A DETAILED REVIEW ON SENSORS, SIGNAL PROCESSING & TREMOR

Usha Chauhan, Associate Professor, Department of Electronics, Electrical and Communications, Galgotias University

ABSTRACT

The most frequent movement problem is neurological tremor, affecting almost 4% of the elderly. Tremor is an increasingly acknowledged non-linear and non-stationary event. The problem of sensor selection is crucial to the characterisation of tremor. This research examines state-of-the-art signal processing techniques and instruments for tremors in people. We outline the benefits and inconveniences of the most used sensors and the new wearable sensors that are intended to instantly evaluate tremors. We examine the existing restrictions and applications such as the integration of tremor sensors in BCIs (brain computer interfaces) and the necessity for wearable solutions in sensor fusion techniques.

Keywords: Review, Sensors, Signal Processing

INTRODUCTION

Tremor is the most prevalent condition in movement. The frequency and frequency of this disease increases with age, affecting more than 4% of people over 65 years of age[1]. More than two-thirds of the upper limb tremor population have substantial difficulty in their everyday lives. Although tremor is not life-threatening, it does create functional impairment and social annoyance and contaminates everyday tasks, such as writing, pouring, eating, etc. [2].

Tremor may be characterised as a rhythmic bodily shaking[3]. The so-called physiological tremor occurs in healthy people and consists of two distinctive oscillations (mechanical and central neurogenic reflexes), superimposed on the basis of irregular muscle forces and displacement fluctuations[4,5]. The mechanical reflection component is driven by the inertial and elastic characteristics of the body, whereas the central neurogenic component is connected with motor activity modulation controlled by the active generators in the brain. The engine units normally release roughly 8–13 Hz[6]. The centre component is insensitive to inertia. Anxiety, stress, exhaustion and drugs might increase physiological tremor.

Tremor is clinically defined in neurological patients as rest, postural and/or cinematic tremor[7] in accordance with its manner of clinical presentation. Repose trembling occurs during rest. Postural tremor is caused by a posture or a stance against gravity. The kinetic tremor is evocated by a deliberate movement and is as close as possible to the goal. Tremor is defined by its prominent frequency and spectral density in a clinical environment. The frequency of remaining tremor frequency is normally in the 3–6 Hz range [7,8] and may rise with mental stress (i.e. reverse counting) or purposeful reverse movement [8]. Idiopathic Parkinson's disease is the most prevalent cause of rest tremor. Postural tremor frequency normally ranges between 4 and 12 Hz. Many illnesses in the upper limbs are linked with postural tremor. The most prevalent cause is essential tremor[9]. In the vast majority of instances, kinetic tremor has a frequency between 2 and 7 Hz[7]. The primary example of kinetic tremor in cerebellar disorders is tremor. Either random or inherited illnesses are cerebellar diseases [10]. The cerebellum is considered a significant tremorgenesis location. Detailed lesion mapping investigations have now pinpointed the cerebellar areas that induce limb or trunk deficiencies[11]. As far as imagery is concerned, brain MRI has become a common method for neurological patient assessment and follow-ups[12].

Unabhängig of their technical qualities, all biomedical equipment needs the correct placing of the sensor on the segment of the body and a silent recording environment free of electrical interference. Regular instrumentation calibration should not be overlooked. For intra- and inter-patient comparisons standardised recording processes are essential[8]. The same examiner should, if feasible, conduct both the clinical examination and the quantification of the tremor in order to limit the anxiety effect, which may produce considerable changes in results[18]. After clinical evaluation, tremor recordings should be conducted promptly. Functional tests give important extra information to better understand the impairments of patients. Functional assessment is now part of the neurological tremor examination. If feasible, the necessity for blind or even double-blind research should be highlighted as well as future trials with control circumstances.

The problem of locating tremor sources remains essential to tremor research[19]. The selection of the sensor enables (1) to better understand the contributions from super-spinal and spinal structures and (2) how trembling is influenced by the condition of the neuromuscular periphery. The use of sensors that do not impede wrist movements significantly (so called "soft" tremor sensors) and more rigid sensors (approximating the condition to isometric) has shown that the attachment of a wrist section lowers bilaterally the amplitude and the impact on tremor frequency is relatively low [19], which suggests an important role for neuromuscular and spinal cord mechanisms in d This is an example of how the mechanical qualities of a sensor impact and how the processes of tremor are interpreted.

Accelerometry is straightforward, reasonably reliable, and remains a practical method for measuring frequency and amplitude of body segment oscillations[7]. Sensors are attached to the skin at anatomical sites. Study shows an example of the upper limb tremor, obtained using a combination of accelerometry and EMG surface recording.

Different degrees of limb support were proposed[26]. Recently, it was shown the possibility of utilising accelerometer-based data to determine the severity of symptoms and motor difficulties in individuals with Parkinson's disease [27]. Accelerometers are also used to intraoperatively evaluate the optimal site for deep brain stimulation (DBS) implant electrodes and for neurophysiological stereotactic action monitoring of motion disorders[28]. Accelerometers enable an estimate of body orientation segments, trunk acceleration, limb acceleration / velocity [8]. These are clinically relevant markers for neurological patient treatment. There are additional uses beyond human illnesses, e.g. in animal tremor models[29]. An example is the harmaline-induced tremor model in rats.

CONCLUSION

Neurological tremor is a debilitating and frequent sign of different conditions such as Parkinson's disease, critical tremor or brain ataxia. The evaluation, based mostly on popular sensors, such as accelerometres and EMG, is crucial to (1) patient evaluation, (2) disease progression tracking and (3) treatment modification. Efforts should be made to pick the sensors of concern for a particular experiment in order to provide data in accordance with the clinical-functional evaluation of the severe tremor. Discrepancies are anticipated, but as little as feasible. Sensitive procedures are necessary. Small, lightweight, and adaptable clinically proven sensors offer the best odds of success. New paths of study are developing, in addition to traditional sensors, to define and minimise trembling via everyday activities. The so-called sensor fusion methodology integrates information from many sensors and might give novel approaches for characterising oscillatory events, including BCIs, with direct therapeutic consequences in the medium term. The field of wearable sensors expands rapidly and it is hoped that the network in the body will assist to control a debilitating tremor soon utilising classic or hybrid sensor clusters.

REFERENCES

1. Baccour, N., Koubaa, A., Mottola, L., Zuniga, M. A., Youssef, H., Boano, C. A., & Alves, M. (2012). Radio link quality estimation in wireless sensor networks: A survey. *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, *8*(4). https://doi.org/10.1145/2240116.2240123

2. Barbour, N., & Schmidt, G. (2001). Inertial sensor technology trends. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *1*(4), 332–339. https://doi.org/10.1109/7361.983473

3. Basu, S., & Bhattacharyya, P. (2012). Recent developments on graphene and graphene oxide based solid state gas sensors. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *173*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.07.092

4. Dietrich, I., & Dressler, F. (2009). On the lifetime of wireless sensor networks. *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.1145/1464420.1464425

5. Fraden, J. (2016). Handbook of modern sensors: Physics, designs, and applications. In *Handbook of Modern Sensors: Physics, Designs, and Applications*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19303-8

6. Gumpu, M. B., Sethuraman, S., Krishnan, U. M., & Rayappan, J. B. B. (2015). A review on detection of heavy metal ions in water - An electrochemical approach. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *213*, 515–533. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.02.122

7. Kelly, S. D. T., Suryadevara, N. K., & Mukhopadhyay, S. C. (2013). Towards the implementation of IoT for environmental condition monitoring in homes. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *13*(10), 3846–3853. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2013.2263379

8. Lefeuvre, E., Badel, A., Richard, C., Petit, L., & Guyomar, D. (2006). A comparison between several vibration-powered piezoelectric generators for standalone systems. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *126*(2), 405–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2005.10.043

9. Leung, A., Shankar, P. M., & Mutharasan, R. (2007). A review of fiber-optic biosensors. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *125*(2), 688–703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2007.03.010

10. Ma, X., Dai, Z., He, Z., Ma, J., Wang, Y., & Wang, Y. (2017). Learning traffic as images: A deep convolutional neural network for large-scale transportation network speed prediction. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *17*(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/s17040818

11. Maurer, U., Smailagic, A., Siewiorek, D. P., & Deisher, M. (2006). Activity recognition and monitoring using multiple sensors on different body positions. *Proceedings - BSN 2006: International Workshop on Wearable and Implantable Body Sensor Networks*, *2006*, 113–116. https://doi.org/10.1109/BSN.2006.6

12. Mihailov, S. J. (2012). Fiber bragg grating sensors for harsh environments. *Sensors*, *12*(2), 1898–1918. https://doi.org/10.3390/s120201898

13. Muro-de-la-Herran, A., García-Zapirain, B., & Méndez-Zorrilla, A. (2014). Gait analysis methods: An overview of wearable and non-wearable systems, highlighting clinical applications. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *14*(2), 3362–3394. https://doi.org/10.3390/s140203362

14. Nguyen, H. H., Park, J., Kang, S., & Kim, M. (2015). Surface plasmon resonance: A versatile technique for biosensor applications. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *15*(5), 10481–10510. https://doi.org/10.3390/s150510481

15. Nisar, A., Afzulpurkar, N., Mahaisavariya, B., & Tuantranont, A. (2008). MEMS-based micropumps in drug delivery and biomedical applications. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *130*(2), 917–942. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2007.10.064

16. Park, Y.-L., Chen, B.-R., & Wood, R. J. (2012). Design and fabrication of soft artificial skin using embedded microchannels and liquid conductors. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *12*(8), 2711–2718. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2012.2200790

17. Przydatek, B., Song, D., & Perrig, A. (2003). SIA: Secure information aggregation in sensor networks. *SenSys'03: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, 255–265. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-18844457825&partnerID=40&md5=7210f5d6995e57ca16de398a3099fd7f

18. Rahman, M. M., Ahammad, A. J. S., Jin, J.-H., Ahn, S. J., & Lee, J.-J. (2010). A comprehensive review of glucose biosensors based on nanostructured metal-oxides. *Sensors*, *10*(5), 4855–4886. https://doi.org/10.3390/s100504855

19. Rittersma, Z. M. (2002). Recent achievements in miniaturised humidity sensors - A review of

transduction techniques. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *96*(2–3), 196–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-4247(01)00788-9

20. Ruiz-Garcia, L., Lunadei, L., Barreiro, P., & Robla, J. I. (2009). A review of wireless sensor technologies and applications in agriculture and food industry: State of the art and current trends. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *9*(6), 4728–4750. https://doi.org/10.3390/s90604728
21. Sakai, G., Matsunaga, N., Shimanoe, K., & Yamazoe, N. (2001). Theory of gas-diffusion controlled sensitivity for thin film semiconductor gas sensor. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *80*(2), 125–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(01)00890-5
22. Sang, L., Liao, M., & Sumiya, M. (2013). A comprehensive review of semiconductor ultraviolet photodetectors: From thin film to one-dimensional nanostructures. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *13*(8), 10482–10518. https://doi.org/10.3390/s130810482
23. Sohraby, K., Minoli, D., & Znati, T. (2006). Wireless Sensor Networks: Technology, Protocols, and Applications. In *Wireless Sensor Networks: Technology, Protocols, and Applications*. John Wiley and Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/047011276X
24. Titzer, B. L., Lee, D. K., & Palsberg, J. (2005). Avrora: Scalable sensor network simulation with precise timing. *2005 4th International Symposium on Information Processing in Sensor Networks, IPSN 2005*, *2005*, 477–482. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPSN.2005.1440978
25. Varghese, O. K., Kichambre, P. D., Gong, D., Ong, K. G., Dickey, E. C., & Grimes, C. A. (2001). Gas sensing characteristics of multi-wall carbon nanotubes. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *81*(1), 32–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(01)00923-6
26. Varghese, S. S., Lonkar, S., Singh, K. K., Swaminathan, S., & Abdala, A. (2015). Recent advances in graphene based gas sensors. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *218*, 160–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.04.062
27. Xing, G., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Lu, C., Pless, R., & Gill, C. (2005). Integrated Coverage and Connectivity Configuration for Energy Conservation in Sensor Networks. *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, *1*(1), 36–72. https://doi.org/10.1145/1077391.1077394
28. Yamazoe, N., & Shimanoe, K. (2008). Theory of power laws for semiconductor gas sensors. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, *128*(2), 566–573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2007.07.036
29. Yousef, H., Boukallel, M., & Althoefer, K. (2011). Tactile sensing for dexterous in-hand manipulation in robotics - A review. *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*, *167*(2), 171–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2011.02.038