JETIR.ORG

ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year: 2014 | Monthly Issue



JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

REVIEW ON AUTOMOBILE RADIATOR USING ALUMINUM **OXIDE AND LEMON JUICE AS NANOFLUID**

Pratibha R. Chavan¹, Dr. Vilas Pharande², Assist, Prof. P. R. Nikam³

1- M Tech (Heat Power) student, 2 - Principal / professor 3 - Assistant Professor Arvind Gavali College of Engineering, Satara

ABSTRACT: Nanofluid is a colloidal mixture of nano-sized (100 nm) particles in a base liquid that is the next generation of heat transfer fluid for a variety of heat transfer applications where the thermo-physical characteristics are significantly better than the base liquid. The effects of temperature and concentration on thermo-physical properties (thermal conductivity, viscosity, and density) of hybrid Nano fluids are examined in this research. The current study focuses on determining the thermal conductivity and viscosity of a fluid combination. This, however, has not been adequately addressed thus far. It demonstrates that thermal conductivity rises with both nanoparticle concentration and temperature. Viscosity and density, on the other hand, drop with increasing temperature and increase with increasing nanoparticle concentration. More research is needed to understand the process behind the usage of hybrid nanofluids to improve heat transmission and to apply hybrid nanofluids in real-world applications

KEYWORDS: Hybrid Nanofluids, Car Radiator, thermo-physical characteristics, Al₂O₃.

I. INTRODUCTION

Heat is produced in an automobile engine as a result of combustion, and part of it is used to generate power, while the remainder is squandered as exhaust heat. If the surplus heat is not eliminated, the engine temperature rises, resulting in overheating, viscosity breakdown of the lubricating oil, wear of the engine parts, and the possibility of engine failure due to thermal stress. As a result, an efficient cooling system is required. In order to remove heat from the cooling jacket of the car engine, a heat exchanger device known as a radiator is used. The radiator is an integral part of the engine's cooling system. It is typically employed as part of an engine's cooling system, with water serving as the heat transfer medium. Waste heat is eliminated from this liquid-cooled system by circulating coolant around the devices or by entering the cooling channels in the devices. The coolant is pumped around, and the heat is mostly taken away via radiators.

Alumina is now one of the most widely utilized oxides, with applications including thin film coatings, heat-resistant polymers, and improved ceramic abrasive grains. Understanding the thermal, mechanical, electrical, and optical properties of the nanostructures involved, as well as their production process, is required for improved devices incorporating nanoscale structures. The goal is to improve heat transfer rate by using selected nanofluids as a coolant. Nanofluids are a relatively new group of fluids that contain Nano sized particles (1-100 nm) floating in a base fluid. These particles, which are usually made of metal or metal oxide, improve conduction and convection coefficients, allowing more heat to be transferred from the coolant. There have been a number of recent developments that have made nanofluids more stable and appropriate for usage in real-world applications.

Heat transfer performance of conventional fluids such as water, engine oil, ethylene glycol, and others is low, thus high compactness and effectiveness of heat transfer systems are required to achieve the required heat transfer. The application of chemicals to liquids stands out among the efforts to improve heat transmission. Recent breakthroughs in nanotechnology have enabled the production of a new class of fluids known as nanofluids.

hybrid nanofluids are a type of nanofluid that combines two or more Such fluids are liquid suspensions that contain particles smaller than 100 nm and have a bulk solids thermal conductivity that is higher than the base liquids. The suspension of metallic or non-metallic oxide nanoparticles in typical heat transfer fluids produces nanofluids. When compared to traditional heat transfer fluids, these so-called nanofluids have excellent thermal characteristics. Nanofluids are a new window that has recently opened, and various authors have confirmed that these working fluids can improve heat transfer performance.

Heat transfer plays a significant role in industries. Rate of heat transfer can be increased with increase in heat transfer area, temperature gradient or by improving the thermal properties of the fluid. Modernization of technology provides a huge opportunity in the production of nanoparticles. Since then, much experimentation has been performed to study heat transfer characteristics of different type of nanofluids. Basically, nanofluid consists of nanoparticles of size 1-100nm suspended in a base fluid. These particles are metals or metal oxides, which enhance the heat transfer coefficient for better heat transfer [1], e.g. Cu and CuO nanoparticles suspended in ethylene glycol and water respectively to form a nanofluid. Nanofluids are developed in 1995 by Stephen Choi [2] for increasing the thermal conductivity of various fluids, which have now developed as a technological area called nanotechnology. Prasher et al. [3] reported that thermal conductivity of nanofluid increases due to convection caused by brownian motion of nanoparticles. There are many industrial sectors like power generation, transportation, chemical process plants and electronics where heat transfer plays a significant role. Nasiri et al. [4] shows that the compound which consists of millimeter or micrometer particles in basefluids causes pressure drop, sedimentation of particles and clogging. Therefore, small and low volume fractions of these particles will eliminate these problems. Palm et al. [5] shows the increase in heat transfer using nanofluids in radial flow cooling systems. There is 25% increase in heat transfer coefficient when there is dispersion of only 4% (v/v) of Al₂O₃ in water.

In fact, nanotechnology can be integrated into many of technological areas like in refrigeration systems, thermal processing, oil recovery, gas absorption, cooling, hydrate formation, steam generation, wettability alteration and in various types of heat exchangers. The paper also includes two methods for preparation of nanofluids i.e. one-step process and two-step process. Eastman et al. [6] shows with the addition of 0.3% (v/v) of Cu nanoparticles in ethylene glycol, the thermal conductivity increases by 40% in comparison of basefluid. Similarly, Choi et al. [7] shows that with the addition of 1% (v/v) MWCNT's in poly (α -olefin), the thermal conductivity increases by 150% in comparison of basefluid. Kang et al. [8] suggested that with the addition of 1.2% (v/v) diamond nanoparticles (between 30-50nm in diameter) in ethylene glycol, the thermal conductivity increases by 75% in comparison of basefluid. These data shows that the addition of nanoparticles have tremendous effect on thermal conductivity of basefluids. CO₂ capturing with the help of nanofluids as solvents increases the rate of absorption of CO₂, which in turn reduces the energy consumption [9].

Nanoparticles are preferred in increasing thermal conductivity, viscosity, heat and mass transfer coefficient of base fluids. Kim et al. [10] reported that for alumina (Al₂O₃) based nanofluids the heat transfer coefficient increases by 15% and 20% in laminar and turbulent flow conditions respectively, as compared to basefluid. Farajollahi et al. [11] reported the heat transfer characteristics of γ-Al₂O₃-water and TiO₂-water based nanofluids in shell and tube type heat exchanger under turbulent flow conditions. The overall heat transfer coefficient of γ -Al₂O₃-water nanofluid enhances by 20% (maximum) at 0.5% (v/v). For TiO₂-water nanofluid the overall heat transfer coefficient enhances maximum at 0.3% (v/v). Further, Fotukian & Esfahany [12] observed the increment of 25% in heat transfer coefficient of CuO-water nanofluid in comparison of pure water. This article will be useful to find application of nanofluids in various industries and is expected to improve the performance of these applications to a further level.

Preparation methods of nanofluids

The basic requirement of nanofluids for heat transfer application is long term stability. Stability of nanofluids depends upon its method of preparation, characteristics of nanoparticle, type of basefluid, pH and type of surfactant used. The presence of high surface charge on the nanoparticles leads to its unstability, which involves the significant problem of agglomeration [13]. For preparation of nanofluid there should be stable suspension, no accumulation of nanoparticles to form clusters and no chemical change of basefluid. Xuan & Li [14] reported methods for stabilization of suspensions such as variation in pH, use of surfactants and ultrasonication. These methods can prevent the formation of clusters in order to obtain stable nanofluids. Basically, there are two methods to produce nanofluid: one-step and two-step process, which are discussed below.

One-step process

One step process includes direct evaporation and condensation of nanoparticles in the basefluids which occurs in single step. The single step direct evaporation process was developed by Akoh et al [15]. The separation of particles from the fluid is difficult through this method. Another one is single step laser ablation process to produce alumina nanofluids. Zhu et al. [16] reported the process for preparation of Cu based nanofluids by reducing CuSO₄.H₂O with NaH₂PO₂.H₂Oin ethylene glycol under microwave irradiation. Lo et al. [17] suggested the preparation of CuO, Cu2O and Cu based nanofluids through vacuum based submerged arc nanoparticle synthesis. In one-step process the accumulation of nanoparticles to form clusters is less which contributes towards the stability of nanofluids. But the major problems are that only low vapour pressure fluids can be processed through the process and it is impossible to scale-up as the production cost is quite high.

Two-step process

In this process nanoparticles obtained from different mechanical, physical and chemical mechanism are mixed with different basefluids to form a nanofluid. Ultrasonication and addition of surfactants are usually required to reduce agglomeration in this process. The most commonly used surfactants are sodium dodecylsulphate (SDS), poly vinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), poly ethylene glycol (PEG), oleic acid, sodium dodecylbenzene sulphonate (SDBS) and acetic acid. It can be used to prepare almost all kinds of nanofluids. Xuan & Li [18] prepare Cu based nanofluids by suspending these nanoparticles in both water and transformer oil. Kim & Kwak [19] used two- step process to prepare CuO-EG based nanofluid with the help of sonication and without using any type of stabilizer. This process can also be used to prepare carbon nanotube based nanofluid. Also, this process is apt to prepare nanofluids which consist of oxide nanoparticles rather than those which consist of metallic nanoparticles [20]. The summary of preparation of nanofluids is discussed in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of nanofluids preparation

Researcher	Nanofluid (Conc. v/v %)	Basefluid	Method of	Stability
	` ′		preparation	
Yang & Liu	SiO ₂	Water	Two-step	Stability of 12 months can be
[21]	(10%)		Dec.	achieved by using 3-Glycid oxyl
	Aller			proyl tri-methyl oxy silane (with
				silane/silica mass ratio= 0.115)
	All I			and the solution is kept at 50°C for 12 h
Hamid et al.	TiO ₂	EG/water	Tour	Stability can be achieved after
	7000	EG/water	Two-step	ultrasonic bath of 2h
[22]	(0.5-1.5%)	<i>y</i>	K	
Researcher	Nanofluid	Basefluid	Method of	Stability
	(Conc. v/v %)	Mr.	preparation	
Bhagat et al.	ZnO	EG/water	Two-step	Stability can be achieved by
[23]	(0.1, 0.5 &			5wt.% PVP or 1wt.% PVA and
	1.0%)			solution stirred by magnetic
				stirrer
		X ST		before ultrasonication
Hung et al.	Al_2O_3	Water	One-step	Stability of more than 2 weeks can
[24]	(0.5, 1.0 &	*	V	be achieved by homogenizing at
	3.0%)		A V	8000rpm for about 30min and by
	W - W			using electromagnetic agitator at
	W. You		Y _ A }	600rpm
Lee et al.	CuO	Water	One-step	Stability can be achieved by
[25]	(NR)			usinga single pulsed laser beam
				for 8h
Yu et al.	Fe_3O_4	Kerosene	Two-step	Stability can be achieved with the
[26]	(1.0%)			help of Oleic acid.
Kole & Dey	ZnO	EG	Two-step	Stability can be achieved with
[27]	(0.005 &			ultrasonication at 200W upto
	0.0375%)			100hr. + no surfactant
Vermahmoudi et al.	Fe ₂ O ₃	Water	Two-step	Stability of 7 days can be
[28]	(0.02%)			achieved at pH= 11.1 + PEG as
				surfactant followed by magnetic
				stirring for
				1h
Karimi et al.	α -Fe ₂ O ₃	Water	Two-step	Stability can be achieved with the
[29]	(0.25, 0.5, 1.0,			help of sonication and by treating
	2.0, 3.0 &			it with tetramethyl-ammonium
	4.0%)		_	hydroxide.
Aliabadi et al.	Cu	Water	One-step	Stability of more than 2 weeks
[30]	(0.1-0.3%)			for 0.1% and 5 days for 0.3%
Murshed et al.	TiO ₂ nanorods	Deionized	Two-step	Stability can be achieved with
[31]	(0.05%)	water		ultrasonic dismembrator by using
				it for 8-10h

Harikrishnan &	CuO	Oleic acid	Two-step	Stability can be achieved by
Kalaiselvam	(1.0, 1.5 &			ultrasonification at frequency of
[32]	2.0%)			40kHz
Michael & Iniyan	CuO	Distilled	Two-step	Stability of this nanofluid can be
[33]	(0.05%)	water		achieved by using sodium dodecyl
				benzene sulphonate of 10wt% +
				sonication for 60min
Wongvises	TiO ₂	Distilled	Two-step	Stability can be achieved by
[34]	(0.01%)	water		ultrasonication for 30min
Wang et al.	ZnO nanorods	Deionized	Two-step	Stability can be achieved with the
[35]	(0.01 - 0.1%)	water		addition of ammonia citrate at a
				ZnO/ammonium citrate ratio of
				1:1 followed by sonication for
				about 15min

Inspite of problem of agglomeration, two-step process is still in demand due to its economic advantage in production of different types of nanofluids. The Table 2 consists of compilation of data to study heat transfer enhancement by nanofluids through various experiments.

Table 2: Summary of heat transfer enhancement by nanofluids

Researcher	Nanofluid //	Particle size	Basefluid	Geometry/	Remarks
	(Conc.)	(in nm)	and the	flow nature	>
Pak & Cho	Al ₂ O ₃	13.0	Water	Tube/	Convective heat transfer
[36]	(3.0% v/v)			turbulent	coefficient enhances by 12%
	1			Ala.	in comparison of base fluid
Ding et al.	CNT	NR	Water	Tube/	Convective heat transfer
[37]	(0.5% w/w)	1.65		laminar	coefficient enhances by more than 350% (at Re= 800)
Jung et al.	Al ₂ O ₃	20.0	Water	Rectangular	Convective heat transfer
[38]	(0.018% v/v)			microchannel/	coefficient enhances by 15%
	111			laminar	in comparison of base fluid
Lai et al.	Al_2O_3	20.0	Deionized	Tube/	Nusselt number increases by
[39]	(0.01% v/v)	149	water	laminar	8% for Re= 270
Li & Xuan	Cu	<100	Water	Tube/	Nusslet number is larger for
[40]	(0.3-2.0% v/v)			turbulent	nanofluidas compared to
		Mr. Carrie			base fluid
Heris et al.	CuO	50.0-60.0	Water	Tube/	Nusslet number is large in
[41]	(0.2-3.0% v/v)			laminar	case of nanofluid
Heris et al.	Al ₂ O ₃	20.0	Water	Tube/	Nusslet number is large in
[42]	(0.2-3.0% v/v)			laminar	case of nanofluid
Rea et al.	Al ₂ O ₃	50.0	Water	Tube/	Nusselt number enhances by
[43]	(6.0% v/v)			laminar	27% in comparison of base
					fluid under fully developed
					flow
Williams et al.	Al_2O_3	46.0	Water	Tube/	There is no enhancement in
[44]	(0.9-3.6% v/v)			turbulent	heat transfer
Wen & Ding	Al_2O_3	27.0-56.0	Water	Tube/	Nusselt number > 4.36 for
[45]	(0.60% v/v)			laminar	fully developed pipe flow
					with constant wall heat flux

II. **CONCLUSIONS**

- Nanofluids have higher thermal conductivity, viscosity, heat and mass transfer coefficient as compared to basefluid, hence it has great potential in various industrial applications.
- It has been found that some of the articles have reported the enhancement in heat transfer through nanofluids, without relating there performance with any applications.

- Many heat exchanging devices can be made compact, more energy and cost effective due to enhancement of heat transfer through nanofluids.
- It has been observed that there is some sort of unpredictability between model and experimental results of thermal conductivity of heat transfer.
- It is noticed that there are some barriers which have to be considered before implementing these applications in various industries.
- Stability of nanofluids and its production cost are the key factors that hamper the profit-orientation of nanofluids.

III. REFERENCES

- [1] Choi, S.U.S., Singer, D.A., Wang, H.P. (1995). Development and application of non-Newtonian flows. New York: ASME, vol. 231, pp. 99-105.
- [2] Choi, S.U.S. (1995). Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles. The Proceedings of the 1995 ASME Int. Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, ASME, San Francisco, USA, vol. 231, pp. 99-105.
- [3] Prasher, R., Bhattacharya, P., Phelan, P.E. (2005). Thermal conductivity of nanoscale colloidal solutions (nanofluids). Physical Review Letters, vol. 94, pp. 1-4.
- [4] Nasiri, M., Etemad, S.G., Bagheri, R.(2011). Experimental heat transfer of nanofluid through an annular duct. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 38, pp. 958-963.
- [5] Palm, S.J., Roy, G., Nguyen, C.T. (2006). Heat transfer enhancement with the use of nanofluids in radial flow cooling systems considering temperature-dependent properties. Applied Thermal Engineering, vol. 26, pp. 2209-2218.
- [6] Eastman, J.A., Choi, S.U.S., Li, S., Yu, W., Thompson, L.J. (2001). Anomalously increased effective thermal conductivities of ethylene glycol-based nanofluids containing copper nanoparticles. Applied Physics Letters, vol. 78, pp. 718-20.
- [7] Choi, S.U.S., Zhang, Z.G., Yu, W., Lockwood, F.E., Grulke, E.A. (2001). Anomalous thermal conductivity enhancement in nanotube suspensions. Applied Physics Letters, vol. 79, pp. 2252-4.
- [8] Kang, H.U., Kim, S.H., Oh, J.M. (2006). Estimation of thermal conductivity of nanofluid using experimental effective particle volume. Experimental Heat Transfer, vol. 19, pp. 181-191.
- [9] Pineda, I.T., Choi, C.K., Kang, Y.T. (2014). CO₂ gas absorption by CH₃OH based nanofluids in an annular contactor at low rotational speeds. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, vol. 23, pp. 105-112.
- [10] Kim, D., Kwon, Y., Cho, Y., Li, C., Cheong, S., Hwang, Y., ... & Moon, S. (2009). Convective heat transfer characteristics of nanofluids under laminar and turbulent flow conditions. Current Applied Physics, vol. 9, pp. 119-123.
- Farajollahi, B., Etemad, S.Gh., Hojjat, M. (2010). Heat transfer of nanofluids in a shell and tube heat exchanger. [11]International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 53, pp. 12-17.
- Fotukian, S.M., Esfahany, M.N. (2010). Experimental study of turbulent convective heat transfer and pressure drop of dilute CuO/water nanofluid inside a circular tube. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 37, pp. 214-219.
- Ghadimi, A., Saidur, R., Metselaar, H.S.C. (2011). A review of nanofluid stability properties and characterization in [13] stationary conditions. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 54, pp. 4051-4068.
- Xuan, Y., Li, Q. (2002). Heat transfer enhancement of nanofluids. International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, vol. 21, pp. 58-64.
- Akoh, H., Tsukasaki, Y., Yatsuya, S., Tasaki, A. (1978). Magnetic properties of ferromagnetic ultrafine [15] particlesprepared by vacuum evaporation on running oil substrate. Journal of Crystal Growth, vol. 45, pp. 495-500.
- Zhu, H.T., Yin, Y.S., A. (2004). A novel one-step chemical method preparation of copper nanofluids. Journal of [16] Colloid and Interface Science, vol. 227, pp. 100-130.
- Lo, C.H., Tsung, T.T., Chen, L.C., Su, C.H., Lin, H.M. (2005). Fabrication of Copper Oxide Nanofluid Using Submerged Arc Nanoparticle Synthesis System. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, vol. 7, pp. 313-320.
- Xuan, Y., Li, Q. (2000). Heat transfer enhancement of nanofluids. International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, vol. [18] 21, pp. 58-64.
- Kwak, K., Kim, C. (2005). Viscosity and thermal conductivity of copper oxide nanofluid dispersed in ethylene glycol. Korea-Australia Rheology Journal, vol. 17, pp. 35-40.
- Eastman, J.A., Choi, S.U.S., Li, S., Yu, W., Thompson, L.J. (2001). Anomalously increased effective thermal conductivities of ethylene glycol-based nanofluids containing copper nanoparticles. Applied Physics Letters, vol. 78, pp. 718-720.
- [21] Yang, X.F., Liu, Z.H. (2011). Pool boiling heat transfer of functionalized nanofluid under sub atmospheric pressures. International Journal of Thermal Sciences, vol. 50, pp. 2402-2412.
- Hamid, K.A., Azmi, W.H., Mamat, R., Usri, N.A., Najafi, G. (2015). Effect of titanium oxide nanofluid concentration on pressure drop. ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, vol. 10, pp. 7815-7820.
- Bhagat, U., More, P., Khanna, P. (2015). Study of zinc oxide nanofluids for heat transfer application. SAJ [23] Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, vol. 1, pp. 1-7.
- Hung, Y.H., Teng, T.P., Lin, B.G. (2013). Evaluation of the thermal performance of a heat pipe using alumina nanofluids. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, vol. 44, pp. 504-511.

- Lee, S.W., Park, S.D., Bang, I.C. (2012). Critical heat flux for CuO fabricated by pulsed laser ablation differentiating deposition characteristics. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 55, pp. 6908-6915.
- Yu, W., Xie, H., Chen, L., Li, Y. (2010). Enhancement of thermal conductivity of kerosene-based Fe₃O₄ nanofluids prepared via phase-transfer method. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, vol. 355, pp. 109-113.
- [27] Kole, M., Dey, T.K. (2012). Thermophysical and pool boiling characteristics of ZnO-ethylene glycol nanofluids. International Journal of Thermal Sciences, vol. 62, pp. 61-70.
- Vermahmoudi, Y., Peyghambarzadeh, S.M., Hashemabadi, S.H., Naraki, M. (2014). Experimental investigation on [28] heat transfer performance of Fe₂O₃/water nanofluid in an air-finned heat exchanger, European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids, vol. 44, pp. 32-41.
- Karimi, A., Goharkhah, M., Ashjaee, M., Shafii, M.B. (2015). Thermal conductivity of Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanofluids under the influence of magnetic field. International Journal of Thermophysics, vol. 36, pp. 2720-2739.
- Khoshvaght-Aliabadi, M., Pazdar, S., Sartipzadeh, O. (2016). Experimental investigation of water based nanofluid containing copper nanoparticles across helical microtubes. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 70, pp. 84-92.
- Murshed, S.M.S., Leong, K.C., Yang, C. (2005). Enhanced thermal conductivity of TiO₂-water based nanofluids. [31] International Journal of Thermal Sciences, vol. 44, pp. 367-373.
- Harikrishnan, S., Kalaiselvam, S. (2012). Preparation and thermal characteristics of CuO-oleic acid nanofluids as a phase change material. Thermochimica Acta, vol 533, pp. 46-55.
- Michael, J.J., Iniyan, S. (2015). Performance analysis of a copper sheet laminated photovoltaic thermal collector using copper oxide-water nanofluid, Solar Energy, vol. 119, pp. 439-451.
- Wongwises, W.D.S. (2011). Heat transfer enhancement and pressure drop characteristics of TiO₂-water nanofluid in [34] a double-tube counter flow heat exchanger. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 38, pp. 1474-1478.
- Wang, H.X., Zhao, H., Liu, S. (2011). Preparation and thermal conductivity of nanofluids consisting of SiO₂organic composite nanorods. Journal of Inorganic and Organometallic Polymers and Materials, vol. 21, pp. 946-949.
- Pak, B.C., Cho, Y.I. (1998). Hydrodynamic and heat transfer study of dispersed fluids with submicron metallic oxide particles. Experimental Heat Transfer, vol. 11, pp. 151-170.
- [37] Ding, Y., Alias, H., Wen, D., Williams, A.R. (2006). Heat transfer of aqueous suspensions of carbon nanotubes (CNT nanofluids). International Journal of Heat Mass and Transfer, vol. 49, pp. 240-250.
- Jung, J.Y., Oh, H.S., Kwak, H.Y. (2006). Forced convective heat transfer of nanofluids in microchannels. Proceedings of ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition (IMECE 2006), Chicago, USA.
- Lai, W.Y., Duculescu, B., Phelan, P.E., Prasher, R.S. (2006). Convective heat transfer with nanofluids in a single 1.02-mm tube. Proceedings of ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition (IMECE 2006), Chicago, USA.
- [40] Li, Q., Xuan, Y. (2002). Convective heat transfer and flow characteristics of Cu-water nanofluid. Science in China, vol. 45, pp. 408-416.
- Heris, S.Z., Etemad, S.G., Esfahany, M.S. (2006). Experimental investigation of oxide nanofluids laminar flow convective heat transfer. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, vol.33, pp. 529-535.
- [42] Heris, S.Z., Esfahany, M.N., Etemad, S.G. (2007). Experimental investigation of convective heat transfer of Al₂O₃/water nanofluid in circular tube. International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, vol. 28, pp. 203-210.
- Rea, U., McKrell, T., Hu, L., Buongiorno, J. (2009). Laminar convective heat transfer and viscous pressure loss of alumina-water and zirconia-water nanofluids. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 52, pp. 2042-2048.
- Williams, W., Buongiorno, J., Hu, L.W. (2008). Experimental investigation of turbulent convective heat transfer and pressure loss of alumina/water and zirconia/water nanoparticle colloids (nanofluids) in horizontal tubes. Journal of Heat Transfer, vol. 130, pp. 042412-1-042412-7.
- Wen, D., Ding, Y. (2004). Experimental investigation into convective heat transfer of nanofluids at the entrance region under laminar flow conditions. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 47, pp. 5181-5188.
- Tabari, Z.T., Heris, S.Z., Moradi, M., Kahani, M. (2016). TiO₂-water nanofluid in plate type heat exchanger of milk pasteurization industries. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 58, pp. 1318-1326.