



COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PHYSICO CHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF RAIN WATER IN URBAN, INDUSTRIAL AND RURAL AREAS OF DEHRADUN DISTRICT, UTTARAKHAND

¹Shekhar Tyagi, ²Ramagya Kushwaha and ³Shivani Jaggi Guleria*

^{1,2,3} Maya Group of Colleges, Department of Applied Sciences

*Corresponding Author Contact Information: Current address: Maya group of Colleges, Selaqui,
Dehradun, India shivanijaggi75@gmail.com.

Abstract

Rain water is the purest form of naturally occurring water resource and can be best utilized for domestic purpose. Evaluation of physico chemical properties of rainwater is important for assessment of air pollution and its utility. The current study was conducted to compare the quality of rain water in urban, rural and industrial area to understand the problems of rainwater contamination due to various pollutants. Rainwater samples were collected from rural, urban and industrial areas between July 2021 to September 2021. The sampling sites included were rural areas udiyabagh (vikasnagar) and Charba, industrial area Selaqui and urban area Dehradun. Results for pH, EC, total hardness, calcium hardness, magnesium hardness, temperature, alkalinity, chloride content, DO, BOD, TDS, nitrate and phosphate content were reported in this study. Findings indicate that industrialization and urbanization have altered the physico chemical properties of rain water.

Key words: Rain water analysis, pH, BOD, hardness, Alkalinity, TDS, Electrical conductivity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rain water analysis is an important tool in finding out the contaminants. It can reduce the contaminants in air as well as a source of contamination in soil, water and terrestrial vegetation (Flues *et al.*, 2002). Vehicular emissions, anthropogenic emissions and agricultural emissions have resulted in deteriorating the rain water quality. Investigations in recent years have shown that large quantities of oxides of sulfur and nitrogen were released into the atmosphere resulting in acidic rainfall (Possanzini *et al.*, 1988; Kulshrestha *et al.*, 2003).

Various research groups have done evaluation of surface water, ground water and river water in India (Handa *et al.*, 1982; Mahadevan *et al.*, 1984; Mukhopadhyay *et al.*, 1992; Rao *et al.*, 1992, 1995; Agarwal & Singh, 2001; Khare *et al.*, 2004; Naik *et al.*, 2002). Study related to the analysis of physico chemical parameters of rain water was done by certain research groups (Olobaniyi and Efe, 2007, V A.K yadav and G.P Mishra, 1979). Little information is available on quality of rain water in Uttarakhand district in India (A.M.J.A Obaidy).

It was reported that rain water contains dissolved gases such as carbon dioxide, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, ammonia, fine particulate materials or aerosols, etc from the atmosphere (Asthana. 2003).The composition of rainwater actually reveals the composition of the atmosphere through which it falls (Matos *et al.*, 2014).

The present study is carried out to compare physico chemical parameters of rain water in urban rural and industrial areas of Dehradun district of Uttarakhand and to correlate it with atmospheric pollution. The observed values were compared with IS-10500:2012 (Drinking Water Specifications) to determine the quality of water and its suitability for domestic usage.

II.MATERIALS AND METHOD

2.1.Sample collection

Sampling of rain water was done in the monsoon season, July- September 2021. Manual sampling with a plastic container in compliance with established standard norms was done. The Samples were collected from Site I (Udiyabagh), Site II (Charba), Site III (Selaqui), and Site IV (Dehradun).

The samples collected were then transferred to high-density polyethylene ‘Tarson’ brand bottles pre-rinsed with deionized water. The bottles were suitably capped in order to avoid the oxidation of the constituents. Temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen were measured at the sampling sight while for remaining analysis the samples were transferred to the laboratory stored at room temperature and analyzed within a week of collection.

Analysis of the collected water samples was done with respect to the procedures given in the ‘Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater’ 19th edition, APHA, AWWA. (Washington DC, 1992).The results obtained were mean of three readings.

2.2.Analysis Method

S.No	Parameter	Method	Equipment
1	Temperature	Laboratory method	Thermometer
2	pH	Electrometric	pH meter
3	Hardness	Complexometric titration	
4	Alkalinity	Titration with Sulphuric acid	
5	Conductivity	Electrometric	Conductometer
6	DO,BOD	Iodometric titration	DO meter
7	Nitrate, phosphate	UV, Vis Spectrophotometric absorbance	UV visible spectrophotometer
8	TDS	Electrometric	Conductometer
9	Chloride ions	Precipitation titration	

2.3. Analytical Techniques

2.3.1 Temperature- Temperature was measured using Celsius thermometer.

2.3.2 pH – was measured immediately after sample collection and after 3 days of collection. A pH meter (Electronics India) having readability of 0.01 was used for the measurement.

2.3.3Conductivity- Conductivity was measured by conductometer (Popular traders) using 0.01 M KCl solutions as standard reference.

2.3.4. Total hardness- Hardness of buffered water sample (pH 10 using ammonia buffer) was measured using complexometric titration using EDTA as standard and EBT as indicator. Indicator gives wine red color

with Ca and Mg ions. On titration with EDTA it will form Ca- EDTA and Mg-EDTA complex. On adding extra drop of EDTA, the complex with EBT will break to combine with free Ca and Mg ions. The end point will be from wine red to pale blue.

Hardness in terms of CaCO_3 (mg) = $A \times B \times \text{mol.wt. of CaCO}_3 \times 1000/\text{vol. of sample}$

Where A = Volume in ml of EDTA used

B = concentration of EDTA

For calculating the concentration of Ca and Mg ions, two titrimetric methods were used. One method will indicate the concentration of calcium ions and the second method measures the total hardness. The magnesium ions concentration was calculated by taking difference between total hardness and calcium hardness.

Calcium- Buffered water sample (pH 12-13 with sodium hydroxide buffer) was used for the determination of calcium hardness. At a pH of 12.0, magnesium precipitates out and thus do not interfere in the titration. Eriochrome Black T indicator was added to the solution and EDTA was then added as a titrant. Disodium EDTA combines with the free calcium ions to produce an EDTA – calcium complex.

Calculation

Ca ions in terms of CaCO_3 = $A \times B \times \text{mol.wt. of CaCO}_3 \times 1000/\text{vol. of sample}$

Where

A = ml of EDTA consumed

B = EDTA concentration

Mol.wt. of CaCO_3 = 100

Calcium as Ca (mg/l) = $0.4 \times \text{Ca as CaCO}_3$ (mg/l)

Magnesium will be calculated by finding the difference between total hardness and Calcium as CaCO_3 .

Mg hardness = Total hardness – Calcium hardness $\times 0.243$

2.3.5. Alkalinity was determined by acid–base titration method.

25 ml of the sample was taken in a 250.0 ml conical flask and titrated with standard 0.1N sulphuric acid using phenolphthalein and methyl orange indicators. Phenolphthalein alkalinity indicates the presence of total hydroxide and half of the carbonate present in the sample. Methyl orange indicator was used to determine total alkalinity.

Total alkalinity (mg/l) CaCO_3 = $A \times B \times 50,000/\text{volume of sample}$

Where A = Volume of acid used in ml with methyl orange as indicator

B = Normality of standard acid solution

Carbonate as CO_3 = Phenolphthalein alkalinity (as mg CaCO_3) $\times 1.2$

Bicarbonate as HCO_3 (mg/l) = (Total alkalinity - 2 \times phenolphthalein alkalinity) $\times 1.22$

2.3.6. Chloride was determined by Argentometric method.

25 ml of water sample was taken in conical flask. In it few drops of 5% Potassium chromate solution was added as an indicator and titrated with standard 0.01N AgNO_3 solution. End point is from white precipitate to reddish brown.

Cl^- = $(A-B) \times N \times 35450/\text{vol. of sample}$

Where A = volume of AgNO_3 consumed for sample

B = volume of AgNO_3 consumed for blank

N = normality of AgNO_3

2.3.7. Nitrate was determined by UV Visible spectrophotometer model EI 2371 using potassium nitrate standard solutions.

A graph of absorbance against concentration is drawn as Calibration curve.

2.3.8. Phosphate was determined by UV Visible spectrophotometer model EI 2371 with standard Potassium dihydrogen phosphate solution.

2.3.9. Total Dissolved Solids were calculated indirectly from electrical conductivity values in μS .

Total dissolved solids = $0.64 \times \text{EC}$ ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)

2.3.10. Dissolved Oxygen was determined by Wrinklers method and verified by DO meter

2.3.11. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD): BOD was also determined by above method but after incubation for five days at 20°C. BOD was then calculated on the basis of oxygen depleted when compared to DO before incubation.

III. Results and Discussion

Table 1

S.No	Parameters	Indian Standard for drinking water <i>max</i>	Rain Water			
			Site-I	Site-II	Site-III	Site-IV
1	Temperature		17.42±0.15	18.46±0.18	23.41±0.04	21.32±0.24
2	pH measured immediately	6.5-9.5	6.25±0.07	6.00±0.04	5.18±0.024	5.47±0.07
3	pH measured after 3days		6.78±0.07	6.57±0.04	6.14±0.024	6.07±0.07
4	Conductivity μ S/cm		20.01±0.01	30.12±0.02	50.34±0.01	80.03±0.02
5	Total hardness mg/l	200	2.56±0.04	1.29±0.01	7.62±0.04	5.41±0.03
6	Calcium hardness mg/l	75	2.04±0.01	1.21±0.01	4.64±0.02	3.92±0.01
7	Magnesium Hardness mg/l	30	0.12±0.01	0.11±0.01	1.72±0.01	0.98±0.01
8	Alkalinity mg/l	200	1.62±0.01	1.96±0.08	3.23±0.02	3.12±0.04
9	Chloride mg/l	250	0.98±0.006	1.07±0.012	3.58±0.001	3.52±0.009
10	Nitrate mg/l	45	ND	0.08±0.005	1.21±0.01	1.37±0.01
11	Phosphate mg/l	0.3	ND	ND	0.06±0.02	0.04±0.01
12	TDS mg/l	500	12.84±1.01	19.27±1.00	32.21±1.06	51.21±1.20
13	DO mg/l	6	4.32±0.01	6.60±0.01	3.71±0.01	3.13±0.01
14	BOD mg/l	2	1.12±0.05	1.00±0.01	3.02±0.01	2.96±0.46

Results are mean \pm standard deviation

3.1. Temperature- Elevated temperature of water accelerates chemical reactions, thus reducing the solubility of gases, changes taste and odour and further promotes metabolic activity of organisms (Usha rani *et al.*, 2010; Kumari *et al.*, 2013). The temperature of the water sample collected was found to be in the range of 17.42 to 23.41°C during sampling. The temperature in site III may be due to high air temperature.

3.2. pH – The data in table 1 shows that the mean pH of the collected rain water samples ranged from 5.18-6.25 (average). The lowest pH (5.18) was the water sample of Selaqui collected in the month of July while the highest pH (6.25) was shown by the sample collected from Udiyabagh vikasnagar in the month of September. Lower pH value in the industrial area can be accounted to presence of higher level of CO₂ in the atmosphere. The pH value below 5.60 accounts for acid rain (Mishra *et al.*, 2012).

The high pH indicates neutralization of acids by carbonates, mineral dust or by ammonium. Rainwater pH was found to be distinctly alkaline by certain research groups working in Indian cities like Agra (pH 7.01-7.05) (Saxena *et al.*, 1991; Kumar *et al.*, 2002), Lucknow (pH 6.5-8.7) (Singh *et al.*, 2007), and Varanasi (pH 6.3-7.9) (Pandey and Singh, 2011). The values of pH in rainwater samples of few sites were slightly below the permissible limits of drinking water. However it was observed that when same water sample was analyzed for pH after 3 days it was found to be almost neutral.

3.3. Electrical Conductivity- Electrical conductivity indicates the purity of water in terms of nature and concentration of ions in water at room temperature. The electrical conductivity of the collected water sample ranged between 20.01-80.03 $\mu\text{S/cm}$. Higher electrical conductivity may be attributed to higher ionisable solids. (Rao *et.al* 2013). A low level of electrical conductivity in rain water indicates atmosphere is not very highly scavenged by ions containing aerosols.

3.4. Total hardness- In the present study the mean total hardness was in the range 1.29-7.62 mg/l. The lowest value of total hardness 1.29 mg/lit recorded at Site II and highest, 7.62 mg/lit at Site IV. Highest value might be due to settlement of anions and cations.

Calcium- Ca^{+2} in rain water can neutralize the acidity. The concentration of Ca^{+2} ranges from 1.12 mg/l in site II to 4.64 mg/l in site III.

Magnesium Mg^{+2} ions in rain water can neutralize the acidity. The concentration of Mg^{+2} ranges from 0.11 mg/l in site II to 1.72 mg/l in site III.

The concentration of calcium and magnesium ions can be due to dust in the atmosphere from the land surface.

3.5. Chloride is one of the major inorganic anion in water. In present study the values of chloride varied between 0.98 mg/l to 3.58 mg/l which is considered to be safe for consumable purpose. Cl^- ions in rain water may be due to emissions from industries, vehicles and also marine aerosols. (Khan and Sarwar, 2014).

3.6. Nitrate- the concentration of nitrate was not detected in site I while it was 1.37mg/l in site IV which can be attributed to the automobile exhaust, fossil fuels and biomass burning. (Ceron *et al.*, 2008)

3.7. Phosphate content in rain water was not detected in two sites while it was 0.04 and 0.02 mg/l in remaining two sites which could be attributed to collecting sites near the tree shed and the dust storm and particulate matter that, generally remain in the atmosphere until they are scavenged by precipitation, through dissolution, by falling rain droplets. (Baron and Denning., 1993).

3.8. Total Dissolved Solids - It indicates the chemical constituents of water. The maximum permissible limit of TDS for drinking water is 500 mg/lit. TDS values ranged from 12.84-51.21 mg/l. The high value reported in the site IV could be due to suspended particulate matter in the atmosphere which can be dust or even pollutants like nitrogen and sulphur oxide. High level of dissolved solid is not preferred for consumable purpose. (Jain *et.al* 2004)

3.9. Dissolved Oxygen Dissolved oxygen is necessary to many forms of aquatic life. The amount of dissolved oxygen needed varies from creature to creature. Assessment of dissolved oxygen (DO) is a attributing factor in all pollution related ecological studies (Vijayan, V.S. (1991) . The dissolved oxygen in rain water samples ranged between 6.60 mg/l in site II to 3.13 mg/l in site IV. The presence of dissolved oxygen in rain water may be attributed to its contact with the atmosphere.

3.10. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD): The value of BOD in rain water samples ranged between 1.00 mg/l in site II to 3.02 mg/l in site III.

IV. CONCLUSION

The study of physicochemical parameters of rain water is important to find out the extent of contamination. The studies revealed that rapid industrialization and urbanization has resulted in variation in the physicochemical parameters of rain water. The air pollution in the industrial and urban area has contributed to the contamination in the rain water. Regular monitoring and assessment of physico chemical parameters of rain water and surface water is thus essential for sustainable water management and to keep check on the pollution related issues.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are highly thankful to Maya Group of colleges for providing facilities to do analysis.

VI. REFERENCES

- [1].Flues, M., Hama, P., Lemes, M.J.L., Dantas, E.S.K., Fornaro, A. (2002). Evaluation of the rainwater acidity of a rural region due to a coal-fired power plant in Brazil. *Atmospheric Environment* 36, 2397–2404.
- [2].Possanzini, M., Buttini, P. and Dipalo, V. (1988). Characterisation of a rural area in terms of dry and wet deposition. *The Science of Total Environment*. 74: 111– 120.
- [3].Kulshrestha, U.C., Kulshrestha, M.J., Sekhar, R., Sastry, G.S.R. and Vairamani, M. (2003). Chemical characteristics of rain- water at an urban site of south-central India. *Atmospheric Environment* 37: 3019–3026.
- [4].Handa, B. K., Kumar, A. and Goel, D. K. (1982). Chemical composition of rain water over Lucknow in 1980. *Mausam*. 33: 485–488.
- [5].Mahadevan, T.N., Meenakshy, V. and Sathe, A.V. (1984). Some observations on chemical composition of precipitation in an industrial area and its use in air quality assessment. *Mausam*. 35: 87–91.
- [6].Mukhopadhyay, B., Datar, S.V. and Srivastava, H.N. (1992). Precipitation chemistry over the Indian region. *Mausam*. 43: 249–258.
- [7].Rao, P.S.P., Momin, G.A., Safai, P.D., Pillai, A.G. and Khemani, L.T. (1995). Rainwater and through fall chemistry in the silent valley forest in South India. *Atmospheric Environment*. 29: 2025-2029.
- [8].Saxena, A., Kulshrestha, U.C., Kumar, N., Kumari, K.M. and Srivastava, S.S. (1996). Characterization of precipitation at Agra. *Atmospheric Environment*. 30: 3405–3412
- [9].Agarwal, M. And Singh, R.K. (2001). Effect of industrial emission on atmospheric wet deposition. *Water Air Soil Pollution*. 130: 481–486.
- [10].Khare, P., Goel, A., Patel, D. and Behari, J. (2004). Chemical characterization of rainwater at a developing urban habitat of Northern India. *Atmospheric Environment*. 69: 135–145.
- [11].Naik, M.S., Khemani, L.T., Momin, G.A. and Rao, P.S.P. (1988). Measurement of pH and chemical analysis of rainwater in rural area in India. *Acta Mathematicae. Applicatae. Sinica*. 2: 91-100.
- [12].Asthana DK, Asthana M (2003). *Environment: problems and solutions*, S.Chand and company ltd, Ram Nagar, New Delhi, Chapter 15: 205.
- [13].Matos, R.C., Santos, M.D.R., Matos, M.A.C., Rachid, W.M.D., Derossi, I.N., Pinto, M.F. and Cerqueira, M.R.F. (2014). Chemical characteristics of rainwater at a southeastern site of Brazil. *Atmospheric Pollution Research* 5: 253-261.
- [14].A.M.J.A Obaidy, H Joshi. Chemical composition of rain water in a tropical urban area of northern India.(2006). *Environmental Science*. 120-126.
- [15].Olobaniyi, S.B. and Efe, S.I. (2007). Comparative assessment of rainwater and groundwater quality in an producing area of Nigeria: Environmental and health implications. *Journal of Environmental Health Research*. 6(2): 111-118.
- [16].A. K. Yadav & G. P. Mishra (1979). *Chemistry of rainwater and its contribution to nutrient input in forests of central India* : Taylor and Francis 31:5, 463-464,
- [17].*Standard Methods Book for the Examination of Water a Waste Water*, 18th edition, American Public Health Association, Washington DC, 1992.
- [18].Granat, L., Suksomsankh, K., Simachaya, S., Tabucanon, M. and Rodhe, H. (1996) Regional Background Acidity and Chemical Composition of Precipitation in Thailand. *Atmospheric Environment*, 30, 1589-1596.
- [19].Yasir, Sachin Srivastava. (2016). Monitoring of ground water quality in the provience of district Dehradun,(Uttarakhand) India. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental sciences*. 1(1) : 43-48

- [20].Tyagi S, Singh P, Sharma B, Singh R. (2014). Assessment of water quality for drinking purpose in district of Uttarakhand, India. *Applied Ecology and Environmental sciences*, , Vol 2 No4, 94-99.
- [21]Kumar R, Chauhan A, Rawat L. (2017). Physico chemical analysis of surface and ground water in selected cities of Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. *Journal of Environment and Analytical Toxicology.*, 7:1.
- [22].Hamid A, Joshi H. (2006) Chemical composition of rain water in the tropical urban area of northern India. *Atmospheric Environment* .40: 6886–6891.
- [23].Kulshrestha , U.C., Kulshrestha MJ, Sekar R, Sastry GSR, Vairamani M.(2003). Chemical characteristics of rain water at an Urban site of South Central India. *Atmospheric Environment* 37 3019–3026.
- [24].CPCB 2008. Guidelines for Water Quality Monitoring. Central Pollution Control Board, Govt .of India, New Delhi.
- [25].WHO 2008. Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality: Incorporating 1st and 2nd Agenda, Vol. 1, Recommendations, 3rd ed. World Health Organization, Geneva, Kulshrestha, U.C., Kulshrestha, M.J., Sekar, R., Sastry,
- [26].Rao, J.D Babu, H.B Swami, AVVs an Sumithra , S (2013) Physico chemical characteristics of ground water of Vuyyuru, part of East Coast of India, *Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology*, 3(2): 225-232.
- [27].Mishra A, Singh AK, Singh KA, Pandey P, Yadav S, Khan AH and Barman SC (2012). Urban air pollution and their effects on rain water characteristics in Lucknow city, *Journal of Environmental research and development*. 6(4):1127-1132.
- [28].Saxena, A., Sharma, S., Kulshrestha, U.C and Srivastava, S.S. (1991). Factors affecting alkaline nature of rain water in Agra (India). *Enviromental. Pollution* 74: 129–138.
- [29].Kumar, R., Rani, A., Singh, S.P., Kumari, K.M. and Srivastava, S.S. (2002).A long term study on chemical composition of rainwater at Dayalbagh a suburban site of semiarid region. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*. 41 (3): 265–279.
- [30].Singh, A.K., Mondal, G.C., Kumar, S., Singh, K.K., Kamal, K.P. and Sinha,A. (2007). Precipitation chemistry and occurrence of acid rain over Dhanbad, coal city of India. *Environment. Monitoring. Assessment*. 125: 99–110.
- [31].Pandey, J. and Singh, A. (2012). Chemical characterization of rain water inseasonally dry tropical region (Varanasi), India. *Journal of Environmental Bioogy*. 33:629-634.
- [32].Kumari, M., Mudgal, L.K. and Singh, A.K. (2013). Comparative Studies of Physico-Chemical Parameters of two Reservoirs of Narmada River, MP, India. *Current World Environment*, 8, 473-478.
- [33].Usharani, K., Umarani, K., Ayyasamy, P.M., Shanthi, K. and Lakshmanaperumalsamy, P.(2010). Physico-chemical and bacteriological characteristics of Noyyal River and ground water quality of Perur, India. *Journal of Applied Science Environment. Management*. 14 (2): 29-35.
- [34].Khan NM and Sarwar A (2014), Chemical composition of wet precipitation of air pollutants: A case study in Karachi, Pakistan, *Atmosfera*. 27(1):
- [35].Ceron, R.M., Ceron, J.G. Murel, M. and B. (2008). Cardenas: Identification of ion sources in rain water of a coastal site impacted by the gas and oil industry in the south east of Mexico. *Golbal Nest. The International Journal*. 10:92-100.

[36].Baron, J., Denning, A.S., 1993. The influence of mountain meteorology on precipitation chemistry at low and high elevations of the Colorado Front Range, USA. Atmospheric Environment Part A–General Topics 27, 2337–2349.

[37].Jain, CK, Kumar S, Rao YR (2004). Trace element contamination in a coastal aquifer of Andhra Pradesh. Pollution Research 23: 13-23.

[38].Vijayan, V.S. (1991) Keoladeo National Park Ecology Study. Final Report (1980-1990) BNHS, Bombay.

