

OPTIMIZATION OF AGE OF SEEDLINGS AND SPACING TO ENHANCE THE PRODUCTIVITY AND PROFITABILITY OF KODO MILLET UNDER TRANSPLANTED CONDITION

Jawahar .S*, K.Suseendran, C.Kalaiyarasan, S.Ramesh and S.Elankavi

Assistant Professors in Agronomy,
Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University
Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu

ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted at Annamalai University Experimental Farm, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu, India during Kharif Season (June to September, 2013) to optimize the age of seedlings and spacing to enhance the productivity and profitability of kodo millet under transplanted condition . The treatments comprised three ages of seedlings (20, 25 and 30 days) and four spacing (Farmer practice of direct sowing, 15 x 10, 20 x 10 and 25 x 10 cm). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial arrangements and replicated thrice. Among the three ages of seedlings and four spacing, 20 days old seedlings and spacing of 15 x 10 cm recorded higher values for growth attributes (Plant height, Number of tillers hill⁻¹, Number of branches tiller⁻¹, Leaf area index (LAI), and Dry Matter Production (DMP)), yield attributes (Number of panicles hill⁻¹, Number of grains panicle⁻¹ and Thousand grains weight) , Yield (grain and straw) and net return and return rupee⁻¹ invested of kodomillet, respectively. The combined effect of age of seedlings and spacing, 20 days old seedlings planted at 15 x 10 cm registered its superiority over others for growth, yield attributes, yield of kodo millet. The same treatment combination recorded higher net return and return rupee⁻¹ invested in kodo millet. Thus, it is concluded that adoption of transplanting of 20 days old seedlings with 15 x 10 cm spacing holds immense potentiality to boost the productivity and profitability of kodo millet under transplanted.

Key words: age of seedlings, spacing, kodo millet, growth, yield and economics

I.INTRODUCTION

Kodo millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum* L.) is one of the major food crops in tribal areas of the country. It is known to have been grown in southern Rajasthan and Maharashtra for at least 3,000 years (De Wet *et al.*, 1983). It is found across the old world in humid habitats of tropics and subtropics. It is a minor grain crop in India and an important crop in the Deccan plateau. Wider adaptability, easy cultivation, ability to tolerate the biotic and abiotic stresses has made this crop as a major component of dry farming ecosystem. Kodo is grown mostly in Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. This cereal is known also as varagu , kodo , haraka and arakalu. It forms the main stay of the dietary nutritional requirements of farmers of marginal and dry lands in many parts of India. The fiber content of the whole grain is very high. Kodo millet has around 11% protein, and the nutritional value of the protein has been found to be slightly better than that of foxtail millet but comparable to that of other small millets. As with other food grains, the nutritive value of Kodo millet protein could be improved by supplementation with legume protein.

It occupies an area of 9.08 lakh ha with an annual production of 3.11 lakh tones and average productivity of 342 kg/ha. Among the small millets, productivity per unit area is highest in kodo millet (Ahamed and Yadava, 1996). It matures in 3-4 months with yields varying from 250 to 1000 kg/ha (Hulse *et al.*, 1980) and a potential yield of 2000kg/ha (Harinarayana, 1989).

Now a day's kodo grain is recommended as a substitute for rice next to finger millet to patients suffering from diabetes diseases. Diabetes mellitus is a silent disease and is now recognized as one of the

fastest growing threats to public health in almost all countries of the world. Around 150 million people suffer from diabetes in the world, of which above 35 million are Indians, the highest number in any country. Diabetes is on an increase in India. The revised World Health Organization figure for the year 2025 is 57.2 million diabetics (Vanithasri *et al.*, 2012). Within the allotted span, India shall also have the dubious distinction of having the maximum number of diabetics in the world. Further, the burgeoning population of our country may stabilize around 1.4 and 1.6 billions by 2025 and 2050, requiring annually 380 and 450 million tonnes of food grains respectively (Siddiq, 2000). Hence, there is an urgent need to increase the production and productivity of kodo millet to meet future food requirements and health benefit.

But kodomillet yields are either decelerating/stagnating/declining in India due to improper method of sowing/planting, imbalance in fertilizer use, inadequate weed management practices and lack of suitable genotypes for low and moisture adaptability. This crop respond very well even to small doses of inorganic fertilizers and other crop management practices, such as maintenance of adequate plant stand, timely weeding and inter cultivation. As far as kodo millet is concern, no work has been done under transplanted conditions. Keeping the above facts in consideration, the present experiment was conducted to optimize the age of seedlings and spacing to enhance the productivity and profitability of kodo millet under transplanted condition.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Site and Soil

The experiment was performed on a wetland field of Annamalai University Experimental Farm, (11°21' N and 79°44' E with an altitude of + 5.7 m a. m.s.l.), Annamalai Nagar during Kharif season (June to September, 2013). The experimental soil was clay loam with an organic matter content of 0.51% and pH of 7.6. The Available N, P and K were 235.21, 18.74, 322.01 kg ha⁻¹, respectively.

Treatment Details and Design

The following is the detail of the treatments investigated in the experiment:

Factor- I: Age of seedlings (A)

- A₁ - 20 days
- A₂ - 25 days
- A₃ - 30 days

Factor- II: Spacing (S)

- S₁ - Control (Farmer practice of direct sowing)
- S₂ - 15 x 10 cm
- S₃ - 20 x 10 cm
- S₄ - 25 x 10 cm

The experiment was laid out by adopting factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with three replications.

Crop Husbandry

Spacing and Seed rate

Crop	Spacing (cm x cm)	Seed rate (kg ha ⁻¹)
Kodo millet Variety - CO 3	Direct sowing	12
	15 x 10	9
	20 x 10	7
	25 x 10	5

Nursery preparation

The nursery bed was prepared by raising raised bed of 3 x 1.5 m in size and 15 cm height. Diammonium phosphate @ 2 kg cent⁻¹ was applied as basal. The kodo millet seeds were stored in gunny bag, soaked in water for 12 hours and seed treatment was done with *Azospirillum* @ 600 g ha⁻¹. The treated seeds were broadcasted in the nursery (500 m² ha⁻¹). The powdered FYM @ 500 kg ha⁻¹ was spreaded evenly to cover the seeds. Urea @ 2 per cent spray was given on 7 DAS for better establishment of seedlings. Need based irrigation and plant protection measures were followed for controlling insect pests and diseases in transplanted kodo millet crop.

Main field preparation and Transplanting

The field was ploughed at optimum moisture condition with tractor mounted mould board plough followed by rotovator to break clods to get a fine tilth. Beds of 4 x 3 m, bunds and channels were formed manually. Field was irrigated before transplanting, then seedlings were uprooted from the nursery on 20, 25 and 30 days after sowing and transplanted in the main field by adopting the spacing of 15 x 10 cm, 20 x 10 cm and 25 x 10 cm respectively. The biofertilizer treated kodo millet seeds were broadcasted in the control plots initially 30 days prior to transplanting.

The kodo millet crop was fertilized with 44:22:0 kg of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹ in the form of urea (46% N) and DAP (18% N and 46% P₂O₅) during the crop. The entire dose of P₂O₅ and half of the dose of N was applied as basal. The remaining half of N was top dressed in two equal splits at active tillering and flowering stage. The crop was given adequate amount of irrigation at the time of transplanting/sowing and life irrigation on third day after transplanting/sowing. Subsequent irrigations were given once in 10 days as and when required.

Measurements

The growth attributes (Plant height, Number of tillers hill⁻¹, Number of branches tiller⁻¹, Leaf area index (LAI), and Dry matter production (DMP)), yield attributes (Number of panicles hill⁻¹, Number of grains panicle⁻¹ and Thousand grain weight) were recorded from tagged plants, the yields (grain and straw) were recorded from the net plot area after enough sun drying and expressed in kg ha⁻¹.

Economics

The benefit cost ratio was worked out for various treatments by dividing the gross returns by cost of cultivation.

Statistical analysis

The data on various characters studied during the investigation were statistically analyzed as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984) and wherever the treatment differences were found significant (F test); critical differences were worked out at five per cent probability level.

III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on growth, yield attributes, yield of kodo millet is given in Table 1 and 2.

Growth attributes

The growth attributes such as plant height, number of tillers hill⁻¹, LAI, and DMP were significantly influenced with age of seedling. Among the different age of seedlings, the younger seedlings recorded higher values for plant height, number of tillers hill⁻¹, LAI and DMP of transplanted kodo millet. The growth attributes were higher with 20 days old seedlings (A₁). This was followed by 25 days old seedlings (A₂). The highest plant height from younger seedlings might be due to more vigour, root growth and lesser transplant shock because of lesser leaf area during initial growth stages which stimulate increased cell division causing more stem elongation. Similar results were earlier reported by Jogi *et al.* (2013). The increase in number of tiller hill⁻¹ and LAI due to younger seedlings could be attributed due to quick establishment of plant, more effective use of light, nutrient and space and increased in photosynthetic rate of kodo millet. Similar results were reported by Pramanik and Bera (2013). The increase in DMP may be due to the increased plant height, number of tillers hill⁻¹ and better utilization of carbohydrates for growth and tissue building respectively (Zhong *et al.*, 1995). The lesser growth attributes were recorded with 30 days old seedlings. It might be due to ageing of older age seedlings, caused serious setback in their physiological activity, which resulted in poor growth. This is in agreement with the findings of Narsimha Rao and Pardhasarathi (1970) in finger millet.

With regards to spacing, planting of seedlings at 15 x 10 cm (S₂) recorded higher plant height, number of tillers hill⁻¹, LAI and DMP transplanted kodo millet. This was followed by S₃ (20 x 10 cm). Increase in plant height might owe to increased cell division, elongation and expansion caused by spacing due to effective utilization of applied nutrients and water. The higher values for LAI could be due to more number of leaves and tillers hill⁻¹ and also increased rate of photosynthesis in kodo millet. The dry matter production is an important parameter, which indicates the photosynthetic efficiency of plants. The DMP of kodo millet was higher with the spacing of 15 x 10 cm. It might be due to increased plant population due to closer spacing, increased number of tillers, LAI and increased the photosynthetic efficiency of kodo millet which induced to produce more DMP. This is in accordance with the earlier

findings of Kalaraju *et al.* (2009) in finger millet. Farmers practice of direct sowing (control) recorded lesser value for plant height, number of tiller hill⁻¹, LAI and DMP of transplanted kodo millet.

The combined effect of age of seedlings and spacing significantly influenced the growth attributes of transplanted kodo millet. Planting of 20 days old seedlings at 15x10 cm (A₁S₂) significantly increased the growth attributes of transplanted kodo millet.

Yield attributes and Yield

Age of seedling and spacing adoption significantly influenced the yield attributes and yield of transplanted kodo millet. Among the different age of seedling, 20 days old seedlings (A₁) recorded higher number of panicles hill⁻¹, number of grains panicle⁻¹, test weight, grain and straw yield of transplanted kodo millet which was closely followed by 25 days old seedlings. The increase in yield attributing characters and yield could be due to the proper crop growth development and assimilate synthesis in the grains. Higher grain and straw yield production in the younger seedlings might be attributed to the vigorous and healthy growth, development of more productive tillers hill⁻¹ and leaves ensuring greater resource utilization as compared to older seedlings. Similar results were reported by Vijayakumar *et al.* (2005) in rice. The lowest values for yield attributes and yield were registered under the seedlings planted with 30 days age (A₃). The use of over aged seedlings retarded the general performance of crop and hence the yield reduced drastically. This is in agreement with the findings of BRRI (1981) in rice.

Spacing increased the yield attributes and yield of transplanted kodo millet. Among the different spacing tried, spacing at 15 x 10 cm (S₂) registered its superiority over others and recorded higher number of panicles hill⁻¹, number of grains panicles⁻¹, test weight, grain and straw yield in transplanted kodo millet. This could be due to vigorous growth, higher fertility of panicles and proper development of grains due to environmental factors such as nutrients, moisture and light. This is in conformity with the findings of Jashim *et al.* (2011) in rice. The lower yield is obtained with farmer practice of direct sowing (S₁) due to lesser plant population per unit area and lesser numbers of productive tiller hill⁻¹. These results are in lined with the findings of Rashid *et al.* (2009).

The yield attributes and yield of transplanting kodomillet was greatly influenced by age of seedlings and spacing. Among the different combination of age of seedlings and spacing, 20 days old seedlings along with the spacing of 15 x 10 cm (A₁S₂) significantly increased the yield attributes and yield of kodomillet. This was superior over 25 and 30 days seedlings planted at 20 x 10 and 25 x 10 cm respectively. The straw yield of kodo millet was also extensively influenced by the various treatments. Higher straw yield was recorded under 20 day's old seedlings at 15 x 10 cm. More plant population owing to closer spacing might have contributed to maximum DMP and LAI which ultimately enhanced the straw yield. This result is in conformity with the findings of Narsimhamurty and Hegde (1981) in finger millet.

Economics

The net return and return rupee⁻¹ invested of transplanted kodo millet was greatly influenced by the age of seedlings and spacing (Table 3). The highest net return and return rupee⁻¹ invested of Rs.34,582 and 2.72 was associated with the treatment A₁S₂ (20 days seedlings with 15 x 10 cm). This might be due to optimum plant population maintained in an unit area which may leads to higher grain yield resulted in higher values for net return and benefit cost ratio resulted in more profit. This was followed by A₁S₃ (20 days seedling with 20 x 10 cm) which recorded a return rupee⁻¹ invested of 2.35. The least net return and return rupee Rs.557 and 1.03 was observed under farmer practice of direct sowing.

IV.CONCLUSION

The experimental results enlightened that there was marked variation on the productivity of kodo millet under transplanted condition due to adoption of age of seedlings and spacing practices, 20 days old seedlings planted at 15 x 10 cm spacing produced better yield characters and yield besides being economically competitive and productive. Hence, it is concluded that adoption of transplanting of 20 days old seedlings with 15 x 10 cm spacing holds immense potentiality to boost the productivity and profitability of kodo millet under transplanted condition.

Table 1 Effect of age of seedlings and spacing on growth and yield attributes of kodomillet

Treatments	Growth attributes					Yield attributes		
	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers hill ⁻¹	No. of branches tiller ⁻¹	LAI at flowering	DMP at harvest (kg ha ⁻¹)	No. of panicles hill ⁻¹	No. of grains panicle ⁻¹	Thousand grains weight (g)
Factor I- Age of seedlings(A)								
A ₁	87.52	13.21	3.64	4.71	10970	45.09	195.02	6.54
A ₂	82.10	11.28	3.45	3.21	9575	40.98	186.18	6.49
A ₃	75.38	9.85	3.31	2.63	8127	34.12	167.27	6.47
S.Ed	1.36	0.27	0.22	0.07	134.93	0.59	0.77	0.02
CD(P=0.05)	2.82	0.56	NS	0.16	279.31	1.22	1.59	NS
Factor II- Spacing (S)								
S ₁	62.77	5.17	1.75	1.98	5147	6.52	144.15	6.45
S ₂	93.36	15.05	4.16	4.61	11525	54.10	202.23	6.53
S ₃	87.01	13.18	4.03	4.02	10944	51.28	194.21	6.52
S ₄	83.53	12.37	3.93	3.47	10614	48.26	190.60	6.50
S.Ed	1.57	0.31	0.25	0.04	155.81	0.68	0.89	0.02
CD(P=0.05)	3.26	0.65	NS	0.08	322.52	1.41	1.84	NS
A X S								
A ₁ S ₁	63.93	5.63	1.78	2.00	5230	7.00	146.66	6.47
A ₁ S ₂	103.56	18.73	4.50	6.91	13542	59.8	219.53	6.59
A ₁ S ₃	92.16	14.36	4.20	5.44	12805	57.14	208.15	6.58
A ₁ S ₄	90.45	14.12	4.10	4.52	12304	56.44	205.74	6.53
A ₂ S ₁	63.00	5.06	1.75	1.98	5120	6.57	144.53	6.45
A ₂ S ₂	89.54	13.84	4.06	3.90	11237	53.86	202.64	6.52
A ₂ S ₃	88.87	13.26	4.01	3.77	11031	52.91	199.55	6.51
A ₂ S ₄	87.01	12.97	3.98	3.22	10912	50.33	198.00	6.50
A ₃ S ₁	61.40	4.83	1.73	1.97	5090	6.00	141.28	6.43
A ₃ S ₂	86.99	12.60	3.92	3.04	9797	48.66	184.53	6.50
A ₃ S ₃	80.02	11.93	3.88	2.85	8997	43.79	174.93	6.49
A ₃ S ₄	73.14	10.04	3.71	2.67	8625	38.03	168.06	6.48
S.Ed	2.73	0.54	0.31	0.15	269.87	1.18	1.54	0.04
CD(P=0.05)	5.65	1.13	NS	0.32	558.63	2.45	3.19	NS

Table 2 Effect of age of seedlings and spacing on grain and straw yield of kodo millet

Treatment combination	Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	Grain	Straw
Factor I- Age of seedlings(A)		
A ₁	2514	7521
A ₂	2188	6499
A ₃	1712	5412
S.Ed	35.62	137.97
CD(P=0.05)	73.74	285.60
Factor II- Spacing (S)		
S ₁	1044	3420
S ₂	2694	7962
S ₃	2474	7469
S ₄	2338	7059
S.Ed	41.13	159.31
CD(P=0.05)	85.15	329.78
A X S		
A ₁ S ₁	1106	3566
A ₁ S ₂	3333	9425
A ₁ S ₃	2867	8761
A ₁ S ₄	2750	8333
A ₂ S ₁	1069	3403
A ₂ S ₂	2681	7944
A ₂ S ₃	2528	7484
A ₂ S ₄	2472	7166
A ₃ S ₁	958	3290
A ₃ S ₂	2070	6517
A ₃ S ₃	2028	6163
A ₃ S ₄	1792	5677
S.Ed	71.25	275.94
CD(P=0.05)	147.49	571.21

Table 3 Effect of age of seedlings and spacing on economics of kodo millet

Treatment combinations	Cost of cultivation (Rs.)	Gross income (Rs.)	Net income (Rs.)	Return Rupee ⁻¹ invested
A ₁ S ₁	16372	18358	1986	1.12
A ₁ S ₂	20125	54707	34582	2.72
A ₁ S ₃	20125	47370	27245	2.35
A ₁ S ₄	20125	45416	25291	2.26
A ₂ S ₁	16372	18006	1634	1.09
A ₂ S ₂	20095	44172	24077	2.20
A ₂ S ₃	20095	41662	21567	2.07
A ₂ S ₄	20095	40663	20568	2.02
A ₃ S ₁	16372	16815	557	1.03
A ₃ S ₂	20065	34293	14228	1.71
A ₃ S ₃	20065	33501	13436	1.67
A ₃ S ₄	20065	29719	9654	1.48

References

- Ahamed, M.S. and H.S.Yadava.1996. Assessment of productivity and economics of small millets in Madhya Pradesh. Crop Res., 12(3). 12 – 15.
- BRRI (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute). 1981. Annual Report for 1977-78. Bangladesh Rice Res., Inst., pp. 9-38.
- De Wet, J. M. J., K.E. Prasada Rao, M.H. Mengesha, and D.E. Brink. 1983. Diversity in Kodo Millet, *Paspalum scrobiculatum* L. Economic Botany, 37(2):159-163.
- Gomez, K.A., Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. IInd Edn. John Wiley and Sons, New York, Pp. 680.
- Harinarayana, G., 1989. Breeding and varietal improvement of Small Millets in India. In. Small millets in global agriculture. Proc. First Int. Small millets workshop. Bangalore. (Eds.) Seetharama .A, Riley, K.W and Harinarayana, G. Oxford and IBH publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. pp 59-70.
- Hulse, J.M., E.M.Laing and O.E. Pearson.1980. Sorghum and the Millets: their composition and nutritive value. Academic Press, New York.
- Jashim Uddin, Md., Sultan Ahmed, Md. Harun-or-Rashid , Md. Mahmudul Hasan and Md. Asaduzzaman. 2011. Effect of spacings on the yield and yield attributes of transplanted aman rice cultivars in medium lowland ecosystem of Bangladesh. J. Agric. Res., 49(4).
- Jogi Naidu, G., K. Tejeswara Rao, A .Upendra Rao and D. Srinivasulu Reddy. 2013. Age of Seedlings and Planting Pattern on Grain yield, Protein Content, NPK Uptake and Post-harvest Nutrient Status of Rice under SRI. Journal of Academia and Industrial Research. Vol. 2 No 6.
- Kalaraju, K., N. Deva Kumar, N. Nagaraja and K.B. Nigappa. 2009. Effect of methods of planting on growth and yield of finger millet genotypes under organic farming. Res. Crops, 10(1): 20-24.
- Narasimha Rao, D.V., G. Damodaram and A.K. Ramamoorthy. 1963. Preliminary studies on the proper time of sowing and plant population in irrigated ragi in Chittoor district. Andhra Agric. J., 15(2): 208-213.
- Narasimhamurthy, S.N. and B.R. Hegde. 1981. Tillering in relation to intra-row competition in ragi under rainfed condition. Indian J. Agron. 26(3): 337-338.
- Pramanik, K., and A. K. Bera. 2013. Effect of seedling age and nitrogen fertilizer on growth, chlorophyll content, yield and economics of hybrid rice. (*Oryza sativa* L.). Intl. J. Agron. Plant. Prod. Vol., 4 (S), 3489-3499.
- Rashid, M.H. 2009. Effect of spacing on the growth and yield of *Boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan 36) under aerobic system of cultivation. M.Sc. Thesis, Dept. Agron., Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh. pp. 45-47.
- Siddiq, E.A., 2000. Yawning productivity gaps. The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture. The Hindu Publication, Chennai, pp. 39-44.
- Vanithasri, J., S. Kanchana, G. Hemalatha, C. Vanniarajan and M. Sahulhameed. 2012. Role of millets and its importance in new mellinium. Inter. J. Food Sci. Technol. (IJFST), 2(1): 35-47.

Vijayakumar, M., SD. Sundar Singh, NK. Prabhakaran and TM. Thiagarajan. 2005. Effect of SRI (System of Rice Intensification) practices on the yield attributes, yield and water productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Acta Agronomica, Hungarica. 52(4): 399-408.

Zhong, X., S. Peng, J. E. Sheehy, R. M. Visperas and H. Liu. 2002. Relationship between tillering and leaf area index, quantifying critical leaf area index for tillering in rice. The J. Agric. Sci. 138(03): 269-279.

Registration ID : JETIR188307

Paper Title: OPTIMIZATION OF AGE OF SEEDLINGS AND SPACING TO ENHANCE THE PRODUCTIVITY AND PROFITABILITY OF KODO MILLET UNDER TRANSPLANTED CONDITION

Corresponding Author Name : Dr.S.Jawahar

Corresponding Author Email : jawa_au@yahoo.com

