# STUDY ON THE SCREENING OF SUGARCANE VARIETIES AGAINST THE DIFFERENT BROODS OF T. NIVELLA

Dr. Anita Kumari, Assistant Professor Dept. of Zoology, L. S. College Muzaffarpur

#### **Abstract**

Sugarcane is an agro - industrial crop and cultivation spread over a wide range of agro - ecological zone of India. The mature stalk is typically composed of 11 - 16 % fiber, 12 - 16 % soluble sugars, 2 - 3 % non – sugars and 63 - 73 % water. A sugarcane crop is sensitive to the climate, soil type, irrigation, fertilizers, insects, diseases control, varieties and the harvest period. The average yield of cane stalk is 60 - 70 tons per hectare per year. It varies between 20 and 180 tons per hectare per year depending on crop management approach. The long duration crop is attracted by T. nivella F., a notorious pest, which causes dead – heart disease to the plant. 24 varieties of sugarcane were screened and reveal that third and fourth brood showed minimum infestation while CoP 7104 and CoP 9103 were tolerant to the third and forth brood of the top borer. In September all the varieties registered maximum infestation. Yield loss due to infestation by different broods also confirms the above finding in different varieties.

**Keywords**: Agro – industrial, infestation, T. nivella, CoP 7104 and CoP 9103

Sugarcane is the world's largest crop by production quantity. In 2012, FAQ estimates it was cultivated on about 160 million hectares in more than 90 countries, with a worldwide harvest of 18.3 billion tons. Brazil was the largest producer of sugarcane in the world. The next five major produces, in decreasing amounts of production, were India, China, Thailand, Palestine and Mexico.

In India, broadly there are two district agro – climatic regions of sugarcane cultivation India viz, Tropical (Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Goa, Pondicherry and Kerala) and sub tropical (Uttar Pradesh, Haryana and Punjab). For varietal development five agro – climatic zones have been identified-North western zone (ii) North central zone (iii) North eastern zone (iv) peninsular zone (v) Coastal zone. Sugarcane is a major agro -industrial crop after textiles. Sugarcane supports two important rural and cottage industries, namely jiggery and khansari (unrefined raw white) sugar. In addition, some by products of sugar industry, such as raw material for alcohol-based industry, power generation and organic fertilizers respectively.

# **Insect – pest scenario**

Sugarcane is attacked by many insect species, Box (1953) listed 2041 insects including Acrina, covering 98 families under 13 orders. Over 140 insects have so far been recorded from the sugarcane plants in India of which, about 32 can be regarded as real pest that attack every part of the plant and cause an estimated loss of Rs 300 crores to the sugarcane industry every year. The insect pests – shoot and root borers, soil gurbs, termites. The stalk is damaged by about a dozen species of tissue borers, coccids and beetle borers etc. Many of the newly verities of sugarcane processes resistance to insect pests. The highly variable genetic material, which is maintained at Coimbatore (India) and Florida (USA), possesses many visible characters of great diversity such as lignifications of cell walls and length of spindle etc. and can be successfully used for evolving verities resistant to top borer.

And these studies will provide to face the pest challenge by manipulating the manageable ecological parameter in the form of screening of varieties, cropping pattern etc.

Top Borer T. nivella belongs to Order Lepidoptera and family pyralididae. They complete their life – cycle within 7-8 weeks. Adult moth looks silvery while with a wing expansion of 3cm Male is cylindrical white, while female is thick and short with brilliance, body is covered with crimson hairy tuft.

The instar form enters through top of mid –rib and bores downwards. Central shoot is tunneled and crop is damaged. The bunchy top and stunted growth are the outer morphology of sugarcane plant showing this disease. Internally vascular bundle is totally damaged. Infestation dries the shoot as well as reduces the sucrose content.

The method used is Pradhan and Prasad (1953) which includes Randomised Block Design with eight treatments including control and there replications for evaluating the relative efficacy of different insecticides against sugarcane top borer T, nivella. The data were analysed statistically 24 varieties of sugarcane were screened which revealed that 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> brood showed the minimum 9 and 13 infestation while the maximum 15.8 and 34.4 per count in CoP 7104 and CoP 103 respectively. Some varieties were found tolerant to 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> brood of the top borer.

In month of September all the varieties registered the maximum infestatun in all types of sugarcane viz, Autumn, spring and Ratoon crop was registered respectively.

Yield loose due to infestation was confirmed. In respect to BO128 yield, early maturity variety, the minimum (0.024%) loss per cane was meet in 5<sup>th</sup> broods attack while in 4<sup>th</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> it was 18.661% and 66.51% respectively.

# Seasonal history of T. nivella

The seasonal history of sugarcane top borer T. nivella had been reported by number of research workers, the activity of the pest started from last week of February on ration as well as autumn planted cane crop. the two broods of the pest completed their life cycle before onset of monsoon. The activity of 3<sup>rd</sup> brood started with the break of monsoon i.e. sometimes in the last week of June or first week of July with maximum temperature of 38° C, relative humidity of 70 – 80 per cent and continued till the advent of the winter (Gupta 1959) . However, he also noted its six and seven overlapping broods during a year in Maharashtra and Hyderabad respectively. According to Agarwala and Prasad (1955), there were five broods which varied from 28 March to 30 April, 1<sup>st</sup> May to 6<sup>th</sup> June to 26<sup>th</sup> July, 27<sup>th</sup> July to 22<sup>nd</sup> September to hibernation at last 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> brood respectively. Haque and Agarwala (1995) reported that the emergence of parent months occurred at the end of February, middle of April, 3<sup>rd</sup> week of June, 1<sup>st</sup> week of August and middle of September, for 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> brood of T. nivella respectively.

Agarwala and Parasad (1956) recorded the greatest activity in 4<sup>th</sup> brood. According to Khanna (1956 – 57), their developments are the fastest in the 3<sup>rd</sup> brood and the slowest in the 5<sup>th</sup> were observed. The peak period of its activity ranged from early May to early August and the activity ranged from early May to early August and the activity declined in October followed by hibernation of the larvae under Bihar condition (Butani 1961). Kalra (1961) reported that there were 4 to 5 distinct broods during a year in North India. Further he also recorded the first brood T. nivella attack on ratoon sprouts during March while the second and third in May and July, respectively.

#### Varietal screening:

According to Issac (1939), hard midrib varieties of sugarcane, was found to be less susceptible to T. nivella Rao (1947) found a non – significant correlation between hardness of midrib and tolerance to sugarcane top borer infestation. According to Verma and Mathur (1950), there was correlation between abundance of denticles on the mid rib an tolerance of T. nivella under field condition.

Agarawal (1959) observed that varieties Co 285, Co 356 and Co 421 showed appreciable tolerance to T. nivella. According to Pali (1965), there was higher the liquidification of midrib greater the tolerance to T. nivella.

Varieties Co 89029, Co 89030, Bo 102, Bo 99, CoSe 84233, CoSe 84234, CoSe 84235, , Bo 91, and CoS 767 were having low to medium incidence of top and stalk borers in Bihar (Technical Report, 1993 – 94).

In order to to ascertain the influence of sugarcane top borer T, nivella against varietal tolerance, a field trial was laid out in randomized block design. There were 24 sugarcane varities including standard of different maturity groups viz., early, mid early and late season with three replications having plot size of 10 x 5.4 m<sup>2</sup> with six rows of 90 cm apart. Paths between replication and plots were maintained apart at 1 metre and 0.5 metre respectively. The Varieties were planted in the month of February. The agronomical practices were followed as per recommendation such manuring, interculturing, earthening up, irrigational etc. The varieties

were free from any insecticide application. The particulars of varieties including check have been presented as under:

The data pertaining to the incidence of sugarcane top borer, T. nivella (3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> brood) ws recorded as the method adopted by Pradhan and Prasad (1953). The percentage incidence of the sugarcane top borer was recorded from 50 randomly selected canes diagonally of 4 rows of 16 columns in each plot.

# The particulars of the varieties including check are as under

| Name of varieties |
|-------------------|
| CoS 90217         |
| CoS 90230         |
| CoS 90237         |
| CoS 9102          |
| CoP 9103          |
| CoP 9104          |
| CoP 9015          |
| CoP 9016          |
| CoP 9201          |
| CoP 9202          |
| CoP 9203          |
| CoP 9204          |
| CoP 9205          |
| CoP 9206          |
| CoP 9301          |
| CoP 9302          |
| BO 126            |
| BO 127            |
| BO 128            |
| BO 129            |
| BO 130            |
| Bo 91 (Std.)      |
| CoS 767 (")       |
| CoS 1148          |
|                   |

The observations on the incidence of T.nivella were taken on 'dead heart' basis in different varieties of sugarcane. The data are presented in table 1.

It is evident from the table that out of 24 varieties of different maturity group Viz., early, mid early and main season, the incidence of 3<sup>rd</sup> brood of T.nivella was recorded minimum (9.20%) in BO 127, whereas, maximum incidence was observed to be 15.80 per cent in CoP 9104. Response to different varieties of sugarcane against T. nivella incidence was statistically significant. The incidence of 3<sup>rd</sup> brood of T. nivella were found in this sequence : CoP 9104 (15.80) > Bo 91 (15.60) > CoP 9105 (15.57) > CoS 90217 (15.50) > CoS 90237 (15.40) > CoS 1148 (15.27) > CoS 767 (14.60) > CoP 9103 (14.40) > CoP 9202 (12.60) > Bo126 (12.50) = CoP 9302 (12.50) = CoP 9106 (12.50) > Bo 130 (12.30) > CoP 9201 (11.83) > CoP 9102 $(11.80) > \text{CoP } 9204 \ (11.59) > \text{CoP } 9203 \ (11.57) > \text{Bo } 128 \ (11.50) > \text{Bo } 129 \ (10.80) > \text{CoP } 9206 \ (10.50) = 120 \ (10.80) > 120 \ (10$ CoS 90230 (10.50) > CoP 9205 (10.00) > CoP 9301 (9.70) > Bo 127 (9.20).

Further from the table 1 it reveals that all varieties were attacked by  $4^{th}$  brood of T. Nivella. The maximum (32.40 per cent ) incidence of the pest was recorded in CoP 9103 while minimum (13.00%) in Bo 127 , which were statistically significant. Some of the varieties were behaved tolerance to T. nivella in respect to both broods. The observation of  $4^{th}$  broods of T. nivella recorded and reported in this sequence: CoP 9103 (32.40) > CoS 767 (30.50) > CoS 90237 (29.70) > Bo 91 (29.50) > Bo 126 (28.90) > CoP 9104 (25.60) = Cos 90127 (25.60) > CoP 9102 (25.20) = Bo 128 (25.20) > CoP 9204 (24.40) > CoP 9203 (22.60) > CoP 9106 (22.40) > CoP 9105 (21.50) > CoS 90230 (21.30) > CoP 9302 (20.50) >

| S. No.    | Variety                 | Mean incidence of top | borer                 |  |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
|           | 1                       | 3 <sup>rd</sup> brood | 4 <sup>th</sup> brood |  |
| 1         | CoS 90217               | 23.16 (15.50)         | 30.38 (25.60)         |  |
| 2         | CoS 90230               | 18.84 (10.50)         | 27.47 (21.30)         |  |
| 3         | CoS 90237 23.06 (15.40) |                       | 33.01 (29.70)         |  |
| 4         | CoP 9102                | 20.07 (11.80)         | 30.10 (25.20)         |  |
| 5         | CoP 9103                | 22.27 (14.40)         | 34.69 (32.40)         |  |
| 6         | CoP 9104                | 23.39 (15.80)         | 30.39 (25.60)         |  |
| 7         | CoP 9015                | 23.21 (15.57)         | 27.60 (21.50)         |  |
| 8         | CoP 9016                | 20.66 (12.50)         | 28.22 (22.40)         |  |
| 9         | CoP 9201                | 20.08 (11.83)         | 22.46 (14.60)         |  |
| 10        | CoP 9202                | 20.72 (12.60)         | 26.73 (20.30)         |  |
| 11        | CoP 9203                | 19.18 (11.57)         | 28.36 (22.60)         |  |
| 12        | CoP 9204                | 19.87 (11.57)         | 29.69 (24.40)         |  |
| 13        | CoP 9205                | 18.38 (10.00)         | 26. 04 (19.30)        |  |
| 14        | CoP 9206 18.86 (10.50)  |                       | 26.18 (19.50)         |  |
| 15        | CoP 9301                | CoP 9301 18.12 (9.70) |                       |  |
| 16        | CoP 9302 20.67 (12.50)  |                       | 26.90 (20.50)         |  |
| 17 Bo 126 |                         | 20.67 (12.50)         | 32.51 (28.90)         |  |
| 18 Bo 127 |                         | 17.64 (9.20)          | 21.09 (13.00)         |  |
| 19 Bo 128 |                         | 19.77 (11.50)         | 30.12 (25.20)         |  |
| 20 Bo 129 |                         | 19.12 (10.80)         | 23.69 (16.20)         |  |
| 21        | Bo 130                  | 20.50 (12.30)         | 26.82 (20.40)         |  |
| 22        | Bo 91                   | 23.25 (15.60)         | 32.88 (29.50)         |  |
| 23        | CoS 767 (")             | 22.43 (14.60)         | 33.51 (30.50)         |  |
| S.E. Mean |                         | 1.423                 | 1.147                 |  |
| CD        | W STA                   | 4.051                 | 3.265                 |  |

Table 1: Incidence of T. nivella on different varieties of sugarcane

Figures in parenthesis are original values and figure in  $\sqrt{x+y}$  Bo 130 (20.40) > CoP 9202 (20.30) > CoP 9206 (19.50) > CoP 9205 (19.30) > CoP 9301 (16.40) > Bo 129 (16.20) > CoP 9201 (14.60) > Bo 127 (13.00).

The observations were restricted only two broods of T. nevilla which were quite detrimental to case yield. The sugarcane yield was greatly influenced by these broods of the pest.

The present investigation on screening of different sugarcane varieties got support of earlier workers. Though the information regarding this aspect very scanty. According to Agarwal et. al. (1973) the resistant/moderately resistant varieties under commercial cultivation viz. CoJ 67 (Punjab) Co 1007 (Madhya Pradesh) and Co 1158 (UP and Haryana) were recommended for large scale cultivation to migrate crop losses due to different broods of T. nivella.

ICAR Technical Report 1995 - 96, the minimum incidence of T. nivella was recorded in CoS 767 at Lacknow (4<sup>th</sup> brood) while in Co 1148 was recorded 14.88 per cent incidence of 3<sup>rd</sup> brood. Further, it was reported that T. nivella incidence was comparatively low in four varieties namely CoH 9, Co 89009, CoP 90221 and H 85 at Jalandhar (Punjab).

### Studies on the percentage yield loss of different sugarcane varieties of S. excerptalis

The data in respect to loss due to different broods of T. nivella in per cane weight recorded and presented in Table 2.

It is evident from the table that the percentage yields loss per cane was minimum in 5<sup>th</sup> brood affected cane which was 9.26 per cent in comparison to healthy cane of cultivar BO 120, an early maturing variety recommended for cane cultivation in Bihar. Losses in cane, weight was observed to be 25.08 per cent in 4<sup>th</sup>

brood of T. nivella affected cane. The maximum yield loss was recorded 69.059 per cent in case of 3<sup>rd</sup> brood affected cane of the same variety i.e. BO 120.

Further, it is evident from the table 2 that the same trend was found in case of BO 128 variety, a mid early maturity group of cane variety recommended for Bihar state. The minimum yield loss per cane (0.024 %) was recorded in case of 5<sup>th</sup> brood of T. nivella affected cane. While 18.661 per cent and 66.51 per cent yield loss were observed in case of 4<sup>th</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> brood respectively.

Table 2: percentage yield loss due to different broods of T. nivella in sugarcane

|   | BO 120          |                             | BO 128          |                             | BO 91           |                             |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Varieties/<br>Status of<br>Sugarcane                  | Yield in g/cane | Loss in weight per cane (%) | Yield in g/cane | Loss in weight per cane (%) | Yield in g/cane | Loss in weight per cane (%) |
| Healthy cane  | 601.2           | =                           | 730.02          | -                           | 739.45          | -                           |
| Top borer<br>affected cane<br>(3 <sup>rd</sup> brood) | 186.015         | 69.059                      | 244.85          | 66.51                       | 301.065         | 59.206                      |
| Top borer<br>affected cane<br>(4 <sup>th</sup> brood) | 450.41          | 25.081                      | 592.33          | 18.661                      | 452.185         | 38.848                      |
| Top borer<br>affected cane<br>(5 <sup>th</sup> brood) | 454.5           | 9.246                       | 728.53          | 0.204                       | 725.44          | 1.894                       |

It is evident from the same table that yield loss per cane was recorded in following sequences i.e. 1.894 per cent, 38.84 per cent and 59.206 per cent in 5<sup>th</sup> brood, 4<sup>th</sup> brood and 3<sup>rd</sup> brood, respectively of T. nivella in BO91.

It revels from the table that the maximum yield losses on the basis of per cane weight was recorded in 3<sup>rd</sup> brood of T. nivella in respect to all cultivars viz., BO 120, BO 128 and BO 91 of different maturing groups of cane cultivar under Bihar condition. And this loss can be controlled by the integrated pest management including synthetic and botanical pestides.

#### REFERENCES

Agarwala, S. B. D. and Prasad, S. K. 1956: The status of sugarcane top borer, Scirpophaga nivella F. in Bihar. I. Comparative studies on damaged caused by each brood of the pest. Ibid., 6 (1): 60-66.

Agarwala, R.A. and Ramanujam, K. R. 1964: Studies on the effect of time of planning on the incidence of sugarcane bores at Coimbatore. Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Conf. Sug. Res. Dev. Wkrs. India: pp. 526 – 533.

Agarwala R.A., Madan, Y.P. and Tiwari, C.B. 1973: Behaviour of different varieties of sugarcane with regard to attack of stalk borer, C. auricilius Ddgn. J. Res. Punjab. Agric. Univ. 9:440 – 411.

Agarwala R.A.; and Pandey, B. N. 1978: Behaviour of ration towards the incidences of major pests of sugarcane in Uttar Pradesh. Mah. Sug. 3: 19 - 26.

Butani, D. K. 1961: insect pests of sugarcane in Bihar. Part I Indian Suq. 10 (10): 649 – 654.

Malik, V. S. and Chaudhary, J.P. 1990: Evaluation of promising insecticides against shoot borer (Chillo infuscatellus Snell) and top borer Scirpophaga exoerptalis Wlk. Of sugarcane and their impact on crop growth and yield. H. A. 91. 21(6): 407 – 410.

Mishra, U.K.; Dwivedi, G.P. and Kumar, K. 1985: Seasonal variation of top borer, Tryporyza nivella Fab. In Sugarcane. Coop. Suq. 16(9): 589 – 91.

Mukunthan, N. 1985: New aspects in the biological top borer, Scirpophaga nivella F. Entomon. 10: 235 – 238.,

Pali, N. S. 1965: Studies on the varietal resistance in sugarcane to top borer Scirpophaga nivella. Indian. Suq. Crops J. 10: 42 – 44

Singh, M. L.; Duhra, M. S. and Aulakh 1988: Varietal resistance in sugarcane to S. exverptallis and odontotermes spp. J. of Insect Sci. 1 (1): 99 – 101. PAU.

Technical Report (1993 – 94): Evaluation of varieties for resistance against major insect pests. Tech prog. Of Sugarcane Entomology: pp. 8-9.

Tiwari, N. K. and Bajpai, P. K. 1991: Estimation of infestation yield relationship due to top borer Scirpopjaga excerptalis Wlk. Annual Report 1991 – 21, Indian Inst. Of Sugarcane Res. Lucknow: 88-89.

Verma, A. and Mahrotra, A.K. 1990: Determination of key mortality factors in the population dynamics of top borer Scirpophaga excerptalis Wlk. Ann, Report. Indian Inst. Of sugarcane Res. Lucknow: pp: 83

Verma, G. C.; Bindra, O. S.; Sing, S. and Singh, B. 1979: Comparative efficacy of biological, mechanical and integrated methods for controlling sugarcane borers in Punjab. J. Res. Punjab agric. Univ. 16: 45 – 48.

