"ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF A PENTAGONAL SHAPED PEB STRUCTURE WITH INCLINED COLUMN"

¹Rishabh V Thakare, ²Rohan Kumar Choudhary, ¹Pursuing M.Tech, ²M.Tech ¹Department Structural Engineering & Sandip University, Nashik, Mumbai, India

Abstract: This study has been undertaken to analyze and design of pentagonal shaped PEB structure with inclined column under dead loads, live loads and seismic load as per IS 800:2007. In India where around 2% of nations Gross Domestic Product is contributed by the steel industry, use of steel will help in boosting country economy. Steel buildings are nearly zero energy buildings and economical. As with the development of all sectors to improve economy one basic need for all is buildings, for which mostly boxed shaped buildings are preferred. So rather than approaching a box shape building this paper will deal with a pentagon shaped structure in plan.

IndexTerms - PEB, Pentagon, inclined column, irregular shape.

I. INTRODUCTION

As steel industry is growing rapidly almost all around the world. In India where around 2% of country's GDP is contributed by the steel industry, use of steel will help in boosting country economy. Steel is a material with high strength carrying capacity compare to weight ratio and reliable to use in construction with its various advantages as it boosts in the economic growth of country. Currently most of warehouses and industry where long span column free structures are required Pre-engineered buildings are used. Steel buildings are nearly zero energy buildings and economical. And it requires less time in construction, as structural components are pre-fabricated. As with the development of all sectors to improve economy one basic need for all is buildings, for which mostly boxed shaped buildings are preferred. So rather than approaching a box shape building this paper will deal with a pentagon shaped structure in plan. In recent years' structures are designed with irregular structures with inclined columns as an architectural feature. It effects the behaviour of structure, which effects the safety of structure. The inclined column is a column, which is not placed at right angle in the structure this are slanted or rotated at a certain angle.

II. REASERCH METHODOLOGY

The present chapter deals with the working procedure of the finite element computer program called STAAD.Pro . Also this chapter deals with modelling of pentagonal shaped PEB structure with inclined columns subjected to dead load, live load and seismic load. The behavior of structure under different loading is studied using post processing commands and various results are studied for different type of loadings.



Fig.1.1 shows model in STAAD. Pro



Change

Close

Assign

Help

Property			×
Tapered I			
	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node)	0.9	m
	F2 (Thickness of Web)	0.01	m
	F3 (Depth of Section at End Node)	0.9	m
	F4 (Width of Top Flange)	0.3	m
	F5 (Thickness of Top Flange)	0.014	m
	F6 (Width of Bottom Flange)	0.3	m
I Material STEEL ✓	F7 (Thickness of Bottom Flange)	0.014	m
	Change Assign	Close	Help
	Change Assign	Close	пер
Fig.4.2 Tape	ered rafter section		
P			
	TTD		
Property	TTD		×
Property Tapered I	TTD		×
Property Tapered I	1 E1 (Denth of Section at Start Node)	0.7	×
Property Tapered I F5 T	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node)	0.7	×
Property Tapered I	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F2 (Depth of Section at Fact Node)	0.7	×
Property Tapered I F_{7}	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25	×] m] m
Property Tapered I F3 F7 F	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node) F4 (Width of Top Flange)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25	×] m] m] m] m
Property Tapered I F5 T F1 F1 F2 F6 F6	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node) F4 (Width of Top Flange) F5 (Thickness of Top Flange)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25 0.012	×] m] m] m] m
Property Tapered I F3 F7 F	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node) F4 (Width of Top Flange) F5 (Thickness of Top Flange) F6 (Width of Bottom Flange)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25 0.012 0.25	×] m] m] m] m] m] m] m
Property Tapered I F5 T F1 F7 F7 F1 F7 F7 F2 F6 F7 Material STEEL \checkmark	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node) F4 (Width of Top Flange) F5 (Thickness of Top Flange) F6 (Width of Bottom Flange) F7 (Thickness of Bottom Flange)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25 0.012 0.25 0.012	×] m] m] m] m] m] m] m
Property Tapered I $F4 \rightarrow F4 \rightarrow F5$ $F7 \rightarrow F7$ $F7 \rightarrow $	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node) F4 (Width of Top Flange) F5 (Thickness of Top Flange) F6 (Width of Bottom Flange) F7 (Thickness of Bottom Flange)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25 0.012 0.25 0.012	X] m] m] m] m] m] m
Property Tapered I F_{1} F_{1} F_{2}	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node) F4 (Width of Top Flange) F5 (Thickness of Top Flange) F6 (Width of Bottom Flange) F7 (Thickness of Bottom Flange)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25 0.012 0.25 0.012	X]m]m]m]m]m]m
Property Tapered I F_{4} F_{5} F_{7}	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node) F4 (Width of Top Flange) F5 (Thickness of Top Flange) F6 (Width of Bottom Flange) F7 (Thickness of Bottom Flange)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25 0.012 0.25 0.012	X] m] m] m] m] m] m
Property Tapered I F_{1} F_{1} F_{2} F_{2} F_{2} F_{2} F_{2} F_{2} F_{2} F_{2} F_{2} F_{2} F_{3} F_{7}	F1 (Depth of Section at Start Node) F2 (Thickness of Web) F3 (Depth of Section at End Node) F4 (Width of Top Flange) F5 (Thickness of Top Flange) F6 (Width of Bottom Flange) F7 (Thickness of Bottom Flange)	0.7 0.01 0.85 0.25 0.012 0.25 0.012	X] m] m] m] m] m] m] m] m

Fig.4.3 Column section

V.ANALYSIS

Following results are obtained below for analysis of structure for dead loads, live loads, Seismic forces.



Fig.5.1 Bending Moment in Z direction





Fig.5.3 Deflection of members







Fig.5.5 Bending in Y direction for load envelope



Fig.5.6 Shear force in Z direction



Fig.5.8 Utilization ratio

© 2021 JETIR August 2021, Volume 8, Issue 8

www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)

		Horizontal	Vertical	Horizontal	Resultant	Rotational			
	Node	L/C	X	Y	Z		rX	rY	rZ
			mm	mm	mm	mm	rad	rad	rad
Max X	92	16 SER 212 1	15.088	-5.231	2.742	16.203	-0.000	0.000	-0.003
Min X	82	13 SER 201 1	-17.930	-5.670	4.841	19.419	0.000	0.001	0.003
Max Y	28	15 SER 207 1	0.166	2.979	12.328	12.684	0.001	-0.000	0.001
Min Y	122	13 SER 201 1	2.922	-18.670	5.301	19.627	-0.002	-0.000	-0.000
Max Z	107	17 SER 213 1	-0.908	-0.547	19.047	19.077	0.003	-0.001	-0.000
Min Z	105	13 SER 201 1	-0.297	-4.801	-16.254	16.951	-0.003	-0.002	-0.000
Max rX	107	13 SER 201 1	-0.265	-0.597	14.377	14.392	0.004	-0.001	-0.000
Min rX	105	13 SER 201 1	-0.297	-4.801	-16.254	16.951	-0.003	-0.002	-0.000
Max rY	106	17 SER 213 1	-0.250	-3.274	-10.952	11.434	-0.002	0.003	-0.000
Min rY	105	17 SER 213 1	-0.142	-4.096	-13.760	14.357	-0.002	-0.002	0.000
Max rZ	82	13 SER 201 1	-17.930	-5.670	4.841	19.419	0.000	0.001	0.003
Min rZ	92	16 SER 212 1	15.088	-5.231	2.742	16.203	-0.000	0.000	-0.003
Max Rs	120	17 SER 213 1	-0.094	-16.448	16.632	23.392	0.001	0.000	-0.000

Table no..5.1 Maximum node displacement

Allowable displacement
= 10420/180
=57.88mm therefore safe.

STEEL TAKE-OFF							
PROFI	LE		LENGTH (METE)	WEIGHT (KN			
Tapered	MembNo:	22	296.91	390.473			
Tapered	MembNo:	62	361.87	757.905			
Tapered	MembNo:	76	102.48	106.356			
Tapered	MembNo:	80	29.28	37.140			
Tapered	MembNo:	236	154.31	183.859			
Tapered	MembNo:	239	37.60	54.620			
ST 113.	5x113.5x4.8	SHS	226.53	35.291			
			TOTAL =	1565.645			

Table no.5.2 shows steel take off

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- 1. In this the analysis and design of pentagon shaped PEB structure has been done for which the steel quantity is 159.69 metric tons.
- 2. The structure is heavy as the columns are not only subjected to axial loading but also to bi-axial bending.
- 3. Columns are also subjected to torsion moment due to inclined vertical plane.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. S. Kiran, A. K. Rao, and R. P. Kumar, "Comparison of Design Procedures for Pre Engineering Buildings (PEB): A Case Study," Int. J. Civil, Environ. Struct. Constr. Archit. Eng., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 478–482, 2014.
- [2] D. T. S. Anisha Goswami, "Pre-Engineered Building Design of an Industrial Warehouse," Int. J. Eng. Sci. Emerg. Technol., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 2231–6604, 2013, [Online]. Available: https://www.irjet.net/archives/V5/i6/IRJET-V5I6276.pdf.
- [3] M. S. Reza, S. Afroze, and A. K. Azad, "Progressive Analysis of Pre-Engineered Steel Building," Int. J. Eng. Technol. Manag. Appl. Sci. www.ijetmas.com, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 12–20, 2018, [Online]. Available: www.ijetmas.com.
- [4] M. Meenakshi, K. Sivanandhini, S. Subasree, and R. Preethika, "Feasibility Study of Hexagonal Building Projects," pp. 1993–1994, 2019.
- [5] N. Krishna and A. C V, "Seismic Behaviour of Multistoried Building with Oblique Column and It's Height Optimization," Int. Res. J. Eng. Technol., vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 2579–2585, 2020, [Online]. Available: www.irjet.net.

- [6] B. R. and I. S. Mohammedali, "Comparative Study on Two Storey Car Showroom Using Pre-engineered Building (PEB) Concept Based on British Standards and Euro Code," Civ. Eng. J., vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 881–891, 2019, doi: 10.28991/cej-2019-03091296.
- [7] D. T. Lamkhade, "Analysis and Design of Web Tapered Beams and Columns using Finite Element Analysis in Portal Frame Buildings," Int. J. Eng. Res., vol. V9, no. 08, pp. 757–761, 2020, doi: 10.17577/ijertv9is080272.
- [8] R. Sagadevan and B. N. Rao, "Experimental and analytical study on structural performance of polyurethane foam-filled built-up galvanized iron members," Thin-Walled Struct., vol. 146, no. October 2019, p. 106446, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.tws.2019.106446.
- [9] E. Mayurijpatilgmailcom, "Comparative Study of Analysis & Design of Pre-Engineered Building Using Is 800 : 2007 & Various International Codes," no. 1, pp. 7–18, 2019.
- [10] L. Choe, A. H. Varma, A. Agarwal, and A. Surovek, "Fundamental Behavior of Steel Beam-Columns and Columns under Fire Loading: Experimental Evaluation," J. Struct. Eng., vol. 137, no. 9, pp. 954–966, 2011, doi: 10.1061/(asce)st.1943-541x.0000446.
- [11] F. Dinu, D. Dubină, I. Marginean, C. Neagu, and I. Petran, "Structural Connections of Steel Building Frames under Extreme Loading," Adv. Mater. Res., vol. 1111, no. December, pp. 223–228, 2015, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.1111.223.
- [12] A. Coffield and H. Adeli, "Irregular steel building structures subjected to blast loading," J. Civ. Eng. Manag., vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 17–25, 2016, doi: 10.3846/13923730.2015.1073172.
- [13] C.M.Meera , "PRE-ENGINEERED BUILDING DESIGN OF AN INDUSTRIAL WAREHOUSE" International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Emerging Technologies, June 2013. ISSN: 2231 – 6604 Volume 5, Issue 2, pp: 75-82 ©IJESET

