# ABSOLUTELY ALMOST SUMMABLE DIFFERENCE SEQUENCES OF ORDER r WITH RESPECT TO A MODULUS FUNCTION IN SEMINORMED SPACE

## INDU BALA

Department of Mathematics Government College Chhachhrauli-135103

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a new sequence space that is  $\Delta^r$ -absolutely almost summable with respect to a modulus function in seminormed complex linear space. Some topological results and certain inclusion relations on this space have been discussed. Furthermore, we construct the sequence space that is  $\Delta^r$ -absolutely almost summable with respect to composite modulus function in seminormed complex linear space and give some inclusion relations on this space.

AMS Subject Classification: 40F05, 46A45, 40A05, 40C05.

Keywords and Phrases: Difference sequence space, modulus function, topological linear space, absolute almost convergence.

## **1. Introduction**

By w we shall denote the space of all scalar sequences.  $l_{\infty}$  and c, respectively, denote the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences  $x = (x_k)$  with complex terms normed by  $||x||_{\infty} = sup_k |x_k|$ . We write D for the shift operator; that is  $D((x_k)) = (x_{k+1})$ . It may be recalled that a Banach limit L (see Banach [1]) is a nonnegative linear functional on  $l_{\infty}$  such that L is invariant under the shift operator (that is, L(Dx) = L(x) for all  $x \in l_{\infty}$ ) and such that L(e) = 1, where

e = (1, 1, ...). Various types of limits, including Banach limit, are considered in Das [2]. Let B be the set of all Banach limits on  $l_{\infty}$ . A sequence  $x \in l_{\infty}$  is said to be almost convergent to the value l (see Lorentz [7]) if L(x) = I for all  $L \in B$ . Let  $\hat{c}$  denote the space of all almost convergent sequences. For any sequence x, write

 $t_{mn} = t_{mn}(x) = (m+1)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m} x_{j+n}$ 

Lorentz [7] proved that  $x \in \hat{c}$  if and only if  $t_{mn}(x)$  tends to a limit as  $m \to \infty$  uniformly in n.

We now extend the definition of  $t_{mn}$  (x) to m = -1 by taking  $t_{-1,n} = t_{-1,n}$ (x) = 0.

We write, for m,  $n \ge 0$ 

 $\phi_{mn} = \phi_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) = t_{mn} - t_{m-1,n}.$ 

A straightforward calculation shows that

 $\phi_{0n} = x_n;$ 

$$\phi_{mn} = \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} j(x_{j+n} - x_{j+n-1}) \qquad (m \ge 1).$$

Note that for any sequences x, y and scalar  $\lambda$  , we have

 $\phi_{mn}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \phi_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) + \phi_{mn}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ and } \phi_{mn}(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \phi_{mn}(\mathbf{x}).$ 

The sequence x is absolutely almost convergent (see Das et al. [3]) if  $\sum_{m} |\phi_{mn}|$  converges uniformly in n. We denote the set of absolutely almost convergent sequences by  $\hat{l}$ .

The idea of modulus was structured in 1953 by Nakano [11]. Following Ruckle [13] and Maddox [9] we recall that a modulus f is a function from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[0, \infty)$  such that

(i) f(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,

(ii)  $f(x + y) \le f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x \ge 0, y \ge 0$ ,

(iii) f is increasing,

(iv) f is continuous from the right at 0.

Because of (ii),  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le f(|x - y|)$  so that in view of (iv), f is continuous everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$ . A modulus may be unbounded (for example,  $f(x) = x^p$ ,  $0 ) or bounded (for example, <math>f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$ ).

It is easy to see that  $f_1 + f_2$  is a modulus function when  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are modulus functions, and that the function  $f^{\nu}$  (v is a positive integer), the composition of a modulus function f with itself v times, is also a modulus function.

Ruckle [13] used the idea of a modulus function f to construct a class of FK spaces

L(f) = { 
$$x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(|x_k|) < \infty$$
 }.

The space L(f) is closely related to the space  $l_1$  which is an L(f) space with f(x) = x for all real  $x \ge 0$ .

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [6]. It was generalized by Et and Colak [4] as follows:

Let m be a non-negative integer. Then

$$\mathbf{X}(\Delta^m) = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_k) : (\Delta^m x_k) \in \mathbf{X}\}$$

for  $X = l_{\infty}$ , c,  $c_0$ ; where  $\Delta^0 x = (x_k)$  and  $\Delta^m x = (\Delta^m x_k) = (\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The sequence spaces  $X(\Delta^m)$  are BK spaces normed by  $||x||_{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i| + ||\Delta^m x||_{\infty}$ ,

 $X \in \{ l_{\infty}, c, c_0 \}$ . Et and Nuray [5] defined a more general space  $\Delta^m(X) = \{ x = (x_k) : (\Delta^m x_k) \in X \}$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and X is any sequence space.

Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be seminorms on a linear space X. Then  $q_1$  is stronger than  $q_2$  if there exists a constant L such that  $q_2(x) \le Lq_1(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . If each is stronger than the other,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are said to be equivalent (Wilansky [14]).

Let X be a seminormed complex linear space with seminorm q, f be a modulus function,  $s \ge 0$  be a real number and  $p = (p_m)$  be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers. The symbol w(X) denotes the space of all X-valued sequences.

We now introduce the following generalized difference absolutely almost summable X-valued sequence space with respect to a modulus function.

(1)

$$\widehat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s) = \left\{ x \in w(X) \colon \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ f\left( q(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x)) \right) \right]^{p_m} < \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

where  $\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta^{r-1} \phi_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) - \Delta^{r-1} \phi_{m+1,n}(\mathbf{x})$ .

If we take  $X = \mathbb{C}$ , q(x) = |x|, f(x) = x and r = s = 0, then the sequence space defined above becomes  $\hat{l}(p)$  (see Das et al. [3]).

We denote  $\hat{l} (\Delta^r, f, p, q, s)$  by  $\hat{l} (\Delta^r, p, q, s)$  when f(x) = x and by  $\hat{l} (\Delta^r, f, p, q)$  when s = 0.

The following inequalities (see, e.g., [8, p. 190]) are needed throughout the paper.

Let  $p = (p_m)$  be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers. If  $H = sup_m p_m$ , then for any complex  $x_m$ and  $y_m$ ,

 $|x_m + y_m|^{p_m} \leq C (|x_m|^{p_m} + |(y_m)|^{p_m})$ where C = max(1,2<sup>*H*-1</sup>). Also for any complex  $\lambda$ ,

 $|\lambda|^{p_m} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H).$ 

## 2. Main results

In this section we will prove the general results of this paper on the sequence space  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s)$ , those characterize the structure of this space.

(2)

**Theorem 2.1.** For any modulus f,  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s)$  is a linear space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The proof is a routine verification by using standard techniques and hence is omitted.

**Theorem 2.2.**  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s)$  is a topological linear space, paranormed by

$$g_{\Delta}(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{n} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ f(q(\Delta^{r} \phi_{mn}(\mathbf{x}))) \right]^{p_{m}} \right)^{1/g}$$

where  $G = \max(1, \sup_{m} p_m)$ .

The proof follows by standard arguments and the fact that every paranormed space is a topological linear space [15, p. 37].

**Remark 2.3.**  $g_{\Delta}$  need not be total, e.g., if  $x = (x_m)$  is defined by  $x_m = m$  then  $\phi_{mn}(x)$  is constant for all m and hence  $g_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x})$  is zero for  $\mathbf{r} \ge 1$ .

**Lemma 2.4[12].** Let f be a modulus function and let  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then for each  $x > \delta$  we have  $f(x) \le 2f(1)\delta^{-1}x$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let f,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  be modulus functions, then

(i) If 
$$s > 1$$
, then  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, fof_1, p, q, s)$ ,

(ii) 
$$\hat{I}(\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f_1, p, q, s) \cap \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f_2, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f_1 + f_2, p, q, s),$$

(iii) If s > 1 and 
$$\lim \sup_{t\to\infty} \frac{f_1(t)}{f_2(t)} < \infty$$
, then  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f_2, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f_1, p, q, s)$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in \hat{l}$  ( $\Delta^r, f_1, p, q, s$ ). Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f(t) < \epsilon$  for  $0 \le t \le \delta$ . Write  $y_{mn}$ =  $f_1(q(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x)))$  and consider

19

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ f(y_{mn}) \right]^{p_m} &= \sum_{y_{mn} \le \delta} m^{-s} \left[ f(y_{mn}) \right]^{p_m} + \sum_{y_{mn} > \delta} m^{-s} \left[ f(y_{mn}) \right]^{p_m} \\ &< \max(1, \epsilon^H) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} + \max(1, (2f(1) \delta^{-1})^H) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ y_{mn} \right]^{p_m} \\ &< \infty, \text{ uniformly in n,} \end{split}$$

by inequality (2) and Lemma 2.4 and hence  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, fof_1, p, q, s)$ .

(ii) The proof follows trivially by using (1).

(iii) Let  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f_2, p, q, s)$  and  $\lim \sup_{t \to \infty} \frac{f_1(t)}{f_2(t)} = b < \infty$ . Then for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ 

there is a positive integer N such that for all t with t > N we have  $f_1(t) < (b+\epsilon)f_2(t)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_{mn} = q(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x))$ , then  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} [f_1(\mathcal{Y}_{mn})]^{p_m} = \sum_1 + \sum_2$ , where the

first summation is over  $\mathcal{Y}_{mn} \leq N$  and the second over  $\mathcal{Y}_{mn} > N$ . Then using (2)

 $\sum_{1} m^{-s} [f_1(\mathcal{Y}_{mn})]^{p_m} \leq [N f_1(1)]^H \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s}$ and

$$\sum_{2} m^{-s} [f_1(\mathcal{Y}_{mn})]^{p_m} \le \max(1, (b + \epsilon)^H) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} [f_2(\mathcal{Y}_{mn})]^{p_m}$$
  
and so  $x \in \hat{l} (\Delta^r, f_1, p, q, s)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** For any modulus f and s > 1,  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s)$ .

The proof follows by taking  $f_1(x) = x$  in Theorem 2.5(i).

Maddox [10, Prop. 1] proved that for any modulus f there exits  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}$ .

Using this result we give a sufficient condition for the inclusion  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, p, q, s)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** For any modulus f, if  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \beta > 0$  then  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, p, q, s)$ .

Proof. Following the proof of proposition 1 of Maddox [10], we have  $\beta = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \inf\{\frac{f(t)}{t} : t > 0\}$ , so that  $0 \le \beta \le f(1)$ . Let  $\beta > 0$ . By definition of  $\beta$  we have  $\beta t \le f(t)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Since  $\beta > 0$  we have  $t \le \beta^{-1} f(t)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Now  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s)$  implies.

 $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ q(\Delta^{r} \phi_{mn}(x)) \right]^{p_{m}} \leq \max \left( 1, \beta^{-H} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ f(q(\Delta^{r} \phi_{mn}(x))) \right]^{p_{m}}$ 

by (2), whence  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, p, q, s)$  and the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let f be a modulus function,  $q, q_1, q_2$  be seminorms and  $s, s_1, s_2$  be non-negative real numbers. Then

- i.  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q_1, s) \cap \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q_2, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q_1 + q_2, s),$
- ii. If  $q_1$  is stronger than  $q_2$ , then  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q_1, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q_2, s)$ ,
- iii. If  $q_1$  is equivalent to  $q_{2,}$  then  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q_1, s) = \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q_2, s)$ ,
- iv. If  $s_1 \leq s_2$ , then  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s_1) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s_2)$ .

Proof. The proof of (i) is straight forward using (1).

(ii) Let  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q_1, s)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} [f(q_2(\Delta^r \ \phi_{mn}(x)))]^{p_m} &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} [f(L \ q_1(\Delta^r \ \phi_{mn}(x)))]^{p_m} \\ &\leq (1 + [L])^H \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} [f(q_1(\Delta^r \ \phi_{mn}(x)))]^{p_m} \end{split}$$
  
by (2), whence  $\in \hat{l} (\Delta^r, f, p, q_2, s)$ .

The proofs of (iii) and (iv) are trivial.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $r \ge 1$ , then  $\hat{l}(\Delta^{r-1}, f, p, q) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q)$ .

Proof Let  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^{r-1}, f, p, q)$  then

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ f(q(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x))) \right]^{p_m} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ f(q(\Delta^{r-1} \phi_{mn}(x))) \right]^{p_m} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ f(q(\Delta^{r-1} \phi_{m+1,n}(x))) \right]^{p_m} \right\}, \end{split}$$

where  $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ , Hence  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q)$ .

In general  $\hat{l}(\Delta^i f, p, q) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q)$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., r - 1 and the inclusion is strict.

To show that the inclusion is strict, consider the following example.

**Example 2.10.** Let X = C, q(x) = |x|, f(x) = x and  $p_m = 1$  for all m. Let

 $x = (x_m)$  be defined by  $x_m = m^3$ , then  $x \notin \hat{l}(\Delta^2, f, p, q)$  but  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^3, f, p, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** If  $p = (p_m)$  and  $t = (t_m)$  are bounded sequences of positive real numbers with  $0 < p_m \le t_m < \infty$  for each m, then for any modulus f,

- i)  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, t, q),$
- ii)  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q, s).$

Proof. Let  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, p, q)$ . This implies that

$$f(q(\Delta^r \phi_{in}(x))) \le 1$$

for sufficiently large values of i, say  $i \ge m_0$  for some fixed  $m_0 \in N$ . Since f is increasing, we have

 $\sum_{m\geq m_0}^{\infty} \left[f(q(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x)))\right]^{t_m} \leq \sum_{m\geq m_0}^{\infty} \left[f(q(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x)))\right]^{p_m} < \infty.$ 

This shows that  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f, t, q)$ .

The proof of (ii) is trivial.

## **3.** Composite space $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f^v, p, q, s)$ using composite modulus function $f^v$

Taking modulus function  $f^{v}$  instead of f in the space  $\hat{l}(\Delta^{r}, f, p, q, s)$ , we can define the composite space  $\hat{l}(\Delta^{r}, f^{v}, p, q, s)$  as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** For a fixed natural *v*, we define

 $\widehat{l}(\Delta^r, f^v, p, q, s) = \{ x \in w(X) : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} [f^v(q(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x)))]^{p_m} < \infty \text{ uniformly in n} \}.$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** For any modulus function f and  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(i) 
$$\hat{l}(\Delta^r, f^v, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, p, q, s) \text{ if } \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \beta > 0,$$

(ii)  $\hat{l}(\Delta^r, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f^v, p, q, s)$  if there exists a positive constant  $\alpha$  such that  $f(t) \le \alpha t$  for all  $t \ge 0$ .

Proof. Let  $\in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f^v, p, q, s)$ . Following the proof of Proposition 1 of Maddox [10], we have  $\beta = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}$ = inf {  $\frac{f(t)}{t}$  : t > 0 }, so that  $0 \le \beta \le f(1)$ . Let  $\beta > 0$ .

By definition of  $\beta$  we have  $\beta t \leq f(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Since f is increasing we have  $\beta^2 t \leq f^2(t)$ . So by induction, we have  $\beta^{\nu} t \leq f^{\nu}(t)$ . Now using inequality (2),

$$egin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ qig(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x)ig) 
ight]^{p_m} &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ eta^{-
u} f^
u ig(qig(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x)ig) ig) 
ight]^{p_m} & \leq \max(1,eta^{-
u H}) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ f^
u (qig(\Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x))ig) 
ight]^{p_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, p, q, s)$ .

(ii) Let  $\in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, p, q, s)$ . Since  $f(t) \le \alpha t$  for all  $t \ge 0$  and f is increasing, we have  $f^{\nu}(t) \le \alpha^{\nu} t$  for each  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again, using (2), we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ f^{\nu} \left( q \left( \Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x) \right) \right) \right]^{p_m} \leq \max(1, \alpha^{\nu H}) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ q \left( \Delta^r \phi_{mn}(x) \right) \right]^{p_m}.$$

Hence  $x \in \hat{l}(\Delta^r, f^v, p, q, s)$  and this completes the proof.

**Example 3.3.**  $f_1(t) = t + t^{1/2}$  and  $f_2(t) = \log(1+t)$  for all  $t \ge 0$  satisfy the conditions given in Theorem 3.2(i), (ii) respectively.

**Theorem 3.4**. Let  $i, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and i < v. If f is a modulus such that  $f(t) \le \alpha t$  for all  $t \ge 0$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive constant, then

$$\begin{split} \hat{l}(\Delta^{r}, p, q, s) &\subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^{r}, f^{i}, p, q, s) \subseteq \hat{l}(\Delta^{r}, f^{v}, p, q, s). \\ \text{Proof. Let } j &= v - i. \text{ Since } f(t) \leq \alpha t \text{ , we have } f^{v}(t) < M^{j}f^{i}(t) < M^{v}t \text{ , where}M = 1 + \\ [\alpha]. Let x &\in \hat{l}(\Delta^{r}, p, q, s). \text{ By the above inequality and using (2), we get} \\ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ f^{v}(q(\Delta^{r}\phi_{mn}(x))) \right]^{p_{m}} < M^{jH} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ f^{i}(q(\Delta^{r}\phi_{mn}(x))) \right]^{p_{m}} \\ < M^{vH} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \left[ q(\Delta^{r}\phi_{mn}(x)) \right]^{p_{m}}. \end{split}$$

Hence the required inclusion follows.

## REFERENCES

[1] S. Banach, Théorie des opérations linéaires, Warszawa, 1932.

[2] G. Das, Banach and other limits, J. London Math. Soc., 7(2)(1973), 501-507.

[3] G Das, B. Kuttner and S Nanda, Some sequence spaces and absolute almost convergence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 283(2)(1984), 729-739.

[4] M. Et and R. Colak. On some generalized difference sequence spaces. Soochow J. Math., 21(1995), 377-386.

[5] M. Et and F. Nuray,  $\Delta^m$ -statistical convergence, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 32(6) (2001), 961-969.

[6] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull., 24(1981), 169-176.

[7] G.G. Lorentz, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, Acta Math., 80(1948), 167-190.

[8] I.J. Maddox, Elements of functional analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.

[9] I.J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Math. Proc. Camb Philos. Soc., 100(1986), 161-166.

[10] I.J. Maddox. Inclusion between FK spaces and Kuttner's theorem, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 101(1987), 523-527.

[11] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 5(1953), 29-49.

[12] S. Pehlivan and B. Fisher, On some sequence spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math, 25(10)(1994), 1067-1071.

[13] W.H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, Canad. J. Math., 25(1973), 973-978.

[14] A. Wilansky, Functional analysis, Blaisdell Publishing Company, New York, 1964.

[15] A. Wilansky, Modern methods in topological vector spaces, McGraw-Hill, 1978.