

A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW ON WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK MANAGEMENT

Janarthanan, Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Galgotias University

ABSTRACT

More and more people are getting interested in wireless sensor networks (WSNs) because of the Internet of Things (IoT). Deploying thousands or even hundreds of thousands of sensor nodes or actuators is likely in order for a number of real-world applications, including smart grids, smart farming, and smart health. It is essential that a competent WSN management system be included in order to effectively and efficiently manage the sensor network. There are problems inherent in establishing good conventional WSN management due to the intrinsic issues of WSNs such as sensor/actuator heterogeneity, application reliance, and resource limits. As the WSN becomes bigger, the challenge of managing it rises. The flexibility provided by Software Defined Networking (SDN) offers a potential option for flexible network administration in sensor networks in which the control logic is separate from the sensor nodes and actuators. Network-wide management protocols and applications may be deployed centrally, thanks to SDN-based management in WSNs. This article describes current research in conventional WSN management and presents details on SDN-based solutions for WSN management while highlighting the benefits of SDN. This study also analyses open research issues in devising SDN-based WSN configuration and administration techniques that are more flexible and simpler to use.

KEYWORDS: WSN, Sensors, Networking.

INTRODUCTION

WSNs, sometimes called sensor networks, are groups of sensor nodes that have both sensing and actuation (control) capabilities. Nodes in the system need to communicate through wireless communication to carry out the sensing activity, and at the same time they are also autonomous since some user-driven data collecting is feasible. This project has many sensors, motors, actuators, and radio communication [5,6]. IoT is required to monitor various items in applications including smart cities, smart health care, smart water networks, smart power grids, smart farming, and intelligent transport systems. Therefore, WSNs are an ever-important part of the IoT. Most wireless sensor nodes do not have tethers to power them, since they use just a minimal amount of energy. WSNs are very versatile, but they also provide a hurdle in terms of research as they are bound by both application-specific and resource-restricted design. use of WSNs has risen.

This places a restriction on the ways in which this technology may be employed, as the resource-constrained nature of the business dictates. Wireless sensor networks' fundamental drawback is connected to the sensor hardware's resource limitations: processor, memory, energy, and communication capabilities. This limits its use. Additionally, it is also important to address quality of service (QoS) for successful

network functioning, especially when nodes expand to huge numbers. While many industrial and medical applications need both quality and dependability, this is particularly relevant in these industries, where efficiency and cost-effectiveness are especially crucial [16–19].

Additionally, these nodes must be able to analyse and manipulate data while also being able to dynamically adjust their duties. In order to have a repeatable process, nodes must be reprogrammable while operations are occurring. To perform a sensor job on a WSN, sensors that are now being utilised must be difficult to re-task with a new parameter that must be measured. They provide an example of 100 sensor nodes positioned in a lake to monitor a water quality parameter in Hu et al. [20]. In order to reprogram sensor tasks on an application-specific sensor network, sensors would have to be pulled out of the water and the embedded software in each sensor then reprogrammed. This strategy wouldn't be practical for WSNs of the required size. Vendors with integrated Over-the-Air Programming (OTAP) approaches use specialised data sensing and packet forwarding protocols, but they must also use a vendor-specific protocol for managing their device's storage and forwarding functions. Though the specific APIs used to interact with the WSN may be a problem, being acquainted with them may help. Reusing common features can speed the prototype and development of WSNs.

System self-healing techniques

System self-healing techniques are needed to help sustain the overall system. To adjust for different situations, for example, decreasing service quality when the energy supply is limited, the system should be able to alter the parameters. In this situation, using an existing constricted network band creates a difficulty with regards to the control and data packets. Network management is particularly difficult to implement on large-scale WSNs.

WSNs suffer from intrinsic difficulties that originate from the physical layer all the way down to the application layer as a network that provides both data forwarding and network management. On a small scale, because of established methods, this implementation is well-suited for WSNs that have limited range. However, when attempting to handle a long range and low power WSN at a big scale, it has a lot of flaws.

SOLUTIONS

Several solutions have been investigated and developed to tackle this issue with regards to managing WSNs, and some of these ways have used the notion of Software Defined Networking (SDN). SDN is a new leading networking architecture, especially in the data centre [22,23]. The traffic routing control plane and data packet forwarding plane are conceptually divided into two independent networks, called the control plane and data plane respectively. SDN was built to function with conventional wired and wireless networks, which means it has inherent challenges applying it to WSNs because of the limitations and limits inherent in the WSN design.

Software Defined Wireless Networks, often known as SD-WANs, provide the potential for enhanced management adaptability and flexibility via the use of software-defined networking to wireless sensor networking [(SDWSNs). Adding an application to the control plane is exactly the same as establishing an NMS. It also has the benefit of providing a centralised network visualisation which network managers desire. SDN allows regular WSNs to have advantageous features that are helping to fuel the creation and development of various SDN-based WSN applications. There has been a distinct lack of discussion on how SDN would better handle WSNs or how the SDN idea should be integrated.

WSN-based SDN management designs. Regardless of the planned scaling size of a network for distinct applications, proper management solutions should be built from the start. So far, most survey articles have dealt with generic SDWSN concepts; nevertheless, topics that are of utmost importance to the effectiveness of a capable WSN are still to be addressed.

CONCLUSION

This article evaluated the different approaches and methodologies for managing WSNs and their overall SDN-based management. While having diverse hardware in the network, the SDN paradigm has provided flexibility and simplicity into wireless sensor network management. A spotlight was placed on the many WSN real-world applications and how SDN will enhance their administration. However, because of the intrinsic features of WSNs, integrating SDN is much more difficult than intended.

Unlike data-centric WSNs, address-centric networks are distinct from networks that use location data. In addition, this article also explored the general SDN-based WSN network design and analysed the management strategies that assure efficient network operation. In the section on network management, a review of the various management classifications was done, such as managing the network configuration, topology, QoS, energy, security, network monitoring, and enabling technologies. Focused on SDN-enabled node hardware and software, the review also touched on each SDN-enabled feature and application.

REFERENCES

1. Ahram, T., Sargolzaei, A., Sargolzaei, S., Daniels, J., & Amaba, B. (2017). Blockchain technology innovations. *2017 IEEE Technology and Engineering Management Society Conference, TEMSCON 2017*, 137–141. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEMSCON.2017.7998367>
2. Castillejo, P., Martinez, J.-F., Rodriguez-Molina, J., & Cuerva, A. (2013). Integration of wearable devices in a wireless sensor network for an E-health application. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 20(4), 38–49. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MWC.2013.6590049>
3. Fortino, G., Guerrieri, A., Russo, W., & Savaglio, C. (2014). Integration of agent-based and Cloud Computing for the smart objects-oriented IoT. *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE 18th International*

Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design, CSCWD 2014, 493–498.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/CSCWD.2014.6846894>

4. Hiremath, S., Yang, G., & Mankodiya, K. (2015). Wearable Internet of Things: Concept, architectural components and promises for person-centered healthcare. *Proceedings of the 2014 4th International Conference on Wireless Mobile Communication and Healthcare - "Transforming Healthcare Through Innovations in Mobile and Wireless Technologies"*, MOBIHEALTH 2014, 304–307. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MOBIHEALTH.2014.7015971>
5. Han, C., Jornet, J. M., Fadel, E., & Akyildiz, I. F. (2013). A cross-layer communication module for the Internet of Things. *Computer Networks*, 57(3), 622–633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2012.10.003>
6. Hussain, A., Wenbi, R., Da Silva, A. L., Nadher, M., & Mudhish, M. (2015). Health and emergency-care platform for the elderly and disabled people in the Smart City. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 110, 253–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2015.08.041>
7. Istepanian, R. S. H., Hu, S., Philip, N. Y., & Sungoor, A. (2011). The potential of Internet of m-health Things m-IoT for non-invasive glucose level sensing. *Proceedings of the Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBS*, 5264–5266. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.2011.6091302>
8. Karafiloski, E., & Mishev, A. (2017). Blockchain solutions for big data challenges: A literature review. In K. L. Latkoski P. Cvetkovski G. (Ed.), *17th IEEE International Conference on Smart Technologies, EUROCON 2017 - Conference Proceedings* (pp. 763–768). Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EUROCON.2017.8011213>
9. Mir, S. H., Nagahara, L. A., Thundat, T., Mokarian-Tabari, P., Furukawa, H., & Khosla, A. (2018). Review - Organic-inorganic hybrid functional materials: An integrated platform for applied technologies. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 165(8), B3137–B3156. <https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0191808jes>
10. Mohamed Shakeel, P., Baskar, S., Sarma Dhulipala, V. R., Mishra, S., & Jaber, M. M. (2018). Maintaining Security and Privacy in Health Care System Using Learning Based Deep-Q-Networks. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 42(10). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-018-1045-z>
11. Moosavi, S. R., Gia, T. N., Nigussie, E., Rahmani, A. M., Virtanen, S., Tenhunen, H., & Isoaho, J. (2016). End-to-end security scheme for mobility enabled healthcare Internet of Things. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 64, 108–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2016.02.020>
12. Moosavi, S. R., Gia, T. N., Rahmani, A.-M., Nigussie, E., Virtanen, S., Isoaho, J., & Tenhunen, H. (2015). SEA: A secure and efficient authentication and authorization architecture for IoT-based healthcare using smart gateways. In S. E. (Ed.), *Procedia Computer Science* (Vol. 52, Issue 1, pp. 452–459). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.05.013>

13. Nawir, M., Amir, A., Yaakob, N., & Lynn, O. B. (2017). Internet of Things (IoT): Taxonomy of security attacks. *2016 3rd International Conference on Electronic Design, ICED 2016*, 321–326. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICED.2016.7804660>
14. Pang, Z., Zheng, L., Tian, J., Kao-Walter, S., Dubrova, E., & Chen, Q. (2015). Design of a terminal solution for integration of in-home health care devices and services towards the Internet-of-Things. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 9(1), 86–116. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17517575.2013.776118>
15. Petajarvi, J., Mikhaylov, K., Hamalainen, M., & Inatti, J. (2016). Evaluation of LoRa LPWAN technology for remote health and wellbeing monitoring. *International Symposium on Medical Information and Communication Technology, ISMICT, 2016-June*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMICT.2016.7498898>
16. Rodrigues, J. J. P. C., De Rezende Segundo, D. B., Junqueira, H. A., Sabino, M. H., Prince, R. M., Al-Muhtadi, J., & De Albuquerque, V. H. C. (2018). Enabling Technologies for the Internet of Health Things. *IEEE Access*, 6, 13129–13141. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2789329>
17. Roehrs, A., Da Costa, C. A., Da Rosa Righi, R., & De Oliveira, K. S. F. (2017). Personal health records: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5876>
18. Rohokale, V. M., Prasad, N. R., & Prasad, R. (2011). A cooperative Internet of Things (IoT) for rural healthcare monitoring and control. *2011 2nd International Conference on Wireless Communication, Vehicular Technology, Information Theory and Aerospace and Electronic Systems Technology, Wireless VITAE 2011*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WIRELESSVITAE.2011.5940920>
19. Satija, U., Ramkumar, B., & Manikandan, S. M. (2017). Real-Time Signal Quality-Aware ECG Telemetry System for IoT-Based Health Care Monitoring. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 4(3), 815–823. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2017.2670022>
20. Sivanathan, A., Sherratt, D., Gharakheili, H. H., Radford, A., Wijenayake, C., Vishwanath, A., & Sivaraman, V. (2017). Characterizing and classifying IoT traffic in smart cities and campuses. *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Communications Workshops, INFOCOM WKSHPS 2017*, 559–564. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOMW.2017.8116438>
21. Willenbring, M. L., & Olson, D. H. (1999). A randomized trial of integrated outpatient treatment for medically ill alcoholic men. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 159(16), 1946–1952. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.159.16.1946>
22. Wu, T., Wu, F., Redoute, J.-M., & Yuce, M. R. (2017). An Autonomous Wireless Body Area Network Implementation Towards IoT Connected Healthcare Applications. *IEEE Access*, 5, 11413–11422. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2716344>