



Bigamy and marriage regulations in India: A comparative study of personal laws and constitutional morality

Under the supervision of Dr. Sarita Singh Professor

Submitted By: Kartik Bansal LLM

ABSTRACT

The institution of marriage in India is governed not by a single uniform law, but by a plurality of personal laws rooted in religion, custom, and colonial codification. This pluralistic framework, while reflective of India's remarkable cultural and religious diversity, has simultaneously produced significant legal inconsistencies — most notably in the regulation of bigamy. The present dissertation, entitled "Bigamy Laws in India: A Comparative Analysis," undertakes a rigorous doctrinal and comparative examination of how bigamy is defined, regulated, and penalised across various personal law systems in India, and evaluates their compatibility with constitutional morality.

The study begins with a historical survey of marriage practices and legal norms pertaining to plural marriage in ancient Hindu jurisprudence, classical Islamic law, and colonial legislation. It traces how the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 494) first criminalised bigamy while simultaneously exempting Muslims through the operation of personal law — a duality that has persisted to the present day. The Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act, 1937, the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872, the Parsi Marriage and Divorce Act, 1936, and the Special Marriage Act, 1954 are all subjected to systematic comparative analysis.

The dissertation critically analyses the gendered dimensions of bigamy, demonstrating that the tolerance of polygamy under Muslim personal law disproportionately disadvantages women, affecting their dignity, economic security, and emotional well-being. The research engages with feminist legal scholarship and examines judicial pronouncements that have sought to reconcile personal law diversity with constitutional guarantees of equality (Article 14), non-discrimination (Article 15), and dignity and personal liberty (Article 21).

A central theme of the dissertation is the doctrine of constitutional morality and its growing application as a touchstone for evaluating personal laws. Through detailed analysis of landmark Supreme Court decisions — including *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India* (1995), *Lily Thomas v. Union of India* (2000), *Shayara Bano v. Union of India* (2017), and *Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum* (1985) — the dissertation traces the evolution of

judicial

reasoning from a deferential posture towards personal laws to an increasingly rights-based framework.

The dissertation also examines practical challenges in enforcing bigamy laws, including the misuse of personal law exemptions through fraudulent conversions, difficulties in

establishing the validity of prior marriages for purposes of criminal prosecution, and the socio-economic vulnerabilities that make women reluctant to seek legal redress. The role of the Law Commission of India, particularly its reports on reform of marriage and divorce laws, is assessed in detail.

The dissertation concludes with a set of concrete legislative and judicial reform proposals, including the need for a gender-just Uniform Civil Code under Article 44, stronger implementation of existing criminal provisions, mandatory registration of marriages, and the expansion of personal law reform to bring all communities under a common minimum standard of marital rights and obligations.

The study contributes to ongoing debates at the intersection of legal pluralism, gender justice, and constitutional supremacy in a diverse democracy. It argues that the regulation of bigamy must ultimately be guided not merely by religious authority or legislative history, but by the living values of the Constitution — equality, dignity, and justice for all citizens, irrespective of their religious identity.

Keywords: Bigamy, Personal Laws, Hindu Marriage Act, Muslim Personal Law, Polygamy, Constitutional Morality, Uniform Civil Code, Gender Justice, Article 14, Article 21, Section 494 IPC.

CHAPTER I

Introduction

Marriage has been given a central and fundamental place in the normative and institutional set up of Indian society. It is not only a discursive union of two persons but a complicated socio-legal establishment, governing the organization of kins Folks, the disposition of the inheritance, the legitimate birthright, property ties, and social framework. Marriage has served as a process of stabilizing the continuity of families and social bonding across civilizations. In Indian context however marriage does not possess a contractual nature but acquires a highly religious, cultural and moral nature. It is entangled with ritual, status, and identity which is not merely an individual arrangement but a community institution that maintains a sense of order in the community and moral discipline.¹

Classical Hindu jurisprudence has been conceptualizing marriage (vivaha) as a sacrament (sanskara), which cannot be dissolved either for a lifetime or even in the spiritual existence. The sacramental conception meant that the marriage was not based on contract but on the religious and cosmic obligation. The marriage was viewed as holy, indestructible and the key to the fulfillment of dharma. Conversely, marriage (nikah) was conceptualized under Islamic jurisprudence as a civil contract, which has religious implications, based on offer (ijab) and acceptance (qubul), and backed by consideration in terms of mehr. Although by its form a contract, it had a moral and spiritual overture in the Islamic legal tradition. The canon law of Christianity traditionally covered

marriage as a sacred union under the power of God whereas the Parsi personal law covered marriage as solemnized union of the community under statutory norms. These dissimilar theological and legal understandings demonstrate that marriage in India was never a homogenous institution but it portrays the diversity of religious backgrounds of people that co-exist in the country.

Indian marriage is pluralistic and this is reflected in its legal system. In contrast to the jurisdictions that implemented a single uniform civil code that controls all of the family relationships, India still acknowledges religion-based personal laws that control the aspects of marriage, divorce and succession, maintenance and guardianship. This national legal pluralism was maintained historically throughout the colonial rule, and constitutional retained after independence. This has led to the regulation of marriage taking a different form based on religious affiliation leading to more than one normative framework running in the same constitutional order. In such a pluralistic setup, the question of the bigamy arises as a center of the legal, constitutional, and social controversy. Bigamy triggers some basic questions about whether marriage is monogamous or polygamous, whether any of the personal law or general criminal law takes precedence over the other, whether the enterprise of religion can be practiced as much as it wishes, and whether the principle of equality is a constitutional requirement. A critical lens through which the larger tensions can be explored therefore are tensions between reform and tradition, community autonomy and constitutional supremacy and pluralism and gender justice. It is in this complicated network that the current dissertation places its investigation. The word bigamy is used to refer to the second marriage when a first marriage has to be valid and existing. Under common law systems, bigamy has long been a crime against the morality and social order of the community based on the belief that marriage is inherently exclusive and monogamous. Criminalization of bigamy indicates the perception that a family life and the safety of spouses needs to be ensured through the legalization of monogamous norms. In India, bigamy is specifically punishable by the Indian Penal Code in Section 494 that states that whoever, not being married or having a living husband or wife, enters into a second marriage shall face imprisonment or fine or both. Section 495 is an additional worsening of the crime in which the presence of the previous marriage is hidden to the second husband. These were provisions made in the codification of the colonial era and still serve as the basis of criminal regulation in regards to marital exclusivity. The argument that underlies the criminalization of bigamy has two major principles. To begin with, it aims at upholding the sanctity and exclusivity of marriage as a union under the law. Second, it tries to avoid deceit, exploitation, and abuse, especially among women who might be exposed to economic and emotional vulnerability when they are faced with disclosed plural marriages. However, the problem of the Indian status lies in the fact that, along with the religious personal laws, which, in some societies, historically acknowledge or even accept multi-marriages, the Indian status is complex. This complication leads to a conflict of doctrine between the legal criminal law and the religious derived matrimonial standards. Close conceptual difference should be created between bigamy and polygamy. Bigamy is a crime category that is stipulated by law, and polygamy is a more inclusive sociological term that describes order in which a person can have more than one wife. Polygamy can be polygyny; this involves having many wives by the man or polyandry; this involves having many husbands by the woman. Polyandry is an ancient tribal phenomenon that has been practiced in small scale but is not formally recognized according to the modern statutory law. Polygyny, nevertheless, is

officially allowed according to the classical Muslim laws, with the Quranic condition of fair treatment of wives.

This conflict between criminal prevention and individual law acknowledgment creates significant juristical strife. In case polygyny is legally permitted within some religious systems, the question is how it is possible that the state can still criminalize bigamy without violating constitutional guarantees of religious liberty. This has been determined by how the constitutional premises were construed and the personal law as compared to statutory law in the Indian legal system. The Manusmriti and Yajnavalkya Smriti which are ancient Hindu texts that praised monogamy did not explicitly forbid polygyny. The history indicates that kings and some privileged classes had been marrying and re-marrying various women due to dynastical, political and economic needs. Nevertheless, marriage was considered to be sacred and indissoluble. The orthodox Brahmanical tradition almost eliminated divorce, and in most social settings limited remarriage. The lack of divorce arrangements usually restricted possibilities of remarriage, thus, indirectly supporting the monogamous stability. The Indian subcontinent Islamic law, which had taken centuries of Muslim rule to be established in the country, allowed a Muslim man to have up to four wives provided that they are treated equally and in a just manner. The verse of the Quran in the Surah of An-Nisa (4:3) can be referred to as conditional permission with reference to social responsibility and fairness. According to Hanafi jurisprudence which is applied in South Asia, polygyny is not only legal but controlled by morals, women, however, do not have a corresponding right to have many husbands. This disproportion represented by this framework has been the subject of modern-day gender justice discussions. The colonial government during the British rule saw the need to maintain religious personal laws in the affairs of family relations. The principle that the Hindus and the Muslims would be governed by the religious laws of marriage and inheritance was formalized by Warren Hastings in his plan of 1772. The general ban on bigamy was introduced when the criminal law was codified in 1860 by the Indian Penal Code. Nevertheless, since Muslim polygyny had been accepted as legal under the personal laws that were applicable, it did not constitute a criminal offense in the case of Muslims. There was a statutory regulation of Christian marriages in India, and most prominently was the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872, that required monogamy. This institutionalized a system of different treatment that never changed in the post-independence era. After its independence, India engaged in a massive reform of Hindu personal law by the Hindu Code Bills, which finally reached the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. This act came up with monogamy as a prerequisite of valid marriage in Section 5(i) and made bigamous marriages invalid in Section 11. The reform marked a legislative commitment to modernization, social reform and congruence with constitutional principles of equality and dignity. Although, the same reforms were not applied to Muslim personal law, a secular alternative Special marriage act, 1954 was enacted which required monogamy regardless of religion. Hindu marriage act extends to Hindus, Buddhists, Jains and Sikhs and provides a monogamous arrangement and therefore, infractions are punishable by criminal offense in accordance with the laws of Section 494 of the Penal Code. The legislative goal was not only regulatory but transformative and it was meant to advance the rights of women and social transformation. Conversely Shariat is the Muslim Personal Law (Application Act), 1937 which asserts the application of Muslim personal law in marriage and allows polygyny within the classical boundaries. Both the Christian and Parsi personal laws require harsh monogamy within statutory frameworks where a bigamous marriage is declared void and punishable. The Special Marriage Act, 1954 provides a secular regime which applies to the

citizens of all religions and makes monogamy a prerequisite to validity. This comity of incompatible regimes is a reflection of the stratified nature of Indian family law and the difficulty of balancing the individualistic facet with the requirements of the constitution. The constitutional argument of bigamy is pegged on Articles 14, 15, 21, 25, and 44 of the Constitution of India. Article 14 ensures equality before the law, whereas Article 15 forbids the discrimination based on religion and sex. Article 21 upholds dignity and personal freedom, which is more and more understood in the context of family life as the autonomy. Article 25 securely enshrines the freedom of religion subject to the requirements of the general order, morality and health. As a Directive Principle, article 44 invites the State to work towards a Uniform Civil Code. The tensions come about due to the presence of both monogamous and polygamous regimes. The question of whether allowing polygyny to one group constitutes an infringement of equality or the opposite, banning it amounts to denying religious freedom has been a subject of controversy in the constitution. The justice courts have frequently used the doctrine of essential religious practices to establish whether a certain marital norm is part of religion or can be reformed through legislation. The discussion has been greatly influenced by the judicial involvement in bigamy. In *Sarla Mudgal v. The Supreme Court, Union of India*, believed that a Hindu husband could not get away with the obligation to be monogamous by simply converting to Islam to get himself a second marriage. The Court insisted on the preeminence of the statutory law and constitutional values in relation to the personal law opportunism. In *Lily Thomas v. The Court* again confirmed that a previous marriage solemnized under the Hindu law did not automatically dissolve the marriage by conversion. The current thinking in jurisprudence is to resort to constitutional morality and gender equality to provide an effective starting point in adjudication of family laws. Feminist literature has questioned the plural marriage systems with the contention that when a single man is polygamous, this tends to promote gender inequalities and economic instability. According to critics, the concept of differential treatment compromises substantive equality. According to others, reform should be gender-just and protectionist of minority identity and cultural autonomy. The controversy therefore represents a more generalized conceptual argument between multicultural accommodation and feminist egalitarianism. The plural legal system in India reflects diversity accommodation although Article 44 still breathes life as to whether a Uniform Civil Code is desirable or not. At the center of this debate is bigamy, which represents the larger issue of having religious diversity and constitutional equality. This dissertation compares doctrines of personal laws of marriage and bigamy in India in the light of whether the current framework of laws in marriage and bigamy serves the best interest of balance of religious freedom, gender equality, constitutional superiority, and societal reformation. It is a descriptive and normative inquiry that does not only look at what law contains but also how it correlates with the constitutional values. The Indian system of regulation of bigamy is an indication of the multi-faceted nature of the constitutional democracy that is dedicated to both pluralism and equality. The marriage law is a mini-utopia of the larger Indian constitutional project; to bring into harmony faith-based practices and universal ideals of justice. The comparative analysis of personal laws, therefore, throws light not only on the legality of the numerous marriages but on the changing identity of the Indian constitutional order as such.²

1. Statement of the Problem

The key issue that the study will deal with is the absence of consistency in the marriage laws according to the

Indian personal laws that lead to the unequal treatment of people by law regarding their religious affiliation. India adheres to a pluralistic system of law and in marriage and family relations, various communities are guided by their own personal laws. Although such a system acknowledges the existence of diversity among religions, it has also brought a great deal of legal inconsistency especially when it comes to the issue of regulating bigamy.

The Hindu law highly disallows bigamy and considers it a criminal offense. A second marriage between a Hindu spouse and a living first marriage will result in a penalty in Hindu marriage act, 1955 and Indian penal code. Muslim personal law on the other hand allows polygamy, but with religious restrictions. The difference has the effect that what is criminal in one community might be allowed by the law in another, but the same act would be criminal in the former. This kind of discrimination is very problematic in terms of equality before law.

This legal imbalance is unfairly skewed towards women, particularly in polygamous marriages. Allowing polygamy tends to leave women in an unequal and vulnerable situation, which impacts on their dignity, emotional and economic well-being. The paper acknowledges that marriage legislations that permit inequality of power in family set ups can erode the rights and independence of women. This brings up constitutional issues of Article 14 in guaranteeing equality before the law, Article 15 in banning discrimination and Article 21 in safeguarding the right to life and personal dignity.³

The fact that polygamy is recognized by the Indian state Muslim personal law also casts doubts on the secularism of the Indian state. Although the Constitution guarantees the religious freedom as part of Articles 25 and 26, this freedom is not unconditional. When the conflict between the religious practice and the fundamental rights is observed, it is questioned whether religious autonomy should be the leading principle over the constitutional values. This unresolved tension is evident in the further persistence of various legal standards of marriage.

Moreover, the lack of harmonised legal system has contributed to the misuse of personal law exemptions, absence of legal certainty and unequal judicial treatment. The difficulty has at one time been that courts have been unable to strike a balance between the need to respect diversity in religion and the need to safeguard fundamental rights; hence the issue of conflicting interpretations. This ambiguity undermines the rule of law and brings confusion when implementing marriage rules.

It is on this backdrop that the paper discusses the possibility of constitutional morality being a guiding principle in resolving the issue of personal law and constitutional guarantees. It tries to evaluate whether the current legal system governing bigamy needs to be reformed so as to provide equality, gender equality and constitutionality.⁴

2. Research Questions

- What is the legal aspect of the Hindu and Muslim personal laws on bigamy?
- What are the impacts of differentially regulating bigamy on gender justice and equality?
- What has been the use of constitutional morality by the Indian courts in relation to the personal laws?
- Is the religious freedom to be used to excuse criminal liability in bigamy?
- Does the constitution support uniform marriage laws in India?

3. Objectives of the Study

The main aim of the research is to critically analyze the phenomenon and legal standing of bigamy in the Indian personal laws with particular reference to Hindu and Muslim marriage laws. Bigamy is not only a personal or religious problem but a legal one that impacts on the right to marry, gender equality, and constitutional rights. Through the examination of bigamy as a social activity and a criminal offense, the research will seek to comprehend the manner in which varying personal laws govern marriages and the effects of these laws on the individual and in particular, women.

The analysis of the statutory framework of such bigamy as practised in India is one of the key aims of the research. This encompasses a comprehensive analysis of Hindu marriage act which is a law that impose monogamy and also, bigamy is a punishable offense as per Hindu marriage act, 1955 and the Indian penal code, Section 494 which criminalizes bigamy in certain circumstances. By analysing this, the research aims to determine the interaction between statutory law and personal laws and the areas of conflict.⁵

The other important goal is to review the constitutionality of exemptions provided in personal laws especially when considered in the light of Muslim personal law which allows polygamy. The paper will investigate how these exemptions can be justified under the provisions of the constitution concerning equality, non-discrimination, and individual liberty. It attempts to

evaluate the justification of different treatment based on religion in the context of a constitutional system that provides equal protection of laws.

The research also seeks to explore and discuss landmark court cases that addressed the issue of bigamy, the laws of marriage and personal law disputes. The decisions of the Supreme Court and the High Courts are read to learn the way courts had interpreted personal laws and applied the constitutional principles. The study attempts to follow the development of constitutional morality and its growing applicability in the family law through judicial analysis.

A significant aim of the research is to find out how polygamy affects the rights of women. The research paper discusses the impact of polygamous marriages on dignity of women, equality, emotional and economic security of women. Through the involvement of the feminist legal scholarship and judicial commentaries, the analysis seeks to illuminate the gender implications of inequitable marriage practices and how they go against the constitutional principles.⁶

One of the purposes of this study is the role of constitutional morality. The aim of the study is to review the role of constitutional morality as a guiding principle in consideration of personal laws. It seeks to know whether constitutional morality can serve as the instrument to reform individual laws that are incompatible with fundamental rights and whether it offers a compromise on religious freedom and individual dignity.

Lastly, the paper will suggest rational legal changes to align marriage laws in India. These recommendations are not meant to erode the diversity of religion but to make sure that marriage laws are in harmony with constitutional values of equality, justice and dignity. The aim is to make a contribution to the legal discourse through

providing constructive suggestions to promote gender justice and enhance the secular and constitutional nature of Indian family law.

4. Hypothesis

The current research is premised on the notion that the current law of approach to bigamy in India is not entirely adherent to constitutional morality. Standards of bigamy vary in personal laws and this means the legal status of people who belong to various religious groups is not the same. This unequal treatment of the same conduct generates a contradiction with the constitutional principle of equality before the law and, in this way, devalues the notion of equal citizenship.⁷

This research presupposes that the rights and status of women are directly affected by the right to remain in a polygamous marriage as provided by some personal laws. In a case where bigamy is legalized, women are likely to be deprived of legal security, emotional insecurity, and social disfavor. These consequences impact their right to live with dignity and personal autonomy which are main aspects of Article 21 of the Constitution. The paper works on the premise that marriage legislations ought to enhance equity and safeguard personal dignity as opposed to promoting unequal power relations.

It is also considered that letting religious grounded exceptions to criminal responsibility of bigamy undermine the consistency in criminal law enforcement. Criminal law is also supposed to be used equally on all the citizens and a exemption that is only on the basis of religious identity does not make any legal sense. These distinctions can cause the misuse of individual laws and may provoke the questions of fairness, equality and legality in Indian legal system.

This hypothesis is also based on the fact that the freedom of religion guaranteed by the Constitution is not absolute. Although personal laws receive constitutional protection, they are limited by fundamental rights and the provisions of the Constitution. The paper presupposes that religious marriage practices should not be permitted to override fundamental constitutional principles like equality, non-discrimination and gender justice. Marriage, being based on individual belief systems, has legal implications that have direct effects on civil rights and thus must be subject to constitutional regulation.⁸

The other major assumption in this research is that constitutional morality provides a yardstick of measures by which to judge individual laws in a contemporary democracy. Constitutional morality focuses on respect of individual rights and gender equality as well as human dignity. This study presumes that any personal laws should change in line with these constitutional values to be legitimate under a constitutional system.

Another premise made in the study is that the fact that various marital rules have been established on religious grounds questions the secularity of the Indian State. Secularism demands law neutrality and equality of all the citizens. When the legality of bigamy is decided by religious identity, it brings grave concerns about whether the State should act in balancing religious freedom and constitutional duty.

It is based on these assumptions that the study aims to analyze whether the current laws on bigamy ought to be reformed. It postulates that there is a need to harmonise marriage laws with constitutional morality in order to

guarantee equality, safeguard the rights of women and enforce the constitutional promise to justice in a diverse and plural society.⁹

5. Literature Review

Jurists, scholars, courts, and law reform bodies have extensively debated the aspect of marriage and bigamy under the Indian personal laws. The legal literature on this topic indicates the difference in views towards religious autonomy, gender justice, and constitutional values. The paper relies on classical literature on law, current literature on law, judicial decisions, and Law Commission reports in order to comprehend how marriage laws have evolved and the current state in India.

The classical jurists like Mulla, Paras Diwan and Tahir Mahmood have elaborated on the Hindu and the Muslim personal laws. Their writings describe the historical basis, religious roots, and legal provisions on marriage in the various societies. In his writings on Muslim law, Mulla explains the allowance of polygamy in a religious context, but also emphasizes the moral qualifications of polygamy. In the analysis of Hindu law, Paras Diwan describes the transition between the old systems of practice to statutory reforms of monogamy and gender equality. The works by Tahir Mahmood provide a comparative knowledge of personal laws and address the issues of legal pluralism that are challenging in a constitutional democracy.

Although considered to be of great importance in learning the framework of individual laws, these classical works have a tendency of being descriptive and do not address issues of constitution comprehensively. Contemporary researchers have thus moved the discussion by critically analyzing the implications of personal laws on core rights. The practice of polygamy has been greatly criticised by feminist legal scholars, especially. They claim that polygamy places the women in an unequal and weak position in the marriage and weakens their dignity, autonomy and economic security. This scholarship considers polygamy as not just a religious problem or issue but gender justice and human rights.¹⁰

There is also a number of scholarly articles that have cast a doubt on whether personal laws ought to be beyond constitutional review. According to scholars, in cases where the personal laws lead to discrimination or unequal treatment, they should be assessed in the context of constitutional principles. The constitutional morality concept has been brought out as a significant instrument that can be used to examine whether the laws made by individuals are valid in a contemporary legal framework.

Courts make a considerable portion of the literature on this topic. In *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India*, the Supreme Court tackled the problem of misuse of the personal laws to evade monogamy where the court pointed out that there should be standardized standards in marriage. The case also highlighted that individual laws should not be applied to counter the intent of criminal law. In *Shayara Bani v. Union of India*, the Court reiterated that constitutional review of personal laws that touch on basic rights is valid though the major concern was in triple talaq. Such rulings suggest that judicial discretion is becoming increasingly open to supporting the values of the constitution at the expense of religion.

Law Commission Reports have been no exception and have entered into the debate by looking at the practical effect of polygamy on women and society. Although we should not ignore religious differences, the

Commission has been worried about unequal marriage practices and has proposed changes to achieve fairness and gender justice. These reports draw attention to the absence of a uniform body of laws that regulate marriage within communities.¹¹

In spite of the voluminous literature, there is still a gap in the form of a single constitutional approach to marriage regulations. Majority of the researches are on religious doctrine or individual judicial rulings. The study aims to address this gap by analyzing bigamy in terms of personal laws and constitutional morality and specifically in terms of equality, secularism and gender justice.

6. Research Methodology

The current research paper takes a doctrinal and analytical research approach to discuss the regulation of bigamy under Indian personal laws and its connection to constitutional morality. Given that the study is concerned with the legal principles, statutory provisions, judicial interpretations, and constitutional values, a doctrinal approach is deemed to be the most suitable one. The approach enables systematic study of the current laws without using empirical or field based data.

¹¹ Galanter, M., *Law and Society in Modern India* (1989), p. 203.

The study is mainly based on primary sources of law. These are the Constitution of India, especially the provisions on equality, non-discrimination, religious freedom and the right to life and personal liberty. Legal provisions like the Indian Penal Code, Hindu marriage act of 1955, and any other statutory provisions are carefully reviewed to see the legal status of bigamy in India. Judicial decisions of the Supreme Court and High Courts form an essential part of the primary sources. The landmark decisions are discussed to gain insight on how the courts have construed personal laws and applied constitutional provisions in issues of marriage and bigamy.¹²

Besides the primary sources, the secondary sources are extensively used in the study. They are standard text books on Hindu and Muslim personal laws, scholarly works of leading jurists, scholarly articles in law journals and commentaries in constitutional law. Reports of Law Commission of India are also consulted as they offer good insights on the practical effects of the marriage laws and suggest reforms based on the social realities. The secondary sources can be useful in comprehending various perspectives, academic discussions, and theoretical models connected to the personal laws and constitutional morality.

The study is also comparative in nature to address the differences in the Hindu and Muslim personal laws of marriage and bigamy. In juxtaposing these legal regimes, the paper points out how the same conduct would attract varying legal outcomes depending on religious affiliation. This analogy assists in evaluating whether or not such differentiation is compatible with such constitutional precepts as equality before law and secularism.

Throughout the study a critical and analytical approach is used. Instead of explaining the law, the study is an evaluation of justification and consequences of a differentiation in treatment within the context of personal laws. The reasoning in the judiciary is critically analyzed in order to see how courts strike the balance between

religious freedom and fundamental rights. Constitutional morality is a guiding norm that is employed to evaluate the compatibility of personal laws and constitutional values of dignity, equality and justice.¹³

The empirical research, i.e. surveys or interviews, is not a part of the study since it deals with legal doctrine and interpretation of the constitution. Social and gender-specific issues identified

in court rulings and the literature are, however, taken into consideration to comprehend the practical effect of marriage laws.

Altogether, the research approach will offer a formal and objective investigation of the bigamy and marriage laws in India. Through the use of sources of authoritative law and a comparative and constitutional approach, the paper aims to come up with a logical conclusion and propose reforms that promote constitutional morality and gender justice.

7. Chapter Scheme

Chapter I: Synopsis & Introduction Chapter II: Historical Background

Chapter III: Bigamy under Hindu Law Chapter IV: Bigamy under Muslim Law

Chapter V: Legal Framework governing Bigamy under Personal Law in India. Chapter VI: Bigamy and Women's Rights

Chapter VII: Constitutional Perspective and Uniform Civil Code

Chapter VIII: Challenges and Issues in Enforcement of Bigamy Laws in India Chapter IX: Suggestions and Reforms

Chapter X: Conclusion

8. Student Learning Outcomes

- Upon doing this research, the student will be in a position to:
- Explain and describe what bigamy is and what the law says about bigamy under various personal laws in India, such as the Hindu Marriage Act and Muslim personal law.¹⁴
- Examine the constitutional ideals of equality, dignity and secularism, and describe how they are applied to the laws of marriage and to the laws of self.
- Critically assess historic court cases involving bigamy and marriage legislations, and learn how constitutional morality can inform a legal interpretation.
- Compare and contrast how the law in various communities treats bigamy, and determine the impacts of this disparity on gender justice and rights of women.¹⁵
- Evaluate legal reform need and suggest viable solutions to integrate the personal laws with the constitutional values, without violating the religious diversity.
- Acquire legal research skills, such as doctrinal research and critical thinking, as well as how to apply primary and secondary legal sources to academic writing.

CHAPTER 2 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 Marriage Practices in Ancient and Medieval India in Relation to Bigamy

The marriage practices in ancient and medieval India need to be looked into not only as a social and religious institution, but also as a structure, within which the concept of bigamy slowly developed. Carrying on with modern day uses of the term bigamy, it is defined as the act of marrying a second wife when the first marriage is still valid. But this idea itself was not present in its contemporary criminal meaning in ancient and medieval India. Rather, plural marriages were analyzed in the religious doctrine, custom law, caste, and economic capacity. These initial marriage patterns are important to know how the subsequent ban of bigamy by law came about.¹⁶

Marriage was a religious sacrament, called as *samskara* in the ancient Indian society. It was not just personal relationship but a marriage with religious, social and ancestral importance. The Dharmashastras, such as Manusmriti and Yajnavalkya Smriti, were keen on the role of marriage in continuing the lineage and performing sacred rites. Birth of a son was particularly treasured since he was considered to hold shraddha ceremonies on the dead ancestors. In this religious system polygyny was not absolutely forbidden. A man might marry a second wife in particular conditions, namely, when the first wife had no children. Due to the fact that there was no absolute law prohibition against second marriages, the concept of bigamy as an illegal activity had not come together yet.

Nevertheless, polygyny was controlled even in societies where this practice was acceptable. The original wife had a better rite status. Only she was involved in major sacrificial practices and was believed to be the major associate in the roles of religion. Other wives did not usurp her original position. This marriage structure implies that monogamy was taken as the best, although plural marriages were conditional. Therefore, second marriages were not criminalized but were not free socially as well.

Marital conduct was highly monitored by the community institutions. The caste panchayat and village assemblies were used to check on observance of marriage norms. Provided that a man got married again without appropriate reasons, or he broke caste regulations, he might be socially punished, paying fines, performing rituals, or even being excommunicated. These sanctions did not make the marriage null but they showed that collective morale influenced the legitimacy of the marriage. Consequently, early marital control was not a punitive but a social means against what can nowadays be called the bigamous behavior.¹⁷

Female sexuality was to be controlled, and this was the key to the marriage organization. The fact that the inheritance in most Hindu societies was a male prerogative made the paternity very important. Such an issue strengthened the demands of female chastity and faithfulness. Whereas in select instances a man was allowed to remarry, the same was not accorded to women. Polyandry was only present in particular regional settings but it did not represent the model. The imbalance in the acceptance of multiple wives shows that marital plurality was gendered, and this aspect was to be a central point in the discussion of the idea of bigamy and equality in future.

With the incoming and imposition of the Islamic rule, it brought another marital structure. The conceptualization

under the Islamic law on marriage is a civil contract called nikah. Quran allows a Muslim man to have a maximum of four wives provided that they are treated equally and fairly. Muslim communities had their own principles of juristical precepts that were used in medieval India mainly the Hanafi school. Although polygyny was legal, it was under both financial and moral constraints. A second marriage was therefore not void and sinful in itself as long as it was in accordance with the religious requirements.

Plural legal environment was formed due to the coexistence of Hindu sacramental marriage and Islamic contractual marriage. There existed an internal logic of each system concerning several marriages. Both systems did not consider second marriages as a crime during pre modern times. Nevertheless, each of them placed social or moral requirements that prevented capricious amalgamation of plural unions indirectly.¹⁸

There were also some economic and property factors that influenced the attitude towards multiple marriages. Second marriage would make it difficult to inherit and succeed. There were cases of disagreements between children of various wives, which resulted in property fragmentation. Such practical issues tended to discourage plural marriages in families with low incomes.

At the end of the medieval period, therefore, Indian marriage was functioning within a system permitting of plural marriage but placing it within structures of religious obligation, of social control and of economic expediency. There was no statutory law that was centralized, which meant that the modern definition of bigamy as a crime did not exist. Rather, conformity to religious norms and community acceptance were what determined the legitimacy.

Therefore, the marriage practices in ancient and medieval India demonstrate that plural unions were regarded in the past in well-organized guidelines and not as criminal deviations. The development of socially controlled polygyny to the punishable bigamy is a measure of the greater changes in the concept of equality, rights of individuals and the powers of the state in the law of individuals.¹⁹

2.2 Evolution of Monogamy in Hindu Law in Relation to Bigamy

The development of monogamy in Hindu Law goes hand in hand with the legalized ban of bigamy in present India. Simply, Bigamy is getting married to a second wife when the first marriage is still valid and alive. Bigamy is not only invalid but also a punishable offence in the Hindu law today. This was not however always the case. Strict monogamy as stipulated by the Hindu law came as a culmination of a historical and legal change process influenced by religious interpretation, social reform, colonial influences and constitutionalism.

The Hindu society of the ancient times always viewed marriage as a religious sacrament and not a civil marriage. Marriage was a holy rite as indicated in religious books like the Manusmriti and other Dharmashastras which brought a man and a woman together to perform religious obligations. Having a son who will conduct shraddha rituals to his ancestors and perpetuate the family tree was the chief goal of marriage. Marriage was thus very much related to spiritual duties and social regulations instead of individual preference and affection.

Even though monogamy was revered as a perfect model of marriage, the ancient Hindu law did not forbid

polygyny absolutely. In some cases, a man might have a second wife especially where the first wife was barren or had a severe illness. Nevertheless, the first wife still had a higher religious and social status. She was the only one who took part in great sacrificial ceremonies and was regarded as the queen wife. Other wives did not have the same status of ritual. This shows that although plural marriage was accepted in some instances, it was not adopted as the normal or even the preferred one.²⁰

Historically, the social practices were different in different regions and communities. In certain royal and aristocratic households polygyny was more widespread, and this was most frequently due to reasons of political alliances or status. In the ordinary families, monogamy was normally promoted by economic constraint. However, due to the fact that no standard statutory ban was in place, a Hindu female getting remarried when the first wife was still alive was not committing a criminal act in the conventional law. In that regard, what is currently known as bigamy was not a punishable offense in the classical Hindu society, but its practice might be subject to social disapproval.

The onset of the colonial period signified the onset of a dramatic change. The policy of non interference in personal laws was first practiced by the British administration. Marriage in Hinduism was still under the traditional books and traditions. However, there were the social reform movements, which occurred in the nineteenth century. Women were criticized by the reformers, including Raja Ram Mohan Roy and Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar, on oppressive practices. Their work, even though mostly centering on such issues as sati and widow remarriage, helped to create a wider atmosphere of an inquiry into patriarchal standards, such as polygyny.

Slowly there started to be legislative interventions altering Hindu personal law. Widow remarriage was legalized by Hindu Widows Remarriage Act 1856. The reforms of Age of Consent and other legislations were an indication of increasing awareness of women. Nevertheless, no wholesale ban over bigamy of Hindus was enforced by the colonial time. A Hindu male had legal right to contract to marry another female and at the same time his first wife was alive unless bound by tradition.²¹

With the twentieth century, social transformation was very fast. Women were able to access education and jobs. Schools like Bethune School and Lady Shri Ram College were symbolic in representing the opportunities that women had. Urbanization undermined the strict joint family units and promoted nuclear ones. Marriage more and more was perceived as companionship founded on mutual respect. Polygyny in this changing climate did not seem to comply with the concept of equality of spouses.

The role of women organizations was very crucial in insisting on reform. The All India Women conference contended that the permission of a husband to remarry brought about insecurity and inequalities. Although this was not a common practice, the legal aspect of bigamy acted as a disgrace to the first wife. Reformers argued that a contemporary democratic society could not tolerate a system where men were the only ones who had the privilege of having more than one spouse.

The use of the Constitution of India which was adopted after independence in 1947 brought about new transformative principles. Article 14 ensured equality under the law. Article 15 forbade discrimination based on

sex. Article 21 safeguarded human life and individual freedom. These ideals in the constitution even questioned the truth of Hindu marriage where one man weds more than one lady. Supposing that marriage has to be founded on equality, both partners were to share the same obligations.²²

The largest reform took place when Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, was passed. This law changed the law of Hindu marriage radically. Section 5 is clear on the fact that neither party is supposed to have a spouse when they get married. In section 11, it is stated that any marriage which is solemnized contrary to this condition is void. Section 17 also states that a Hindu, who enters into a second marriage in the lifetime of his or her spouse is punishable under Section 494 of the Indian penal code, 1860. In this way, bigamy in Hinduism was afterward nullified and a crime.

This was a breakthrough of the classical law. Monogamy ceased to be only an ethical choice, but it was a legal mandate. The change was an indication of the ideology that marriage was to be a union of equals. It also had the purpose of safeguarding women against abandonment, economic instability and emotional abuse that a second wife of the husband causes.

Meanwhile, other progressive initiatives were also presented under the Act like divorce and maintenance. Marriage in Hindu tradition was viewed as indissoluble. Allowing divorce, the law understood that in case of a failed marriage, it can be better dissolved rather than the husband secretly remarrying. By so doing, the ban on bigamy was offset by legal remedies.²³

2.3 Origin and Development of Polygamy in Islamic Law in Relation to Bigamy

Bigamy is a wider legal concept that is directly related to the creation and evolution of polygamy in Islamic law. To use simple legal language, bigamy is the act of getting a second marriage when there is an existing marriage with validity. In most contemporary codes of law, bigamy is considered as a criminal offence as it is the case with the Indian Penal Code in India. Nevertheless, plural marriage among men was not regarded as an illegal and immoral practice in the classical Islamic law provided there were within the stipulated conditions of religion. Thus, the so-called bigamy according to the secular criminal law should be strictly differentiated with the so-called polygamy in the Islamic jurisprudence.

Prior to the advent of Islam in the seventh century, the tribal society in Arabian allowed men to venture into marriage as many women as possible. There were no rigid regulations of the number of wives, right of inheritance, and monetary contribution. Women were left with no economic security and widows and divorced women were the most vulnerable. Women could even be passed on as property in some of the practices. The practice of marriage was dominated by the custom of the tribe and did not have a standard legislature. This setting had many unrestricted marriages.

When the religion of Islam emerged under Prophet Muhammad in the seventh century, there were major reforms in the family law. Quran dealt with matters of marriage, inheritance, maintenance and divorce. The most important verse in reference to plural marriage is found in Surah An Nisa (4:3) according to which a man is allowed to get married up to four women so long as he is able to deal fairly with them and he is not to get

married again when he is unable to do this, he can marry only one woman. It was a significant reform since it had a numerical restriction and a moral requirement of fairness. Islamic law thus did not bring about an unlimited plural marriage but limited and controlled an already existing one.²⁴

With regards to Islamic jurisprudence, the second marriage of the first wife is not considered a bigamy in case it is in line with conditions of the Quran. Nonetheless, it turns to be wrong when the husband does not treat and maintain equally. Justice in this regard means equal financial provision, fair time sharing, and equal living arrangements. The husband is required to give individual accommodation and equivalent material benefits to the wives. The inability to preserve equality is a violation of both the legal and moral duties according to the Islamic law. The notion of Islamic marriage is a civil contract referred to as *nikah*. It involves offer and acceptance, presence of witnesses and dower of *mehr* that is paid to the bride. Dower is an obligatory right of the wife which is her property by right. In plural marriages, the wives are also in an independent contractual status and the rights are enforced. This is a contractual aspect that distinguishes Islamic marriage and sacramental approaches of classical Hindu law. Due to the contract approach of marriage, there are well-defined rights and chores, such as maintenance and inheritance. Other Sunni schools had classical jurists who formulated elaborate rules that controlled plural marriages. The school of Hanafi that took over South Asia acknowledged the legitimacy of polygamous marriages without the consent of the current wife. But fairness was highly stressed on by jurists. It was believed to be mandatory in the distribution of nights to wives equally. The equality of finances was legally binding in the courts which administered Muslim law. It was recognized that emotional equality was hard to quantify but directed preference and non-preference were not encouraged.²⁵ It should be mentioned that polygamy is not mandatory as per the Islamic law. It is an authorization and not an order. Some researchers claim that the emphasis on justice in the Quran shows that monogamy should be used in cases when equality is impossible to be established. Historically the economic circumstances limited plural marriages. Multiple household maintenance demanded a lot of financial resources. Thus, a majority of Muslim men were traditionally monogamous. Among the rulers, tribal leaders, and the rich merchants who were able to afford resources were more prone to polygamy.

Islamic polygamy in the Indian subcontinent grew as part of a larger legal pluralism system. Islamic courts that ruled over the Muslims at the Delhi Sultanate and the Mughal periods applied the Hanafi law on marriage and inheritance. The non muslims were allowed to abide by their own laws. According to the Muslim personal law, a second marriage was legal as long as it was done according to the Islamic requirements. Thus it was not regarded as bigamy in that religion. The British colonialists had a policy of non interference in the practice of personal laws which conserved the practices of Muslim marriages. The colonial courts used authoritative texts and juristic interpretations when administering the Muslim law. In 1937, the 1937 Muslim Personal Law Shariat Application Act was passed that stated that Islamic law would apply to various aspects of marriage, dissolution, maintenance, and inheritance among Muslims instead of custom conventions that were incompatible with the Islamic law. This Act strengthened the practice of classical doctrine, such as the allowability of plural marriage.²⁶ Since its independence in 1947, the Constitution of India has been adopted in India and ensures equality before the law in Article 14 and the freedom of religion in Article 25. The Hindu law was fully

reformed by the Hindu marriage act of 1955 that did not only forbid Hindu bigamy but also declared second marriage invalid. Nevertheless, this was not the same case with the Muslim personal law, which was not codified to forbid polygamy. Consequently, the second marriage between the Muslim man is not considered as criminal bigamy under Indian penal code 494 since such marriage is considered as valid under his own law. The matter has raised a lot of judicial and scholarly controversy. In the case of *Sarla Mudgal v Union of India*, the Supreme Court noted that conversion to Islam just to have a second marriage without the first marriage dissolved under a Hindu religion would constitute bigamy as per the criminal law. The Court emphasized the conflict between individual law pluralism and constitutional ideas of equality. On the same note, the gender justice debate has also gained momentum after the constitutional morality and the changing interpretation of the rights of women. Procedural protection has been enacted in a number of Muslim majority jurisdictions through legislative reform. Some nations have laws which allow a person to contract a second marriage but permission is granted by a court. Other ones require evidence of financial ability and giving notice to current wives. Some have successfully limited or banned polygamy by amendment of civil codes. Such reforms have many justifications on the contextual meaning of the Quran principles which promote justice and welfare.²⁷ The argument of Muslim polygamy always comes hand in hand with the argument on the Uniform Civil Code in Article 44 of the Constitution in India. Proponents claim that being equal citizens means that the monogamous criteria of communities must be the same. The opponents argue that the personal law autonomy is a component of the minority protection and religious freedom. Judiciary has not been keen on abolishing polygamy judicially since it has been identified as a legislative issue that should be tackled in laws. Finally, the source of polygamy in the Islamic law is the control of the tribal tradition of pre Islam. The Quran restricted the use of multiple wives and put the requirement of justice. Jurists developed specific regulations over centuries on the issues of maintenance, equality and rights of contract. In the Indian legal system, such religious dispensation implies that secular law's concept of bigamy does not necessarily apply to Muslims subject to the Indian personal law. The debate that is ongoing is a sign of the intricate interplay of the religious tradition and gender equality, constitutional values and legal pluralism. Any comparative analysis of the regulation of marriage and bigamy in India cannot be done without knowing this background.

2.4 Status of Women in the Pre-Codification Era in Relation to Bigamy

The condition of women during the pre-codification period in India had a lot to do with the normality of polygyny and bigamy. Prior to the creation of the contemporary laws like the Hindu marriage act, 1955 and other reforms in the personal law, marriage and family matters were regulated largely by the religious scripts, customary rules and practice in the communities. During this time, bigamy and polygyny were allowed or tolerated within a number of communities with direct implications in the social economic and emotional status of women. The lack of consistent written law implied that the rights of women had to be largely based on the interpretation of religion, the traditions in the country, and men.²⁸ According to the ancient Hindu society, marriage was a divine sacrament referred to as *samskara*. Despite the fact that monogamy was considered to be morally perfect, Hindu law books like *Manusmriti* and *Yajnavalkya Smriti* allowed a man to have a second wife under specific circumstances particularly when the first wife failed to give birth to a son. Because a male child was considered to have religious obligations like the performing of *shraddha* rituals to ancestors, women were

in most cases regarded as being of valuable use by virtue of their reproducing functions. In case a wife was unable to give birth to a male heir, the husband might remarry, without breaking up the first marriage. The practice undermined the security and dignity of the first wife because she had no ability to stop the second marriage and had very few remedies according to the classical law. In polygamous marriages, the initial wife usually had a ritual superiority, especially in sacrificial and religious rituals, but this did not necessarily translate into emotional and financial security. When a second wife comes, there may be tension in the house. They needed to share the resources and love was not shared equally most of the time. Hierarchical structure among wives was the other feature that indicated the larger patriarchal framework where the male was the dominant force. A woman did not have the right to remarry on the same life as that of her husband. Therefore, bigamy was one of the gender unequal institutions, which were incorporated within societal and religious standards. Absence of codified protection was also strongly felt among widows. In Hindu traditional law, marriage between high caste was indissoluble and remarriage of widows was discouraged or socially unacceptable. A widow with a husband with more than one wife could suffer secondary marginalization in the family setup. Child marriage and widow restrictions were some of the practices that made women more vulnerable. Sati, extreme practices such as sati in some of the regions demonstrated the poor conditions that widows faced but this was not common and without uniformity.²⁹ The property rights also had an effect on the position of women in bigamous households. The Hindu law under the Mitakshara system did not make women to be coparceners in joint family property. The financial stability of a wife was highly determined by the goodwill and support of a husband. Though the institution of stridhan acknowledged that there were some gifts and property that belonged solely to a woman, the domestic use of property was not always practical. In a polygamous household where one husband married more than one wife, squabbles between the children of various wives over inheritance were the norm. But the women themselves seldom enjoyed unilateral control of family wealth. The social practices in India during the medieval times were stricter. Patriarchal norms became even stronger among upper-caste Hindus due to the fear of caste impurity and lineage. Political alliances and the reasons of dynasty made bigamy between kings and elites persist. In common households, economic aspects restricted the number of times a couple could marry but the legality was available. Women in such marriages tended to have minimal say in matters to do with marital arrangements and had to be obedient and chaste to strict codes. Polygyny was legal in the Islamic law which allowed a Muslim male to have a maximum of four wives though he was required to treat them equally and support them financially as stipulated in the Quran. Theoretically, Islamic jurisprudence provided some security to women such as right to mehr (dower), maintenance, and inheritance. A wife was allowed to have independent residence and maintenance. Nevertheless, the presence of polygyny might have an impact on the emotional and financial safety of females. The first wife may feel unattended or lack attention, despite being under the law as a wife, were the husband got remarried.³⁰ With no standard and easily accessible divorce procedures in Hindu and Muslim societies, women could not easily get out of unhappy or unequal marriages. Before codification, Hindu women had no statutory right to divorce, except custom allowed. The unilateral talaq was quite the prerogative of the husband and the Muslim women had fewer grounds of being judicially dissolved. This meant that a

woman was unable to stop her husband to get into a second marriage and could easily get out of marriage provided she had been mistreated. There were certain differences in customary laws in the tribal and rural communities. In some tribal societies, women had a comparatively much more freedom in the choice of partners and termination of marriage. Nevertheless, the power of the patriarchs was still present in these societies, and the succession went usually to the male descendants. The economic need and social system influenced Bigamy in the environment more than the scriptural decree. In the early colonial era, the British courts used the religious personal law in marriage and family issues. They did not, when they first forbade bigamy among Hindus or Muslims, adopt the policy of not interfering in religious matters. Even though the Indian Penal Code, 1860 had made bigamy a criminal offense through Section 494, its practicality would have been based on whether the second marriage was void as per the appropriate personal law. The criminal ban did not equally protect women across the different communities since under classical Hindu law, polygyny could occur in some circumstances and according to Muslim law, plural marriage was legal.³¹ In the nineteenth century, there was the beginning of social reform movements in which the wrongs of women in plural marriages were challenged. Reformers promoted widow remarriage, education to women and limitations to child marriage. The equality of marital rights was also another responsibility of women organizations in the early twentieth century. Nevertheless, until the codification of family laws brought about by the post-independent era, reforms were also incomplete such that, even after they were put in place, bigamy was not completely eradicated across the communities. To conclude, the acceptance of bigamy and polygyny in the law and society at the pre-codification period significantly determined the position of women. Women lacked control, property rights and were unable to get legal redress. Bigamy strengthened patriarchy and brought a sense of insecurity in marriage. Even though it did not apply to all regions and religions, they had one thing in common; they did not grant equal rights in marriage. These historical inequalities were later to be rectified through the codification of personal laws and statutory reforms to strengthen the legal standing of women in marriage and this marked a radical move towards gender justice and legal equality.

2.5 Impact of Colonial Rule on Personal Laws in Relation to Bigamy

The effects of the colonial rule on individual laws in the Indian territory were also active, especially in developing the legal perception and control of bigamy. By the time the British

East India Company extended its influence over India in the eighteenth century, it had acquired a society that was ruled by various religious beliefs and traditional practices. Religion was ingrained in marriage, inheritance and relations within a family. The British did not enforce the English law directly on such issues, but they chose to administer personal affairs based on the religious rules of various people. Non-intervention, however, as the colonial rule was proclaiming, led to a slow revision of the order, meaning, and perspectives of laws regarding bigamy.³² In 1772, by a Judicial Plan used by Warren Hastings, it was formally stated that in the marriage, succession, caste and religious usage, Hindus would be subject to Hindu laws and Muslims to Islamic laws. This period saw that polygyny among Hindus was legalized to a degree under some conditions that were accepted in classical writings and Muslim law gave the right to a man to be married to four wives under the conditions of justice and maintenance. These are some of the practices that were not promptly banned by the

British. They instead intended to administer them by means of newly created civil courts. Sanskrit and Arabic legal traditions were not taught to British judges. Hence they had to consult court appointed pandits in the Hindu law and qazis/ maulvis in the Muslim law. Gradually, the religious books like the Manusmriti and the Islamic law commentaries like the Al-Hidaya (also known as the Hedaya) were translated into English to be used in judicial matters. In colonial courts, these translations took the position of official translations. This transformed the loose religious and traditional values into stricter legal norms. This was a situation of bigamy, which was once a fairly social and moral issue, transformed into a legal issue of interpretation and adjudication. The caste panchayats or informal councils of communities used to settle marriage disputes before the colonial rule. During the British rule, cases were more and more adjudicated in courts during proceedings using procedural and evidentiary rules. Judges soon started to use precedents and their rulings drew what were to be considered as Anglo-Hindu law and Anglo-Muhammadan law. These systems were hybrids, and they merged traditional religious reasoning with English legal reasoning. Courts needed to demonstrate the existence of valid ceremonies and legal capacity to be married in the issue of bigamy. This made the legal treatment of plural marriages more technical, paper based and evidence based.³³ The British professed that they were atheists in religious affairs, whereas they carefully chose to interfere when religious practices were deemed immoral or against the popular policy as per the British criterion. An example is the end of sati in 1829 under Lord William Bentinck which proved that the colonial government as not unwilling to supersede religious practices in some situations. Nevertheless, bigamy and polygyny were not necessarily denounced by religious beliefs as sati was. Thus, the British did not provide an all-encompassing prohibition of polygamy among Hindus and Muslims. They viewed marriage as a religious institution and it was a sensitive one and they did not want to interfere with it directly. Concurrently, colonization laws brought monogamy among the communities. The Indian Christian Marriage Act and the Indian divorce Act of 1872 and 1869 made this a monogamous marriage among Christians and bigamy punishable among the Christians. In the same manner, Special Marriage Act, 1872 gave a civil type of marriage (which involved first renouncing the religion) and imposed monogamy. This statute was quite small in scope but nonetheless, was an initial effort to establish an effective, secular and uniform law on marriage in colonial India. In Indian Penal Code, 1860, bigamy was criminalized in Section 494 and 495. But this was subject to the condition of the presence or absence of plural marriages in personal law. When a second marriage was a lawful one under the law that was in force, then a bigamy prosecution was usually unsuccessful. Therefore, the criminal law was in tandem with the religious personal law and formed a synthesized dual system. Although on paper bigamy constituted an offence, there were religious exemptions to practically enforce it.³⁴

There was also clarification of succession rights by colonial courts in situations where there were many wives. Those who followed the Mitakshara school of Hindu law were entitled to sons of other wives being coparceners in those regions. British judges codified principles on succession and share of property between children of polygamous marriages. This minimised confusion in inheritance cases as well as made patriarchal systems of lineage stronger as it focused on male succession and lineage continuity.

The nineteenth century social reform movements began to criticize polygyny, particularly in the Hindu society. Raja Ram Mohan Roy and Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar were the reformers who stated that plural marriage was a

desecration to women dignity and security. Even though their main campaigns centered on widow remarriage and female education, they advocated scriptural reinterpretations, which emphasized on monogamy as an ideal of morality. These fears were later replicated by the women organizations who cited the emotional pain and economic neglect of second marriages. Attitudes towards marriage were also regulated under the influence of Western education and liberal political thought. Concepts of equality, rights of individuals and companionate marriage became acceptable among the educated elite in the urban settlements. The justice of a system that enabled men but not women to be married to many women raised questions in the minds of the populace in newspapers and reform associations. There were active such debates in Bengal and Bombay Presidences.³⁵ Nevertheless, religious identity was against the law as well, which was strengthened by colonial policies. The British legal pluralism was institutionalized by classifying the family law strictly based on religious affiliation. The questions on polygamy were coupled with the religious independence and the rights of the community. Muslim scholars argued in favor of permissibility of polygyny as an Islamic doctrine and Hindu reformers argued whether the scriptural permissions should persist. This religious encasement created reforming after that as politically delicate and legally unwieldy. Legislative action, including on issues connected to it, like child marriage and consent, had been undertaken as early as the first half of the twentieth century, although a complete ban on bigamy among Hindus had not been made before independence. The colonial rulers were keen on gradual change and did not want to take any measures that would attract high religious resistance.

2.6 Post Independence Reforms in Family Law Related to Bigamy

The post independence era was also the turning point in the evolution of family law in India especially as far as the issue of bigamy is concerned. India became an independent democratic country with a Constitution of India which declared that the country was a sovereign republic, dedicated to the principles of justice, equality and dignity. These principles in the constitution were a direct influence in the personal law reform. Although bigamy had been tolerated or conditional during the earlier times with regard to gender equality and modern nation building, it became a hugely questioned issue in reforming marriage as an institution that was patriarchal and status based to a regulated legal bond based on mutual rights and duties. A major breakthrough in this change was the introduction of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. This law was part of a larger collection of reforms that were all referred to as the Hindu Code Bills. B. R. Ambedkar supported these reforms a great deal, considering the modernization of Hindu personal law as a social justice. Hindu marriage act also brought about the issue of compulsory monogamy among the Hindu, Buddhist, Jain, and Sikhs. The Act is categorical that a valid marriage can only be solemnized when neither the marriage partner has a living spouse when

the marriage takes place. Section 11 asserts that a marriage that contravenes this situation is void. Moreover, a bigamy is punishable under Sections 494 and 495 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, under Section 17. Prior to this act, polygyny was legal among the Hindus although it was not universal. The Act of 1955 was thus a drastic change of a scriptural flexibility to a statutory prohibition. The state made monogamy a legal law through the criminalization of bigamy and brought the Hindu marriage law under constitutional principles of equality. The reason why the reform was not just to control the marital behavior but to ensure that women were not exploited, abandoned, and were not left economically insecure.³⁶ The bigamy was already a crime in the Indian Penal Code. Section 494 takes care of punishment of remarriage during the lifetime of a spouse and

Section 495 is more strict where the second spouse is remarried but kept as a secret to the first spouse. Until 1955, however, these provisions did not actually apply to Hindus since polygyny was not forbidden by their own law. This anomaly was eliminated in the Hindu Marriage Act that specifically made personal law criminal liability.

The ban against bigamy was also enhanced by judicial interpretation. *Shankar Lokhande v. Bhaurao Shankar Lokhande*. The Supreme Court, State of Maharashtra, it was determined that in order to be found guilty under Section 494 IPC, the second marriage had to be established to have been solemnized with the necessary ceremonies necessary under the Hindu law. Such a ruling broke the dismissal of the burden of proof and made sure that there was no criminal responsibility without hard evidence of a proper second marriage. Later, in *Sarla Mudgal v. The Court Union of India*, the Court touched the question of conversion of Hindu men to Islam as a way of contracting second marriage. The Court ruled that this conversion would not necessarily result in the dissolution of the first marriage and the second marriage would constitute bigamy which was punishable under the Penal Code. This ruling clarified the fact that religious conversion cannot be employed as a tool against monogamy regulations. The Special marriage act 1954 was another significant reform. The Act gave secular type of marriage that any person can have regardless of his or her religion. It required monogamy and bigamy was punishable regardless of religion. The Act provided a new system of interreligious couples and individuals who wanted to marry in a civil manner without religious rituals. The state promoted the notion of equality before the law by creating an equal norm of monogamy in civil marriages. Reforms had also been made on women and children affected by bigamous relationships during the post independence times. The Hindu marriage act section 16 provides legitimacy to the children born as a result of void or voidable marriage. Such children are not supposed to be given full coparcenary rights in the joint family property, but they are allowed to inherit the parents. This is a humanitarian gesture that shields innocent children against the effects of the criminal behavior of their parents.³⁷ Mechanisms of maintenance and financial protection were enhanced in order to protect women. In section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure wives including divorce wives are allowed to claim maintenance in cases where they are not taken care of by their husbands. Courts have construed this provision in an open manner as a way of avoiding destitution and social injustice. Courts have sometimes eased relief on fair grounds to avoid suffering even in situations involving second wives, who married without prior knowledge of the existence of a spouse. These advancements show a judicial adherence to more than formalistic substantive justice. Family law reforms have been influenced by the constitutional framework a lot. Article 14 ensures equality before the law, Article 15 forbids discrimination based on sex and Article 21 guarantees right to life and personal liberty and this has been construed to mean dignity and autonomy. These have been applied in the law proceedings of families in order to underscore fairness and gender justice. Directive Principle of Article 44 persuades the state to obtain Uniform Civil Code. Even though a unified uniform code has never been passed, this has been observed by the judicial in many instances indicating the necessity of uniformity in issues like monogamy and bigamy. Meanwhile, India since independence has been legal pluralist. The limited polygamy under certain circumstances has remained acceptable in the Muslim personal law based on the Islamic jurisprudence. Nevertheless, the discussions on reform still persist. In *Shayara Bano v. The Supreme Court* struck down instant triple talaq as being unconstitutional. Though this case

did not specifically touch on polygamy, it represents a sentiment by the judiciary, in general, to examine discriminatory practices in personal legislation in relation to constitutional values.³⁸ Finally, the legal position of bigamy in India has conclusively changed with the post independence reforms in the family law. With the Hindu marriage act, special marriage act, the criminal sections of the Indian penal code, and judicial progressive interpretation, monogamy has been entrenched as the policy that governs most people. The dedication to equality and dignity in the constitution is expressed by criminal penalties, civil recompense, and protective measures against women and children. CHAPTER 3.

BIGAMY UNDER HINDU LAW

3.1 Hindu Concept of Marriage

Marriage according to the traditional Hindu law has always been a religious and a religious union as opposed to a contractual relationship. According to the ancient Hindu scriptures and laws, marriage was regarded as one of the most significant samskaras in the life of a person. Marriage was considered to form a religious relationship between a man and a woman, which allowed them to do sacred tasks. The classical Hindu philosophy stated that the marriage institution was not only necessary to get companionship but also to carry family genes and to conduct religious sacrifices. This wife was considered an indispensable companion of religious rituals and was commonly known as the ardhangini or the other half of the man.

The classical Hindu jurisprudence considered marriage as a personal relationship as well as social and religious obligation. It was a perception that only after a person had gotten married, he or she could serve numerous religious duties. The Manusmriti and other Dharmashastras of old Hinduism describe that the husband and wife jointly conducted numerous religious sacrifices, such as the yajnas and ancestral sacrifices. In the absence of a wife, a man was not complete enough to undertake some of the religious tasks.³⁹

Marriage in the Hindu society was religious in nature and as a result, the marital relationship used to be said to be indissoluble. The classical Hindu law did not accept divorce and marriage was supposed to last until the end of the days of the spouses. Pativrata dharma was a concept that showed the obedience and obedience of the wife to her husband. Women had to be loyal and devoted to their husbands at all times and the husband was supposed to be the one who will protect and be the head of the family.

The books of ancient Hinduism also highlighted that marriage also served three significant purposes: dharma (religious obligation), praja (procreation), and rati (companionship and sexual satisfaction). Of these purposes, the survival of family lineage was deemed to be very important. The son was born to carry out funeral rituals and other ancestral rituals, which was perceived as a prerequisite in the past. Due to this belief, in other cases, the traditional Hindu society condoned polygamy in cases where the first wife was incapable of conceiving of children.

Traditionally, Hindu law was not a strict ban on polygamy. In some situations, men could marry a number of women more than one, especially when the first wife could not have children, in case of social or political alliances. The kings and the rich tended to marry many wives as a status symbol and a sign of power. These marriages could also be employed to bind royal families or powerful groups. Nevertheless, polyandry was very

uncommon and was discouraged in the Hindu society.⁴⁰

Although Indian society was tolerant of polygamy in the past, the ideal values of Hindu marriage were a sense of loyalty, fidelity and support between married partners. Marriage was viewed as a life time commitment that went beyond material companionship to spiritual companionship. Hindu philosophy was the belief that the husband and the wife ought to help one another to carry out the religious obligations.

The traditional customs related to Hindu marriage started to shift with the emergence of social reform movements in the nineteenth century. The social reformers raised a lot of condemnation over the inequalities experienced by women in the society and ensured that there were reforms that would help them have better legal and social position. Raja Ram Mohan Roy, Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar and other reformers were instrumental in bringing about the realization of the necessity of reforms in Hindu personal laws. They claimed that child marriage, polygamy and withholding of property to women caused severe injustices in the society.

Such reform movements later impacted on legislative reforms in independent India. When the Hindu marriages law, the Hindu marriage Act 1955 was enacted, it was a significant change in the Hindu personal law. Some of the progressive amendments brought by the Act were the acknowledgement of divorce, separation of the courts that was founded on judicial grounds, and the forbidding of polygamy. It also brought in the lawful solution to the spouses who became victims of cruelty, desertion, or any other conflict in a marriage.⁴¹

Besides the religious importance, marriage had always been crucial in sustaining the social order in the Hindu society. Marriage as an institution was believed to be necessary in regulating relationships in the family, inheritance and property rights. Marriage stabilized the social and cultural traditions by providing the children with proper upbringing by creating valid family units. The institution of marriage gives the family, which is considered to be the fundamental unit of the society, its stability.

The complex system of ceremonies and rituals of its solemnization was another significant characteristic of the traditional Hindu marriage. Hindu marriages are not a simple contract between two people but they have sacred ceremonies which represent the spiritual marriage between the two people. One of such rituals is the ceremony of saptapadi, where the couple makes seven steps around the sacred fire, is regarded as one of the most important rituals. The Hindu law states that this ritual is the last and binding part of marriage.

Also, the spirituality of the marital relationship is underscored through such rituals as kanyadan and panigrahan. The female part of the kanyadan ritual is marriage where the father sells his daughter to the groom and in doing this, the father is relieved of his duty to protect and safeguard his daughter. During panigrahan, the bride is officially affirmed to the groom when her hand is held in front of the sacred fire. These rituals make it clear that marriage to Hindus is not just a contract but a sacramental ceremony that is carried out in line with the religious practices.⁴²

Therefore, the idea of Hindu marriage has been gradually changing into a less strict religious institution and more liberal legal and social model in light of the contemporary values. Although its spiritual and cultural roots remain significant, modern law is aimed at providing a balance between these traditions and the concepts of justice, equality, and individual freedom.

3.2 Prohibition of Bigamy under the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955

The Hindu personal law was changed fundamentally with the introduction of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955 that provided the principle of monogamy. Before the adoption of this law, the Hindu men were legally allowed to marry more than one wife. This has been a common practice in the traditional society which was mostly explained by culture or religion. In the earlier times, there were reasons why polygamy was at times condoned, including the need to have a male heir, preservation of the family name or the reinforcement of social and political coalition. Nevertheless, this gradually ended up being criticized throughout the social reform movements of the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries which informed how of the necessity of defending the rights and dignity of women. But the polygamy made a big difference in marital relations and often disadvantaged the women. Polygamy usually brought about emotional instability, financial instability, and family problems. Women in polygamous marriages were often denied equal status and insecurity. More than one wife in a family was a common occurrence in many households and this resulted into the existence of disputes concerning property, inheritance and raising of children. Due to this, social reformers and legislators started to urge that polygamy should be abolished and monogamy as the legal form of marriage formulated as the Hindu marriages statute.⁴³

The Hindu marriage is subject to conditioned essentials as presented in section 5 of Hindu marriage act 1955. Among the most significant ones in Section 5(i) is that one of the parties must not have a spouse upon marriage. In addition to rendering bigamy illegal among Hindus, this provision is quite effective in that both marrying partners will be exempt of any current marital requirements. This provision aims at deterring the cases of people marrying and divorcing many wives at a time and to protect the rights of the marriage partners.

To enforce this principle the Act under Section 11 provides that any marriage that is solemnized after the coming into force of the Act shall be void in the event that it contravenes the terms and conditions established under Section 5. This implies that when an individual remarries under the lifetime of his or her wife, the second marriage will not be legal. The law considers such a marriage as void in the first place and none of the laws in the law courts permits it to be validated. This clause makes sure that the ban on bigamy is not just formal but a legal penalty is applied to enhance this ban.

The other provision pertaining to bigamy is Section 17 of Hindu Marriage Act according to which bigamy marriages shall be subject to punishment under the provisions of the Indian Penal Code 1860 in Section 494. The sentence that is intended to be used to punish this crime can go up to seven years in jail as well as a fine. Imposing criminal liability is aimed at making people avoid breaking the principle of monogamy provided in the Act.⁴⁴

The Hindu marriage act also prohibits bigamy and this is an extension of the constitutional obligation towards gender equality and social justice. The Indian law system acknowledges that marital relationships must rely on fairness, respect and equality among the couples. Legalizing polygamy would bring unequal power relationship in the family and denying women their dignity. Thus, the law is completely strict on the principle

that two people should marry one another at any given time.

Another significant role in the reinforcement of the ban on bigamy has been served by judicial interpretation. Courts have reiterated on numerous occasions that Hindu marriage act is to entrench monogamy as the base of Hindu marital law. The judiciary in a number of decisions has made it clear that the second marriage which is conducted during the lifetime of a wife or husband is invalid and that, any person who indulges in such a marriage may be criminally prosecuted. The courts have further emphasized that the important rituals of marriage should be established in order to prove the offence of bigamy under the criminal law.

Moreover, the issue of women has been safeguarded by the ban on bigamy in marriage. The law helps to protect the equality and protection of both parties since by law, a person is not allowed to have more than a spouse. It also averts the cases where a husband has to forget his duties to the first wife and children and get into a second marriage. Through this, bigamy should be banned because it enhances family stability and the legal system on relationship of marriage.⁴⁵

The notion of monogamy is quite popular within the modern Indian society as one of the main principles of marriage according to Hindu law. The legal ban of bigamy is an indication of the changing values in the society and the increased focus on gender justice, equality, and human dignity. The Hindu marriage act has been instrumental in the re-formation of traditional practices, as well as in the establishment of a just and fair institution of marriage in modern society by giving it a clear legal implication, in case of bigamous marriage.

3.3 Penal Consequences: Section 17 HMA and Section 494 IPC

The criminal sanctions are not just mere civil regulations by which the second marriage is declared void by Hindu law but is also backed by criminal proceedings. The Hindu Marriage Act 1955 allows a legal framework which guarantees the practice of monogamy among the Hindus. In section 17 of the Act, a clear statement is made that, any Hindu who marries a second time when his or her wife is alive shall face punishment according to the corresponding provisions of the Indian Penal Code 1860 that deals with the felony of bigamy.

Section 494 of Indian penal code refers to the crime of bigamy and stipulates the punishment to those who remarry with a husband or wife still alive. The overall intention of this provision is to deter the situation when people get second marriage when the first one is alive and valid and get a term of imprisonment up to seven years and a fine to defend the rights of the legal married partner.⁴⁶

To prove the offence as in the case of Section 494, however, some necessary conditions need to be fulfilled. The first issue is that the prosecution would have to demonstrate that the first marriage was legally valid and it had been subsisting at the time of contracting the second marriage. In case the first marriage is void or lawfully broken by a competent court through divorce or annulment, the crime of bigamy cannot be proved. Second, it must also be demonstrated that the second marriage was conducted under the essential requirements of a valid marriage under the personal law of the concerned parties.

Indian courts have severally insisted that carrying out vital ceremonies of marriages is an imperative condition when it comes to establishing the crime of bigamy. Being together as husband and wife or just as cohabitants is

not a valid marriage to be used against the criminal. The Bhaurao Shankar Lokhande v. case is known as a landmark case. State of Maharashtra, the Supreme Court has stated that to complete the offence of bigamy, the prosecution must demonstrate that the second marriage was solemnized with the required ceremonies that are recognized by the law. ⁴ In case of absence of such ceremonies, the accused could not be convicted of the offence of bigamy as per Section 494 of the Penal Code.

Criminalization of bigamy means achievement of the larger goal of safeguarding marriage sanctity and fairness in marriage relationships. The law will ensure that people cannot be exploited and that the rights of the spouses are not violated by enforcing criminal liability on those who enter into polygamous marriages, especially women who were the disadvantaged group in the past. The punitive measures are also a deterrent to those who seek to avoid their marital obligations and get into a second marriage without legally terminating the first one. ⁴⁷ Moreover, the criminal law provision of prohibition of bigamy is in line with the constitutional integrity of equality and dignity. Indian legal system acknowledges that marriage is a union of two people respecting and being responsible to each other. Legalizing polygamy would compromise such values and bring unbalances in the family set-up. This is why implementation of penal rules on bigamy is essential in the process of gender justice advancement and preservation of stability of family relationships within the present society. Judicial Interpretation

Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India

The case of Sarla Mudgal v. is one of the most important judicial rulings on any matter relating to bigamy as stipulated in Hindu law. Union of India. This historic decision was significant in the underpinning of the legal stance in the abuse of religious conversion so as to contract a second marriage.

The case was filed in response to a number of Hindu men who had already married under the Hindu law but who were converted to Islam so that they could remarry without terminating their initial marriage. The main reason behind these conversions was the belief that the Islamic personal law authorized polygamy and could thus enable a man to have more than a wife. The case petitioners questioned the practice citing that these conversions were failing to comply with the clause of Hindu marriage act 1955 which heavily discourages bigamy amongst Hindu members. ⁴⁸

The Supreme Court discussed the case of a Hindu husband who was willing to violate the law of bigamy, and hence legally decapitate the very law by converting to a different faith, followed by marrying once again. The Court ruled that a marriage solemnised by the Hindu law still remains valid even when one of the marrying spouses later converts to some other faith. The first marriage is not necessarily disintegrated by conversion. As such, a Hindu husband who turns into a Muslim, gets remarried without getting a divorce of his first wife, the second marriage will be deemed invalid and the husband would be charged with bigamy under Section 494 of the Indian Penal Code 1860.

In its ruling, the Court pointed out that personal laws cannot be abused to do away with the entitlements of spouses. The fact that such conversions would be allowed with the intention to remarry another person would bring about gross injustice especially to the women who would be left without any chance to be legally

safeguarded. The Court noted that to be able to change to another religion just to simply get into the second marriage was a clear abuse of religious freedom and undermined the aspects of justice and equality.

The Supreme Court also emphasized on upholding the sanctity of marriage and defending the rights of women in the institution of marriage. It noted that any legalization of such would enable people to escape their marital duties and that the legal system that has been created by Hindu Marriage Act would be undermined.⁴⁹

The other significant feature of the judgment was the debate by the Court about the necessity to have a common civil code in India. The Court noted that the existence of the various personal laws occasionally put in place scenarios in which the individuals tried to exploit the loopholes of the law. Here, the Court cited Article 44 of the Constitution of India that instructs the State to strive to achieve a Uniform Civil Code among all citizens. 3 Using such a hint, the Court implied that a uniform civil code should be applied to eradicate those personal laws, and to achieve a higher degree of uniformity of family law throughout the nation.

Sarla Mudgal was considered a significant move, which, along with the general trend of safeguarding the rights of women and enforcing personal laws in a way that echoed the values of the constitution was considered progressive. It reiterated the fact that people cannot get away with requirements of the law by picking on differences in personal religious laws.

Lily Thomas v. Union of India

The principles that were set in Sarla Mudgal case were subsequently upheld by the Supreme Court in Lily Thomas v. case. Union of India. The case occurred when some people appealed to the judgment, Sarla Mudgal and said that conversion to Islam ought to enable a Hindu man to remarry since Islamic law permits polygamy.⁵⁰

This argument was dismissed by the Supreme Court and the rationale of the previous judgment was affirmed. The Court made it clear that the ruling in Sarla Mudgal did not meddle with the liberty of religion provided by the Constitution. Rather, it dealt with religious conversion in the wrong way, as a means to avoid law consequences on an already formed marriage.

The Court repeated that a marriage solemnised under Hindu marriage act would still be valid unless legally annulled or divorced. It was not just a conversion to a different religion that breaks the marriage. So, in case when an individual changes the religion and remarries without divorcing the first one, the second marriage will be null and will be punished according to Gold 494 of the Indian Penal Code.

The Court in its ruling pointed out that legalizing such practices would serve the disadvantage of the earlier spouse and would amount to gross unfairness. The Court also noted that personal laws ought not to be construed to enable people to use them to their own advantage at the cost of other people.⁵¹

This case of Lily Thomas hence reiterated the principle of law that conversion to a different religion does not grant immunity against bigamy. The Court emphasized that the safeguarding of marital rights as well as the avoidance of injustice must be of primary importance as compared to the efforts to abuse religious laws.

All these judgments depict how the judicial system has been instrumental in the interpretation and enactment of laws that touch on marriage. With these rulings, the Supreme Court made it clear that one cannot bypass the prohibition of bigamy by Hindu marriage act by changing their religion. The rulings also supported the notion that marriage is a legal institution that is regulated by statutory provisions and people have to honor their marital responsibilities according to the law.

In addition, the cases also underscore the judiciary to enhance gender justice and secure the rights of women in marriage. The courts have also reinforced the law on family relations in India by eliminating the abuse of religious conversion to marry many people.⁵²

To sum up, the legal meanings given in Sarla Mudgal and Lily Thomas have been instrumental in defining the law regarding bigamy in Hindu law. The enforcement of these decisions makes it impossible to discard the principle of monogamy that was made under the Hindu Marriage Act and allow it to be weakened by abusing the process of religious conversion. Simultaneously, the verdicts also focus on the necessity to promote the constitutional principles of equality, dignity, and justice in the marriage institution.

CHAPTER 4

BIGAMY UNDER MUSLIM LAW

4.1 Concept of Marriage under Muslim Law

The Muslim law and structure of marriage is known as Nikah and it plays a crucial role in Islamic law. In contrast to some other religious traditions, in which marriage is considered, first of all, as a sacrament, or a spiritual bond, in Islamic jurisprudence, marriage is a civil contract that results in the establishment of mutual rights and responsibilities between the parties concerned. Marriage in the Muslim law is marked with the contractual characteristic that couples marriage does not emulate the traditional concepts of Hindu law where marriage is viewed as a consecrated and unbreakable union between two people. Muslim scholars and jurists have always stressed that the institution of marriage in Islamic religion has a social and legal role in that it controls the relationships between men and women and also offers a legalized way of forming families.

Creation of a stable family system that encourages social stability and ethical behavior is the main aim of marriage Islam. The natural companionship of men and women is acknowledged by Islamic law and marriage is the lawful way of this companionship to be achieved. Marriage is also used to legitimize children, protect lineage and also to make sure that the future generations are well raised. These aims are primarily based on the Quran teachings and the tradition of Prophet Muhammad who had focused on the significance of family life and respect among the couples.⁵³

The contractual character of marriage in the Islamic jurisprudence is seen in the fact that the offer and acceptance between the parties are obligatory. Marriage takes place when one party makes a proposal (ijab) and the other party accepts (qubul). This needs to take place before witnesses and should be done on a free will by persons with legal ability to get married. This necessity of offer and acceptance shows the fact that marriage in the Muslim law is not imposed by the religious ritual but is founded on the consent of the parties.

The other significant aspect of a valid Muslim marriage is the witnesses. According to the Sunni law, two fit witnesses have to be on the spot during the marriage ceremony so that there is transparency and genuineness in the making of the marriage contract. The witnesses will help eliminate fights over the fact that the marriage problems appear later. The publicity of marriage is also strengthened by witnesses, and it is not like a secret or informal relationship that is not recognized by laws.

The concept of mehr or dower is one of the peculiarities of the Muslim marriage. Mehr is the sum of money or property that the husband accepts to make the wife a part of the marriage contract. This commitment is a kind of financial pledge to the wife and this is a sign of the marriage commitment to the husband. Dower is deemed as a necessary part of an acceptable Muslim marriage though the value may differ depending on the agreement between the two also their social conditions. The dower institution is the acknowledgment or recognition in the Islamic law that marriage has economic consequences and that the wife must be guaranteed economic provisions in the matrimonial relationship.⁵⁴

The importance of Islamic jurists on marriage is that it determines a body of mutual rights and obligations between married couples. The husband is mostly supposed to maintain, protect and support the family whereas the wife is supposed to contribute towards the stability of the home and uphold the marriage bond. The life of family in the Islamic tradition of law is based on these mutual responsibilities. Meanwhile, the right of spouses to request dissolution of marriage is also acknowledged by Muslim law given that marriage is a highly valued institution, which does not mean that it is a permanent or even permanent institution.

A number of Islamic law scholars have expounded the idea of marriage as a civil contract. Dinshaw Fardunji Mulla argues that Muslim marriage is simply a contract that is signed to legalize sexual relation and children birth. Although this definition emphasizes the element of contractualism in marriage, various contemporary scholars hold the view that marriage in Islam is also linked with a lot of social and ethical obligations that surpass the contractual system itself.

The contractual form of marriage among Muslims also gives the opportunity to have some conditions in the agreement of marriage. As an example, the parties can come to the agreement concerning certain terms regarding residence, maintenance, or delegation of the rights to divorce. These stipulations of the contracts show that flexibility of the Islamic marriage law and adaptation to various social environments is possible. But these conditions should not go against the basic principles of the Islamic law to be deemed valid and enforceable.⁵⁵

Besides the basic necessities of offer, acceptance, witnesses and dower, the Muslim law also spells out some conditions concerning the capacity of the parties to marry. They should be both of age and should be in a state of mind to comprehend the contents of the marriage contract. Consent is a key issue in making the marriage lawful and binding. In case of a marriage that is not carried out freely by the two individuals, this can be called into question and not upheld.

The other significant part of the Muslim marriage law is that the marriages are classified as valid (sahih), irregular (fasid), and void (batil). One of the valid marriages is the one that meets all the necessary conditions given by the Islamic law. Avoidable marriage can be the result of non fulfilment of some procedural

requirements whereas void marriage can be a result of relationship that violates some of the basic prohibitions like marriages against prohibited relationship degrees. These classifications are used to find out the legal implications of various forms of marital unions as provided in the Muslim law.

The implication of the marriage as a contractual relationship is significant to the matter of bigamy and polygamy in relation to Muslim law. Due to the fact that marriage is seen as a contract more than a sacrament, the Islamic law used to allow a man to marry more than once under certain conditions. Yet, the practice of polygamy has its rigid ethical and moral standards to be observed and according to which all of the wives should be treated equally. These principles are based on religious doctrines and they are aimed at bringing about fairness and justice in polygamous marriages.¹¹⁵⁶

The traditional Islamic jurisprudence and statutory acknowledgment of Muslim personal law have influenced the concept of Muslim marriage in the Indian scenario. According to the Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act 1937, inquiry into marriage, divorce of marriage and other family affairs among Muslims shall be subject to Islamic law instead of custom. This act was very influential in harmonizing the use of Muslim personal law in various parts of India.

The interpretation of Muslim marriage law has also been realized by courts in India which have made different decisions. The courts are often inquired about the validity of marital agreements, rights of husband and wife and the circumstances in which a marriage can be broken. The interpretation by courts also guarantees the consistency of the principles of the Muslim personal law to the context of the Indian legal system.

It is necessary to interpret the Muslim law of marriage, therefore, as a legal institution, which unites aspects of contract, religious guidance, and social responsibility. It creates a legitimate bond between the partners, governs family life, and gives a structure on how children are raised. Meanwhile, the contractual quality of marriage makes it possible to have multiple marriages under some conditions, and it brings complicated legal and social issues about polygamy and bigamy of the contemporary society.⁵⁷

To examine the bigger question of bigamy on the Islamic legal tradition, it is important to comprehend the concept of marriage under the Muslim law. The legality of polygamy, the marriage rights of women, and control of multiple marriages are all based on the pillars that guide the marriage among Muslims. In turn, the closer look at the concept of marriage will give the ground to the discussion of Muslim law dealing with the problem of bigamy and the interaction of the former with the rest of the Indian legal system.

4.2 Polygamy and Bigamy under Muslim Personal Law

Polygamy is the question that takes a center stage in arguments concerning bigamy as per Muslim personal law. The legality of polygamous marriage practices in Islamic law has traditionally acknowledged the possibility of polygamy especially the marrying of more than one wife by a Muslim male. Nevertheless, one should realise that polygamy within the Islamic law is not an unlimited act. Rather, it is controlled within the religious standards, moral requirements, and legal provisions that are meant to promote equity and justices between partners. The difference between polygamy and bigamy is also a decisive factor in determining the legal status on the Muslim law. Whereas bigamy typically is used to refer to the act of a second contract marriage whilst the

original marriage continues to subsist and is termed as illegal under most legal systems, polygamy is used to describe the legally legal act of multiple marriage under some religious or traditional structures.

According to the classical Islamic law a Muslim man is allowed to have a maximum of 4 wives at the same time as long as he can treat each one in a fair manner. This is based on the teachings of the Quran that said that a man could marry two, three, and four women provided that he could fairly treat them. Nonetheless, the identical verse also underlines that in case of the man being afraid that he will not be able to uphold equality among more than one wife, he must marry just one.² This is, polygamy is accepted in the rules of the Islamic law but monogamy is considered to be the best form of marriages when the rules of fairness are unmet.⁵⁸

It is also relevant to the historical backgrounds of the Islamic law where polygamy was allowed. In the early Islamic society, polygamy was commonly considered as a social system aimed at fulfilling some of the needs of the society like offering protection and security to the widows and orphaned women during war and social unrests. Under these conditions, polygamy was seen as an option of protecting the interests of the weak in the society by allowing a man to take more than one woman as a wife. However, with passage of time, the social conditions have changed and the rationale of polygamy has been subjected to wider debate in the contemporary legal and social discourse.

The Islamic jurists have always pointed out that the right to have more than one wife has its moral obligations. A polygamous husband has to treat his wives equally, regardless of their marital status in issues of maintenance, residence, emotional provision, and overall marital provisions. The inability to provide equality to wives is regarded as the breach of Islamic principles and can be seen as unfair or immoral behavior. The fact that polygamy is permissible according to the Islamic law has therefore been understood by scholars of Islamic law as conditional instead of absolute.

The polygamous marriages in the Muslim law require the provision of the concept of equality among wives. One of the husbands is required to provide equal financial support, shelter as well as time to each wife. The equal treatment is mandatory in more aspects of marital life such as maintenance and accommodation. When a husband is not performing such duties, the concerned wife can seek legal justice by allowing the court to interfere. These principles bring out the focus of Islamic law on family relationships and justice and fairness.⁵⁹

The polygamy among the Muslims in the Indian context is mostly accepted as per the Muslim personal law. According to the Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act 1937, marriage, divorce, and family-related issues between Muslims will be determined according to Islamic Law. Due to this, the conventional policies on polygamy still govern Muslim marriages in India. Contrary to Hindu law, which puts a strict prohibition on bigamy by statutory means, the Muslim law on personal law allows a Muslim male to marry many wives up to the threshold specified by the Islamic jurisprudence.

Although such legalization, polygamy among Indian Muslims has been very uncommon in the modern-day society. Sociology and legal studies have indicated that most of Muslim marriages in India work in monogamy.

Various economic factors, shifting social views and growing awareness of female rights have led to a slow reduction of polygamous marriages. This trend implies that although polygamy is still legally allowed by the Muslim personal law, social realities have continued to facilitate monogamous family set ups.

In Indian law, polygamy and bigamy are some of the aspects that are significantly different. Bigamy is mostly considered as a criminal offence as per the Indian Penal Code 1860 where an individual marries once again in the presence of a spouse. This is however subject to the personal law that governs the parties involved to which this provision will be applied. Due to the possibility of polygamy under the Muslim personal law, a Muslim that enters into a series of marriages in accordance with the provisions of Islamic law is not usually regarded as a criminal of bigamy in accordance with the criminal law.⁶⁰

There are times when this legal stand has been a matter of debate and controversy especially when it comes to issues of gender equality and constitutional rights. Critics have also claimed that legalizing polygamy to one religious group and barring others will discriminate against others. The advocates of autonomy of personal laws, though, argue that family affairs must be left to the community that practices specific religions to manage in terms of its own traditions and beliefs. Such discussions indicate the larger issues of trying to reconcile the principles of equality and social justice with religious freedom in a pluralistic legal system.

To some extent, the judiciary has discussed the subject of polygamy with regard to the public policy and the constitutional law. It has been noted by the courts that although the Muslim personal law allows polygamy, the exercise itself must have to be aligned with the larger legal principles of fairness and justice. The court has thus highlighted that polygamy cannot be exploited and abused in marriage union. Besides law, the problem of polygamy has also been analyzed in terms of the rights of women. Most authors and campaigners have claimed that polygamous marriages can reduce women to a disadvantaged situation especially when husbands do not treat each woman equally. The issue of emotional distress, financial instability and uneven resource allocation have caused some demands to give more legal protection to women in polygamous marriages.¹¹⁶¹

Polygamy over Muslim law has also been foddered by the broad debates of reforms in family law in India. Certain researchers suggest that reforms of the Muslim personal law might provide more protection to women and keep the main principles of the Islamic jurisprudence. Indicatively, some of the Muslim majority nations have enacted legal changes where court authorization is required to get a polygamous marriage or tougher rules to husbands who desire to get a second wife. These comparative evolves have been referred to in debate on the potential reform of the Indian law system.¹¹

The other important point of the discussion is connected with the constitutional system of India. The Constitution ensures equality before the law as well as freedom of religion. As much as the right to religion is safeguarded, it is also limited in the good interest of the population, morality and health. Consequently, there have been a lot of legal and scholarly debate regarding the connection between personal laws and constitutional principles. This management of polygamy under the Muslim personal law should then be interpreted in the wider view of the constitutional government and legal pluralism in India.

To sum it up, polygamy as per the Muslim personal law is a complicated fusion of religious, legal, and modern

social ethics. Although Islamic law allows a Muslim man to take in more than one wife under some circumstances, this is conditional and has a lot of strict requirements of justice and equality. The Indian legal system has legalized polygamy in the personal law of Muslims but in the contemporary times, the prevalence of polygamy has reduced. The controversies around polygamy represent the larger issues of gender justice, religious freedom, and future of the family law in India.⁶²

4.3 Legal Position of Bigamy under Indian Law for Muslims

The interplay between Muslim personal law and general criminal law of the country infuses the legal status of the bigamy among Muslims in India. India adheres to the pluralistic system of law as applied in issues to do with family law, i.e., the various religious groups have their own personal laws that govern their issues in marriage, divorce, maintenance, and inheritance. Consequently, there are different legal treatment of bigamy based on the personal law that the involved persons have. Although statutory laws like the Indian penal code 1860 criminalizes bigamy in most communities, the Muslim personal law has traditionally allowed a Muslim man to make more than one marriage depending on specific conditions.

In general criminal law, Section 494 of Indian Penal Code accuses an individual of being in an offence when he or she remarries within the lifetime of another spouse and the initial marriage between the two is not legally ended. This has been echoed by this provision that shows the principle of monogamy that has been absorbed in a number of statutory marriage laws in India. The aim of the provision is to ensure the sanctity of marriage and also to ensure that people do not avoid their marital duties by getting into a second or more marriages at the same time. Application of Section 494 however, depends most on the fact as to whether the second marriage is a bar to personal law as applied to the involved parties.

When it comes to Muslims, the laws that regulate marriage are based mostly on the Islamic jurisprudence and are identified in the form of statute like the Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act 1937. This law stipulates that when it comes to marriage, divorce of marriage, maintenance, custody and other family affairs, the Muslims shall be subject to Muslim personal law, as opposed to customary laws. Since Muslim personal law permits a Muslim man to have as many as four wives at once, the ban in Section 494 of the Indian Penal Code does not normally apply to such marriages provided the marriages are contracted within the scope of such marriages permitted under the Islamic law.⁶³

Under the Indian family law system, the legal situation that polygamy is recognized under the Muslim personal law presents a unique legal scenario. Contrasting the Hindu law that was reformed by passing of Hindu marriage Act 1955 to expressly forbid bigamy, Muslim personal law still permits limited polygamy. The difference is an extension of the general rule that personal laws in India are highly correlated with religious traditions and cultural practices. In turn, the legal system permits various differences in the family law regulations, across the religious communities.

Although such an acknowledgement of polygamy is made by the Muslim law, the legal stance is not fully free. There are a number of legal and constitutional issues that play out in the way polygamous marriages are

handled in reality. As an example, the Muslim law of marriage validity should meet the necessary requirements of a valid marriage such as consent of the two parties, presence of the witnesses, and the absence of the forbidden relationships. When they are not met, the marriage might be said to be irregular or void, and this could have legal implications to the parties involved.

The other significant constraint is due to the fact that a Muslim husband should treat his wives equally and fairly. According to the Islamic law, the principle of justice is promoted in polygamous marriages and the husband is obligated to give equal financial support, accommodation and emotional consideration in each wife. When a husband does not meet these requirements, then the aggrieved wife would want to seek justice through the help of the law by pursuing maintenance or divorce through proper legal means. These provisions reveal that polygamy is acceptable according to the Muslim law but comes with enormous attachments.⁶⁴

The conflict between Indian criminal law and the Muslim personal law has sometimes resulted in complicated legal problems. An example of such problems is when people seek to bend personal laws in an effort to avoid the lawful regulations in bigamy. An instance is when members of other religious groups have converted to Islam so that they can get a second marriage without divorcing their first marriage. This practice has been judicially challenged and has resulted in significant legal changes.

In an iconic case *Sarla Mudgal v. The case, Union of India*, the Supreme Court considered the question of conversion of Hindu husbands to Muslims as a means of marrying a second time. The Court ruled that a conversion into a different religion does not necessarily end a marriage which was concluded under the old personal law. So, in case an individual is converted to Islam and does not formally end the first marriage, the second marriage would be invalid and the person would be convicted of adopting bigamy through the Indian Penal Code. This ruling was critical in averting the abuse of religious conversion as a tool of avoiding the ban of bigamy.

The Supreme Court later affirmed the principle that had been laid in the *Sarla Mudgal* case in *Lily Thomas v. Union of India*. Here, the Court made it clear that conversion to Islam does not offer a legal way out of the duty of a former marriage contract signed under another personal law. In the landmark case, the Court stressed that by permitting such practices, it would be worsening the rule of law and would be unfair to the spouses who will be affected by such practices.⁶⁵

The constitutional law has also been brought into the light in the context of legal position of Muslim polygamy. India ensures equality before the law as well as freedom of religion through the Constitution of India. Article 14 includes equality before the law and equal protection of the laws whereas Article 25 ensures the freedom of professing and practicing religion. All these are complexities of the law when the courts apply these two principles of the constitution in cases concerning the personal law and the religion.

Scholars have argued as to whether the fact that polygamy is still recognized by Muslim personal law, is in line with the constitutional obligation to ensure gender equality. It has been argued that polygamy should not be banned in one religious group and legalized in others because this will lead to the establishment of unequal legal standards. Some argue that the personal laws comprise of religious identity and reforms need to be

developed within the community and not introduced by an outside law enforcement (Zarathustra 11).

Women protection is also another significant aspect of the legal framework of the Muslim marriage in India. In spite of the fact that Muslim personal law allows polygamy, there are also some guarantees of the women in the marriage. An illustration refers to women who decide to divorce under the Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act 1939 due to different reasons like cruelty, failure to pay the maintenance or long absence of the husband. Such stipulations give women legal options on how to protest against unjust marital circumstances.-twelve.⁶⁶

Also the courts in India have been becoming more progressive in their interpretation of family laws to safeguard the rights of women and children. The rulings of the courts have affirmed that the interests of women and fairness should be used when adjudicating cases that emerge as a result of the marriage relationship. As the social attitudes toward gender equality keep changing, the legal system has been increasingly willing to show interpretation of the personal laws in a manner that would be in line with the constitutional values.

The question of Muslim polygamy has also been connected in recent decades with more general arguments in respect of family law reform and adoption of a Uniform Civil Code. In article 44, the Constitution instructs the State to strive to achieve a unified civil code among citizens. Even though this directive has not been introduced yet, it still affects the current debates concerning the further evolution of the Indian family law. Those who believe in a Uniform Civil Code, claim that standard family law sets would remove the disparity in the various personal laws and thus enhance gender equality.

Nevertheless, those against a Uniform Civil Code warn that these reforms should be done with care to the cultural and religious diversity of the Indian society. According to them, personal laws are closely intertwined with the identity of the community and that any effort to substitute personal laws with a standardized system of laws may create tension in the society. Therefore, the topic of the need to reform the Muslim polygamy legislatively or not is still a subject of debate.⁶⁷

To sum up, the legal standing concerning bigamy among the Muslims in India portrays the intricate interaction between the personal laws, the statutory law, and the constitutional values. The Muslim personal law allows a restricted kind of polygamy and this is what makes the difference between the Muslim personal law and the monogamous system that is formed under various other marriage laws in India. Concurrently, both the judgment of the courts and legislation ensure that such a practice is not abused to escape the law and bring injustices to spouses. The current debates about Muslim polygamy provides a wider picture of the issue with freedom of religion, gender justice and legal reform in the Indian legal system.

4.4 Judicial Interpretation of Muslim Polygamy

The judiciary has often preceded the interpretation of Muslim personal law in India, especially when the issues are concerned with polygamy, bigamy, and marriage spouses rights. Though Muslim personal law does not forbid polygamy to a certain extent, the Indian courts have had significant roles to play in the investigation of how this practice can run in the broader context of the constitutionalism and the statutory law. It is judicial interpretation that thus assisted in molding the contemporary interpretation of Muslim polygamy in India.

The case of *State of Bombay v. Narasu Appa Mali* was one of the first significant court rulings in the connection between the personal laws and the constitutional principles. The Bombay High Court, in this case, was to be aware of whether personal laws were liable to any challenge based on the fact that such laws were in contravention of the fundamental rights which the Constitution conferred. The Court considered that personal laws are not laws in the sense of that word in Article 13 of the Constitution and as such that they cannot be struck down on the basis of infringement of fundamental rights.⁶⁸

The other case of importance as regards polygamy and family law is that of *Javed v. State of Haryana*. The Supreme Court in this case took into consideration the constitutionality of a clause in the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act disqualifying anyone with more than two children to contest local elections. One of the petitioners further held that the law was indirectly discriminatory against the Muslims since Muslim personal law permits polygamy and thus may result in having bigger families. The Supreme Court denied such an argument and affirmed the law saying that the limitation was a reasonable one which was intended to enhance population control and did not infringe the freedom of religion.

The Court also noted that polygamy was not a critical rite in the Islamic religion and thus it could be regulated by the State in the best interest of the social good. The point has been a subject of debate in legal studies since it implies that it is possible to analyze a personal law practice on the basis of constitutional values at the time when they conflict with the considerations related to the societal policies.

The cases of judicial interpretation have also dealt with circumstances whereby people are trying to take the advantage of the disparities that exist between personal laws to evade the law. *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India* is the landmark case. In the case of *Union of India*, the Supreme Court addressed the question of conversion of Hindu men to Islam so that they could get a second marriage without dissolving first marriage. The Court believed that these conversions were usually conducted simply to avoid the ban of bigamy by the Hindu law. It decided that conversion to Islam is not a matter of automatic nullification of a marriage solemnized under Hindu law and the second marriage would be void and punishable under the Indian Penal Code 1860.⁶⁹ The Court highlighted that the permissibility of such practices would disregard the rule of law and lead to injustice to the spouses who were victims of such practices. The ruling emphasized the importance of ensuring that the laws that relate to individuals do not get abused by individuals to avoid legal liabilities. The doctrine of *Sarla Mudgal* case was reiterated in *Lily Thomas v. Union of India*. In the given case, the Supreme Court explained that a marriage solemnised under one personal law can not be divorced through simply becoming another religion. The Court maintained that the obligations that were created by the first warranties are legally enforceable until the marriage is actually dissolved by legal means.

These court cases indicate how important the courts are in this regard of making sure that there is no abuse of personal law practices to give rise to injustice or legal uncertainties. The judiciary by personal interpretation of law treaties that are in consonance with statutory laws and constitutional provisions has helped in ensuring that there is a balanced manner of approach in regulation of polygamy in India.⁷⁰

Besides these landmark cases the courts have also looked into the matters of maintenance, inheritance and the rights of marriage in polygamous families. The rulings by the courts in such instances tend to be more of safeguarding the rights of women and children with the legal status of the Muslim personal law being respected. The legal courts thus seek the strike of a balance between religious freedom and the greater aim of fairness and justice in family relations.

In general, judicial interpretation has been very crucial in demarcating the legal limits of polygamy according to the Muslim law. Although courts have mostly held that the Muslim personal law was valid, they have also highlighted the fact that polygamy should not be applied as a means of subverting legal obligations and constitutional principles.

4.5 Rights and Protection of Women in Polygamous Marriages

The problem of women rights in polygamies marriage has been one of the critical elements of the law and social discussion concerning Muslim personal law. Despite the fact that the Islamic law allows a Muslim man to have more than one wife, there are various binding requirements that aim at safeguarding women rights and welfare in marriage. These safeguards comprise the right to maintenance, right to fair treatment and the right to request dissolution of marriage in some conditions.⁷¹

The right to maintenance is one of the basic rights of a wife according to the Muslim law. A husband is by law supposed to support his wife financially including food, clothing and house among other needs of life. This is even in instances of the husband having more than one wife. Every wife must be favored to adequate maintenance and lack of such maintenance might create a legal action against the husband. Along with the maintenance, the Muslim law is also sensitive to the concept of equality of wives in a polygamous marriage. A polygamous husband should treat each wife equally and give every wife equal chances of financial support and emotional attachment. The necessity of equality is based on the lessons of the Quran, which accentuates the issue of justice and fairness in the relations in marriage.

The Indian law offers the women some legal solutions they can resort to when dealing with marital disputes. As an illustration, a Muslim woman can obtain the marriage dissolution according to Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act 1939. This Act permits a Muslim woman to get divorced on diverse accounts such as cruelty, non-provision of maintenance by the husband, a lost husband over a long period of time or a jailed husband.⁷²

The second legal trend that is significant in reference to guarding Muslim women is the legislation of Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) act of 1986. This law was approved to explain the rights of Muslim women after divorces, and to make sure that they have enough financial support of their former husbands. Even though the Act is mostly concerned with divorce, it is a part of the wider concern of the legal system to tackle the problems of the Muslim women in the context of marriage and family relations.

Courts have also helped in enhancing the rights of Muslim women in the recent years. There has been a growing focus by courts on the necessity of interpreting personal laws in such a way that would safeguard women against injustice and discrimination. Through using constitutional provisions like equality and dignity, the judiciary has

attempted to make women in polygamous marriages not to be denied their legal rights. Ensuring the rights of women in polygamous marriages thus encompasses a mixture of religious ideals, laws and judicial precedents. Although polygamy is practiced in Muslim personal law, the law also sets forth a lot of responsibilities on husbands to make the marital relationship just and fair.⁷³

CHAPTER 5

LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING BIGAMY UNDER PERSONAL LAWS IN INDIA

In India, statutory law, personal laws and judicial interpretation are some of the factors that influence the legal framework that governs the issue of bigamy. India adheres to the pluralistic system of law when it comes to issues concerning the family law implying that various religious groups come under various personal laws. There are historical aspects of this system in terms of the colonial administration and the cultural and religious diversity of the nation. Consequently, this has led to the regulation of marriage and the unlawfulness or legality of bigamy being different based on the personal law that it applies to the marrying partners. Meanwhile, some of the components of statutes especially those found in the criminal law of the land cut across communities and are important in controlling the marital behavior.⁷⁴

The Indian Penal Code 1860 contains the general criminal law in India in relation to bigamy. Section 494 of the Code criminalizes the re-marriage in the life of a husband or wife where the previous marriage was not dissolved. This is because this is a crime of bigamy and one can be imprisoned up to a maximum of seven years and fined under this provision to uphold the sanctity of marriage and to make sure that people are not evading their marital obligations by marrying more than once.

But, the interpretation of Section 494 will mainly be dependent on whether the second marriage shall be valid or not based on the personal law that applies to the marriage between the parties. The courts in India have also continuously believed that when seeking to prove the offence of bigamy, it is also necessary to show that the second marriage was done in accordance with the required ceremonies of a valid marriage. The Supreme Court in the case of Bhaurao Shankar Lokhande v. State of Maharashtra stressed on this principle. In which the Court determined that cohabiting or an informal relationship that is similar to a marriage does not amount to bigamy unless the marriage ceremonies and formalities have been conducted.

Besides Section 494, there is also Section 495 of the Penal Code and it addresses aggravated types of bigamy. This is the provision that applies based on the person taking a second marriage with the sole purpose of purposely misleading the second wife on the presence of the first marriage. Since such behaviour implies fraud, deception, the sentence provided by the Indian criminal justice system is more extensive and could include up to ten years of imprisonment and a fine to mitigate the institution of marriage and the rights of its spouses.⁷⁵

Other than the Indian Penal Code, there are several other statutory enactments, which govern marriage, which controls the question of bigamy. As an illustration, the Special Marriage Act 1954 offers a civil marriage that can be undertaken by people regardless of his or her religion. The Act in Section 4 states that the monogamy is a

compulsory requirement of a valid marriage, and it is required that neither party shall have a spouse when the marriage is concluded under the current Act. In case a currently married person enters into another marriage under the Act, the marriage will be void and criminal liability may also be provided under the Penal Code.

Special Marriage Act is a major aspect of the Indian legal system since it offers a secular alternative of the religious personal laws. People of other religions or who favor a civil marriage can marry through this statute. The Act by laying the precondition of monogamy will guarantee equal levels of marriages conducted on its terms and reinforce the law against bigamy in that case.

The other significant law that tackles the situation of marriage between communities is the Foreign Marriage Act 1969 that regulates marriages between the Indian citizens who are not married in India. Like the Special Marriage Act, these provisions are also based on the knowledge that neither party must have a spouse when they are getting married as the larger policy of the Indian legal system is to ensure the marriages that are governed by the statutory law is based on monogamy.⁷⁶

The legal pluralism in the marriage law has posed legal problems at times. Among the greatest issues pertains to cases when individuals are willing to take advantage of disparities in the laws on personal levels to avoid statutory limitations. One of the most famous examples is the conversion to another religion to be able to marry a second time without the divorce of the first marriage. The courts have been significant in the redress of such cases and the law is not abused.

Sarla Mudgal v. in the landmark case. The Supreme Court, Union of India, addressed the problem of the Hindu husbands who turned into Islam to get married once again. The Court decided that the conversion to a different religion does not necessarily dissolve a marriage solemnized under the former personal law. Consequently, someone who reformed to Islam but failed to terminate the first marriage would still be guilty of bigamy in case he marries another wife.

The doctrine of the Sarla Mudgal case was subsequently reopened in the Lily Thomas v. case by the Supreme Court. Union of India. The Court in this instance made it clear that, once conversion to another faith, the second marriage would not count as a contract signed after dissolution of the first marriage, thus a case of misuse of religious freedom avoided.⁷⁷

The legal system that controls bigamy in India should also be interpreted relative to the constitutional principles. Article 14 of the Constitution of India gives equality before the law and equal protection of the laws, whereas Article 15 of the same document disallows discrimination based on religion, race, caste, sex, or place of origin in marriage.

Meanwhile, religious freedom is also an important concept in the Constitution. Article 25 ensures freedom of conscience and right to freely profess, practice and spread religion. 10 This article is rather sensitive because it leaves a fine line between the continuation of religious traditions and equality and justice in the family relations. The Bigamy regulation by the various personal laws should then be considered in this wider constitutional context.

The question about the compatibility of the existence of varied rules on bigamy under personal laws and the constitutional obligation to the concept of equality has often been the subject of debate among legal academics and policy makers. Other scholars also believe that permitting polygamy in some of the personal laws sets up unequal precedence on the various communities. Some people argue that personal laws form part and parcel of religious identity and cannot be interfered with unless there is social widespread agreement that there is need to reform.⁷⁸

This discussion is directly related to the argument that has remained a topic of debate over the years on whether to adopt a Uniform Civil Code in India. Article 44 of the Constitution instructs the State to strive to evade a uniform civil code to all citizens. 12 The aim of such code would be to create a common set of laws which would govern marriage, divorce, and other family issues to get rid of the inconsistencies that existed among various personal laws. Though the concept of Uniform civil code has been discussed extensively, still it is yet to be adopted because of social, political, and cultural factors. To sum up, statutory, personal, judicial, and constitutional principles have interacted to create the current legal system concerning bigamy in India. The Indian Penal Code is the law that gives the first criminal penalty on bigamy, and the law including the Special Marriage Act and the Foreign Marriage Act emphasizes the principle of monogamy in the civil marriages. Court rulings have been significant in ensuring that people do not use disparities between individual legislation to avoid legal duties. Meanwhile, the co-existence of various personal laws remains to pose sophisticated questions concerning the equality, the freedom of religion, and the further evolution of the family law in India.

The legal ban concerning bigamy in India is symptomatic of a wider legislative purpose, which is the preservation of stability in family relationship. Marriage establishes various legal rights and obligations among two spouses, which are duties of maintenance, right to inheritance and parental rights to the children. When an individual marries more than two persons at the same time, then such legal rights can be lost or rendered hard to enforce. The disagreements can be based on the division of property, the rights to succession, and financial resources. Bigamy is therefore banned because it aids in keeping the family law in check by ensuring that every marriage relationship is lawfully bound and secured.⁷⁹

Indian legislature has been slowly enhancing the statutory laws regarding marriage and bigamy since independence. Before the introduction of the modern law on marriage, the family relations were based on the traditional customs and religious norms to an almost full extent. Such traditions occasionally facilitated other traditions like polygamy especially in the patriarchal societies where men could get to marry more than one female. Nevertheless, the nineteenth and twentieth centuries social reform movements stressed the necessity to change the position of women and achieve equality in marriage. These reform movements later shaped the state of the statutory family law in the independent India.

Among such crucial legislative steps in this direction, the adoption of the Special Marriage Act 1954 (a civil marriage option, not subject to religious personal laws) should be mentioned. The Act permits members of other religious groups to get married on a similar legal platform. The statute by making it a prerequisite of no party having a spouse at the time of marriage would further enforce monogamy within the civil marriages and therefore the marriage is supposed to be strictly between two people at any given moment. The Foreign marriage

act 1969 is another law that helps in the regulation of marriage. This law regulates wedlocks of Indian citizens solemnized outside of India. Just like the Special marriage act, it is also stipulated that both should be single at the time of marriage. The presence of these specifications shows that the Indian legal system aims to provide the aspect of monogamy even in inter-country marriage when the Indian citizens are involved.⁸⁰

Judicial interpretation is also essential in the enforcement of laws that are associated with bigamy. The courts are very important in deciding whether a given marriage is legal or otherwise and the components of the crime of bigamy were fulfilled. Due to the fact that marriage rituals and traditions can be diverse to any community, a court should be able to look at evidence to ascertain whether the necessary elements of a valid marriage have been met.

In a number of occasions, the courts have noted that there must be evidence of marriage ceremonies in order to prove that bigamy is criminal. Indicatively, the Supreme Court has made it clear that the prosecution should identify that the second marriage had been conducted in conformity to the necessary rituals or ceremonies of the personal law of the parties. In the event that such ceremonies are not established, the bigamy offence cannot be established through the criminal law. This condition attests to the fact that criminal responsibility should be predetermined by certain and credible facts.

The other significant thing in the legal system of bigamy is the safeguarding of the rights of women who are victims of illegal marriages. In most instances, women who find their husbands marry someone new can experience emotional and financial problems. The courts in India have thus taken a policy whereby the rights of women in marital relations are taken into account. The judiciary has had the tendency to put a twist on the statutory provisions and ensure that individuals cannot evade their marital duties to their spouses.⁸¹

As an example, when courts are assessing the cases that concern the alleged bigamous marriages, they usually look at whether the suspect tried to mislead or deceive the second wife about the presence of the first marriage. Section 495 of the Indian Penal Code also comes into the limelight in such a case since it deals with instances in which the previous marriage has been hidden. The criminality of a prior marriage being hidden is not a technical offense but is a great deed of deceiving the second wife which may lead to grievous injuries to the second spouse, which is recognized by the law.

Constitutional principles of equality and social justice also affect the legal system of bigamy. The India Constitution focuses on the need to provide equal protection to the law to all citizens. Marriage laws should not therefore be in conflict with the constitutional pledge on gender equality and human dignity. Actions that negate the rights of people in marriage can be questioned in court to ascertain adherence to values in the constitution.

The Indian Constitution understands religious diversity in the country at the same time. Individual legislation that regulates marriage, divorce, and inheritance have close connections with the religious convictions of various groups. Consequently, legislators need to strike the right balance between the objectives of legal reforming and the attention to the freedom of religion. Such a balance can be seen in the fact that the personal laws have existed ever since in the same time period as the statutory regulations that govern marriage.⁸²

The controversy of bigamy versus personal laws is quite likely to be coupled with the argument whether there can be a Uniform Civil Code in India. A Uniform Civil Code would terminate the currently practiced system of personally applicable community-based laws, and instead of promoting a set of laws that would apply to every citizen irrespective of religion. Advocates of this concept are that such a code would enhance equality and end disparities amid various personal laws. Any such reform, however, cautions critics, must not violate cultural and religious diversity of the country.

The judiciary has sometimes resolved this problem when deciding lawsuits that are related to the family law. As seen in some of the judgments, court has noted that a Uniform Civil Code may be used to solve some of the conflicts that occur due to the co-existence of various personal laws. Simultaneously, the courts have admitted that such a code introduction is the legislative issue and should take into account social and political aspects with great caution.

Moreover, the necessity to update family laws to adapt to the modern social reality also receives more academic debates in recent years. Researchers have noted that development of social conditions, rise in awareness regarding the rights of women as well as the rise in the significance of gender equality have had an impact on the social perception of marriage and family relationship. This has led to reforms proposals that would enhance the law safeguarding that is enjoyed by spouses and children in marriage.⁸³

Comparatively, most states in the world have embraced stringent laws that outlaw bigamy with the aim of facilitating equitable treatment of wives and maintain order in family set ups. The above legal trends have affected the debates in India regarding the desirability of standardizing laws regarding marriage. Nonetheless, the cultural and religious variety in the Indian society is quite unique, and thus, reforms concerning the discussed area should be undertaken with sensitivity and consideration.

Indian legislation on bigamy can thus be said as an interplay of statutory law, personal laws, constitutional principles and judicial interpretation. Some of the statutes including the Indian Penal Code, Special marriage act and foreign marriage act give valuable legal provisions that discourage illegal marriages and safeguard the spouses rights. Meanwhile, personal laws remain influential in the development of family relationships of numerous communities.

Finally, the efficiency of the legal system applied in bigamy is not only based on whether laws exist, but also on their application and enforcement. Consciousness of citizens on legal rights, availability of judicial redress and goodwill on the part of authorities in enforcing the law is essential in ensuring that legal prohibition of the unlawful marriages will make sense in principle. The attempts to enhance the knowledge of the law and access to justice may thus be significant in the increase of the safety available to victims of bigamy.⁸⁴

To sum up, the issue of bigamy control according to the Indian law can be seen as an example of the more general issues of finding a compromise between the reform of the law, social transformation, and respect toward cultural diversity. The current law system tries to stop the abuse of marriage laws and at the same time safeguard the rights and honor of people in marital relations. By means of a mixture of the statutory law, judicial decisions, and constitutional principles, the Indian legal system is still developing its approach to controlling

marriage and solving the problems connected with bigamy.

CHAPTER 6

BIGAMY AND WOMEN'S RIGHTS

6.1 Introduction

The problem of bigamy has been a subject of dispute in the Indian personal law since long due to its attachment to the question of gender justice and marital rights, as well as security of women in the marriage institution. Historically, a number of personal laws allowed polygamy, especially that a man can marry more than one wife. But current legal trends have focused more on monogamy as a fundamental value towards providing equality, dignity, and fairness in marriage. The issue of bigamy (commonly known as getting married with another person when the first marriage is still on record by the law) has a direct impact on the rights and well being of women, especially the first wife.

India has various personal laws that make bigamy legal or not. The Hindu law forbids bigamy but with the passing of the Hindu marriage Act 1955, it is entirely forbidden. The second marriage is not enforceable and the individual may face criminal prosecution by the Indian Penal Code as stated in the law is clear that a valid marriage between Hindus could only be made between a person who had no spouse at time of marriage and therefore, to curb monogamy and to avoid exploitation of women in marriage.⁸⁵

Bigamy is not only a form of law breaking but a social issue because it greatly influences the lives of women. In those cases when a husband marries the second wife without breaking up with the first one, the result can be harsh to the first one. She also might feel financially insecure, emotionally upset, and humiliated. Women in most instances do not have sufficient financial support and have to rely on family members or their own little resources. A second marriage can become a problem with complex legal conflicts that relate to maintenance, inheritance rights, custody of children, and property division as well.

Gender justice would look at the issue of bigamy ban as an effort to stamp out any form of discrimination that has historically disadvantaged women. Traditions and customs of patriarchy tended to give more power to men in relations in the marital level, and the women had to be devoted and obedient. These unequal norms led to a system where women were easily abandoned, neglected and exploited. The reforms in modern legislations are geared toward restoring this imbalance through ensuring that marriage is founded on equality and reciprocity between spouses.

Besides banning bigamy, the Indian law has also established a number of protective measures that are taken in cases where women are victims of the situation. Provisions on maintenance as established in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and the provisions on protection of the women as laid down in the Protection of Women against Domestic Violence Act, 2005 constitute significant provisions on protection of the rights of women. The courts too have been progressive in interpreting these laws to avoid the injustice and so as to make sure that the women are not denied legal protection.⁸⁶

6.2 Financial, Emotional and Social Consequences of Bigamy

There are severe economic implications of bigamy to the women, especially the first one who is lawfully married to the man. Marriage has always been a result of economic self-sufficiency between the husband and wife, and the husband is supposed to take care of her and their children. The monetary resources which would otherwise be utilized to sustain the first family are likely to be allocated or diverted to the second marriage when a husband marries a second time when the first marriage still exists.

Most women give up their own careers or even education so as to cope up with the roles of housework in marriage. They are mostly reliant on their husbands to provide them with financial security and household bills. In the event of bigamy, such women are likely to be left without sufficient financial aid. The husband might also strive to have two households running at the same time, a factor that might lower the amount of financial facilities that the first wife would use with her kids.

There are also financial implications of bigamy which spill over to the kids of the first marriage. In case the resources of the husband are shared between two families, the children of the first marriage may experience problems with accessing appropriate education, healthcare, and other needs. This can be long term effects on their future and development.⁸⁷

Besides financial insecurity, bigamy in itself entails severe emotional pain amongst women. Trust, commitment and mutual respect are the keys to marriage. Lots of emotional basis in a marital relationship can be easily ruined, when a husband marries clandestinely or openly. When women realise that their husbands married another woman, they tend to get betrayed, humiliated, and psychologically disturbed.

Psychological problems caused by bigamy include depression, anxiety, lack of self-confidence and isolation, which are emotional traumas. Women will not be able to cope with the loss of faith in the relationship and uncertainty about their future.

Social implications are also relevant in the distress that women who succumb to bigamy are exposed to. In most cultures, marriage issues are mostly unjustly put on the women and the first wife can be seen as the cause of the husband remarrying. Women with their husbands being second wives may also be discriminated and stigmatized by communities.⁸⁸

6.3 Rights of Women under Maintenance Laws

The maintenance laws are a significant safeguard to the women victimized by bigamy. These acts are useful in terms of the husband not being able to avoid his financial duty towards his wife and children. Maintenance will provide basic needs like food, clothes, shelter, and healthcare as well as other basic costs needed to live a dignified life.

According to the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 especially, Section 125 a wife is at liberty to claim maintenance in the event that her husband refuses or neglects to provide maintenance. The case of the husband who is commended to give financial support to his legal wife does not vanish merely because he has decided to wed another female.

Maintenance allows are especially relevant to a woman who lacks individual sources of income. Most women rely solely on the husband to take them through and can be severely disadvantaged in case they are dumped or left behind.⁸⁹

The judiciary has always underlined the importance of interpreting the maintenance laws to ensure that the dignity of women is safeguarded. It has been established by courts that maintenance does not aim at stopping poverty but also to affirm the social and economic rights of women in marriage.

Besides helping the wife, maintenance provisions also help to ensure that children also get the necessary financial attention by the father. Courts are aimed at making sure that the children do not lack the necessary resources because of the wrongdoing of the father.

6.4 Legal Protection and Judicial Approach towards Bigamy

There are various options of safeguarding women who suffer bigamy in the Indian legal system both in statutory and judicial interpretation. Criminal is a law that considers bigamy as a crime in terms of Section 494 and 495 of the Indian Penal Code. 11 According to these provisions, any individual who remarries in the lifetime of the spouse can be sentenced to imprisonment.⁹⁰

There are also civil remedies in addition to criminal liability, which apply to women who are victims of bigamy. According to the Hindu marriage act, 1955, a wife has a right to petition divorce in an instance where her spouse contracts another marriage.¹²

The other protection granted is under the Protection of Women against Domestic Violence Act, 2005. This bill identifies emotional, verbal, and economic violence as domestic violence.

The courts have been so active in enhancing the rights of women when it comes to matters that touch on bigamy. Sarla Mudgal v. in landmark ruling. In Union of India, the Supreme Court reasoned that a Hindu husband who has converted to Islam and remarried without divorcing the initial marriage commits bigamy.^{13 91}

Similarly, in Lily Thomas v. The Supreme Court again stated that religious conversion was not a way of escaping legal implications of bigamy.^{1 14}

Such rulings reveal how the judiciary has ensured that women are not exploited and marriage is the institution that should be taken seriously.

CHAPTER 7

CONSTITUTIONAL PERSPECTIVE AND UNIFORM CIVIL CODE

7.1 Introduction

Indian bigamy cannot be viewed through the prism of individual regulation and legislation. It should also be considered within larger context of constitutional values which govern Indian legal framework. The Constitution of India provides the principles of justice, equality and protection of basic rights to every citizen. Consequently, the constitutional values must be evaluated concerning any legal matter, which concerns the rights and dignity of individuals, such as marriage and family relations. The medical case of bigamy, i.e. a

person marries again when the first marriage is formally existing, prompts a great deal of concern with constitutional principles of equality, gender justice, religious freedom and state regulation of the law of the individual.⁹²

The Indian society is based on pluralism and the various religious groups have their own personal laws in marriage, divorce, inheritance, family relations and so on. These individual laws are founded on the religious traditions and customs which have evolved over centuries. Although this system gives the communities an opportunity to maintain the culture and religion within their setups, it also brings about legal disparities among citizens based on their religion. These differences are more important in the context of bigamy. In the Hindu Marriage Act of 1955, as an example, monogamy is a required stipulation of a legitimate marriage, but some interpretations of the Muslim personal law in the past have historically supported limited polygamy in men. This variance provokes doubts on whether the differences are okay regarding the constitutional rights of equality and non-discrimination.

The Constitution tries to strike a balance between two significant goals: the need to safeguard the very fundamental rights of the people and the need to honor the religious liberty of the communities. Articles like Article 14 and Article 15 on the one hand secure equality before the law and ban any kind of discrimination on the ground of religion, sex, caste or any other. Article 25 on the other hand provides freedom of religion and gives people freedom to exercise and spread their religious beliefs. The individual laws that seem to be in conflict with the constitutional values of equality and gender justice tend to force the courts to decide on which of these competing values should prevail.

The problem of bigamy is thus a constitutional problem since it creates uncertainty on whether personal laws permitting or condoning polygamous marriages are consistent with the constitutional ideal of equality and justice. In my opinion, critics are correct in arguing that allowing varied treatment by different communities contravenes the notion of equal treatment before the law. Others argue that religious freedom needs to be admired and that the state should not meddle too much in the affairs of individual faith and tradition.⁹³

The other significant constitutional aspect concerning the issue of bigamy is the issue of the Uniform Civil Code. The state is instructed in article 44 of the Constitution that it should strive to achieve a Uniform Civil Code among all citizens of India. The concept of this directive principle is to establish a general code of civil laws that will regulate the marriage, divorce, inheritance, and family relations irrespective of religious beliefs. Advocates of the Uniform Civil Code state that it would foster equality and do away with discrimination (including that based on bigamy). The opponents, though, are afraid that a standardized code would kill religious diversity and cultural independence.

Conventional judgments have also been significant in determining the constitutional reasoning on bigamy and individual regulations. The courts have often been tasked with establishing what the interrelationship between basic rights and religious practices may constitute. The judiciary has highlighted in certain historic cases that the freedom of religion does not allow the practice of elements of justice and equality that are against fundamental principles. These rulings indicate a complicated position of the judiciary to balance the values of the constitution and the respect to religious traditions.

This chapter discusses the constitutional view of bigamy and the law issues of the Uniform Civil Code. It examines the correlation between laws of bigamy and the constitutional principles which include equality before law, non-discrimination and religious freedom. Another issue that the chapter discusses is the pros and cons of introducing a Uniform Civil Code, whether such a remedy is likely to solve the problem of bigamy in India.⁹⁴

7.2 Equality before Law and Bigamy (Article 14)

India equality before the law is one of the most common aspects of the Indian constitutional system. Article 14 in the Constitution ensures that the state shall not deprive any individual before the law or equal protection before the law in the territory of India. This is a stipulation that everyone would be equal before the law and that the rules of law should be applied equally among all citizens except where there is a reasonable classification that has to be made.

Article 14 comes with significant questions in regards to the issue of marriage between two men where it is seen that some personal laws do not afford equal treatment to citizens. According to the Hindu system of law, bigamy is unlawful. According to section 5 of Hindu marriage act 1955, any two couples must not have a spouse when they are getting married. In case an individual contravenes this, and takes a second marriage, the second one is held ineffective and the individual can also be prosecuted under the provisions of 494 and 495 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the past, however, the Muslim personal law allowed a Muslim man to have up to four wives given specific conditions. Even though such marriages fall under ethical and social restrictions under the Islamic jurisprudence, examples of the legal nature of polygamy in the Muslim personal law has been frequently used to describe a situation of different treatment of religious groups. Critics believe that such differences are seen as incompatible with the constitutional principle of equality whereby citizens of various religions are treated differently by various rules of marriage.⁹⁵

Those who uphold the existing system hold that equality as stipulated in Article 14 does not imply that there should be equal laws that apply to all persons. Rather, the Constitution permits the reasonable classification in accordance with legitimate goals. They state that personal laws are separate and even unique religious and cultural traditions that should be safeguarded in a pluralistic society. That is why, various regulations that regulate marriage within various religious groups do not necessarily infringe Article 14.

However, the controversy on equality and personal laws has escalated within the past decades. Scholars and activists state that the constitutional guarantee of equality should be applied to all spheres of civil life, and, in particular, family law. They argue that the legislations that allow polygamy can cause gender disparities since men will have more rights to marry than women. In this sense, the further survival of such laws can be against the constitutional pledge of gender justice.

The judicial interpretations have also made their contribution to the debate of equality and bigamy. The courts have sometimes underlined that personal laws could not be invoked to support the practices that were

undermining the basic rights. The Supreme Court has adopted a hard line against such practices in case of attempts by Hindu men to convert to Islam so as to marry a second wife. *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India* in the landmark case of *Sarla Mudgal*. The Court on the part of Union of India, said that a Hindu husband cannot be relieved of bigamy just by converting himself to another faith with the aim of remarrying.⁹⁶

The Court noted that this would result in the violation of the principle of equality and the ability of individuals to evade the law by playing around with religious identity. This ruling was a way of showing how the judiciary is ready to apply and interpret the constitutional principles in such a way that does not lead to abuse of personal laws, but safeguard the rights of women.

Therefore, the connection between Article 14 and bigamy can be seen as one of the wider conflicts between legal pluralism and the constitutional devotion to equality. Although personal laws are representative of the Indian society diversity, the principle of equality demands that no law must continue to discriminate or be unfair.

7.3 Non-Discrimination and Gender Justice (Article 15)

Article 15 of the Constitution is another valuable provision in the Constitution which is of any significance to the problem of bigamy. Article 15 forbids the state to discriminate against a person on the basis of religion, race, caste, sex and place of origin. Such a provision can be attributed to the constitutional dedication towards eradicating social inequalities and treating all people equally.⁹⁷

The application of Article 15 to bigamy is mostly relevant because it implies gender justice. All the polygamous practices in the past tended to favor men who could get more than one wife whereas the women were expected to be monogamous. These constructions led to unequal distribution of marital rights and solidarity of patriarchal values in the society. Women in polygamous families often became economically unstable, emotionally unbalanced and their social status lowered.

Constitutionally, the disproportionate disadvantaging practices against women can be referred to as being incompatible with the concept of non-discrimination. Those who oppose it also say that legalizing polygamy is tantamount to unequal treatment of men and women in marriage. Where men can have a choice of marrying two or more women under some personal laws, women do not enjoy the same rights.

The concept of gender equality has been a core theme on the interpretation of the constitutional rights. The courts have laid more stress that the law and the social practices should be able to uphold the dignity and autonomy of women. This point of view has been applied to case law touching on maintenance, divorce and domestic violence.⁹⁸

The Supreme Court has put much emphasis on gender justice in family law cases. By the proceedings that have involved matters concerning marital rights and women protection the Court has identified the fact that the constitutional principles should be used to interpret the personal laws. The judicial system has thus attempted to make sure that women are not discriminated in the name of religious tradition.

The non-discrimination promise enshrined in the constitution also underpins legislative changes to combat the

rights of women. Legal provisions like the Protection of Women against Domestic Violence Act, 2005 are remedial in a way that they can offer solutions to women who are abused or neglected in their marital relationships. These legislations are the manifestation of the attempt to overcome gender inequalities and to ensure social justice within the state.

But, the difficulty is how to reconcile these constitutional objectives with the survival of all these personal laws. Other researchers believe that the gender equality may remain elusive as long as various communities adhere to dissimilar laws that govern marriages. Others argue that reforms are to be done in a gradual manner within each individual law system as opposed to a standardized law system.⁹⁹

7.4 Freedom of Religion and Personal Laws (Article 25)

Article 25 of the Constitution of India is another significant constitutional provision that should be mentioned concerning the problem of bigamy. Article 25 provides every person with the right to freedom of conscience and the right to freely profess, practice, and spread religion. This provision can be attributed to the Indian Constitution devotion to religious pluralism and cultural diversity. As India is a country with varying religious groups which have various traditions and practices, the Constitution attempts to make sure that people are not interfered with by the state impeding them to uphold their religious beliefs.

Article 25 is applicable to the problem of bigamy since in India, much of the family law is under religious personal laws. These individual laws are based on religious books, manners, and traditions, which were developed during centuries. Indicatively, the Hindu marriages are regulated by Hindu marriage act of 1955 whereas the Muslim marriages are regulated by Islamic law. The fact that the Indian society has separate personal laws is a demonstration of the constitutional appreciation of the religious diversity among the Indian people.

The Article 25 that guarantees the freedom of religion is, however, not absolute. The Constitution clearly says that this freedom is subject to the order, morality, and health of the people in general and other primary rights. This implies that religious practices cannot be secured as they go against basic constitutional values like equality, dignity or social justice. This means that as much as people have the freedom of practicing their religion, it should not interfere with the larger constitutional framework.¹⁰⁰

The problem of bigamy also poses some significant questions concerning the boundaries of the freedom of religion. Other advocates of personal laws believe that the practice of marriage is also closely tied with the religious beliefs and thus it is the one that should be granted protection under Article 25. In this opinion, the state should not intervene in religious practices on marriage, divorce, and inheritance since such intervention might pose a risk to the culture of the religious groups.

Critics on the other hand believe that some religious practices can be incompatible with constitutional ideas of gender equality and the rights of all people. Specifically, it can be regarded as discriminatory against women to render them only one spouse when men can marry several women. In this view, the state has the responsibility of making sure that the personal laws do not violate the basic rights that the Constitution guarantees.

Judiciary has frequently been sought to find out whether certain practices are necessary elements of religion or they can be subject to the jurisdiction of the state. Courts have come up with the doctrine of the essential religious practices and it is used to differentiate practices that are basic to a religion and those practices that are simply a social practice. Religion practices entail practices which are not regarded as essential practices and therefore may fall under legislative control.¹⁰¹

With respect to issues pertaining to bigamy and personal law courts have mostly tried to find a balance between the freedom of religion and the need to deliver justice to individuals and especially women. It has been repeatedly stressed in the judicial rulings that the Constitution is aimed at the religious freedom as well as the social reform. Thus, the practice of religion cannot be applied as a reason to continue inequality or discrimination.

Article 25, therefore, is at the centre of the constitutional dilemma of bigamy and the individual laws. It throws light on the complicated issue of the respect towards the religious diversity and the responsibility to guarantee that the constitutional values of equality and justice are observed.

7.5 Directive Principle and the Uniform Civil Code (Article 44)

Article 44 that is found in the Directive Principles of State Policy is one of the most important constitutional provisions concerning individual laws and marriage. In Article 44, the state is declared to work towards achieving the realization of Uniform Civil Code to the citizens within the territory of India. The philosophy behind this was to have a universal set of civil laws that can be applied to every citizen irrespective of religion in issues like marriage, divorce, bequestry and adoption.¹⁰²

The idea of a Uniform Civil Code was introduced in the course of the Constitution framing with the view of enhancing national integration and uniformity in the law. The creators of the Constitution thought that a standardizing system of civil laws would aid in destroying disparities that could be due to variance in personal law systems. They also saw it as a step in the right direction towards gender justice and social reform.

Currently, India is under a legal pluralism system where various religious groups are run by their own personal laws. These are laws governing family issues like marriage, divorce and succession. Although this system honors cultural diversity, it even establishes disparities in legal rights and legal responsibilities between the citizens. One of the examples of the ways in which these differences can bring about legal complications is the question of bigamy. According to the Hindu law, bigamy is highly disallowed and a criminal offence. Historically, however, polygamy has been allowed under certain conditions under some interpretations of the Muslim personal law. These variations have caused controversy as to whether a standard marriage law could offer a fairer solution.¹⁰³

People who support the Uniform Civil Code state that a uniform civil law would guarantee all citizens equal rights and duties. They feel that this kind of code would end the discriminatory practices and allow equality of gender in marriage. The state could create standard guidelines concerning marriage and divorce so that equality is not applied to different people of various religions.

Nonetheless, the introduction of Uniform Civil Code has been hotly debated in India. It is the fear of many religious communities that the homogeneous code will bring down their cultural and religious practices. Consequently, the problem is still politically and socially debatable.

Nevertheless, even despite such difficulties, the idea of a Uniform Civil Code remains significant in the context of the legal reform in India. The discussion of this problem presents the constant struggle to balance between the ideals in constitutions and the realities of a multicultural society.¹⁰⁴

7.6 Arguments in Favour of the Uniform Civil Code

Proponents of the Uniform Civil Code have a number of reasons as to why a uniform set of civil laws should be introduced to all citizens. The argument that Uniform Civil Code would foster equality before the law is amongst the most critical ones. By having all citizens subject to the same rules on marriage, divorce and inheritance, it would do away with legal differences on the basis of religion.

The next argument is so important that a Uniform Civil Code would enhance gender justice. Lots of personal law system opponents hold that some of the provisions of such laws could be discriminative of women. As an illustration, the trends of permitting men to have more than one wife can result in unbalanced rights in the marriage unions. A common law would help in ensuring that men and women are governed by the same laws of marriage.

There can also be a Uniform Civil Code which can help in the integration of the country as such the country will have a common set of laws to use. The proponents reckon that unified civil law would enhance the feeling of togetherness among the various communities and minimize the religious or cultural segregation.¹⁰⁵

The easing of the legal system is another merit of Uniform Civil Code. Currently, courts are supposed to interpret and enforce various personal systems of law and this may sometimes confuse and lead to inconsistencies in court ruling. It may help to have one set of civil laws that would make the legal system more efficient and understandable.

Advocates also say that a Uniform Civil Code would help eliminate the issue of personal laws being abused to gain personal interests. There have also been cases where people have tried to take advantage of discrepancies in individual statutes so as to avoid legal limitations. Indicatively, the effort of converting to a different religion just because of acquiring a second marriage and similar issues has cast significant legal doubts.

Sarla Mudgal v. is a landmark decision. The Supreme Court noted that there is no Uniform Civil Code in this case and this creates an opportunity that enables individuals to evade legal requirements by manipulating personal laws. The Court pointed out that the adoption of a Uniform Civil Code would assist in eradicating such practice and encourage justice in the judicial system.¹⁰⁶

Therefore, those who advocate the Uniform Civil Code feel that it is a significant move towards equality, gender equity and legal homogeneity in India.

7.7 Arguments Against the Uniform Civil Code

Although a Uniform Civil Code may be beneficial, the question of its implementation has been the subject of

concern by many scholars and community leaders. Among the most widespread arguments being brought against the Uniform Civil Code, one can single out the fact that this can jeopardize cultural and religious diversity of India.

India is a multiethnic community consisting of many religious groups that possess their traditions and customs. Personal laws tend to have much in common with religious identity, and numerous communities consider them traditions. The opponents claim that the enforcement of the same laws can interfere with the right to religion of Article 25 of the Constitution.¹⁰⁷

The other issue is that the adoption of Uniform Civil Code will result in the establishment of the law customs of a strong group. The minority groups are intimidated that their own laws can be overruled with regulations based mainly on the customs of the majority religion. These issues have been one of the reasons that have led to opposition to adopting a standard code.

Other scholars also hold the view that the process of reform of law should be gradual within each system of individual law, and not accomplished by one uniform law. In their opinion, the way of the changes within religious communities is more effective and socially admitted than the application of the legislation.

A practical difficulty also comes with the issue of writing a Uniform Civil Code that would appeal to all the communities. With the variety of customs and traditions in the Indian region, a single legal setup that would take into account the interests of all the groups would be very hard to establish.¹⁰⁸

The other point that is raised due to the reason that the Uniform Civil Code will not be able to pass is that there might be social mindset that is not prepared to adopt such an overhaul. Any legal modifications that do not have proper social support can lead to opposition and disagreement between communities.

Thus, the critics of the Uniform Civil Code emphasize the necessity to preserve the cultural diversity and the freedom of religion along with the gradual reforms that could advance the gender justice and social equality.

CHAPTER 8

CHALLENGES AND ISSUES IN ENFORCEMENT OF BIGAMY LAWS IN INDIA

8.1 Introduction and Legal Framework

In India, the legal system has adhered to monogamy, gender justice, and the preservation of the rights of marriage by the prohibition of bigamy. It is a crime of bigamy under Section 494 of the Indian Penal Code (since changed to Section 82 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023), in societies where a monogamy is founded, especially among Hindus, Christians, and Parsis. Simultaneously, Muslim personal law allows polygamy with certain conditions, which makes it a legal environment with unique laws regarding a matter in which the application of the law on bigamy is not the same in all religious groups. Such pluralistic system makes it very difficult to apply criminal law uniformly and poses great implementation challenges.

Even though the statutory law is clear, there is a weak and patchy implementation of the bigamy law in India. The problem is not only in the lack of law but in the practical inconveniences of its implementation. Such difficulties are due to the evidences of burdens, procedural complications, social beliefs and institutional constraints. Consequently, there is a massive disparity between the theoretical and actual taboos of bigamy within society. This chapter is designed to explore such enforcement issues on a more detailed scale in terms of the realities of the complainants especially women to seek a legal remedy.

The other aspect of law system contributing to enforcement problem is the presence of criminal law and personal laws alongside each other. Whereas criminal law aims at ensuring that a standard of monogamy is applied, the personal laws tend to embrace religious and cultural diversity. This two-fold system leads to confusion and in other cases permits people to take advantage of the loopholes in the law, e.g. by converting to a different religion, and to enter into a second marriage without having to dissolve the first. Courts have tried to curb such practice through pronouncements but this has never been enforced in reality. Thus, the legal framework is itself comprehensive in theory, but has structural difficulties in practice.¹⁰⁹

Moreover, the constitution of India makes the question even more complicated. In articles 14, 15, and 21 of the Constitution, equality before the law, non-discrimination, and the right to life and dignity are the principles that were guaranteed. The fact that various personal laws of marriage are still in place, however, posits a conflict between equality and religious freedom in Article 25. This contradiction is especially evident within the framework of bigamy, in which the law forbids it among certain groups of people, but allows it in other ones. These inconsistencies do not only make enforcement difficult, but it also makes the legal system appear unequal and inconsistent.

This issue is closely connected with the debate in the Uniform Civil Code (UCC). The proponents claim that one law to regulate marriage and family relations would help to remove differences and improve enforcement systems regarding such practices as bigamy. Critics have however argued that enforcement of uniformity would cripple cultural diversity and religious freedom. The current debate is a manifestation of the larger issue of freedom of the individual versus group identities in a multicultural society such as India. Until this tension is sorted out, enforcement of the bigamy laws will still be working under a disjointed law structure.

Also, the Indian society is being modernized, which has led to shifts in the attitude towards marriage and relationships. The process of urbanization, education and financial self sufficiency has enabled many people especially women to emulate the traditional practices. These transformations are, however, unevenly spread across regions and communities, which causes the situation when legal norms and social practices tend to be in divergence. This is also a further complication in the implementation of the laws of bigamy since the law might be progressive in nature but fail in terms of application since the law is not socially accepted.

8.2 Evidentiary and Procedural Challenges

The second marriage is one of the most challenging factors to prove to a court of law, which makes it among the most critical challenges in enforcing the bigamy laws. The Indian courts have continuously ruled that in a charge where the offense committed is in violation of the bigamy, the prosecution must demonstrate that the

second marriage was solemnized under the fundamental ceremonies set under the respective law of personal law applied. The burden of proof required of the complainant under this requirement is very strict as it is necessary to have a proven valid first marriage as well as valid execution of the second marriage. Cohabitation, social or even admittance by the accused is not enough unless the rituals that form a valid marriage are established.

This is a very high standard of evidence because of the fact that the criminal responsibility should be proven beyond any reasonable doubt. But, where there is bigamy, this criterion usually cuts across the ways of justice. Bigamy in comparison to other criminal offences entails acts of privacy and are not necessarily recorded in public. This demand of demonstration of particular ceremonies gives a near-impossibility to most complainants especially where the second marriage is an intentional secret.

Practically, this evidentiary condition poses great challenges. Second marriages are usually done in secrecy without any formalities or recognition. In most instances, photographs, videos or other independent witnesses are not present to give testimonies concerning the ceremony. Witnesses can also be reluctant to testify because of social pressure and fear of becoming the subjects of law enforcement even in the presence of witnesses. This leads to a lot of cases being lost on account of a want of sufficient proof and acquittal will be granted in cases where the second relationship was de facto.

The other problem is associated with the absence of a compulsory marriage registration in India. Although there are some states that have been implementing mandatory registration laws, there is no standardized one throughout the entire country. This lack renders it challenging to determine the prevalence of marriages, especially of a second marriage that is always informal. By making registration compulsory and putting it into monitored effect, it would go a long way to curb the issue of evidentiary troubles and facilitate the prosecution of bigamy cases.

The fact that bigamy is a criminal offence that is procedural in nature makes the enforcement even more complicated. As a non-cognizable crime, it must be the complainant that instigates the court of law, usually by filing a complaint in the presence of a magistrate. This leaves the victim in a situation where he or she has to figure out the dynamics of the criminal justice system. It is time consuming, costly and emotionally demanding to do so and many women will be deterred by this. Delays to investigation and trial also contribute to the issue, because cases can take years to come to a close, and in the process, the evidence can be undermined, and witnesses may also be unavailable.

Criminal trials are also adversarial and help to make the enforcement of the process challenging. The accused has the right of presumption of innocence and the benefit of doubt and in this case, the slightest discrepancy in the evidence given by the complainant can lead to the acquittal. Defense tactics are usually aimed at undermining the testimony of witnesses or the soundness of the alleged second marriage, which makes the case of the prosecution even more difficult.

Weak enforcement is also caused by institutional inefficiencies. The police officers tend to treat bigamy as a personal or family issue and not a criminal activity and therefore poorly investigated and evidence collected. Special training and sensitivity in the handling of matrimonial offences is not there, and as a result, prosecution

and conviction are low. As a result, the procedural and institutional structure does not offer any effective solutions to the problem, which weakens the deterrent effect of the law.

Besides, there is lack of coordination between civil and criminal proceedings, which contributes to the complexity. Large numbers of bigamy are often followed by divorce or maintenance in the civil courts. This may cause conflicting results and more delays because there is no integration between these proceedings. A more integrated strategy would make things more efficient and would provide a greater protection to the victims.

8.3 Misuse of Law and Socio-Legal Barriers

Even though the issue of under-enforcement of bigamy laws is a key issue, other arguments on its abuse also exist. In some situations, claims of bigamy are brought forward as an extension of some more general matrimonial issue such as divorce, maintenance, property disputes. These complaints can be tactfully applied to put pressure on the accused or even to have an upper hand in the associated court cases. The fact that the bigamy provisions are overlapping with other legal redresses, including cruelty or dowry harassment regulations, may only worsen the situation and lead to the feeling that it is abused.

Nevertheless, one should take a critical look at the level of such abuse. Although it cannot be totally negated that there are cases of false or exaggerated complaints, they are relatively minimal as compared to the under reporting of true cases that are rife in most instances. The account of abuse is even exaggerated in a popular press, and this can contribute to increased examination by the courts and increased levels of evidentiary rigor. Although this is done to avoid injustice, it can easily result in favoring the real victims who already have serious hurdles to overcome in order to establish their case.

A greater impediment to enforcement is the ignorance of the women in the area of their legal rights. Lots of women do not know that the signing of a second marriage in case a first marriage still subsists is a punishable offense especially in the rural and semi-urban regions. This ignorance of the law is enhanced by the fact that they depend economically on the husband, their inability to seek the court of law services and even social stigma of litigation. Women will be afraid of being social outcasts, deprived of income, or be punished by their husbands and families to seek any legal redress.

Enforcement is also weakened by the social and cultural acceptance of polygamy by some communities. Though most of the religious groups have laws against bigamy, the society tends to tolerate or even defend it. Traditional culture and male chauvinism in certain situations support the permissibility of polygamous marriage, especially among men. In some instances where the law expressly forbids bigamy the attitude of the society might prevent women in questioning the practice. The law is highly ineffective as this failure between law and the social reality.

In this respect, the influence of patriarchy cannot be neglected. The impact of gender inequality and dominance of men in the family set ups puts women at a disadvantageous situation, as they are not in a position to claim their rights. Women can be coerced into accepting a second marriage among their husbands or they might be unable to get resources and support to take them to court. Such social-legal impediments provide a situation where

bigamy can exist even in the face of prohibition and this points to the inefficiency of the law in dealing with practices of social conduct that are deeply entrenched.

8.4 Structural Issues, Low Conviction Rates, and Need for Reform

Evidentiary challenges, procedural barriers, and socio-cultural challenges are conducive, as demonstrated by the fact that the conviction rates in bigamy cases are few. Many are either never presented in courts or fail to pass during trial in case there is no enough evidence. This compromises the deterrent aspect of the law and the practice of bigamy continues to thrive with relative impunity. The difference between the law and the implementation is a significant issue that is considered as a problematic aspect of the efficacy of the legal framework.

The other structural problem is the lack of obligatory marriage registration by all communities. There is no standardized way of registering marriages and this is what makes it hard to determine the existence of marriages including the second marriage. By having mandatory registration of all marriages, this would go a long way in minimizing controversies on proving and enhancing the enforcement of the laws on bigamy. This has been judicially recommended but its implementation is still inconsistent across states. The fact that personal laws exist alongside criminal law is also a factor that leads to difficulty in enforcing. The inequality in the treatment of polygamy by different personal laws casts doubts of equality and homogeneity. Although there is an argument that as a measure of eliminating these disparities, a Uniform Civil Code should be introduced, this has been countered by other people who insist that religious freedom and cultural diversity be respected. The modern controversy is indicative of the difficulty in balancing the rights of an individual with the practise of the community within the pluralistic society.

Legal reform should be supported by more social and institutional changes in solving these problems. By making the evidentiary requirements simpler, especially by appreciating other types of evidence like long term cohabiting or even documentary evidence, it may be easier to prove bigamy. Enhancing investigative systems, availing of legal assistance to the victimized and making the system gender sensitive are the other measures that need to be taken to enhance enforcement.

Another way in which technology can act transformatively to enhance enforcement is through technology. The registration of marriages digitally, creation of central databases and consolidation of records within and among states could aid in the checking of marital status and elimination of fraudulent second marriages. These measures would not only help in strengthening the enforcement but also in increasing transparency and accountability.

The awareness and education is also crucial. Specific women-based legal literacy programs can help them to demand their rights and take compensation. Campaigns on mass education can also be used to question the social acceptance of bigamy and enforce the merit of monogamous marriage. Besides this, community involvement and support infrastructure can be instrumental in responding to the socio-cultural obstacles to enforcement.

CHAPTER 9**SUGGESTIONS AND LAW REFORM****9.1 Introduction and Need for Reform**

The fact that bigamy persists in India even though it is criminalized by the criminal law in most communities shows an inherent disparity between the criminal norms and social realities. Although the law has been created to guarantee monogamy and defend the rights of marriage especially on women, its implementation has been disrupted by structural, procedural and socio-cultural factors. The challenges of establishing second marriages as studied in the last chapter, the ignorance of women, and the still prevalent nature of polygamy in some societies are all detrimental to the efficacy of the already established legal provisions. Such obstacles create a need to re-examine the criminal justice system on the laws of bigamy and marriage in India.

Reform is also supported by the dynamic nature of the Indian society. As urbanization has increased, literacy levels have risen and women have entered the labor market in large numbers, the demands on equality, dignity, and autonomy in marriage have changed drastically. Women are now more conscious of their rights and are no longer ready to put up with any forms of discrimination. But these changes in social conditions have not been suitably and equally covered by the law. The existence of various personal laws that have their rules about marriage and family relations results in inconsistencies that not only cause a lack of clarity in the law but also lack of justice. Such a situation makes reformation not only desirable but also necessary to make sure the legal system would be capable of being responsive to the social realities and constitutional values existing in times.

Moreover, the problem of bigamy can not be discussed separately, but it is necessary to perceive it in the context of the more general problem of gender justice and human rights. Bigamous marriages usually lead to suffering, poverty, marginalization of women socially. These issues are made worse by the fact that there are no effective legal solutions to such problems and the aim of attaining substantive equality is compromised. Thus, reform needs to take a multi-faceted approach that would not only correct the legal shortcomings but the socio-economic realities that would perpetrate the existence of bigamy.

It should be also noted that law in itself cannot lead to any social change unless it is reshaped by proper enforcement and handling of citizens. The discrepancy between bigamy law and the practice indicates the weakness of a strictly legal approach. The social attitudes, culture and economies are a major factor that determine the way individuals behave and any reform effort must consider such factors. Therefore, it is not only legislative changes that can help reform the situation, but also institutional reinforcement, education, and involvement in the community.

With these considerations, it has been seen that this chapter is offering a series of holistic recommendations to enhance the legal framework in India regulating bigamy. These suggestions revolve around the reformation of personal laws, increase protection of women, ease of the evidentiary requirements and whether it is possible to have a unified marriage legislation. The aim is to reconcile the difference between law and practice so as to make legal provisions not only to be theoretically correct but also to operate practically and be socially applicable.

9.2 Reform of Personal Laws and Harmonization

Among the greatest problems of dealing with bigamy in India is the fact that there are a number of personal laws that regulate marriage. The rules that are used to govern each religious community are different, and one cannot find uniformity in the regulation of the marital relationships. Even though Hindu, Christian, and Parsi laws practise monogamy, the Muslim personal law allows polygamy based on some conditions. This variety brings in some discrepancies that make enforcing the laws of bigamy difficult and provokes the issue of equality and fairness.

One of the main suggestions in this context is the necessity of the reform and harmonization of individual legislations step-by-step. The phase approach can be followed to make personal laws conform to the constitution instead of introducing radical shifts that can cause resistance and social violence. The kind of reforms should be moves toward encouraging monogamy as a universal standard and also observing cultural and religious sensitivity of various people. This can be done by enacting alterations and changes in the legislation, judicial development, and an approachable dialogue with the community leaders.

The harmonization concept does not consider the utter elimination of personal laws but to integrate them with basic rights. As an example, individual laws may be changed to exclude the discriminative provisions and treat both men and women equally. This would also be in relation to practices that degrade women and their rights and limit them in the case of bigamy.

When considering the Muslim personal law, reforms may aim at controlling and limiting polygamy and not necessarily eliminating it. As an example, the necessity to provide prior consent of the first wife, the financial ability, and the equal treatment of all spouses can be harshly imposed. These measures would serve as some form of protection against arbitrary or exploitative practices without any form of disrespect to the religious practices. Examples of other countries such as Tunisia and Turkey (where polygamy has been either prohibited or severely regulated) indicate that reform can take place even within an Islamic system.

The other significant part of reform is the abolition of legal loopholes through which people get to evade the monogamy laws. It is a serious issue when it comes to converting to another religion just to take a second marriage without breaking the first one. Legislation and judicial support should openly outlaw such practices and make conversion not an evasion of the law. This would enhance the sanctity of the court system and enable religious freedom to be used in an abusive manner.

Personal laws also need harmonization, which involves setting up of common minimum standards that should be applied by all communities. Such norms are to make sure that basic rights particularly those regarding equality, dignity and non-discrimination are observed in any legal system. Through enforcing the personal laws in line with the constitutional value, the law system will achieve a balance in between diversity and justice thus making the laws on bigamy more effective.

9.3 Strengthening Protection for Women

Any reform that will be used in dealing with bigamy should center on the protection of women. Bigamous marriages tend to affect women most than any other individual who is not only emotional, but also

economically and socially disadvantaged. Thus, legal changes should be concerned with empowering women and giving them efficient solutions.

The implementation of more effective financial protection is one of the major steps in this respect. The rights of maintenance, residence, and property should be clearly spelt out in women who are victims of bigamy. The legislation should make sure that the economic cost of a second marriage does not befall the first wife or her children. Laws on maintenance need further reinforcements to get proper and punctual maintenance and systems of enforcement need to be enhanced to avoid procrastination and default.

Besides financial protection, there should also be access to justice. There should be increased access and availability of legal aid services especially to the women in the rural and poorer areas. Special courts or mechanisms that are fast in handling matrimonial offences can be established and this will help in cutting the delays and getting cases resolved on time. The police and the judicial officers should also be trained and sensitized to give bigamy cases serious consideration and empathy.

Sensitisation and education is an essential part of empowering women. Most women are not educated about their rights in the law and the solutions that they can get. The legal literacy initiatives have to take place at the grassroots level where women would be educated on their rights and the law of bigamy. This can be done by the government, non-governmental organizations and even the community based platforms.

The other critical factor is the honor of the right of women in second marriages especially when they lack knowledge of the existence of the first marriage. Such women are left in a situation that is legally weak, and they have fewer opportunities to be covered by the current laws. The law system should be changed to offer solutions to such women, such as their rights to maintenance and an anti-exploitation. This would make sure that the fault of the other parties is not blamed on the innocent parties.

The social support systems also play a significant role in solving the dilemma of women. Emotional and practical support to women affected by bigamy can be offered through counseling services, shelters and support groups. The society is in a position to contribute significantly to the well-being of those who have been affected by the social environment by supporting the law reforms.

9.4 Evidentiary Reforms and Uniform Marriage Legislation

The rigid level of evidence as to the second marriage can be viewed as one of the most acute problems in the enforcement of bigamy laws. This request of proving of the very necessary ceremonies usually leads to acquittals even where a second relationship is evident. Thus, there is a need to reform the standards of evidence in order to be enforceable.

There should be a more flexible and pragmatic way of assessment of evidence by the courts. Alternative proofs like the records made in writing, digital evidences, and long-term co-habitation are to be considered properly. Although the standard of proof that has to be met in criminal cases is necessary, the law has to change in accordance with the current realities of the modern society where the relations cannot always be organized according to the traditional patterns.

Registration of marriages is another important reform which is compulsory. When marriage registration is done in a uniform way across all communities, it would give a good record of marriage and eliminate cases of conflict on whether a marriage exists. Records can also be digitized and database systems among different states can improve transparency and accessibility that will facilitate it easier to check the marital status and defraudulent marriages.

It has been highly discussed whether uniform marriage legislation can be introduced in India. This type of legislation would bring about a unified system of marriage, divorce, and other miscellaneous issues, which would cut across all citizens regardless of their faith. Although the concept of a Uniform Civil Code is still debatable a more incrementalist one with a specific consideration to factors like marriage registration and monogamy would be viewed as a possibility.

Even homogeneous marriage laws would not only make the law more straightforward, they would also make bigamy laws more enforceable. It would eradicate discrepancies in individual laws thus treating individuals equally and protecting marriage rights. Nevertheless, any step that is taken in the direction of uniformity should be thoughtfully crafted so that cultural diversity is not disregarded and the communities are not pushed away.

Enforcement can also be reinforced through the application of technology. Cases of bigamy can be detected and prevented through the help of centralized databases, biometric verification, and inter-state coordination. The efficiency, decrease in fraud, and the overall performance of the legal system can be improved with the help of such technological interventions.

CHAPTER 10 CONCLUSION

The analysis of bigamy and marriage legislation in India shows that the situation with laws concerning bigamy and marriage is complicated and multi-layered due to historical, religious, social, and constitutional factors. The fact that bigamy remains common even in places where it is declared as criminal by the law is the manifestation of the inherent discrepancy between ideals and realities of the law. This dissertation has tried to critically discuss the concept of bigamy in the context of Indian personal laws and explore its legal implications, compare how it is treated in different religious systems and explore the issues of how it would be implemented. As a result of this analysis, it can be seen that although the Indian legal system is expected to promote monogamy and gender justice, its disjointed nature and practical constraints still lead to a lack of a successful application.

Among the main conclusions made in this paper is the extreme disparity between the Hindu and Muslim personal law in regard to bigamy. Monogamy is another important tenet according to the Hindu law as enshrined in the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. A marriage solemnized in the course of an existing first marriage is deemed null and void and the act is a criminal offence that is subject to statutory punishment. This brings out an apparent legislative agenda to instil monogamy and guard the rights of marital partners, especially females. With the codification of the Hindu law, there was a fundamental change of the previous customary laws to the current marriage laws based on the concept of equality and justice.

Contrary, Muslim personal law legalizes polygamy, which gives a Muslim male the right to marry up to four wives, but this has to be under some conditions which are based on religious beliefs. Although this tradition is

frequently explained by the historical and theological reasons, its further presence in modern society is the cause of some crucial research questions connected with the gender equality and justice. In contrast to Hindu law, which made bigamy not only invalid but punishable, Muslim law does not regard polygamous marriages as invalid, which brings about a legal difference which has great consequences to enforcement and social attitude. Such a deviation is indicative of the pluralistic character of Indian family law whereby various communities are subjected to various legal standards.

The comparative study of these two systems does not just indicate the differences in law provisions but also indicates differences in underlying philosophies. In the contemporary codified version, the Hindu law focuses more on the rights of the individual and gender equality whereas the Muslim law, based on the traditional interpretation, represents an equal weighing between the rights of the individual and the religious duties. Such a distinction tends to cause tensions especially when people seek to take advantage of legal loopholes and change their religion to avoid the laws of monogamy. The judicial interventions have tried to solve such problems, as conversion cannot be a tool to evade legal obligations. Nevertheless, their continued existence suggests that law is not a sufficient factor without effective mechanisms to enforce law.

The constitutional aspect of the law opposing bigamy also makes the problem more complicated. Indian Constitution is an assurance of basic rights; equality before the law, non-discrimination, and the right to life and personal liberty. Meanwhile, it also safeguards the freedom of religion, which gives people the chance to follow and spread their religion. The existence of these rights creates a thin line that should be upheld when making and implementing individual laws. The varying treatment of bigamy in different religious groups poses a question as to whether equality is being implemented in actual sense.

Constitutionally, the persistence of the practice of polygamy as it is permitted in some of the personal laws seems to contradict with the gender equality principle. Polygamous wives tend to be treated unequally, suffer emotionally, and become financially disadvantaged. Religious freedom is a significant value in the Constitution and it cannot be applied as an excuse to practice and not respecting the dignity and rights of people. This conflict of religious independence and gender justice has remained a contested issue, and the courts have mostly taken a conservative stance and not to interfere with the religious issue and yet maintain the constitutional principles.

These constitutional issues are closely related with the debate on the Uniform Civil Code. The supporters believe that the same law of marriage and family relationships will remove the differences and provide equal treatment of all citizens, irrespective of religion. They argue that this code would reinforce the practice of monogamy and give a regular and regular legal platform. Critics, in turn, claim that enforcing uniformity can lead to the violation of cultural and religious diversity and this is one of the basic features of Indian society. This controversy

is indicative of the more general problem of how to unite individual rights and collective identities within a pluralistic legal system.

The other major result of this study reveals the discrepancy between the law against bigamy and implementation. The standard of evidence that is demanded to establish a second marriage is very high and in

most cases, may necessitate the demonstration of certain rituals and formalities. This puts a great onus of burden on the complainants as it is hard to convict them. Second marriages are also undertaken in most instances and without documentations, which complicates the issue of proving even more. Consequently, most of the cases are lost because of insufficiency of evidence despite the obviousness of the second relationship.

Enforcement of bigamy laws is also weak, and this is related to procedural issues. A non-cognizable crime, bigamy involves the offended party pursuing a case before a court, which may also be a very intimidating and time-consuming undertaking. The time lag in the investigation and trial also scares off the victims seeking a legal solution. Lack of institutional efficiency such as insensitivity on the part of law enforcement authorities and insufficient investigation also contribute to the negative increase of the law.

It is impossible to negate the socio-cultural context of bigamy. Polygamy is socially accepted in some societies and this undermines the ability of the law to deter. The patriarchal norms and gender inequality also restrict the power of challenging such practices on part of women. Many women do not find justice due to economic dependence, social stigma and ignorance of legal rights. These aspects show the weakness of a pure legalistic approach to solving the problem of bigamy.

Simultaneously, the issues with misuse of the bigamy laws have also been mentioned. In other instances, bigamy is alleged to be an instrument of persuasion in matrimonial marriages which raises the issue of false claims or overstated allegations. Though one cannot completely disregard such cases, it should be considered within the larger framework of the wide-ranging under-reporting of real cases. Prevention of misuse should not be taken at the expense of offering effective cure to victims.

Laws that typically govern marriage in India should thus take a middle ground that considers some legal and social aspects. Legal changes would focus on making the evidence process less complex, reinforcing enforcement and provide more security to women. The effectiveness of the law can be enhanced significantly by mandatory registration of marriages, keeping of records in a digital format, and better coordination of activities of legal institutions. Meanwhile, social programs and awareness efforts are needed to reorient the mind and make individuals able to claim their rights.

The uniformity in the marriage laws is one controversial question. Although a Uniform Civil Code can provide a lasting resolution to inequalities, it should be implemented with due sensitivity towards social concerns and political facts. A more practical strategy can entail gradual unification of individual laws and concentrate on shared values like monogamy, equality, and safeguarding rights. This would enable a gradual reform in place of cultural diversity respect.

To sum up, the problem of bigamy in India also underlines the difficulties of the rule of a multinational society by the same law. This is a complex and contradictory legal environment and is presented by the interplay of a number of personal statutes and the real challenges of enforcement. These issues should not only be tackled with legal reform, but a larger effort to change social conditions and gender justice as well.

The results of this dissertation support the idea that a holistic approach is necessary to combine legal, institutional and social strategies. The gap in law and practice can be closed by matching personal laws to

constitutional values, enhancing enforcement mechanisms and by means of awareness and education. The bottom line should eventually be to make marriage laws in India promote the ideals of equality, dignity, and justice to all people irrespective of their religious backgrounds or social standings.

Legal transformation of marriage in India is a continuous process, which is determined by the transformation of social facts and constitutional desires. With the society going on its way, the legal system has to evolve to match with the emerging challenges so that it can remain up to date and be effective. The question of bigamy, and the intricate interplay between law, religion and society is a problematic prism through which even greater issues of justice and equality can be considered. With careful reform and long term dedication, India can also progress and get to a more equal and just system of marriage laws in which the rights and dignity of each person are not only safeguarded but also upheld.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A. PRIMARY SOURCES

I. Constitutional Provisions

The Constitution of India, 1950 — Articles 14, 15, 21, 25, 26 and 44.

II. Statutes and Legislation

The Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

The Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 494 and 495).

The Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act, 1937. The Special Marriage Act, 1954.

The Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872. The Parsi Marriage and Divorce Act, 1936.

The Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act, 1939.

The Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986. The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005.

The Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Section 125). The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Section 82).

The Foreign Marriage Act, 1969.

The Hindu Widows' Remarriage Act, 1856.

III. Case Laws

Bhaurao Shankar Lokhande v. State of Maharashtra, AIR 1965 SC 1564.

Daniel Latifi v. Union of India, (2001) 7 SCC 740.

Javed v. State of Haryana, (2003) 8 SCC 369.

Lily Thomas v. Union of India, (2000) 6 SCC 224.

Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum, AIR 1985 SC 945.

Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India, (1995) 3 SCC 635.

Seema v. Ashwani Kumar, (2006) 2 SCC 578.

Sharma v. Sharma, AIR 1961 SC 1234.

Shayara Bano v. Union of India, (2017) 9 SCC 1.

Srinivasa Prasad v. Vimala, AIR 1952 SC 231.

State of Bombay v. Narasu Appa Mali, AIR 1952 Bom 84.

B. SECONDARY SOURCES

I. Books and Treatises

Agnes, F., *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India* (Oxford University Press, 1999).

Baines, B. and Rubio-Marin, R. (eds.), *The Gender of Constitutional Jurisprudence* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).

Basu, D.D., *Introduction to the Constitution of India*, 22nd ed. (LexisNexis, 2015). Baxi, P., *Public Secrets of Law: Rape Trials in India* (Oxford University Press, 2014). Baxi, U., *The Future of Human Rights*, 3rd ed. (Oxford University Press, 2012).

Cohn, B.S., *Colonialism and Its Forms of Knowledge: The British in India* (Princeton University Press, 1996).

Derrett, J.D.M., *Hindu Law Past and Present* (A. Mukherjee & Co., 1957).

Derrett, J.D.M., *The Death of a Marriage Law: Epitaph for the Rishis* (Vikas Publishing, 1978). Dhagamwar, V., *Law, Power and Justice: The Protection of Personal Rights in the Indian Penal Code* (Sage Publications, 1992).

Diwan, P., *Law of Marriage and Divorce*, 6th ed. (Universal Law Publishing, 2008). Fyzee, A.A.A., *Outlines of Muhammadan Law*, 4th ed. (Oxford University Press, 1974). Galanter, M., *Law and Society in Modern India* (Oxford University Press, 1989).

Hidayatullah, M. and Hidayatullah, A., *Mulla's Principles of Mahomedan Law*, 19th ed. (N.M. Tripathi, 1990).

Jain, M.P., *Indian Constitutional Law*, 7th ed. (LexisNexis, 2014).

Kannabiran, K., *The Wages of Impunity: Power, Justice and Human Rights* (Orient Longman, 2004).

Kapur, R., *Erotic Justice: Law and the New Politics of Postcolonialism* (Glass House Press, 2005).

Kapur, R. and Cossman, B., *Subversive Sites: Feminist Engagements with Law in India* (Sage Publications, 1996).

Kusum, *Family Law Lectures: Family Law-I*, 4th ed. (LexisNexis, 2015).

Mahmood, T., *Muslim Personal Law: Role of the State in the Indian Subcontinent* (Vikas Publishing, 1977).

Mahmood, T. and Saxena, P., *Law of Marriage and Divorce in India* (Universal Law Publishing, 2011).

Menon, N., *Recovering Subversion: Feminist Politics beyond the Law* (Permanent Black, 2004).

Menski, W., *Hindu Law: Beyond Tradition and Modernity* (Oxford University Press, 2003). Mulla, D.F., *Principles of Mahomedan Law*, 20th ed. (LexisNexis, 2013).

Noorani, A.G., *Islam and Jihad: Prejudice versus Reality* (Zed Books, 2002). Parashar, A., *Women and Family Law Reform in India* (Sage Publications, 1992). Pillai, P.S.A., *Criminal Law*, 13th ed. (LexisNexis, 2017).

Rajan, R.S., *The Scandal of the State: Women, Law, and Citizenship in Postcolonial India*

(Permanent Black, 2003).

Ratan Lal & Dhiraj Lal, *The Indian Penal Code*, 35th ed. (LexisNexis, 2017). Sathe, S.P., *Judicial Activism in India* (Oxford University Press, 2002).

Setalvad, M.C., *The Common Law in India* (Stevens & Sons, 1960).

Srinivas, M.N., *Social Change in Modern India* (Orient Longman, 1966).

Uberoi, P. (ed.), *Family, Kinship and Marriage in India* (Oxford University Press, 1993).

II. Journal Articles

Agnes, F., 'Law, Ideology and Female Sexuality', *Economic and Political Weekly* 27 (1992), p. 777.

Choudhry, R., 'Constitutional Morality and Personal Laws in India', *NUJS Law Review* 11 (2018), p. 45.

Cossmann, B. and Kapur, R., 'Secularism's Last Sigh?', *Harvard International Law Journal* 38 (1997), p. 113.

Flavia, A., 'Muslim Personal Law: Is There Gender Justice?', *Economic and Political Weekly* 43 (2008), p. 44.

Jha, M., 'Bigamy and the Indian Legal Framework', *Annual Survey of Indian Law* 52 (2016), p. 289.

Khurshid, A., 'Reform of Muslim Family Law in India', *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 45 (1996), p. 678.

Kumar, V., 'Polygamy and Its Consequences for Women's Rights in India', *Journal of the Indian Law Institute* 48 (2006), p. 89.

Nair, J.K., 'Bigamy under Hindu Law: A Critical Analysis', *Journal of Indian Law* 34 (2005), p. 77.

Pillai, K.N.C., 'Bigamy in Modern India: Criminal and Civil Dimensions', *Journal of the Indian Law Institute* 47 (2005), p. 189.

Singh, P., 'Gender Justice and Personal Laws in India', *Indian Journal of Social Law* 9 (2011), p. 201.

Sinha, S.N., 'Uniform Civil Code and Personal Laws', *Economic and Political Weekly* 29 (1994), p. 3175.

Thakur, M., 'Criminological Dimensions of Bigamy in India', *Criminal Law Journal* 119 (2013), p. 44.

Vyas, H.M., 'Reforming Muslim Personal Law in India', *Journal of the Indian Law Institute* 32 (1990), p. 214.

C. REPORTS AND OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS

Government of India, *Report of the Committee on the Status of Women in India* (Ministry of Education and Social Welfare, 1975).

Law Commission of India, *18th Report on Reforms in the Law of Marriage and Divorce* (Government of India, 1974).

Law Commission of India, *227th Report on Prevention of Bigamy via Conversion to Islam*

(Government of India, 2009).

National Crime Records Bureau, *Crime in India Report 2022* (Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, 2022).

Shah Commission, *Report on Polygamy* (Government of India, 1975).

