



From Policy to Practice: Evaluating Gender Mainstreaming in Government School Initiatives

Dr. Rokono Rudupra

Assistant Professor, PQH School of Education,
University of Science and Technology Meghalaya (USTM)

Veno Rudupra

MA Sociology, Delhi University, Delhi

Abstract

Gender mainstreaming, as a global strategy for achieving gender equality, has found its way into national education policies and school reforms. Although national policies such as the National Education Policy (2020), Right to Education Act (2009), and initiatives like Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan and Beti Bachao Beti Padhao, signal a strong commitment to gender equity, the actual translation of these policy commitments into school-level practices reveals significant inconsistencies. This paper evaluates the extent to which gender mainstreaming policies are effectively implemented in Indian government schools, focusing on four key domains: curriculum, teacher training, infrastructure, and student participation. Drawing on existing literature and policy analysis, the study identifies systemic challenges including socio-cultural resistance, lack of gender-sensitive pedagogy, inadequate infrastructure for gender minorities, and weak accountability mechanisms. It also highlights emerging good practices from states like Kerala and Delhi that offer scalable models of inclusive education. The paper concludes with actionable recommendations for institutional reform, capacity building, inclusive curriculum development, and enhanced community engagement, aiming to bridge the gap between policy intent and implementation. A transformative, whole-school approach to gender mainstreaming is proposed to ensure that Indian schools become truly inclusive and empowering spaces for students of all gender identities.

Keywords:

Gender mainstreaming, gender equity, education policy, government schools, curriculum reform, teacher training, policy implementation, inclusive education, institutional accountability, community engagement.

Introduction

Gender equality in education is a cornerstone of social justice and sustainable development. While policy frameworks in India strongly advocate for gender equity, there is a significant gap between policy intent and practice on the ground. Gender mainstreaming, a strategy involving the systematic consideration of gender perspectives in all aspects of educational planning and execution, is identified as a key approach to bridge this gap. However, the effectiveness of gender mainstreaming in school-based initiatives remains a critical concern, particularly whether they are fostering genuinely equitable learning environments for all genders. To address these concerns, the research undertakes an in-depth evaluation of how gender policies are implemented within government schools across India, aiming to understand the extent to which gender equality is being realized in practice.

Conceptual Framework: Understanding Gender Mainstreaming

The concept of gender mainstreaming, officially established in the Beijing Platform for Action (1995), defined as, “The process of assessing the implications for women and men of any planned action... so that gender equality is central to all activities.” By the UN ECOSOC, is fundamentally about meticulously evaluating the potential impact of any proposed action on both women and men. Its core objective is to ensure that gender equality is a central and integral consideration in every activity. In the context of education, gender mainstreaming necessitates the deliberate integration of gender equity into various facets of the educational system. This includes critically examining and modifying the curriculum and textbooks to remove biases and promote inclusivity, transforming teaching practices and the language used in classrooms to be more equitable, fostering gender-sensitive leadership and governance within schools, improving infrastructure and safety measures to cater to the specific needs of all genders, and implementing assessment, monitoring, and accountability mechanisms that track progress towards gender equality. Ultimately, achieving successful gender mainstreaming in education requires a two-pronged approach, encompassing both tangible, technical modifications, such as providing adequate sanitation facilities and allocating resources appropriately, and more profound, transformative shifts, such as actively challenging prevailing social norms and power dynamics that perpetuate gender inequality.

Objectives:

1. To evaluate the implementation of gender mainstreaming policies in Indian government schools, focusing on curriculum, teacher training, infrastructure, and student participation.
2. To identify key challenges hindering gender mainstreaming, including socio-cultural barriers, lack of gender sensitivity among educators, and exclusion of gender minorities.
3. To recommend actionable strategies for improving institutional accountability, teacher capacity, inclusive curriculum, and community involvement to promote gender-equitable education.

Review of Related Literature

Gender mainstreaming in education has emerged as a pivotal strategy in the pursuit of gender equity, particularly in the context of government school systems. The literature review explores the evolution of gender mainstreaming policies, their translation into school-level practices, and the challenges encountered in bridging the gap between policy and practice, both in India and globally.

As defined by the United Nations (1997), gender mainstreaming involves integrating gender perspectives into all aspects of policy design, implementation, and evaluation to promote gender equality. In education, this translates into not only ensuring access for girls but also transforming school structures, practices, and mindsets that reinforce gender disparities (Subrahmanian, 2005).

India's policy landscape reflects a commitment to gender inclusion through initiatives like the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) and the Right to Education (RTE) Act, which prioritize universal elementary education with specific provisions for girls. Furthermore, the Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao (BBBP) initiative focuses on promoting girl child education and empowerment, while the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 emphasizes gender inclusion funds, gender-sensitive curriculum reforms, and efforts to bridge gender gaps across all levels of education. However, scholars like Jandhyala (2020) and Kumar (2021) argue that while policy intent is strong, implementation often lacks depth, monitoring, and sustained commitment at the grassroots level.

Studies (PROBE Report, 1999; Ramachandran, 2004) have documented that infrastructural deficits such as lack of girls' toilets, unsafe school environments, and long travel distances contribute to higher dropout rates among girls. Additionally, gender-insensitive pedagogy and lack of teacher training on gender equity remain persistent issues (Nambissan, 2010). Further, school management committees and local governance structures often mirror patriarchal norms, limiting the effectiveness of gender-inclusive decision-making (Bandyopadhyay & Subrahmanian, 2008).

Textbook analysis (Blumberg, 2008; Sadker & Zittleman, 2009) reveals that curricular materials often reinforce traditional gender roles. While recent efforts have been made to revise content, the integration of gender-sensitive pedagogy remains uneven. Teachers' own ingrained societal biases and a lack of adequate professional

development in gender-responsive teaching methods significantly impact their ability to effectively implement inclusive practices in the classroom.

Initiatives such as Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalaya (KGBV) have shown promise in improving access to schooling for marginalized girls, especially in rural and tribal areas (Govinda & Bandyopadhyay, 2011). However, evaluations (UNESCO, 2015; MHRD Reports) highlight that quality of education, learning outcomes, and the level of gender sensitivity within these schools still require substantial strengthening.

There is a critical gap in systematic data collection and the availability of gender-disaggregated indicators at the school level (Kingdon, 2007). Without robust monitoring frameworks, it becomes exceedingly difficult to accurately measure the effectiveness of gender mainstreaming efforts and to hold institutions accountable for progress.

Emerging research advocates for a comprehensive, whole-school approach to gender inclusion (Unterhalter et al., 2014), emphasizing that leadership commitment, sustained teacher capacity-building, meaningful community engagement, and ongoing curriculum reform are all interconnected and essential processes. Local innovations, feminist pedagogical approaches, and the use of gender audit tools are increasingly recognized as important methods for transforming school cultures and fostering greater gender equity.

In conclusion, the literature emphasizes that while policy frameworks for gender mainstreaming in government schools are generally progressive, translating these policies into meaningful practice is fraught with structural, cultural, and institutional challenges. Moving from superficial inclusion to truly transformative gender mainstreaming requires sustained political will, active grassroots engagement, and comprehensive, system-wide reform. Future research should focus on conducting longitudinal evaluations, employing intersectional gender analysis, and examining case studies of successful models of change to further inform and advance the field.

Gender in Indian Education Policies: Commitments and Instruments

1. National Education Policy (2020)

India's National Education Policy (2020) demonstrates a commitment to gender equality in education through several key provisions. Notably, it advocates for the establishment of a Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF), designed to provide essential financial support for developing inclusive infrastructure and promoting educational opportunities specifically for girls and transgender students. Furthermore, the policy emphasizes the importance of employing gender-sensitive pedagogical approaches in the classroom and ensuring that teachers receive adequate training in these methods. The policy also recognizes the concept of intersectionality, acknowledging that individuals may experience overlapping forms of discrimination based on gender and other social identities, although the extent to which this is explored is limited.

2 Right to Education Act (2009)

The Right to Education Act (2009) in India guarantees free and compulsory education to all children between the ages of 6 and 14. While the Act does not explicitly focus solely on gender equality, its implementation indirectly benefits girls, particularly those from marginalized communities, by ensuring their access to basic education.

3 Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA)

The Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) is a comprehensive program in India that integrates several gender-specific interventions to address disparities in education. These interventions include the establishment and support of Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (KGBVs), which are residential schools specifically for girls, the implementation of menstrual hygiene programs aimed at improving girls' health and attendance, and the provision of bridge courses designed to help girls catch up on missed schooling.

4 Beti Bachao Beti Padhao (BBBP)

The Beti Bachao Beti Padhao (BBBP) campaign is a national initiative that aims to improve the status of girls in India by focusing on raising awareness, promoting education, and empowering girls at the grassroots community level.

From Policy to Practice: Evaluation of Gender Mainstreaming in Schools

1 Curriculum and Textbooks

Significant efforts have been made to revise textbooks published by the National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT) in India to actively eliminate gender stereotypes and promote a more equitable portrayal of genders. Despite these revisions, research consistently reveals that women continue to be under-represented in these educational materials and that traditional, binary gender roles are often reinforced. Furthermore, there is a notable absence of LGBTQIA+ representation and a lack of inclusive content designed to cater to the needs and experiences of gender non-conforming students, highlighting a significant gap in the curriculum's ability to address the diversity of gender identities.

2 Teacher Training and Classroom Practices

Gender sensitization initiatives have been incorporated into teacher training modules, such as those offered through DIKSHA and NISHTHA, to equip educators with the knowledge and skills necessary to promote gender equality in the classroom. However, the practical implementation of these programs on the ground is often weak due to several factors. These include a lack of clear understanding of the underlying concepts related to gender equality among teachers, resistance from educators who are influenced by deeply ingrained societal norms, and the limited duration of training programs, which often lack adequate follow-up support or rigorous evaluation to assess their effectiveness.

3 Infrastructure and Safety

Significant improvements have been made in school infrastructure to enhance access for girls, including the provision of separate toilets for girls, the construction of boundary walls to ensure safer learning environments, and the implementation of menstrual hygiene programs to support girls' health and attendance. Despite these positive developments, critical gaps persist. These include inadequate maintenance of existing facilities, a lack of gender-neutral toilet options for transgender students, and the presence of weak or ineffective grievance redressal mechanisms to address incidents of harassment or bullying, leaving students vulnerable and undermining efforts to create truly inclusive and safe school environments.

4 Participation and Leadership

Encouragingly, girls' enrolment rates in schools have improved, particularly at the primary level, indicating progress in increasing access to education for girls. While opportunities for participation and leadership, such as involvement in school cabinets or Meena Manch (forums for girls' empowerment), do exist, these are often symbolic in nature and lack genuine decision-making power. Furthermore, transgender students face near-total exclusion from leadership roles and meaningful participation in school activities, highlighting a significant area of inequity that needs to be addressed.

5 Monitoring and Accountability

Effective monitoring and accountability mechanisms for gender equality in education are significantly hampered by the absence of readily available gender-disaggregated data at the school level, making it difficult to track progress and identify disparities. Furthermore, there is a lack of standardized gender audit tools or comprehensive evaluation frameworks specifically designed to assess the effectiveness of gender mainstreaming initiatives within schools. The situation is further complicated by the fact that community participation, particularly through

School Management Committees (SMCs), often lacks sufficient gender-sensitive awareness, limiting their ability to effectively advocate for and promote gender equality within the school environment.

Challenges in Gender Mainstreaming

The implementation of effective gender mainstreaming in education faces several persistent challenges.

Firstly, there is a tendency to treat gender as a tokenistic "add-on" to existing programs and policies, rather than deeply embedding gender considerations into all aspects of school operations, from curriculum design to infrastructure development.

Secondly, deeply entrenched socio-cultural resistance, including patriarchal norms, the prevalence of early marriage, and rigid gendered expectations, significantly restricts the meaningful participation of girls in education and limits their opportunities.

Thirdly, a widespread lack of gender expertise among educational administrators and teachers, who often lack adequate training in gender theory and pedagogy, hinders their ability to effectively implement gender-sensitive practices.

Fourthly, policies often fail to extend beyond a binary understanding of gender, leaving transgender and gender-diverse students largely invisible and marginalized within the educational system.

Finally, there is significant disparity in gender inclusion practices across different states and regions of India, with some states like Kerala, Delhi, and Tamil Nadu demonstrating more progressive implementation while others lag far behind, highlighting the need for a more consistent and equitable approach nationwide.

Emerging Good Practices

Despite the challenges, several emerging good practices across India offer promising models for advancing gender equality in education. In Kerala, innovative initiatives include the introduction of gender-neutral school uniforms and the establishment of gender parks designed to promote gender sensitization and awareness. Delhi has implemented a "Happiness Curriculum" that integrates emotional well-being and discussions on gender roles into the school curriculum. In Odisha and Rajasthan, community-based campaigns under the Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) are actively working to prevent early marriage and promote the education of the girl child. Additionally, the Meena Manch in Bihar provides peer-led groups that empower girls and build their confidence and voices, creating supportive spaces for their development. These practices show that policy goals can be translated into practice when contextualized and supported by local leadership.

Recommendations

To effectively advance gender equality in education, a multi-pronged approach is necessary.

1. Institutionalizing Gender Audits- Institutionalizing regular gender audits to assess school processes, infrastructure, and teaching practices through a gender lens is crucial for identifying areas of improvement and holding schools accountable.
2. Strengthening Teacher Capacity- Strengthening teacher capacity through mandatory and reflective gender training integrated into both B.Ed. programs and ongoing in-service professional development is essential. This training should prioritize experiential learning, case studies, and strategies for addressing unconscious biases among educators.
3. Inclusive Curriculum Reform- Inclusive curriculum reform is needed to develop content that incorporates diverse gender voices, actively challenges harmful norms, and promotes equality, while also emphasizing intersectionality to acknowledge the overlapping forms of discrimination individuals may face based on gender, caste, disability, and religion.

4. Community and SMC Engagement- Meaningful community and School Management Committee (SMC) engagement is vital, requiring training for SMCs on their roles in promoting gender equity and actively involving mothers, youth, and gender advocates in school planning and decision-making processes.

5. Support for Gender Minorities- Finally, providing comprehensive support for gender minorities is paramount, ensuring safe spaces, counselling services, and gender-neutral infrastructure, while also creating pathways for the active participation and representation of LGBTQIA+ students within the school environment. These comprehensive recommendations offer a roadmap for creating more equitable and inclusive learning environments for all students.

Conclusion

In conclusion, while Indian education policy has made considerable strides in incorporating gender mainstreaming principles, the actual implementation of these policies remains inconsistent and incomplete. Achieving true gender equity in education demands a systemic transformation that extends beyond mere infrastructural improvements or tokenistic representation. It necessitates a fundamental shift in deeply ingrained values, pedagogical approaches, and the overall institutional culture within schools. To effectively bridge the gap between policy intent and practical application, the education system must prioritize context-specific implementation strategies, invest in building the capacity of teachers and communities, and ensure robust institutional accountability. Only then can schools truly become inclusive spaces where all learners, irrespective of their gender identity, can thrive, actively participate, and lead with dignity and respect.

References

- Bandyopadhyay, M., & Subrahmanian, R. (2008). *Gender equity in education: A review of trends and factors* (CREATE Pathways to Access Research Monograph No. 18). University of Sussex. Retrieved from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED508744.pdf>
- Blumberg, R. L. (2008). The invisible obstacle to educational equality: Gender bias in textbooks. *Prospects*, 38(3), 345--361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-009-9086-1>
- Govinda, R., & Bandyopadhyay, M. (2011). *Who goes to school?: Exploring exclusion in Indian education* (1st ed.). Oxford University Press. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/306015375_Who_Goes_to_School_Exploring_Exclusion_in_Indian_Education/citation/download
- Jandhyala, K. (2020). Gender equality in NEP 2020: Missed opportunities and a way forward. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 27(3), 409--420. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971521520964585>
- Kingdon, G. G. (2007). The progress of school education in India. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 23(2), 168--195. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grm015>
- Kumar, K. (2021). Educational reforms and the promise of equity: Reflections on NEP 2020. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(36), 31--36.
- Ministry of Education. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Government of India. <https://www.education.gov.in/en/nep2020>
- Ministry of Women and Child Development. (2015). *Beti Bachao Beti Padhao scheme: Guidelines*. Government of India. <https://wcd.nic.in>
- Nambissan, G. B. (2010). Social Exclusion and Schooling: Experiences of Dalit Children in India. *IDS Bulletin*, 41(5), 70-78.

Nambissan, G. B. (2010). The globalisation of education and the 'Indian child': The postcolonial state and education for all. In R. Majumdar & S. Sohoni (Eds.), *Education and social change in South Asia* (pp. 255--273). Orient Blackswan.

National Council of Educational Research and Training. (2006). *Position Paper on Gender Issues in Education* (3.2). New Delhi. Retrieved from https://ncert.nic.in/pdf/focus-group/gender_issues_in_education.pdf

National Council of Educational Research and Training (2013). *Perspectives on Gender and Society*. Volume I. Retrieved from <https://www.ncert.nic.in/dgs/pdf/vol1.pdf>

National Council of Educational Research and Training. *Gender analysis of textbooks in India*. Department of Gender Studies, NCERT. Retrieved from https://ncert.nic.in/dgs/pdf/overallreportDGS_24_8_17.pdf

PROBE Team. (1999). *Public report on basic education in India*. Oxford University Press, New Delhi. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Pushpendra-Kumar-3/publication/287759554_Public_Report_on_Basic_Education_in_India/links/6127a8f42b40ec7d8bc83016/Public-Report-on-Basic-Education-in-India.pdf?origin=publication_detail&tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIiwicGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uRG93bm9vYXQjLCJwcmV2aW91c1BhZ2UiOiJwdWJsaWNhdGlviJ9fQ&_cf_chl_tk=QklkVx60HPjGiNgSYj7T8MME4SbTauZTKKf8tLUExJ0-1751084948-1.0.1.1-52vJBefSKW4HFLu7cUPpq8j9lJneAotNft6bCWrf0X4

Ramachandran, V. (2002). *Gender and social equity in primary education: Hierarchies of access*. Sage Publications India. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/44828417_Gender_and_Social_Equity_in_Primary_Education_Hierarchies_of_Access/download

Sadker, D., & Zittleman, K. (2010). *Still failing at fairness: How gender bias cheats girls and boys in school and what we can do about it*. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263608413_Still_failing_at_fairness_how_gender_bias_cheats_girls_and_boys_in_school_and_what_we_can_do_about_it_by_David_Sadker_Myra_Sadker_and_Karen_Zittleman

Srivastava, G. (2013). Gender and Peace in Textbooks and Pedagogy. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 48(42), 55--62.

Subrahmanian, R. (2005). Gender equality in education: Definitions and measurements. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 25(4), 395--407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2005.04.003>

UN ECOSOC. (1997). *Agreed Conclusions on Gender Mainstreaming*. United Nations Economic and Social Council. Retrieved from <https://www.un.org/womenwatch/osagi/pdf/ECOSOCAC1997.2.PDF>

UNESCO. (2015). *A growing number of children and adolescents are out of school as aid fails to meet the mark* (Education for All Global Monitoring Report). <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000233557>

UNESCO. (2019). *Global Education Monitoring Report: Gender Report: Building bridges for gender equality*. <https://doi.org/10.54676/QTBO2301>

Unterhalter, E., North, A., Arnot, M., Lloyd, C., Moletsane, L., Murphy-Graham, E., Parkes, J., & Saito, M. (2014). *Education Rigorous Literature Review: Girls' education and gender equality*. Retrieved from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a089bae5274a31e0000230/Girls_Education_Literature_Review_2014_Unterhalter.pdf