A FIXED POINT RESULT OF R-WEAKLY COMMUTING MAPPING OF TYPE (A_f) VIA ALTERING DISTANCE FUNCTIONS

By

Amlan Jamadar¹ and Amaresh Kundu²

¹Department of Mathematics, Rampurhat College, Rampurhat, Birbhum, West Bengal, Pin-731224, ²Department of Mathematics, Chanchal College, Chanchal, Malda, West Bengal, Pin-732123

Abstract:

Altering distance functions and their generalizations have been used to address an important class of fixed point problems. The main purpose of this paper is to obtain the conditions for the existence of a unique common fixed point for R- weakly commuting mapping of type (A_f) by using the altering distance function.

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 54H25

Key words: R- weakly commuting mapping of type (A_f), altering distance function, compatible mapping.

1. Introduction:

A new category of contractive fixed point problems was addressed by Khan et.al. [8] and was extensively studied by several authors in [10],[11],[16],[17],[18] and [19]. They introduced the concept of altering distances function which is actually a control function that alters distance between two points in a metric space and proved fixed point results for certain mappings.

Now a day it is very interesting tools to obtain the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point by altering distance function.

After the publication of Banach's contraction principle the next question that occupied the minds of mathematicians was to ascertain existence of simultaneous fixed points of more than one operator. It was observed in earlier literatures that apart from the inequality they may together satisfy, often commutativity is a requirement for the existence of common fixed points. Later efforts were made to relax this condition, which warranted a sequence of gradually weekend conditions like compatibility, commutativity, weakly commuting and R-weakly commuting conditions. In recent times R-weakly commuting conditions have been used in fixed point theory in a number of works like [12], [13], [14] and [21].

The view of the present paper is to obtain some common fixed point theorems by R- Weakly commuting mapping of type (A_f) by using the Altering distance function.

The study of common fixed point of non compatible mappings was initiated by Pant

[12] introduced the notion of point wise R- Weakly commutativity. Recently he established the point wise R-Weakly commutativity is a necessary condition for the existence of common fixed point theorem of contractive type pair of mappings.

The purpose of the present work is to combine the two lines of research. Specifically we prove here a fixed point results for two R-weakly commuting mappings that additionally satisfy an inequality, which involves altering distance function.

The following definitions are very helpful to study this paper

Definition1.1: Compatible Mapping [4]

Two selfmaps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are called **compatible** if $Lim_n d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$ whenever

 $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n} AX_n = \lim_{n} SX_n = t$ for some *t* in *X*.

Definition 1.2: R-weakly commuting Mapping [14]

Two self maps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are called R-weakly commuting at a point x in X if d $(ASx, SAx) \le Rd (Ax, Sx)$ for some_R > 0

The maps A and S are called point wise **R-weakly commuting** on X if given x in X there exists R > 0 such that $d(ASx, SAx) \le Rd(Ax, Sx)$.

Definition 1.3: R- weakly commuting of type (A_f) Mapping [14]

The mapping f and g are said to be **R**- weakly commuting of type (A_f) if there exists a positive real number R such that $d(fg(x), gg(x)) \le Rd(f(x), g(x))$ for all $x \in X$ and R > 0. Then it is said to be R-weakly commuting of type (A_f).

Definition1.4: ψ – *R* Weakly Commuting mapping:

Two self maps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are called $\psi - R$ -weakly commuting at a point x in X if $\psi(d(ASx, SAx)) \le R \psi(d(Ax, Sx))$ for some R > 0.

Definition1.5: $\psi - R$ Weakly Commuting mapping of type (A_f):

The mapping f and g are said to be $\psi - R$ - weakly commuting of type (A_f) if there exists a positive real number R such that $\psi(d(fg(x), gg(x))) \le R\psi(d(f(x), g(x)))$ for all $x \in X$ and R > 0. Then it is said to be $\psi - R$ -weakly commuting of type (A_f).

2. Main Theorem:

Theorem 2.1: Let f and g be R-weakly commuting self mapping of type (A_f) of a complete metric space (X,d) satisfying

1) $f(x) \subset g(x)$

Where $\psi : R^+ \to R^+$ satisfying [1] the condition of altering distance function and $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a monotone increasing and continuous functions with the property

that

i) $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0

ii) $\phi(t) < t$.

for each t > 0. If f or g is continuous then f and g have a common fixed point.

Proof:

Let $y_n = f x_n = g x_{n+1}$ then from (1) $x = x_n$, $y = x_{n+1}$. we get $\psi(d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})) \le \phi(\max(\psi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), \psi(d(fx_n, gx_{n+1}), \psi(d(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}))))))$ $\psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) \le \phi(\max(\psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)), \psi(d(y_n, y_{n-1})), \psi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n))))))$ $\psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) \le \phi(\psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n))))$ $d(y_n, y_{n+1})) < d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$

© 2018 JETIR January 2018, Volume 5, Issue 1

Again putting $\begin{aligned} x &= x_{n-1} , y = x_n. \text{ in } (1) \\ \psi(d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)) &\leq \phi(\max(\psi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), \psi(d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}), \psi(d(fx_n, gx_n))))) \\ \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) &\leq \phi(\max(\psi(d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})), \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})), \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)))) \\ \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &\leq \phi(\psi(d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}))) \\ d(y_n, y_{n-1})) &< d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$

We next show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence If not there exist an $\in >0$ and two corresponding sub sequences $\{y_{n(k)}\}$ and $\{y_{m(k)}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ such that for m (k)<n (k) $\therefore d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) \ge \epsilon$ and $d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}) < \epsilon$. Again, $d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) \le d(y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) + d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}) + d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)})$ and $d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}) \le d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}) + d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) + d(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)-1})$ Then we have $\in d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) \le d(y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) + d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}) < d(y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) + \epsilon$ making $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain *Lim* $d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}) = \in$(2) also $d(y_{n(k)+1}, y_{m(k)-1}) \le d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}) + d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) + d(y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)+1})$ $d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) \le d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}) + d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)+1}) + d(y_{n(k)+1}, y_{n(k)})$ making $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2) we obtain $\lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)+1}) = \in$...(3) Lastly, $d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) \le d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)-1}) + d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)})$ and $d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)-1}) \le d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) + d(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)-1})$ Making $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2) & (3) we obtain *Lim* $d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)-1}) = \in$(4) Now substituting $x = x_{n-1}$, $y = x_{m-1}$ in (1) we have $\psi(d(fx_{n-1}, fx_{m-1})) \le \phi(\max(\psi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{m-1}), \psi(d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}), \psi(d(fx_{m-1}, gx_{m-1})))))$ $\psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{m-1})) \le \phi(\max(\psi(d(y_{n-2}, y_{m-2})), \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})), \psi(d(y_{m-1}, y_{m-2})))$ $\psi(\varepsilon) \le \phi(\max(\psi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon)))$

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \le \phi(\psi(\varepsilon)) < \psi(\varepsilon)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete then there exist a point z in X such that $y_n \to z$ and also $y_n = fx_n = gx_n \to z$. Now

suppose that f is continuous. Then $ffx_n \to fz$ and $fgx_n \to fz$. Since f and g are $\psi - \mathbb{R}$ -Weakly commuting of type (A_f).We have $\psi(d(fgx_n, ggx_n)) \leq R\psi(d(fx_n, gx_n))$. Letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain $ggx_n \to fz$. Now we prove that z = fz. If not then we have, $\psi(d(fx_n, fgx_n)) \leq \phi(\max(\psi(d(gx_n, ggx_n), \psi(d(fx_n, gx_n), \psi(d(fgx_n, ggx_n)))))$ Taking $n \to \infty$ we obtain $\psi(d(z, fz)) \leq \phi(\max(\psi(d(z, fz), \psi(d(z, z), \psi(d(fz, fz))))))$ $\psi(d(z, fz)) \leq \phi(\max(\psi(d(z, fz)), \psi(0), \psi(0))))$ as $\psi(0) = 0$ $\psi(d(z, fz)) \leq \phi(\psi(d(z, fz))) < \psi(d(z, fz))$. This is a contradiction. Hence z = fz.

Since $f(X) \subset g(X)$ then there exist a point w in X such that z = fz = gw. Now if z = fw then from the inequality we get

 $\psi(d(fgx_n, fw) \le \phi(\max(\psi(d(ggx_n, gw), \psi(d(fgx_n, ggx_n), \psi(d(fw, gw)))))$

© 2018 JETIR January 2018, Volume 5, Issue 1

Taking $n \to \infty$ we obtain $\psi(d(fz, fw)) \le \phi(\max(\psi(d(fz, gw), \psi(d(fz, fz), \psi(d(fw, gw))))))$ $\psi(d(fz, fw)) \le \phi(\psi(d(fw, gw))) < \psi(d(fw, gw)))$ which on letting $n \to \infty$ yields $\psi(d(z, fw)) < \psi(d(fw, z))$

which is a contradiction. Therefore z = fw and then z = fz = gw = fw. Hence z is a common fixed point of f and g. The proof is similar when g is assumed to be continuous.

Examples:

Let X=[2,10] be a metric space with usual metric d. Consider $\psi: R^+ \to R^+$ be defined as $\psi(x) = x^2$ Also define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 2; x = 2 \\ x^2 - 5x + 8; x > 2 \end{cases} \text{ and } f(x) = \begin{cases} 2; x = 2 \\ \frac{x}{2} + 1; x > 2 \end{cases}$$

Here we define $\{X_n\}$ by $X_n=2+\frac{1}{n}$ and we see that $f(x_n) = g(x_n) = 2$ also $fg(x_n) = gf(x_n) = 2$

Also it satisfy the inequality (1).

Calculation: when x = 2,y=2
$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) = (fx - fy)^2 = 0$$
.
when x>2 and y>2; $\psi(d(fx, fy)) = (fx - fy)^2 = (x - y)^2 / 4$
 $\psi(d(fx, gy)) = (2y^2 - 10y + 14 - x)^2 / 4$
 $\psi(d(fy, gy)) = (fy - gy)^2 = (2y^2 - 11y + 14)^2 / 4$
 $\psi(d(gx, gy)) = (gx - gy)^2 = [(x - y)(x + y - 5)]^2$

When x=y then it is obvious. Hence it satisfies all the conditions of our theorem 2.1. **R.H.S:** We now calculate,

$$\max\left\{\frac{(2y^2 - 10y - x + 14)^2}{4}, \frac{(2y^2 - 11y + 14)^2}{4}, ((x - y)(x + y + 5))^2\right\} \dots \dots (A)$$

Now if we take $\frac{(2y^2 - 10y - x + 14)^2}{4} > \frac{(2y^2 - 11y + 14)^2}{4}$

Then $2y^2 - 10y - x + 14 > 2y^2 - 11y + 14$ for all x, $y \in [2,10]$, implies y > x. Let, $(2y^2 - 11y + 14)/2 > x^2 - y^2 - 5x + 5y$ or, $4y^2 - 2x^2 - 21y + 10x + 14 > 0$ or, $4y^2 - 2x^2 - 20y + 9x + 14 + (x-y) > 0$ (a) Again suppose $(2y^2 - 10y - x + 14)/2 < x^2 - y^2 - 5x + 5y$ or, $(2y^2 - 10y - x + 14) < 2x^2 - 2y^2 - 10x + 10y$ implies $4y^2 - 2x^2 - 20y + 9x + 14 < 0$ (b) From relation (a) & (b) we get (x - y) > 0 implies x > yHence for x > y maximum value of (A) becomes $\frac{(2y^2 - 11y + 14)^2}{4}$. L.H.S : For $(x - y)^2/4$, we have $(x - y)^2/4 < \frac{(2y^2 - 11y + 14)^2}{4}$ where $x, y \in X \in [2, 10]$.

Therefore it satisfies all the conditions of **Theorem 2.1.** Thus in view of theorem 2.1, f and g have a common fixed point say x=2.

Contributions: The first author designed the concept and drafted the manuscript. The Second author (corresponding author) contributed to the writing of the final version of the manuscript by giving main proof and appropriate example. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

► References:

[1] Choudhury. B.S. and P.N.Dutta, A unique fixed point results in metric spaces involving a two variable function, Filomat, 14(2000), 43-48.

[2] Choudhury. B.S. and A.Upadhyay, On unique common fixed point for a sequence of multivalued mapping on metric spaces, Bulletin of pure and Applied Science, 19E (2000), 529-533.

[3] Choudhury. B.S. and P.N.Dutta, Common fixed points for fuzzy mappings using generalized altering distances, Soochow J.Mathematics, 31(1), (2005), 71-81.

[4] Jungck, G. Commuting mapping and fixed point. Amer. Math.Monthly. 83, (1976), 261-263.

[5] Jungck, G. Compatible mappings and common fixed point, Int. J. Math. Sci., 9, (1986), 771-779.

[6] Jungk and Rhoades fixed points for set valued functions without continuity ,Ind.Jr.Pure Appl.Math., 29 (3), (1998), 227-238.

[7] Jungck, G,P.P.Murthy and Y.J.Cho; Compatible mapping of type A and common fixed points. Math. japonica 38 (2), 1993, 381-390.

[8] Khan. M.S., M.Swaleh and S.Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points; bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 30, (1984), 1-9.

[9] Kirk. W.A and B.Sims, Handbook of metric fixed point, Kluwer Academic publishers, Netherlands (2002).

[10] Naidu S.V.R., Fixed point theorem by altering distances, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., 11,(2001), 1-16.

[11] Naidu S.V.R., Some Fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances, Czechoslovak, Mathematical Journal, 53,(128),(2003), 205-212.

[12] Pant. R.P. Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings, Math. Students 62,(1993). 97-102.

[13] Padaliya S., R. P.ant; Common fixed point for R-weakly commuting mapping of type (A_f); Soochow J.Math. 2(2005), 145-163.

[14] Pathak.H.K., B.Nagar, M.S.Khan, Al-Khod ,Compatible mappings of type B and common fixed points theorems of Gregus type, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 45, (120), 1995.

[15] Sessa, S., On a weak commutatively of mappings in fixed point considerations Publ. Inst.math 32 (46),(1982),149-153.

[16] Som.T; Few common fixed point results for compatible mappings. Bull.Cal.Math. Soc. 95, (2003), 307-312.

[17] Sastry K.P.R. and G.V.R.Babu, Fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 90,(1998), 175-182.

[18] Sastry K.P.R. and G.V.R.Babu, Some Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 30,(1999), 641-647.

[19] Sastry K.P.R. and G.V.R.Babu, A common Fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces by altering distances, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India., **71(A)**, **III (2001)**, **237-242**.

[20] Sastry K.P.R., S.V.R.Naidu, G.V.R.Babu and G.A. Naidu, Generalization of common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting maps spaces by altering distances, Tamkong Journal of Mathematics, **31**,(2000)**3**, 243-250.

[21] Vats R.K. Weakly compatible maps in metric spaces. Jr. of Ind.. Math.Soc. 69, (1-4),(2002),139-143.

