

Ultrasonic velocity, density measurements of Clobetasol propionate in 10% sodium chloride At 303 k

A. N. Sonar*

* Shri V.S. Naik College, Raver, Dist. Jalgaon (M.S.) India

Abstract

The acoustical properties have been investigated from the ultrasonic velocity and density measurements of **Clobetasol propionate in 10% sodium chloride** at 300K. The measurement have been perform to evaluate acoustical parameter such as adiabatic compressibility (β_s), Partial molal volume (β_v), intermolecular free length (Lf), apparent molal compressibility (β_k), specific acoustic impedance (Z), relative association (RA), solvation number (Sn).

Key word: - *Ultrasonic velocity, viscosity, adiabatic compressibility, apparent molal volume.*

INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, measurements of the Ultrasonic velocity are helpful to interpreted solute-solvent, ion-solvent interaction in aqueous and non aqueous medium [1-6]. Fumio Kawaizumi[5] have been studied the acoustical properties of complex in water. Jahagirdar et. al. has studied the acoustical properties of four different drugs in methanol and he drawn conclusion from adiabatic compressibility . The four different drugs compress the solvent methanol to the same extent but it shows different solute-solvent interaction due to their different size, shape and structure [6]. Meshram et. al. studies the different acoustical properties of some substituted Pyrazolines in binary mixture acetone-water and observed variation of ultrasonic velocity with concentration[7]. Palani have investigated the measurement of ultrasonic velocity and density of amino acid in aqueous magnesium acetate at constant temperature [8]. The ion-dipole interaction mainly depends on ion size and polarity of solvent. The strength of ion-dipole attraction is directly proportional to the size of the ions, magnitude of dipole. But inversely proportional to the distance between ion and molecules. Voleisines has been studied the structural properties of solution of lanthanide salt by measuring ultrasonic velocity [9]. Syal et.al. has been studied the ultrasonic velocity of PEG-8000, PEG- study of acoustical properties of substituted heterocyclic compounds under suitable condition[10].Tadkalkar et.al. have studied the

acoustical and thermodynamic properties of citric acid in water at different temperature[11]. Mishra et.al. have investigated ultrasonic velocity and density in non aqueous solution of metal complex and evaluate acoustic properties of metal complex[12].M. Arvinthraj et.al. have determined the acoustic properties for the mixture of amines with amide in benzene at 303K-313K .They also determined thermodynamic parameters[13].S.K. Thakur et.al. have studied the different acoustical parameters of binary mixture of 1-propanol and water [14]. Mirikar et.sal. studied the molecular interaction between liquids.[15]

After review of literature survey the detail study of substituted drug under identical set of experimental condition is still lacking. It was thought of interest to study the acoustical properties of substituted drug under suitable condition.

Experimental

In the present study, the drug Clobetasol propionate was used. The double distilled solvent was used for preparation of different concentration of drug solution. The densities were determined by using specific gravity bottle by relative measurement method with accuracy $\pm 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ gm/cm}^3$. The ultrasonic velocities were measured by using ultrasonic interferometer having frequency 3MHz .The constant temperature was maintained by circulating water through the double wall measuring cell, made up of steel.

In the present investigation, different properties such as adiabatic compressibility (β_s), apparent molal volume (ϕ_v), intermolecular free length (L_f), apparent molal compressibility (ϕ_κ), specific acoustic impedance (Z), relative association (R_A), solvation number(S_n), limiting apparent molal compressibility (ϕ_κ^∞), limiting apparent molal volume(ϕ_v^∞) and their constant (S_k, S_v).

Theory

Adiabatic compressibility (β_s) is given by:

$$\beta_s = \frac{1}{U_s^2 d_s} \quad (1)$$

Apparent molal compressibility (ϕ_κ) has been calculated by using the relation

$$(\phi_\kappa) = 1000 \times \left(\frac{\beta_s d_0 - \beta_0 d_s}{m x d_s x d_0} \right) + \frac{\beta_s \times M}{d_s} \quad (2)$$

Where β_s , d_0 and $\beta_0 d_s$ are the adiabatic compressibility and density of solution and solvent respectively. m is molal concentration of solute, M is molecular weight of solute.

$$\text{Apparent molal volume } (\phi_v) = \frac{M}{d_s} \times \frac{(d_0 - d_s) \times 10^3}{d_s} \quad (3)$$

$$ds \quad mxdsxd_0$$

$$\text{Specific acoustic impedance (Z)} = U_s ds \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Intermolecular free length (L}_f) = K\sqrt{\beta_s} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Relative association (R}_A) = (ds / d_0) \times (U_0/U_s)^{1/3} \quad (7)$$

Results and Discussion

In the present investigation, different acoustical properties such as ultrasonic velocity (U_s), adiabatic compressibility (β_s), intermolecular free length (L_f), specific acoustic impedance (Z), are listed in table-1. Partial molal volume (Δv), apparent molal compressibility ($\Delta \beta$), relative association (R_A), solvation number (S_n) are listed in table-2. It was found that the ultrasonic velocity decreased with the increase in concentration for system (Table-1). Variation of ultrasonic velocity in solution depends upon the increase or decrease of molecular free length after mixing the component. This is based on a model for sound propagation proposed by Eyring and Kincaud¹³. Intermolecular free length increased linearly on increase in concentration of substituted drug. Hence, decreased in ultrasonic velocity with increase in concentration of drug. It happened because there was significant interaction between ions and solvent molecules suggesting a structure promoting behavior of the added electrolyte. The specific acoustic impedance (Z) decreased with the increase in concentration of drug. When concentration of electrolyte was increased, the thickness of oppositely charged ionic atmosphere increases due to decrease in ionic strength. This is suggested by decrease in acoustic impedance with increase in concentration in system. It was seen that the intermolecular free length increased with the increase in concentration in system. The intermolecular free length increased due to greater force of attraction between solute and solvent by forming hydrogen bonding. The adiabatic compressibility increased with the increase in concentration of solution. It happened due to collection of solvent molecule around ions, this supporting weak ion-solvent interaction. This indicates that there is significant solute-solvent interaction.

It was observed that apparent molal volume increased with concentration in system. It indicates the existence of strong ion-solvent interaction. It was found that the value of apparent adiabatic compressibility was increased with the increase in concentration of drug. It shows strong electrostatic attractive force in the vicinity of ions. From the data, we were

concluded that strong molecular association was found in drug. The value of relative association increased with the increase in concentration in system. It has been found that there was strong interaction between solute and solvent. There were regular increases in solvation number with the increase in concentration; it indicates the solvent molecule forms strong coordination bond in primary layer. It indicates the increase in size of secondary layer of Solvation.

Table-1 Ultrasonic velocity, density, adiabatic compressibility (β_s), Specific acoustic impedance (Z) Intermolecular free length (L_f).

Concentration (m) moles lit ⁻¹	Density (ρ_s) kg m ⁻³	Ultrasonic velocity (U_s) m s ⁻¹	Adiabatic compressibility (β_s) x10 ⁻¹⁰ m ² N ⁻¹	Intermolecular free length (L_f) x10 ⁻¹¹ m	Specific acoustic impedance (Zx10 ⁶) kg m ⁻² s ⁻¹
1x10 ⁻³	1020.95	1564.92	3.9983	4.0216	1.59819
2x10 ⁻³	1021.26	1559.94	4.0230	1.0340	1.59349
3x10 ⁻³	1021.52	1550.58	4.0705	4.0577	1.58438
4x10 ⁻³	1021.80	1533.78	4.1592	4.1017	1.56569
5x10 ⁻³	1022.03	1519.27	4.2376	4.1402	1.55327
6x10 ⁻³	1022.38	1496.36	4.3677	4.2032	1.53007
7x10 ⁻³	1022.53	1482.96	4.4462	4.2408	1.51664
8x10 ⁻³	1022.71	1478.23	4.4738	4.2540	1.51211
9x10 ⁻³	1022.92	1450.15	4.6556	4.3396	1.48119

Table-2 Concentration (m), Relative association (R_A), Apparent molal compressibility (β_k), Apparent molal volume (β_v), Solvation number (S_n)-

Concentration (m) moles lit ⁻¹	Apparent molal volume (- β_v) m ³ mole ⁻¹	Apparent molar compressibility (β_k) x10 ⁻¹⁰ m ² N ⁻¹	Relative association (R_A)	Solvation number (S_n)
1x10 ⁻³	18.8452	1.8249	1.02306	0.97409
2x10 ⁻³	9.3432	1.8357	1.02502	0.97986

3×10^{-3}	6.1599	1.8570	1.02835	0.99123
4×10^{-3}	4.5730	1.8972	1.03425	1.01269
5×10^{-3}	3.6114	1.9326	1.03941	1.03158
6×10^{-3}	2.9895	1.9917	1.04770	1.06313
7×10^{-3}	2.5178	2.0271	1.05258	1.08202
8×10^{-3}	2.1678	2.0394	1.05445	1.08859
9×10^{-3}	1.8987	2.1222	1.06482	1.13279

Conclusion

The present study shows the experimental data for ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity at 300K for substituted drug in 10% sodium chloride . From experimental data, the acoustical properties were calculated. The solute-solvent interaction and ion-ion / solute-solute interaction existing between drug and solvent were also studied with the help of experimental data. Lastly it has been concluded from the experimental data, that the solute-solvent interaction in drug-solvent systems are weak.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Baluja and S. Oza , *Fluid phase equilibria.* , **2005**, 200(1): 49-54.
- [2] M. K. Rawat and Sangeeta, *Ind. J. pure Appl. Phy.* , **2008**, 46: 187-192.
- [3] A Ali. and A K Nain, *Acoustics Lett.* , **1996**, 19: 53.
- [4] H. Ogawa and S J Murakami, *J. Solution. Chem.*, **1987**, 16:315.
- [5] D. Ubagaramary, Dr.P.Neeraja, *Journal of Applied Chemistry.* , 2012, Volume 2, Issue 5 , PP 01-19
- [6] D. V. Jahagirdar , B. R. Arbad , S. R. Mirgane, M. K. Lande and A. G. Shankarvar , *J.Molecular Liq.* , **1998**,75: 33-43.
- [7] Y. K. Meshram and M. L. Narwade , *Acta Ciencia Indica*, **2001**,XXVII.C No.2 : 67-70.
- [8] R. Palani and S. Saravanan, *Research J. Phy.*, **2008**, 2(1):13-21.
- [9] B. Voleisiene and A. Voleisis , *J. Ultrasound* , **2008**,63(4) : 7-18.
- [10] V. K. Syal, A.Chauhan and S. Chauhan, *J. Pure Ultrasound.* , **2005**, 27: 61-69.
- [11] A. Tadkalkar, P.Pawar and G.K. Bichile, *J.Chem. Pharm.Res.*, **2011**,Vol.3(3) :165.
- [12] A.P. Mishra and D.K. Mishra, *J.Chem. Pharm.Res.* **2011**, Vol.3 (3):489.
- [13] M. Arvinthraj , S. Venktesan and D. Meera, *J.Chem. Pharm.Res*, **2011**, Vol.3 (2):623.
- [14] S.K. Thakur and S.Chauhan, *J.Chem. Pharm.Res.*, **2011**,Vol.3(2) :657.
- [15] Shilpa A. Mirikar, Pravina P. Pawar, Govind K. Bichile, *American Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapeutics*,2015Vol.2(1):2-7.