

# Efficient Throughput Energy for WSN using Dynamic Cluster Head Selection and Fuzzy Interference System

Sourabh Solanki<sup>1\*</sup>, Munna Lal Jatav<sup>2\*</sup>, Dr. J.V. Ogale<sup>3\*</sup>, Bharti Mehra<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M. Tech. Scholar, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Samrat Ashok Technological Institute, Vidisha, M.P. India,

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Samrat Ashok Technological Institute, Vidisha, M.P. India,

<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Samrat Ashok Technological Institute, Vidisha, M.P. India,

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Samrat Ashok Technological Institute, Vidisha, M.P. India.

**Abstract-** The collection of enormous amount of homogenous sensor nodes forms the Wireless Sensor Network. These sensor nodes have restricted battery power and memory and so the limited amount of energy is considered as the major issue. To overcome this issue several mechanisms were proposed, among them clustering is a popular way which minimizes the consumption of energy in the sensor nodes and thus the life span of the Wireless Sensor Network can be increased. Grouping the sensor nodes in an energy efficient and distributed approach is considered as the important issue in clustering. So in order to triumph over these issues, a Fuzzy Based Dynamic Clustering (FDC) in Wireless Sensor Network is proposed. A fair comparison is done between this proposed algorithm and some existing algorithms. The simulation results obtained reveals that our proposed algorithm increases the lifetime and has better energy efficiency.

**Keywords—** Wireless Sensor Network, Fuzzy Logic System, Packet Delivery Ratio, Dead Node, Energy

## I. INTRODUCTION

Although wireless transmission has history of more than a century, it has found wide spread use in communication systems only in the last 3 decades. One of the most transformative technology trends of the past decade is the availability and growing expectation of ubiquitous connectivity and wireless networks are at the epicenter of this trend.

The recent advancements in the technology and manufacturing of small and low-cost sensors have made application of these sensors technically and economically feasible. These sensor nodes are designed to possess certain sensing, computing and wireless communication capabilities. These sensors measure ambient conditions in the environment surrounding them and then convert these measurements into signals that can be processed to reveal some information about phenomena located in the area around these sensors. A large number of these sensors can be networked in many applications that require unattended operations, hence creating a wireless sensor network (WSN) [1].

One of the advantages of wireless sensors networks (WSNs) is their ability to operate unattended in harsh environments in which present-day human monitoring schemes are risky, inefficient and sometimes infeasible [2].

## 1.1 Localization

The locational data assumes a crucial part in scope, organization reason, directing data, locational benefit, target following and safeguard operations in remote sensor systems. The restriction data is vital where there is an uncertainty about some situating. On the off chance that the sensor organize is utilized for watching the temperature in a building, it is evident to know the exact area of every hub [2, 3]. On the unfriendly, if the sensor organize is utilized for watching the climatic condition in a remote timberland, sensor hubs might be spread out in the area via plane and the separate area of most sensors might be obscure. A confinement calculation can utilize all the accessible restriction data from the bits to figure every one of the positions. Hubs are sent with a Global Positioning System (GPS), however this is an exorbitant arrangement as far as volume, cash and power consumption. For this reason numerous limitation conventions are proposed [4]. Restriction in remote sensor systems is performed by following these 3 stages:

1. Separation estimation - This stage includes estimation systems to appraise the relative separation between the hubs [4].
2. Position calculation - It comprises of calculations to figure the directions of the obscure hub concerning the area of known grapple hubs or other neighboring hubs. Triangulation, multi-lateration, and vicinity are a few procedures that are utilized for detecting location. It utilizes the geometric properties of triangles to compute hub areas. Triangulation are grouped into lateration and angulation. lateration is figured utilizing separation estimations and angulation is computed utilizing edge information.
- 2-measurement strategy is to ascertain the hub area utilizing lateration, remove data from 3 reference focuses is required and utilizing angulation, 2 point estimations and 1 separate data is required [5].
3. Confinement calculations - It decides how the data concerning separations and positions are controlled, with a specific end goal to permit the vast majority of the hubs of WSN to evaluate their position. Ideally the confinement calculations may include calculations to diminish the errors. In this paper, go free restriction calculation in particular MAP-M&N and meta-heuristic calculation Tabu Search was proposed alongside MAP-M&N and the normal blunder in limitation was investigated utilizing these calculations [6, 7].

The power of the received radio signal strength attenuates exponentially with the increase in distance. The power of the received radio signal falls off exponentially with distance increasing, and the receiver can measure this attenuation

based on Received Signal Strength Indication (RSSI) in order to estimate the distance to the sender [8].

**Modifying existing messages:** This operation threatens integrity of messages. It confuses or misleads the parties involved in the communication protocol, e.g. by changing reported sensor readings, or by corrupting routing control packets, causing energy to be wasted on erroneous routing.

**Fabricating false messages:** By fabricating false messages authenticity of the messages is disturbed by reporting false sensor readings, or by propagating bogus routing errors, causing energy to be wasted on erroneous routing. This operation can also facilitate DoS attacks, by flooding the network, in the hope of overwhelming it with bogus messages.

**Replaying existing messages:** The freshness of the messages is affected by this attack. The users are made not time-aware and can be used for man-in-the-middle attacks against cryptographic protocols, and if the attacks are successful, would lead to the violation of confidentiality, integrity and authenticity, and even service availability [9, 10].

**Deviating from protocol:** If the attacker wants to gain an unfair use of the transmission medium by not setting a non-zero contention time-out (which does not involve interrupting, intercepting, modifying, fabricating or replaying any message), its neighbors would starve of bandwidth, but when the attacker does not need the medium, its neighbors do get their share of the medium. WSNs are not user-centric, i.e. there is no user behind every sensor node, so unless an application exists where the network is shared between a number of human users, and there are practical motivations for selfish behaviors, this attack operation is an unlikely threat to WSNs. The performance of the system under attack degrades no faster than a rate proportional to the ratio of compromised nodes to the total number of nodes in the network [11].

## II. PREVIOUS WORK

**Nashreen Nesa et al. [1],** energy conservation is a challenging concern with the growing advancement in Internet of Things (IoT) technologies and is currently one of the attractive issues among researchers working in the IoT domain. In this paper, they address this issue by devising a dynamic sensor activation algorithm inspired by the popular PageRank algorithm called Sensor Rank.

**Xiuwen Fu et al. [2],** in these routing protocols the routing survivability under harsh environments is questionable. To tackle this issue, in this paper by referencing the concept of potential field, they design an environment-cognitive multipath routing protocol (ECMRP) in order to provide sustainable message forwarding service under harsh environments. In ECMRP, routing decisions are made according to a mixed potential field in terms of depth, residual energy and environment. The basic idea of this approach is to instruct data packets to select routes with the tradeoff among latency, energy conservation and routing survivability.

**Da-Ren Chen et al. [3],** to effectively save energy and prolong network lifetimes, networks typically adopt clustering protocols with hierarchical inter-cluster topologies for network management and data acquisition in WSNs. However, such solutions require cluster re-configuration due to early death of cluster heads (CHs) and energy inefficiency.

**Wael Ali Hussein et al. [4],** have been designed for scalar data such as sensor data; which is small in size compared to multimedia data. The addressed challenges were the

motivation to design mobile reliable routing protocol based on greedy routing structure. In this paper, we propose a new routing protocol for greedy forwarding based on throughput energy aware multi-path routing protocol (GFTEM), which is based on selection of next hop node that has the highest throughput and closer to destination node.

**Hsiang-Hung Liu et al. [5],** one of the confinements of a WSN is its constrained sensor hub vitality asset; this requires a vitality proficient steering convention that amplifies the general framework execution. Gossip directing is a great arbitrary walk steering convention that, tragically, isn't adaptable and can result in winding ways.

## III. WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK

Wireless sensor systems (WSN), are like remote specially appointed systems as in they depend on remote availability and unconstrained development of systems so sensor information can be transported remotely. Once in a while they are called clean systems, alluding to minute sensors as little as tidy. Shrewd clean is a U C Berkeley venture supported by DARPA. Tidy Networks Inc., is one of the early organizations that created remote sensor arrange items. WSNs are spatially distributed self-ruling sensors to screen physical or natural conditions, for example, temperature, sound, weight, and so on and to agreeably go their information through the system to different areas. The more present day systems are bi-directional, likewise empowering control of sensor movement. The advancement of remote sensor systems was roused by military applications, for example, war zone reconnaissance; today such systems are utilized as a part of numerous mechanical and shopper applications, for example, modern process observing and control, machine wellbeing checking, et cetera [8, 9].




Figure 1: Typical multi-hop wireless sensor network architecture

### Application:-

**Region Monitoring:-** Area checking is a typical use of WSNs. In territory observing, the WSN is sent over a district where some marvel is to be checked. A military case is the utilization of sensors identify adversary interruption; a regular citizen illustration is the geo-fencing of gas or oil pipelines.

**Social insurance checking:-** The sensor systems for therapeutic applications can be of a few sorts: embedded, wearable, and condition installed. The implantable medicinal gadgets are those that are embedded inside human body. Wearable gadgets are utilized on the body surface of a human or exactly at nearness of the client. Condition inserted frameworks utilize sensors contained in the earth [10]. Conceivable applications incorporate body position estimation, area of people, general checking of sick patients in healing facilities and at homes. Gadgets implanted in the earth track the physical condition of a man for nonstop wellbeing finding, utilizing as information the information from a system of profundity cameras, a detecting floor, or other comparative gadgets. Body-zone systems can gather

data around a person's wellbeing, wellness, and vitality consumption. In medicinal services applications the security and genuineness of client information has prime significance. Particularly because of the joining of sensor systems, with IoT, the verification of client turn out to be all the more difficult; in any case, an answer is displayed in late work [12].

**Ecological/Earth detecting:-** There are numerous applications in observing natural parameters,[10] cases of which are given beneath. They share the additional difficulties of brutal situations and decreased power supply [13].

**Air contamination observing:-** Wireless sensor systems have been conveyed in a few urban communities (Stockholm, London, and Brisbane) to screen the centralization of perilous gasses for residents. These can exploit the impromptu remote connections instead of wired establishments, which likewise make them more versatile for testing readings in various zones.

#### IV. DYMANIC CLUSTER HEAD SELECTION

In this paper, a clustering algorithm based on clustering is proposed to solve the heterogeneity caused by random selection of LEACH protocol cluster head. First, the k-Medoids clustering algorithm is used to divide the nodes of the whole network area into several classes, and then select the first cluster head and the second cluster head in the cluster.

The first cluster head is used to send the data of the cluster to the sink node and to receive the message sent by the sink node to the cluster. The algorithm allocates the energy consumption of a cluster head to two cluster heads to reduce the excessive use of a node.

Considering  $E$  as the set of energy of all the  $N$  nodes,  $K$  is the expected number of clusters and  $X$  and  $Y$  are the set of locations of various nodes in the Wireless Sensor Network the algorithm for cluster head selection in EELEACH-C works as follows:

Algorithm CH-Selection ( $E, N, K, X, Y$ )

1. Asc - sort( $E$ )
2.  $i = 1$
3. **while**  $I \leq N$  **do**
4. **if** ( $E_i \geq E_{Avg}$  and  $i \leq k$ ) **then**
5. Eligible( $i$ ) = True
6. **else**
7. Eligible( $i$ ) = False
8. **end if**
9.  $i = i + 1$
10. **end while**
11. **if** ( $dist_i > dist_j$  and Eligible ( $i$ )) **then**
12.  $CH_i = CM_j$
13. **end if**
14. **return** ( $CH_i, CH_j$ )

Here, we explain in detail our new energy efficient EELACH-C protocol whose goal is to increase the longevity of the network. Let us assume that all the sensor nodes are equipped with equal amount of initial energy.

We assume that all nodes are distributed uniformly over the sensor field. Our approach is to assign a weight  $w_i$  to the probability of a node to become cluster head  $p_{ch}$ . This weight must be equal to the initial energy of each node divided by the residual energy. Only nodes which are having the highest weights will be eligible to become a cluster head

(CH) in next round. The probability of a member node (CM) to be the node to die first is less than the probability of an already chosen cluster head node to die. Simulation results attest our expectation.

#### V. FUZZY INFERENCE SYSTEM

Mechanism of fuzzy decision making involves manipulation of fuzzy variable through linguistic equations or fuzzy rules. The below Figure 3.4 explains the whole mechanism.

**Fuzzification:** In fuzzification, membership degree is computed for each input variable with respect to its linguistic term.

**Rule matching:** In rule matching, the firing strength (degree of satisfaction) of individual rule is calculated.

**Fuzzy Inference:** The recommendation of rules according to firing strengths and rule conclusions are determined in fuzzy inference.




Figure 2. The structure of a fuzzy logic system

**Fuzzy Aggregation:** Fuzzy aggregation combines recommendations from individual rules into an overall implied fuzzy set.

**Defuzzification:** Defuzzification involves determination of a crisp value based on implied fuzzy sets derived from the rules, as final result or solution.




Figure 3: System Optimization of 2 inputs, 1 outputs and 9 rules




Figure 4: Members Functions of Input1





Figure 5: Members Functions of Input2

Round = 250



Round = 500





Figure 6: Members Functions of Output1






Figure 7: Rule Viewer Optimization



Round = 700



Round = 1200



Fuzzy logic is an augmentation of Boolean rationale managing the idea of fractional truth which signifies the degree to which a suggestion is valid. Though established rationale holds that everything can be communicated in parallel terms (0 or 1, dark or white, yes or no), fuzzy logic replaces Boolean truth esteems with a level of truth. Level of truth is frequently utilized to catch the loose methods of thinking that assume a fundamental part in the human capacity to settle on choices in a domain of vulnerability and imprecision. Fluffy Inference Systems (FIS) are adroitly exceptionally basic. They comprise of an info, a preparing, and a yield arrange.

## VI. SIMULATION RESULT

In this subsection we evaluate the performance dynamic cluster head selection using fuzzy system in terms of:

**Packet delivery ratio (PDR):** The proportion of successful data packets delivered to the destination compared to the total generated data packets.

The preparing stage summons each fitting principle and produces a relating result. It at that point joins the outcomes. At long last, the yield organize changes over the joined outcome once again into a particular yield esteem.

The information arrange maps the data sources, for example, recurrence of reference, recency of reference, If (LS=HIGH) and (LC=HIGH) and (PD=HIGH) and (NH=LOW) then Cache

If (LS=LOW) and (LC=HIGH) and (PD=LOW) and (NH=HIGH) then No Cache

Where,

LS = Link Strength

LC = Link Capacity

PD = Packet Delivery

NH = Number of Hops

Table 1: Packet Sent to Base Station Node

Round	250	500	700	1000	1200
	7100	11300	14000	15500	15800




Figure 8: Bar Graph of the Packet Sent to Base Station Node for Different Round

Table 2: Dead Node vs Round

Round	250	500	700	1000	1200
	11	58	65	91	93




Figure 9: Bar Graph of the Dead Node for Different Round

Table 3: Sum of Energy vs Round

Round	250	500	700	1000	1200
	22	8	4	2	1




Figure 10: Bar Graph of the Sum of Energy for Different Round




Figure 11: Bar Graph of the Previous and Proposed Algorithm for PDR

## VII.CONCLUSION

In this paper, a Tabu search and Fuzzy Inference System based routing algorithm for wireless sensor network is proposed to increase network stability, data rate, link strength, communication efficiency, and decrease data loss. Fuzzy Based Dynamic Clustering in Wireless Sensor Networks (FDC) is proposed. Simulation results shows that the proposed algorithm is much better than existing algorithm in terms of energy efficiency and lifetime of the network.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Nashreen Nesa and Indrajit Banerjee, "Sensor Rank: An Energy Efficient Sensor Activation Algorithm for Sensor Data Fusion in Wireless Networks", IEEE Internet of Things Journal, IEEE 2019.
- [2] Xiuwen Fu, Giancarlo Fortino and Wenfeng Li, "Environment-Cognitive Multipath Routing Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks", IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), IEEE 2018.
- [3] Da-Ren Chen, Ming-Yang Hsu, Hao-Yen Chang, "Context-Aware and Energy Efficient Protocol for the Distributed Wireless Sensor Network", 28th International Telecommunication Network and Applications Conference (ITNAC), IEEE 2018.
- [4] Wael Ali Hussein, Borhanuddin M Ali, M F A Rasid and Fazirulhisyam Hashim, "Design and performance analysis of high reliability-optimal routing protocol for mobile wireless multimedia sensor networks", 13th Malaysia International Conference on Communications (MICC), IEEE 2017.
- [5] Hsiang-Hung Liu, Jia-Jang Su and Cheng-Fu Chou, "On Energy-Efficient Straight-Line Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks", IEEE Systems Journal, Volume: 11, Issue: 4, Dec. 2017.
- [6] Akshay Verma, Mamta Khosla, Tarique Rashid and Arvind Kumar, "Grid and Fuzzy based Stable Energy Efficient Clustering Algorithm for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network", 14th IEEE India Council International Conference (INDICON), IEEE 2017.
- [7] Uday Kumar Rai and Kanika Sharma, "Maximum Likelihood Estimation based Clustering Algorithm on Wireless Sensor Network-A Review", International Conference on Energy, Communication, Data Analytics and Soft Computing (ICECDS), IEEE 2017.
- [8] Zhangbing Zhou, Beibei Yao, Riliang Xing, Lei Shu and Shengrong Bu, "E-CARP: An Energy Efficient Routing Protocol for UWSNs in the Internet of Underwater Things", IEEE Sensors Journal, Volume: 16, Issue: 11, June 1, 2016.
- [9] Anjali Bharti, Chandni Devi and Dr. Vinay Bhatia, "Enhanced Energy Efficient LEACH (EEE- LEACH) Algorithm using MIMO for Wireless Sensor Network", 2015 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research.
- [10] Marwan Al-Jemeli, Fawnizu A. Hussin, "An Energy Efficient Cross-Layer Network Operation Model for IEEE 802.15.4-Based Mobile Wireless Sensor Networks", IEEE Sensors Journal, Volume: 15, Issue: 2, Feb. 2015.
- [11] Abdul Razaque, Musbah Abdulgader and Chaitrali Joshi, "P-LEACH: Energy Efficient Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks", International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research, IEEE 2014.
- [12] N. Javaid, M. Waseem, Z. A. Khan, U. Qasim, K. Latif, A. Javaid, "ACH: Away Cluster Heads Scheme for Energy Efficient Clustering Protocols in WSNs", IEEE, 2013.
- [13] Asaduzzaman and Hyung Yun Kong, "Energy Efficient Cooperative LEACH Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks", Journal of Communications and Networks, Vol. 12, No. 4, August 2010.

- [14] Sameera Poduri and Gaurav S. Sukhatme, "Constrained Coverage for Mobile Sensor Networks", IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 2004
- [15] Rahul C. Shah and Jan M. Rabaey, "Energy Aware Routing for Low Energy Ad Hoc Sensor Networks", communication / Computation Piconodes for Sensor Networks.
- [16] K. Padmanabhan and P. Kamalakkannan, "Energy Enhanced Base Station Controlled Dynamic Clustering Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks", Journal of Advances in Computer Networks, Vol. 1, No. 1, March 2013.
- [17] Imad S. AlShawi, Lianshan Yan, Wei Pan and Bin Luo, "A Fuzzy-Gossip Routing Protocol for an Energy Efficient Wireless Sensor Networks", 978-1-4577-1767-3/12/\$26.00 ©2012 IEEE
- [18] Dilip Kumar, Trilok C. Aseri, R.B. Patel, "EEHC: Energy efficient heterogeneous clustered scheme for wireless sensor networks", journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/comcom](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/comcom), 2009.

