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ABSTRACT

The integration of different network-level functions, including routing, administration, and security, is basic to the effective operation of a mobile ad hoc network nowadays, in MANET mainstream researchers manages the issues of QoS and security independently. Currently, both the aspects of security and QoS influence negatively on the overall performance of the network when considered in isolation. In fact, it can influence the exceptionally working of QoS and security algorithms and may influence the vital and essential services required in the MANET. Our paper outlines two accomplishments via; the accomplishment of security and accomplishment of quality. The direction towards achieving these accomplishments is to design and implement a protocol to suite solution for policy-based network administration, and methodologies for key administration and sending of IPSec in a MANET.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The idea of Quality of Service (QoS) is a certification given by the system to fulfil an arrangement of predetermined administration execution limitations for the client as far as the end-to-end delay measurements, available transmission capacity, the likelihood of packet loss, and so on. There are numerous applications and services that require particular QoS guarantees.

The integration of different network-level functions, including routing, administration, and security, is basic to the effective operation of a mobile ad hoc network. These days, in MANET mainstream researchers, manages the issues of QoS and security independently. Currently, both the aspects of security and QoS influence negatively on the overall performance of the network when considered in isolation. In fact, it can influence the exceptionally working of QoS and security algorithms and may influence the vital and essential services required in the MANET.

Security and QoS represent to an exceedingly vital field of research in MANET and they are as yet being considered independently without any components used to set up collaboration between them.

The issues of incorporating QoS and security as a solitary parameter are simply starting to pick up considerably in MANET. In this way, no thoughts were composed that would empower the joining of QoS and security as a single set parameter in MANET. In QoS literature, security is translated as a QoS measurement, yet the procedure of combination has not been examined. The idea of security as a measurement of QoS has been proposed as an idea called variation security. The thought of this idea is that security instruments and administrations are considered to have a security extend and an arrangement of quantifiable security factors have been distinguished, which can be utilized to measure a security property.

Objective of the Model

Our focus of arriving at this model was to focus on the mobility of the network as opposed to the
mobility of nodes, inferring the movement of whole subnetworks regarding each other, while individual clients at first connected with one such sub-network may likewise move to different areas.

One illustration is a war zone network that incorporates boats, aeroplane, and ground troops. In this "network of networks" subnets (e.g., shipboard systems) are interconnected by means of an earthy mobile wireless network (e.g., between moving boats). The clients are at first connected with their home systems yet are allowed to move between spaces. Challenges in such a situation incorporate interoperation among various stages, upkeep of security affiliations, and circulation of policies to protect QoS.

II. Problem Statement

Our problem outlines two accomplishments via; the accomplishment of security and accomplishment of quality. The direction towards achieving these accomplishments is to design and implement a protocol to suite solution for policy-based network administration, and methodologies for key administration and sending of IPSec in a MANET.

An accomplishment of Security: Security is accomplished through the burrowing of information over the ad-hoc network utilizing Internet Protocol Security (IPSec) and Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE). Authentication keys are progressively appropriated to network hubs utilizing various key storehouses.

Achievement of QoS: The term Quality of Security Service (QoS) was coined by Irvine et al. Bandwidth is designated by distributed policy-based network management mechanism. A security benefit vector (SSV) has been introduced to portray practical prerequisites of security policies. SSV will represent the level of service inside the range of security. The traits of their security vector incorporate security components, services, level of security, and administration zone.

Presented System Model

The process of implementing QoS and security as a single unit utilizes a base associated ruling set minimum connected domain sets of nodes to proliferate route upgrades. Some nodes in the network have the ability to perform topology observing through occasional trade of Simple Network Management Protocol packets. And to augment real-time applications, a few hosts are furnished with middleware in charge of recognizing due date prerequisites of the application (connected with utility functions) and marking packets accordingly utilizing the differentiated services (DiffServ) code point field of the IP header.

Figure 1. Integration of Security & QoS in MANET

1. Cluster Mobility Model

Clustered model architecture is a new by very dynamic mode of network analysis. It brings new features and into the MANET networks. The new features are advantageous as they go a long way in boosting adaptability and performance of a network. Given that this area is new and dynamic there is very few literature available on this subject as research on clustered architecture has not been conclusive. As such, the existing literature lists various divergent views as there is no general consensus on both the
clustered layer infrastructure and architecture. The research and analysis that will be undertaken will thus seek to establish and reflect on the problem of system dynamics in MANET networks. It is important to note that clustered model network is neither a combinational architecture of the layered functionality nor a replacement of the single architecture. The clustered model network shares information amidst various layers that can be applied as the inputs for the algorithms, for the computations, for the decision processes and even adoptions.

Our model broadens the RWP mobility model with a different way of selecting the layout and waypoints. However, the technique for selecting delay times and node speed is the same. The model is simulated in two stages, the first stage being the layout and the second stage being the selection of destination to encourage mobility.

Properties of the Model:

**Clustering Phases**
Clustering is done in two stages:
Stage 1: The cluster set-up.
Stage 2: Cluster maintenance. In the cluster set-up phase, among a set of nodes in the network a cluster head is chosen. Its role is to coordinate the process and deliver the data packets. The rest of the nodes affiliate with its neighbour cluster head to form clusters. Affiliations take place within the network when the nodes move that needs a reconfiguration of clusters.

**Cluster Setup:**

**Steps for the setup of the Cluster**
The following set of sequential steps describes the setup of the cluster:
Step 1: The head is selected combining the weighted calculation algorithm and creating groups of cluster head selection that are stable over time.
Step 2: Combined weight (W) is calculated for each node.
Step 3: Build neighbourhood table of the nodes.
Step 4: Set the cluster head (CLh) to 1, if the node has the maximum weight among its neighbours or else set to 0. Step 5: Broadcast the weight of the node to its neighbours’.
Step 6: Repeat the weight calculation whenever a new node is added to the cluster.
Step 7: Use this cross-layering information obtained from routing tables to reduce the network overhead.

**Cluster Maintenance:**
This stage is required when the node moves outside the limit of the cluster.

**Steps for the Maintenance of the Cluster**
The accompanying arrangement of consecutive steps depicts the upkeep of the cluster:
Step 1: The node tries to find a new CLh
if (found for a certain time period)
{ node _ slave}
else
{ node _ Cluster Head (CLh)}
Step 2: if the node (Cluster Head (CLh) is leaving), then the cluster becomes unstable and process of the re-election of new head is kicked off. It was observed that the explanations behind vanishing of the cluster head are expected due to the below reasons.
- Excessive battery consumption
- The relative speed of the nodes with its neighbours.
To address the delicate issue - When a cluster head (CLh) is to be re-chosen? , beneath are the conceivable ways that are recommended to address this issue in the accompanying segment:

**Algorithm for Attaining Stability in a Cluster**
The accompanying arrangement of consecutive steps depicts the stability of the cluster: In the majority of the strategies, the cluster groups get to be shaky as the group head doesn't move towards other alternate nodes of the cluster group. The nodes that lessen the overload of cluster re-election procedure are just chosen as group head. The cluster head with properties as having more neighbourhoods, more rest battery power, and less average distance are considered.
Initialize:
Step (a): ‘P’, ‘Q’ _ Nodes
Step (b): Min {Threshold} <- Initialize a value
Step (c): Max {Threshold} <- Initialize a value
Step (d): If (Q < -is heard)
Q++ // Increment the counter till it is heard
Step (e): If ( Q < - is not heard)
Q-- // Decrement the counter till it is not heard
Step (f):
Repeat steps (d) & (e) until ‘Q’ reaches
(Max[Threshold] + Q < - is not heard period)
{
if (‘Q’ reached the Threshold) Declare cluster as
Stable.
if (‘Q’ > Max(stable Threshold)
Exit the Group.
}
In our approach we presented an optimal method
combining the characteristics of other Methods to
reach at our algorithm

Model of Integrating QoS and Security

This model presented in Figure 2, gives other option
to cooperation amongst QoS and security by means
of cross-layer outline (CLD) and modified security
benefit vector (SSV). The fundamental thoughts of
the integration procedure are to give QoS and
security at the same time, and the clients cooperate
with a framework through CLD. Coordination itself
is important for the appropriate working of both
components as far as QoS and security.

![Figure 2. Model of Integrating QoS and Security in MANET](image_url)

The model incorporates all segments for
communications between the client and framework
to coordinate security as one parameter.

SSV+CLD block - The principal block of our model is
the SSV + CLD block. CLD is utilized to make
intelligent environment amongst clients and the
framework and, at once, is utilized to provision
interaction between the routing protocol and
adjusted security benefit vector (SSV).

QoS (parameters) block – The principle of this block
is to speak to a component for conveying of QoS in
MANET environments. It characterizes and
determines the QoS parameters important to give the
required services or data about what kind of service a
node can give.

Security (parameters) block - The principle of this
block is to speak to a system to give security-related
administrations furthermore characterizes the vital
parameters used to provide process services.

User and Service block – This block empowers the
cooperation between the client and the framework.
The connection with client implies that client can
characterize parameters for the type of service,
which is accomplished for services.

Modified routing protocol block - The routing
protocol represents the ideal route in view of client
characterized requirements (QoS and security) along
with modified SSV algorithm.

Security support in MANETs includes:

Secure Routing – The ideas with respect to secure
routing are exchanging routing information to keep
the network associated and secure data packet
sending.

Key Management – The idea of key management is to
manage the secure key generation, key dissemination,
and key storage and sets up mutually agreed secret
keys between all the participating parties.
Interruption Detection System – The interruption detection system gathers and evaluates audit information to identify unapproved uses and abuses of computer systems. Interruption identification depends on gathering and investigation of a framework and network audit review information.

III. Analysis

Policy-Based Quality of Service
Policy-based network management (PBNM) arranges and controls the system, all in all, giving the network improved, consistently incorporated, and control over the whole network. PBNM can be utilized to control diverse networking abilities, for example, QoS, network security, dynamic IP address administration and access control. A PBNM gives a practical answer for managing a MANET: a consortium of numerous sub-networks controlled by different network policies. There are four parts of the solution to the policy based quality of service. i.e k-hop cluster management, Service Discovery, Inter-domain policy negotiation and Security

Security
In the security zone, we concentrate on the interoperability of IPSec and key management with various developing advancements in real-time systems and QoS on multiple platforms. The IPSec implementation built in Linux gateways is a free commercial implementation named as FreeS/WAN. The choice of FreeS/WAN depends on the accessibility of IPSec usage for RedHat Linux and usefulness.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper we presented secure tunnels between subnet gateways that are integrated with the routing and policy-based network management schemes As MANETs develop, it is important to coordinate and integrate the different protocols and mechanisms that have been progressed into a cohesive framework that supports reliable and secure communication in this extremely dynamic environment. Nevertheless, the dynamism in MANET makes the intrusion detection difficult, which should take into account the input and output of nodes in the network, as well as their mobility within it.

V. REFERENCES