# A STUDY ON FIRST ORDER AUTOREGRESSIVE PROCESS AR (1) WITH CHANGING AUTOREGRESSIVE COEFFICIENT AND A CHANGE POINT MODEL FROM BAYESIAN PERSPECTIVE 




#### Abstract

: In this research paper, we shall apply the concept of change point inference problem. For that let us consider first order autoregressive process with changing autoregressive coefficient at some point of time, say ' $\boldsymbol{m}$ '. This is called change point inference problem. Here, we have used RWM-H (Random Walk Metropolis Hasting) Algorithm and Gibbs Sampling Technique for the Bayes Estimation of ' $\boldsymbol{m}$ ' and autoregressive coefficient. Further, we have studied the effects of prior information on the Bayes Estimates obtained.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION:

Many researchers have studied the Bayes Estimators of $\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ under Linex Loss Function and General Entropy Loss Function which are Asymmetric in nature. It was found that those estimators were of changing auto regressive process with normal error. Zacks (1983) and Tsurumi (1987) are the noteworthy and useful references on structural changes. Later on further research was carried out where the experts studied the Bayesian Analysis of the Autoregressive Model $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1} \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}-1}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{t}}($ where $\boldsymbol{t}=1,2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m})$ and $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ $=\beta_{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}-\mathbf{1}}+\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{t}}($ where $\boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{m}+\mathbf{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n})$ and also $\boldsymbol{0}<\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}<\mathbf{1}$. It was found at the end of the research work that $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{t}}$ was an independent random variable with an exponential distribution with mean $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and it gets reflected in the sequence after $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ is changed in mean $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{2}}$.

## 2. PROPOSED FIRST ORDER AUTOREGRESSIVE AR (1) MODEL:

Let us assume the first order autoregressive model AR (1) as under:

$$
X_{i}= \begin{cases}\beta_{1} X_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, & i=1,2, \ldots, m  \tag{1}\\ \beta_{2} X_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, & i=m+1, \ldots, n\end{cases}
$$

where, $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are unknown autocorrelation coefficients, $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is the $\boldsymbol{i}^{\boldsymbol{t h}}$ observation of the dependent variable, the error terms $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ are the independent random variables following the normal distribution with $\boldsymbol{N}(\mathbf{0}$, $\left.\sigma_{1}^{2}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $N\left(0, \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)$ for $i=m+1, \ldots, n$ and $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}^{2}$ both are known. Here, we note that ' $m$, is the unknown change point and $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is the initial quantity.

## 3. BAYES ESTIMATION PROCEDURE:

We clearly know that the procedure of Bayes Estimation is totally based on a posterior density, say, $\boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{m} \mid \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$, which is proportional to the product of the likelihood function $\boldsymbol{L}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{m} \mid \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$, with a joint prior density, say, $\boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}, \boldsymbol{m}\right)$ representing uncertainty on the values of parameters.

Hence, the likelihood function of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}$, given the sample information $Z_{t}=\left(x_{t-1}, x_{t}\right)$ where $t=1,2 \ldots, m, m+1 \ldots, n$ will be:

$$
\begin{align*}
L\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, m \mid Z\right) & =K_{1} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\frac{S_{m_{1}}}{\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(\frac{S_{m_{2}}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}\right)-\frac{A_{1_{m}}}{2 \sigma_{1}{ }^{2}}\right) \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}{ }^{2}\left(\frac{S_{n_{1}}-S_{m_{1}}}{\sigma_{2}{ }^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\beta_{2}\left(\frac{S_{n_{2}}-S_{m_{2}}}{\sigma_{2}{ }^{2}}\right)-\frac{A_{2_{m}}}{2 \sigma_{2}{ }^{2}}\right) \sigma_{1}^{-m} \sigma_{2}^{-(n-m)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have:
$S_{k_{1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i-1}{ }^{2} \quad S_{k_{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} x_{i-1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1_{m}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{2} \quad A_{2_{m}}=\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} x_{i}{ }^{2} \\
k_{1} & =(2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. POSTERIOR DENSITY OF CHANGE POINT USING INFORMATIVE PRIORS (NORMAL DISTRIBUTION) ON $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ :

Here, we have derived the posterior density of change point $\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ of the model explained in equation (1) under informative priors.

Further, we have considered the $\boldsymbol{A R}$ (1) model as shown in equation (1) with unknown $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-2}$. Also, we suppose uniform prior of change point same as Broemeling (1987) and we also suppose that $\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}$ are independent.

Thus we can write $g(m)=\frac{1}{n-1}$
Now, the normal prior density on $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\beta_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} a_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{a_{1}}\right)^{2}} \\
& g\left(\beta_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} a_{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{a_{2}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, joint prior p.d.f. of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}$ will be the joint prior density say $\boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}, \boldsymbol{m}\right)$ which is as under:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, m\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi a_{1} a_{2}(n-1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{a_{1}}\right)^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{a_{2}}\right)^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the likelihood function shown in equation (2) with the joint prior density in equation (4), the joint posterior density of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{m} \operatorname{say} \boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}, \boldsymbol{m} \mid \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ will be:

$$
\begin{gathered}
g\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, m \mid Z\right)=\frac{K_{1}}{h_{1}(z)}\left[L\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, m \mid Z\right) \cdot g\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, m\right)\right] \\
=\frac{K_{2}}{h_{1}(z)}\left[e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}{ }^{2} A_{1}+\beta_{1} B_{1}\right]} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}{ }^{2} A_{2}+\beta_{2} B_{2}\right]} e^{\left[-\left(\frac{A_{1 m}}{\left.2 \sigma_{1}{ }^{2}+\frac{A_{2 m}}{\left.2 \sigma_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}\right]}\right] \sigma_{1}^{-m} \sigma_{2}^{-(n-m)}\right.}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where we have:
$K_{2}=\frac{K_{1}}{2 \pi a_{1} a_{2}(n-1)}$
$A_{1}=\frac{s_{m 1}}{\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{1}{a_{1}{ }^{2}}$

$$
B_{1}=\frac{s_{m 2}}{\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\frac{S_{n 1}-S_{m 1}}{\sigma_{2}{ }^{2}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}{ }^{2}} \quad B_{2}=\frac{s_{n 2}-S_{m 2}}{\sigma_{2}{ }^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we note that $h_{1}(Z)$ is the marginal density of $z$ which is as under:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1}(Z)= \\
& \quad \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \int_{\beta_{1}} \int_{\beta_{2}} L\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, m \mid \underline{X}\right) \cdot g\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, m\right) d \beta_{1} d \beta_{2} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} e^{\left[-\left(\frac{A_{1} m}{\left.\left.2 \sigma_{1}{ }^{2}+\frac{A_{2 m}}{2 \sigma_{2}{ }^{2}}\right)\right]} \sigma_{1}^{-m} \sigma_{2}^{-(n-m)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}{ }^{2} A_{1}+\beta_{1} B_{1}\right]} d \beta_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}{ }^{2} A_{2}+\beta_{2} B_{2}\right]} d \beta_{2}\right.\right.}  \tag{7}\\
& =k_{3} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} T_{1}(m)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}(m)=k_{m} G_{1 m} G_{2 m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G_{1 m}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}{ }^{2} A_{1}+\beta_{1} B_{1}\right] d \beta_{1}=\frac{e^{\frac{B_{1}{ }^{2}}{2 A_{1}}} \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\sqrt{A_{1}}}$
$G_{2 m}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}{ }^{2} A_{2}+\beta_{2} B_{2}\right] d \beta_{2}=\frac{e^{\frac{B_{2}{ }^{2}}{2 A_{2}} \sqrt{2 \pi}}}{\sqrt{A_{2}}}$
$k_{m}=e^{\left[-\left(\frac{A_{1 m}}{2 \sigma_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{A_{2} m}{2 \sigma_{2}{ }^{2}}\right)\right] \sigma_{1}^{-m} \sigma_{2}^{-(n-m)}}$
(11)

Now, the marginal posterior density of the change point $\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ will be:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}(m \mid x)=\frac{T_{1}(m)}{\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} T_{1}(m)}  \tag{12}\\
& g_{1}\left(\beta_{1} \mid X\right)=\frac{k_{3}}{h_{1}(X)}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} k_{m} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}{ }^{2} A_{1}+\beta_{1} B_{1}\right]}\right] G_{1 m}  \tag{13}\\
& g_{1}\left(\beta_{2} \mid X\right)=\frac{k_{3}}{h_{1}(X)}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} k_{m} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}{ }^{2} A_{2}+\beta_{2} B_{2}\right]}\right] G_{2 m} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{1 m}}, \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { m }}}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ are same as defined and shown in equations (9), (10) and (11) respectively.

Now, the Bayes estimator of any function of parameter $\alpha$, say $g(\alpha)$ under the squared loss function is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha \mid Z}(g(\alpha \mid Z))=\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(g(\alpha \mid Z)) d \alpha \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid Z)$ is marginal posterior density of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. It is very complicated to compute the equation (*) analytically in this case. Therefore, we shall apply MCMC methods to find the Bayes Estimates of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}$ and $m$.

## 5. ALGORITHM USING GIBBS SAMPLING TECHNIQUE:

We can easily identify the full conditional distribution $\boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}\right)$ where $\boldsymbol{j} \neq \boldsymbol{i}$ up to proportionality by regarding $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid \boldsymbol{Z})$ as a function of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{i}=1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{k})$ only, corresponding to all other $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}$, where $\boldsymbol{j} \neq \boldsymbol{i}$, to be fixed given a posterior distribution $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid \boldsymbol{Z})$ for unknown parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ defined, at least up to proportionality, by multiplying the likelihood function with the corresponding prior distribution.

For implementing the Gibbs Sampling Technique, we have to re-write equation (13) as the full conditional of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ by fixing all other parameters i.e. $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}$. Hence full conditional density of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ given $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\beta_{1} \mid \beta_{2}, m, Z\right) \propto N\left(\frac{B_{1}}{A_{1}},\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{1}}}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ are the same as shown in equation (6).

Now we shall re-write equation (14) as full conditional density of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ by fixing all other parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}$. Hence, we get the full conditional density of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ given $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\beta_{2} \mid \beta_{1}, m, Z\right) \propto N\left(\frac{B_{2}}{A_{2}},\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{2}}}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are the same as shown in equation (6).

Now, in order to estimate the parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$, we shall apply the Gibbs Sampling Technique to generate sample from the full conditional density of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ which are given respectively in the equations (15) and (16). We shall use the Gibbs Sampling Algorithm which is as under:

Initialize $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1 0}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2 0}}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}=\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and then follow the steps given below.
Step-1: Generate $\beta_{1} \sim N\left(\frac{A_{1}}{B_{1}},\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{B_{1}}}\right)^{2}\right)$, using Gibbs Sampling Technique.
Step-2: Generate $\beta_{2} \sim N\left(\frac{A_{2}}{B_{2}},\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{B_{2}}}\right)^{2}\right)$, using Gibbs Sampling Technique.
Step-3: Repeat the above steps.

## 6. APPLYING MCMC TECHNIQUES:

Here, we notice that the posterior distribution of the change point shown in equation (12) has no closed form. Hence, we propose to use MCMC techniques to generate the samples from the posterior distribution. To implement the MCMC Techniques, we re-write equation (12) as target function of $\boldsymbol{m}$, by fixing all other parameters i.e. $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$. Hence target function of $\boldsymbol{m}$ given $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(m \mid \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, Z\right) \propto k_{m} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1}^{2} A_{1}+\beta_{1} B_{1}\right]} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2}^{2} A_{2}+\beta_{2} B_{2}\right]} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, \boldsymbol{B}_{1}, \boldsymbol{A}_{2}, \boldsymbol{B}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ are the same as shown in the equations (6) and (11) respectively.

## 7. APPLICATION TO GENERATED DATA USING NUMERICAL EXAMPLE:

Let us assume an AR (1) model as under:

$$
X_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0.1 X_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, & i=1,2, \ldots, 10  \tag{18}\\
0.3 X_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, & i=11,12, \ldots, 20
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, in the above equation, the error terms $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ are independent random variables following Normal Distribution $\boldsymbol{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ for $\boldsymbol{i}=1,2, \ldots, 10$ and $\boldsymbol{N}(\mathbf{0}, 4)$ for $\boldsymbol{i}=11,12,13, \ldots, 20$. Also we note that here $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}^{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}^{2}$ are known. Further, we note that $\boldsymbol{m}$ is the unknown change point and $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{0} .1$ is the initial quantity. Here, we have generated 20 random observations from the proposed AR (1) model given in equation (18). Out of total twenty random observations, the first ten observations are from normal distribution with $\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}=1$ and next ten observations are from normal distribution with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{2}=\mathbf{4}$. Also, we note that $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ themselves are random observations from the normal distribution with prior means $\mu_{1}=\mathbf{0 . 1}, \mu_{2}=\mathbf{0 . 3}$ and variances $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}$ $=0.1$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}=0.1$. These observations are given in the following TABLE 1 .

TABLE 1

## GENERATED OBSERVATIONS FROM PROPOSED AR (1) MODEL

| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\boldsymbol{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | 0.167 | -0.204 | 0.399 | -0.259 | -0.784 | -1.058 | 0.819 | 0.404 | 1.215 | 1.537 |
| $\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | 0.157 | -0.221 | 0.420 | -0.299 | -0.758 | -0.979 | 0.925 | 0.322 | 1.175 | 1.416 |
| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | -3.833 | -16.173 | 9.441 | 11.857 | 20.645 | 1.458 | 13.249 | -9.335 | 19.812 | 30.657 |
| $\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | -4.294 | -15.023 | 14.293 | 9.025 | 17.088 | -4.734 | 12.812 | -13.310 | 22.613 | 24.713 |

Here, the target function is bounded. In order to generate a random sample using the RWM-H algorithm, the selected proposal is uniform $(2,19)$ same as prior, which is symmetric around $\mathbf{1 0}$ with small
steps. The initial distribution is chosen as uniform (1, 19). Further, we truncate the initial distribution and then we get integer value of the Bayes Estimate of change point (m) as 10, when selected proposal is uniform $(\mathbf{1}, 19)$ and initial distribution is uniform ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1 4}$ ). Here, the results are shown in TABLE 2 for the data given in TABLE 1 when given value of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{0 . 1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}=\mathbf{0 . 3}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}{ }^{2}=1$ and ${\sigma_{2}}^{2}=\mathbf{1 6}$.

## TABLE 2

## BAYES ESTIMATES OF CHANGE POINT (m) USING RWM-H ALGORITHM UNDER SQUARED ERROR LOSS FUNCTION

| Bounded | Selected <br> Proposal | Initial <br> Distribution | Bayes Estimate of <br> change point $(\boldsymbol{m})$ | Integer value of Bayes Estimate of <br> change point $(\boldsymbol{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{BD}(2,19)$ | $\mathrm{U}(1,19)$ | $\mathrm{U}(1,19)$ | 8.4 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{BD}(2,19)$ | $\mathrm{U}(2,19)$ | $\mathrm{U}(2,19)$ | 8.6 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{BD}(3,19)$ | $\mathrm{U}(1,19)$ | $\mathrm{U}(1,19)$ | 10.3 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{BD}(3,19)$ | $\mathrm{U}(1,19)$ | $\mathrm{U}(3,14)$ | 10.2 | 10 |

Further, we also compute the Bayes Estimates of ' $\boldsymbol{m}$ ' using RWM-H algorithm for different priors under consideration for the data given in TABLE 1. The results are shown in the following TABLE 3.

## TABLE 3

## BAYES ESTIMATES OF CHANGE POINT ( $m$ ) USING RWM-H ALGORITHM UNDER

## SQUARED ERROR LOSS FUNCTION FOR DIFFERENT PRIORS UNDER CONSIDERATION

| Serial Number | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Bayes Estimate of change point $(\boldsymbol{m})$ <br> (Posterior Mean) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0100 | 0.01 | 10 |
| 2 | 0.0400 | 0.04 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 4 | 0.0550 | 0.09 | 10 |
| 5 | 0.0600 | 0.25 | 10 |
| 6 | 0.0625 | 0.49 | 10 |
| 7 | 0.0900 | 0.64 | 10 |
| 8 | 0.4900 | 0.81 | 10 |
| 9 | 0.8100 | 1.00 | 10 |
| 10 | 1.0000 | 4.00 | 10 |

Now we compute the Bayes Estimates of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ (when given value of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 3}, \boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{1 0}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}{ }^{2}=\mathbf{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}{ }^{2}=16$ ) and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}$ (when given value of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}=0.1, m=10, \sigma_{1}^{2}=1$ and $\sigma_{2}^{2}=16$ ) using Gibbs Sampling and MCMC algorithm for different priors under consideration for the data given in TABLE 1. The results are shown in the following TABLE 4.

TABLE 4
BAYES ESTIMATES OF $\beta_{1}$ AND $\beta_{2}$ USING GIBBS SAMPLING MCMC ALGORITHM UNDER SQUARED ERROR LOSS FUNCTION FOR DIFFERENT PRIORS UNDER CONSIDERATION

| Serial <br> Number | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Bayes Estimates of |  | S.D. of Bayes Estimates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| 1 | 0.0100 | 0.01 | 0.025 | 0.255 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 2 | 0.0400 | 0.04 | 0.090 | 0.305 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ |
| 4 | 0.0550 | 0.09 | 0.118 | 0.344 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 5 | 0.0600 | 0.25 | 0.126 | 0.367 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 6 | 0.0625 | 0.49 | 0.130 | 0.374 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 7 | 0.0900 | 0.64 | 0.172 | 0.376 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 8 | 0.4900 | 0.81 | 0.415 | 0.377 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 9 | 0.8100 | 1.00 | 0.475 | 0.378 | 0.048 | 0.008 |
| 10 | 1.0000 | 4.00 | 0.496 | 0.381 | 0.048 | 0.008 |

FIGURE 1 shows the graph of the full conditional of $\beta_{1}$ when a sample of size $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ is generated. Here, Gibbs Sampling with MCMC algorithm has been run for $\beta_{2}=\mathbf{0 . 3}, \boldsymbol{m}=10, \sigma_{1}^{2}=1$ and $\sigma_{2}^{2}=16$.

Figure 1: Full Conditional of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}$


FIGURE 2 shows the graph of the full conditional of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ when a sample of size $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ is generated. Here, Gibbs Sampling with MCMC algorithm has been run for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{0 . 1}, \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{1 0}, \sigma_{1}{ }^{2}=\mathbf{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}{ }^{2}=\mathbf{1 6}$.

Figure 2: Full Conditional of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}$
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