A DETAILED REVIEW ON MICROCALORIMETERS

Pratyush Kumar Deka, Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Galgotias University

ABSTRACT

Isothermal microcalorimetry is frequently utilised for biological in vitro monitoring. The heat generation rates of microcalorimeters are presently less than a microwatt. The metabolism and development of relatively low numbers of cultivated bacteria, protozoans, human cells and even tiny livestock can thus be tracked constantly and with high precision at any selected temperature. Dynamic impacts on these organisms of changes in or additions to the culture environment may readily be measured over hours. Moreover, microcalorimetry is a non-destructive approach that requires not much preparation of the sample. It is also entirely passive and so enables any later assessments on the uninterrupted sample. In this study, we give a fundamental explanation and an overview of contemporary equipment microcalorimetry for several biological applications. These include the detection of infections, the assessment of the cell impacts of pharmacological or antimicrobial drugs; the monitoring of tissue intrusion cell proliferation; and the physico-chemical stability and biocompatibility of the medical and operating device materials.

Keywords: Isothermal microcalorimetry, Microwatt, Sample.

INTRODUCTION

There are no new physiological investigations employing isothermal microcalorimetry. Scientists utilised — an ice calorimeter in the 18th century to detect the heat emission of tiny animals [1,2]. Several kinds of calorimeters have been invented and grown substantially more sensitive and precise since these first investigations. Due to the destiny of the heats generated or consumed in the calorimeter and the thermal and physical interaction of the calorimeter to its environment the various kinds of calorimeters may be identified (see [3] for a review). Differential scan calorimeters, titration calorimeters and accelerated rate calorimeters are the most frequent kinds. The emphasis of this study is on another ever more prevalent variety — isothermal microcalorimeters.

Isothermal microcalorimeters (IMCs) are characterised as devices that measure microwatt heat flow (μW = μJ/s) and operate at almost continuous temperatures[4]. The calorimeter created or consumed in the calorimetric ampoule may therefore flow between the ampoule and an aluminium sink, maintaining the calorimetric bulb and its contents within several milligrammes of the temperature of the thermostatic system where the calorimeter functions. The thermoelectric modules (e.g. Seebeck or Peltier) located between the sample and the heat sink are the actual sensor components. These thermoelectric modules

enable to turn a little temperature differential into an electric signal that can be readily recorded (see [3] for an instrument design review).

In the past thirty years, multi-channel isothermal calorimeters and microcalorimeters have become increasingly available to facilitate fast, multi-variant biological experiments with required duplicate specimens in different areas. These include soil and environmental sciences[5-7], microbiology of medicine and environment[8,9] and food sciences[10]. In addition to increased availability of traditional calorimetry devices, in recent decades several microcalorimeters on a chip were created and described[11]. For biological applications and research, chips as well as traditional microcalorimeters are of tremendous utility.

This study is to highlight the different applications of microcalorimetry produced using conventional or chip calorimeters in the biomedical sciences and to provide the reader an overview of the options available by each kind of instrument. This overview does not address the methodology and uses of isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). ITC is widely utilised for the investigation of ligand-binding interactions and ITC requires a distinct examination. In the application examples, however, numerous IMC-related applications of ITC will be described.

IMC offers a number of noteworthy benefits [8,12]. By detecting relevant heat generation or consumption, IMC enables dynamic monitoring of practically any chemical or physical process. This may be achieved in tiny specimens (for example, range g or mL and less) since it can measure very low heat generation rates by monitoring very tiny temperature variations. This not only makes IMC a sensitive approach that can monitor nano or microwaves' heat flow, but it also gives a passive evaluation of chemical and physical processes, since only heat is detected. Therefore, the IMC does not need any modification of the sample (e.g., with an added fluorescent or radioactive labels)

Nor does it influence the measuring sample. Thus the samples do not need a particular preparation for IMC and following microcalorimetric measurements they may still be submitted to subsequent measures. In addition, sample rate processes difficult to monitor in real time with conventional technologies — e.g. on opaque solids or in porous materials — are simply tracked with microcalorimetry.

The main disadvantage of microcalorimeters should be noted on the other side. This is because isothermal microcalorimeters detect a non-specific signal (like other kinds of calorimeters). The net heat flux of all processes that produce or consume heat is recorded in an IMC ampoule. This highlights the need of thorough experimental design, for example, monitoring the heat flow for ampoules containing just the specimen and no specimen, and carrying out post-IMC evaluations of the specimen and the specimen environment to establish the changes recorded by recording the heat flow.

The sample quantity needed is one of the key distinctions between big microcalorimetric equipment and chip microcalorimeters. Large ampoules were intended for accepting microcalorimetric ampoules with sizes ranging from 1 to 20 mL. Usually they are limited to ampoules of a specific size, although microcalorimeters of a chip may have reaction chambers with capacities as small as 0.7 nL [13]. These extremely tiny levels may be of tremendous utility if the assessment is possible with pricey or minuscule quantities of biological substances or implant material. Furthermore, the modest chamber dimensions of a chip microcalorimeter result in a substantially lower time constant (10 ms to a few seconds —[11,13]) than big instruments (e.g., a 20 mL ampoules of up to a few minutes —[14]). Like with microcalorimeters of the chip, the balance time is significantly shorter because of the relatively tiny sample volume to be carried at the chosen temperature (on the order of seconds to minutes). In the material testing, the chip microcalorimeter may be used as a differential scanning calorimeter, not only as an IMC device (DSC). Therefore, essential material thermal parameters such as glass transition temperatures may be determined[15,16]. However, chip microcalorimeters exchange the sensitivity sample volume [11,17]. The requirements for chip and traditional microcalorimeters for sensitivity and volume-specific heat resolution are listed in Table 1. As a result, traditional isothermal microcalorimeters are still often preferred over chip microcalorimeters and are the most often used equipment today.

The standard IMCs and chip microcalorimeters may be calibrated using several ways.

In both circumstances, the most typical technique of calibration is an integrated electrical heater that produces a known thermal power[18,19]. Moreover numerous chemical events, including acid-base reactions, sucrose hydrolysis, and changes in phases like dissolving or melting of solid substances may be utilised to calibrate the microcalorimeters (see [19] for a review). A laser of known power may also be employed for chip microcalorimeters, as detailed in [18].

CONCLUSION

Since the 1980s, microcalorimetry was widely employed for antibiotic bioassays[19-26] utilising several kinds of microcalorimeters. More recently, a comparison was made between conventional and microcalorimetric minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) measurement of many antibiotics[27-30]. The research indicated that CLSI (Clinical Laboratory & Standards Institute) guidelines found MICs using microcalorimetry were practically the same as those established. But IMC had the added benefit of readily revealing the method of action of the different antibiotics at sub-inhibitory levels (i.e., bacteriostatic vs. bacteriocidal). Many prospective novel antibacterial chemicals and antibacterial coatings have now been investigated using a microcalorimeter[31-36], as well as various antivirals[37]. In addition to antibiotic bioassays and MIC measurements, microcalorimetry is an effective way of monitoring the impact of antibiotics on the in situ development of biofilms in real time[38]. However, few IMC research have thus far focused on the impact of antimicrobial agents on biofilm activity, except from this case.

Finally, the recent recognition of IMC as a method to reliably identify the effectiveness of an anti parasite medicine in Schistosoma mansonii adult worms[39] must be acknowledged. This IMC approach is based on the determination of changes in the metabolic heat generation and motor activity of the organism caused by drugs. IMC evaluates not just the effects of medicines on worms through the total metabolic heat production but also measures metabolic changes resulting from worms moving or bending their organisms.

REFERNCES

1. Ampuero, S., & Bosset, J. O. (2003). The electronic nose applied to dairy products: A review. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *94*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(03)00321-6
2. Attal, F., Mohammed, S., Dedabrishvili, M., Chamroukhi, F., Oukhellou, L., & Amirat, Y. (2015). Physical human activity recognition using wearable sensors. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *15*(12), 31314–31338. https://doi.org/10.3390/s151229858
3. Bennett, M. D., & Leo, D. J. (2004). Ionic liquids as stable solvents for ionic polymer transducers. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *115*(1), 79–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2004.03.043
4. Bröring, A., Echterhoff, J., Jirka, S., Simonis, I., Everding, T., Stasch, C., Liang, S., & Lemmens, R. (2011). New generation Sensor Web Enablement. *Sensors*, *11*(3), 2652–2699. https://doi.org/10.3390/s110302652
5. Cheng, X. L., Zhao, H., Huo, L. H., Gao, S., & Zhao, J. G. (2004). ZnO nanoparticulate thin film: Preparation, characterization and gas-sensing property. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *102*(2), 248–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2004.04.080
6. Ebrahimi, A., Withayachumnankul, W., Al-Sarawi, S., & Abbott, D. (2014). High-sensitivity metamaterial-inspired sensor for microfluidic dielectric characterization. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *14*(5), 1345–1351. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2013.2295312
7. El-hami, M., Glynne-Jones, P., White, N. M., Hill, M., Beeby, S., James, E., Brown, A. D., & Ross, J. N. (2001). Design and fabrication of a new vibration-based electromechanical power generator. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *92*(1–3), 335–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-4247(01)00569-6
8. Fleming, W. J. (2001). Overview of Automotive Sensors. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *1*(4), 296–308. https://doi.org/10.1109/7361.983469
9. Fu, Y. Q., Luo, J. K., Du, X. Y., Flewitt, A. J., Li, Y., Markx, G. H., Walton, A. J., & Milne, W. I. (2010). Recent developments on ZnO films for acoustic wave based bio-sensing and microfluidic applications: a review. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *143*(2), 606–619.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2009.10.010

10. Goyal, R. N., Gupta, V. K., & Chatterjee, S. (2010). Voltammetric biosensors for the determination of paracetamol at carbon nanotube modified pyrolytic graphite electrode. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *149*(1), 252–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2010.05.019
11. Gupta Chatterjee, S., Chatterjee, S., Ray, A. K., & Chakraborty, A. K. (2015). Graphene-metal oxide nanohybrids for toxic gas sensor: A review. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *221*, 1170–1181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.07.070
12. He, T., Krishnamurthy, S., Luo, L., Yan, T., Gu, L., Stoleru, R., Zhou, G., Cao, Q., Vicaire, P., Stankovic, J. A., Abdelzaher, T. F., Hui, J., & Krogh, B. (2006). VigilNet: An integrated sensor network system for energy-efficient surveillance. *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, *2*(1), 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1145/1138127.1138128
13. Huang, Y.-A., & Lee, W. (2003). A cooperative intrusion detection system for ad hoc networks. *Proceedings of the 1st ACM Workshop on Security of Ad Hoc and Security of Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks (in Association with 10th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security)*, 135–147. https://doi.org/10.1145/986858.986877
14. Jang, J., & Lee, S. S. (2000). Theoretical and experimental study of MHD (magnetohydrodynamic) micropump. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *80*(1), 84–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-4247(99)00302-7
15. Lemoff, A. V, & Lee, A. P. (2000). AC magnetohydrodynamic micropump. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *63*(3), 178–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(00)00355-5
16. Lupan, O., Ursaki, V. V, Chai, G., Chow, L., Emelchenko, G. A., Tiginyanu, I. M., Gruzintsev, A. N., & Redkin, A. N. (2010). Selective hydrogen gas nanosensor using individual ZnO nanowire with fast response at room temperature. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *144*(1), 56–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2009.10.038
17. Miskowicz, M. (2006). Send-on-delta concept: An event-based data reporting strategy. *Sensors*, *6*(1), 49–63. https://doi.org/10.3390/s6010049
18. Ong, K. G., Zeng, K., & Grimes, C. A. (2002). A wireless, passive carbon nanotube-based gas sensor. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *2*(2), 82–88. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2002.1000247
19. Peng, C., Shen, G., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., & Tan, K. (2007). BeepBeep: A high accuracy acoustic ranging system using COTS mobile devices. *SenSys'07 - Proceedings of the 5th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1145/1322263.1322265
20. Rhee, I., Warrier, A., Aia, M., & Min, J. (2005). ZMAC: A hybrid MAC for wireless sensor networks. *SenSys 2005 - Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, 90–101. https://doi.org/10.1145/1098918.1098929

21. Roh, S., Chung, T., & Lee, B. (2011). Overview of the characteristics of micro- and nano-structured surface plasmon resonance sensors. *Sensors*, *11*(2), 1565–1588. https://doi.org/10.3390/s110201565

22. Sahayadhas, A., Sundaraj, K., & Murugappan, M. (2012). Detecting driver drowsiness based on sensors: A review. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *12*(12), 16937–16953. https://doi.org/10.3390/s121216937

23. Sarro, P. M. (2000). Silicon carbide as a new MEMS technology. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *82*(1), 210–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-4247(99)00335-0

24. Shimojo, M., Namiki, A., Ishikawa, M., Makino, R., & Mabuchi, K. (2004). A tactile sensor sheet using pressure conductive rubber with electrical-wires stitched method. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *4*(5), 589–596. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2004.833152

25. Simon, G., Balogh, G., Pap, G., Maróti, M., Kusy, B., Sallai, J., Lédeczi, Á., Nádas, A., & Frampton, K. (2004). Sensor network-based countersniper system. *SenSys'04 - Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1145/1031495.1031497

26. Streeter, I., Wildgoose, G. G., Shao, L., & Compton, R. G. (2008). Cyclic voltammetry on electrode surfaces covered with porous layers: An analysis of electron transfer kinetics at single-walled carbon nanotube modified electrodes. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *133*(2), 462–466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2008.03.015

27. Umasankar, Y., & Chen, S.-M. (2008). A review on the electrochemical sensors and biosensors composed of nanowires as sensing material. *Sensors*, *8*(1), 290–313. https://doi.org/10.3390/s8010290

28. Van Greunen, J., & Rabaey, J. (2003). Lightweight Time Synchronization for Sensor Networks. *Proceedings of the Second ACM International Workshop on Wireless Sensor Networks and Applications, WSNA 2003*, 11–19. https://doi.org/10.1145/941350.941353

29. Wang, L., Kang, Y., Liu, X., Zhang, S., Huang, W., & Wang, S. (2012). ZnO nanorod gas sensor for ethanol detection. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *162*(1), 237–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2011.12.073

30. Wang, X., Hu, C., Liu, H., Du, G., He, X., & Xi, Y. (2010). Synthesis of CuO nanostructures and their application for nonenzymatic glucose sensing. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *144*(1), 220–225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2009.09.067

31. Werner-Allen, G., Johnson, J., Ruiz, M., Lees, J., & Welsh, M. (2005). Monitoring volcanic eruptions with a wireless sensor network. *Proceedings of the Second European Workshop on Wireless Sensor Networks, EWSN 2005*, *2005*, 108–120.

https://doi.org/10.1109/EWSN.2005.1462003

32. Wong, S. H., Ward, M. C. L., & Wharton, C. W. (2004). Micro T-mixer as a rapid mixing micromixer. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *100*(3), 359–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2004.02.008
33. Yang, Z., Matsumoto, S., Goto, H., Matsumoto, M., & Maeda, R. (2001). Ultrasonic micromixer for microfluidic systems. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *93*(3), 266–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-4247(01)00654-9
34. Yeo, T. L., Sun, T., & Grattan, K. T. V. (2008). Fibre-optic sensor technologies for humidity and moisture measurement. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *144*(2), 280–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2008.01.017
35. Zhang, G., & Liu, M. (2000). Effect of particle size and dopant on properties of SnO2-based gas sensors. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *69*(1), 144–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(00)00528-1
36. Zhang, W.-M., Yan, H., Peng, Z.-K., & Meng, G. (2014). Electrostatic pull-in instability in MEMS/NEMS: A review. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *214*, 187–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2014.04.025
37. Zhang, W., Peng, G., Li, C., Chen, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2017). A new deep learning model for fault diagnosis with good anti-noise and domain adaptation ability on raw vibration signals. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *17*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/s17020425
38. Zhou, G., He, T., Krishnamurthy, S., & Stankovic, J. A. (2006). Models and solutions for radio irregularity in wireless sensor networks. *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, *2*(2), 221–262. https://doi.org/10.1145/1149283.1149287
39. Zhu, Z., Garcia-Gancedo, L., Flewitt, A. J., Xie, H., Moussy, F., & Milne, W. I. (2012). A critical review of Glucose biosensors based on Carbon nanomaterials: Carbon nanotubes and graphene. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *12*(5), 5996–6022. https://doi.org/10.3390/s120505996