956.58	46236.91	2.04
T ₆	75 % N + 50% P_2O_5 + 100 % Nano-K (G) @62.5 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @ 37.5kg/ha + 2 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 25 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	31569.67	75708.00	44138.33	1.40
T ₇	RDF + 3 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 35 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	31515	95778.00	64263.00	2.04
T 8	50 % RDF + 3 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 35 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	29446.29	62517.17	33070.88	1.12

*Granular – (G)

*Liquid – (L)

On the basis of one year study, it is concluded that among the different integrated nano and non-nano fertilizers, treatment T_7 (100 % NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) was found to be the best treatment in increasing the carbohydrate content of wheat crop. However, treatment T_2 (100 % N + 100 % P₂O₅ + 100 % Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha) was found to be the most economical treatment as it gave highest benefit: cost ratio of wheat.

REFERENCES

Anonymous. 2016. *Agricultural Statistics at a glance*. Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture Department of Agriculture & cooperation. Government of India.

Anonymous. 2016a. *Economic survey*. Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Government of Jammu & Kashmir.

Chinnamuthu, C. R. and Boopathi, P. M. 2009. Nano-technology and Agroecosystem *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 96: 17-31.

Feldman, Moshe, Kislev and Mordechai, E. 2007. Domestication of emmer wheat and evolution of free-threshing tetraploid wheat in "A Century of Wheat Research- from Wild Emmer Discovery to Genome Analysis. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences*, 55(3): 207-221.

Ghafari, H. and Razmjoo, J. 2013. Effect of foliar application of nano-iron oxidase, iron chelate and iron sulphate rates on yield and quality of wheat. *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*, **4**(11): 2997-3003.

Gomez A K and Gomez A A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Res. Awiley - Inter *Science Publication.* John Wiley and Sons, New York. pp. 680.

Lal, R. 2008. Promise and limitations of soils to minimize climate change. Journal of Soil Water Conservation, 63: 113A-118A.

Liu, R. and Lal, R. 2015. Potentials of engineered nano particles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. *Science of the Total Environment*, **514**: 131-139.

Ludvigsson, J. F., Leffler, D. A., Bai, J. C., Biagi, F., Fasano, A., Green, P. H., Hadjivassiliou, M., Kaukinen, K., Kelly, C. P., Leonard, J. N., Lundin, K. E., Murray, J. A., Sanders, D. S., Walker, M. M., Zingone, F. and Ciacci, C. 2013. *The Oslo definitions for coeliac disease and related terms*, **62**(1): 43-52.

Naderi, M. and Shahraki, A. 2013. Nano-fertilizers and their roles in sustainable agriculture. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Science*, 5(19): 2229-2232.

Mir, S., Sirousmehr, A. and Shirmohammadi, E. 2015. Effect of nano and biological fertilizers on carbohydrate and chlorophyll content of forage sorghum (Speed feed hybrid). *International journal of Biosciences*, **6**(4): 157-164.

Rai, V., Acharaya, S. and Dey, N. 2012. *Journal of Biomaterials and Nano-Biotechnology*, **3**: 315-324. Rajaie, M. and Ziaeyan, A. H. 2009. *International*

Journal of Plant Product, 3(3): 35-440.

Shaviv, A. 2000. Advances in controlled release of fertilizers. *Advanced Agronomy Journal*, 71: 1-49.

Shewry, P. R. and Hey, S. J. 2015. Review: The contribution of wheat to human diet and health. *Food and Energy Security*, 4(3): 178–202.

Tarafdar, J. C., Raliya, R., Mahawar, H. and Rathore, I. 2014. Development of Zinc nanofertilizer to enhance crop production in Pearl-Millet. *Full length research article CAZRI Jodhpur*.