# VEREFICATION OF THE METHOD FOR THE EVALUATION OF DIELECTRIC RELAXATION PARAMETER

# A. K. Nishad\*

Department of Physics, St. Andrew's College,Gorakhpur – 273001, UP, India \* Corresponding author e. mail: <u>anilnishad05@gmail.com</u>,

# ABSTRACT

An easier and simple method has earlier been proposed for the evaluation of dielectric relaxation parameter from ITC spectrum. This method utilizes the maximum depolarization current and total charge released during ITC measurement. In this paper the method is verified using experimental data.

Keywords: Depolarization, Dielectrics, activation

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

The re-orientational behavior of impurity vacancy (IV), dipoles can be suitably studied using ionic thermocurrent (ITC) measurements proposed by Bucci, Fieschi, and Guidi [1]. There are various method proposed by different authors for analysis of ITC spectrum. Few of these methods are proposed by Bucci, Fieschi, and Guidi (BFG Method) [1] and Prakash at el [2] etc.. The author has proposed simple and convenient method for the evaluation of dielectric relaxation parameter from the ITC spectrum [3]. In the present paper, the proposed method is verified using experimental data of various authors. The evaluated parameters are found to be in good agreement with the reported values.

### **PROPOSED METHOD OF ANALYSIS:**

A brief discussion of method proposed by author [3] is as follows In the ITC measurement, the depolarization of current is given by

$$I(T) = \frac{Q}{C_{\tau}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{C_{b\tau 0}} \int_{T_f}^T \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{kT}\right) dT'\right]$$
(1)

Where  $T_F$  is the temperature wherefrom the depolarization current starts to appear,  $\tau_0$  is the pre-expotantial factor,  $E_a$  is the activation energy, Q is total charge released in ITC measurement and **b** is linear heating rate. The temperature  $T_M$  at which depolarization current is maximum is given by

$$T_M = \left[\frac{bE_a \,\tau \,C_M}{k}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2}$$

Where  $\tau C_M$  is relaxation of time at  $T_M$ .

The temperature dependence of relaxation time  $\tau$  is expressed by Arrhenius relation

$$\tau C = \tau C_0 Exp\left[\frac{E_a}{kT}\right]$$
(3)

Eqn.(1) can be solved [3] and written as

$$I(T) = \left(\frac{Q}{\tau}\right) exp\left[-\frac{kT^2}{bE_aC\tau}\right] \quad (4)$$

With the help of eqn. (1) and (2), the maximum depolarization current is given by

$$I_M = \frac{Q}{C_{\tau M}} exp[-1] \tag{5}$$

The total charge released in ITC measurement is given by

$$Q = (1/b) \int_{(T_F)}^{\infty} I(T) dT$$

$$Q = \frac{Area \ enclosed \ in \ ITC \ Spectrum}{corresponding \ linear \ heating \ rate}$$
(6)

After calculating the total area of ITC spectrum, Q is calculated by using eqn.(6) which turn give the value of  $\tau C_M$  using eqn.(3) at T<sub>M</sub> i.e., by equation

$$\tau C_{M} = C_{\tau 0} Exp\left[\frac{E_{a}}{kT_{M}}\right]$$
(7)

This  $\tau C_M$  is used to evaluate  $E_a$  using eqn. (2). Once  $E_a$  is known,  $\tau C_0$  is calculated by equation (7).

# **RESULT AND DISCUSSION:**

The suggested method of analysis has been applied to a number of cases and the evaluated parameters are present in Table 1.

System	Reported		Calculated		Reference		
	E <sub>a</sub> (eV)	$\tau_{0}(s)$	E <sub>a</sub> (eV)	$\tau_0(s)$			
NaCl:Cd <sup>2+</sup>	0.67	2.8 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	0.67	2.8 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	4		
NaCl:Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.695	8.3 x 10 <sup>-15</sup>	070	5.4 x 10 <sup>-15</sup>	5		
KCl:S <sup>2-</sup>	0.77	1.3 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	0.76	1.6 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	6		
KCl:Mg <sup>2+</sup>	0.49	3.9 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>	0.49	5.4 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>	7		
KBr:S <sup>2-</sup>	0.70	5.4 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	0.68	1.6 x 10 <sup>-13</sup>	8		
KBr:Sr <sup>2+</sup>	0.66	4.0 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	0.68	1.3 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	9		
KI:S <sup>2-</sup>	0.61	10 x10 <sup>-14</sup>	0.61	9.9 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	10		

Table: 1: Values of the dielectric relaxation parameter in different systems.

#### © 2018 JETIR August 2018, Volume 5, Issue 8

www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

	<u> </u>	,			
KI:Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.49	1.0 x 10 <sup>-11</sup>	0.49	7.1 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>	11
AgCl:Mn <sup>2+</sup>	0.31	6.2 x 10 <sup>-13</sup>	0.32	3.5 x 10 <sup>-13</sup>	12
AgCl:Pb <sup>2+</sup>	0.333	10.5x10 <sup>-14</sup>	0.34	5.6 x 10 <sup>-14</sup>	12

It is obvious from the table that a very good agreement exists between the evaluated parameters and those obtaining following BFG method. The extent of agreement shown in Table 1 between the evaluated and reported values gives a testimony to the suitability of the suggested method of analysis.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** The author is thankful to Rev. Prof. J. K. Lal, Principal, St. Andrew's college, Gorakhpur, for this support and encouragement.

#### **REFRENCES:**

- [1] Bucci C., Fieschi R. and Guidi G., Phy. Rev.(USA), 148 (1996),816.
- [2] Prakash J., Rahul and Nishad A.K., Phys. Status Solidi a (Germany),91(1985), 685.
- [3] Nishad A. K., Journal of Emerging Technology and Innovative Research, Accepted for publication November-2007.
- [4] Capelletti R. and Benedetti E., Phys. Rev. (USA),165(1968), 981.
- [5] Dansas P., Phys. Chem. Sol. (GB), 32(1971),2699.
- [6] Kokott Ch. And Fischer F. Phys. Status Solidi b(Germany),106(1981), 141.
- [7] Brun A. and Dansas P., J. Phys. C (GB),7(1974), 2793.
- [8] Prakash J., Phys. Status Solidi b (Germany), 117(1983), 161.
- [9] Muccill R. and Rolfe J., Phys. Status Solidi b (Germany), 61(1974), 579.
- [10] Prakash J. and Fischer F., Phys. Status Solidi a (Germany), 39(1977), 499.
- [11] Zilio S. C. and De Souza M., Phys. Status Solidi b (Germany), 80(1977), 597.
- [12] Kunze I. and Muller P., Phys. Status Solidi (Germany),33 (1969), 91.77